UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Joint functional calculi and a sharp multiplier theorem for the Kohn Laplacian on spheres

Martini, Alessio

DOI: 10.1007/s00209-016-1813-8

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Martini, A 2017, 'Joint function'al calculí and a sharp multiplier theorem for the Kohn Laplacian on spheres', *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 286, no. 3-4, pp. 1539-1574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-016-1813-8

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



Joint functional calculi and a sharp multiplier theorem for the Kohn Laplacian on spheres

Alessio Martini¹

Received: 2 March 2016 / Accepted: 6 October 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Let \Box_b be the Kohn Laplacian acting on (0, j)-forms on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . In a recent paper of Casarino, Cowling, Sikora and the author, a spectral multiplier theorem of Mihlin–Hörmander type for \Box_b is proved in the case 0 < j < n - 1. Here we prove an analogous theorem in the exceptional cases j = 0 and j = n - 1, including a weak type (1, 1) endpoint estimate. We also show that both theorems are sharp. The proof hinges on an abstract multivariate multiplier theorem for systems of commuting operators.

Keywords Multivariable multiplier theorem · Spectral multiplier · Kohn Laplacian · Tangential Cauchy–Riemann complex

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 42B15 · 43A85; Secondary 32V20

1 Introduction

Let (X, μ) be a measure space, \mathcal{E} be a complex vector bundle over X with a hermitian metric, and \mathcal{L} be a (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operator on the space $L^2(\mathcal{E})$ of L^2 -sections of \mathcal{E} . By the spectral theorem, we can write

$$\mathfrak{L} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, dE_{\mathfrak{L}}(\lambda) \tag{1}$$

Alessio Martini a.martini@bham.ac.uk

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project MA 5222/2-1) during his stay at the Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel (Germany), where this work was initiated. The support of GNAMPA (Progetto 2014 "Moltiplicatori e proiettori spettrali associati a Laplaciani su sfere e gruppi nilpotenti" and Progetto 2015 "Alcune questioni di analisi armonica per operatori differenziali non ellittici") is also gratefully acknowledged.

¹ School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

for a projection-valued measure $E_{\mathcal{L}}$, called the spectral resolution of \mathcal{L} . A functional calculus for \mathcal{L} is then defined via spectral integration and, for all Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, the operator

$$F(\mathfrak{L}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\lambda) \, dE_{\mathfrak{L}}(\lambda)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if F is an $E_{\mathfrak{L}}$ -essentially bounded function.

Characterizing, or just giving nontrivial sufficient conditions for the L^p -boundedness of $F(\mathfrak{L})$ for some $p \neq 2$ in terms of properties of the "spectral multiplier" F is a much harder problem. This question has been particularly studied in the case \mathfrak{L} is the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^d , or some analogue thereof acting on sections of a vector bundle over a smooth d-manifold. For the Laplacian $\mathfrak{L} = -\Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^d , the classical Mihlin–Hörmander multiplier theorem [36,53] tells us that $F(\mathfrak{L})$ is of weak type (1, 1) and L^p -bounded for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ whenever the multiplier F satisfies the scale-invariant local Sobolev condition

$$\|F\|_{L^q_{s,\text{sloc}}} := \sup_{t \ge 0} \|F(t \cdot)\chi\|_{L^q_s(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$
(2)

for q = 2 and some s > d/2; here $L_s^q(\mathbb{R})$ is the L^q Sobolev space of (fractional) order sand $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \infty))$ is any nontrivial cutoff function (different choices of χ give rise to equivalent local Sobolev norms). This result is sharp, i.e., the threshold d/2 on the order of smoothness s required on the multiplier F cannot be lowered. Note that the above-defined $\|F\|_{L_{s,\text{sloc}}^q}$ majorizes |F(0)|, because the supremum in (2) includes t = 0; actually, the value t = 0 may be dispensed with in the case of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d , where $E_{\mathfrak{L}}(\{0\}) = 0$, but not in other cases discussed below.

Here we are concerned with the case where $\mathcal{L} = \Box_b$ is the Kohn Laplacian acting on sections of the bundle $\Lambda^{0,j} \mathbb{S}$ of (0, j)-forms $(0 \le j \le n - 1)$ associated to the tangential Cauchy–Riemann complex on the unit sphere \mathbb{S} in \mathbb{C}^n , where $n \ge 2$. The sphere \mathbb{S} and the conformally equivalent Heisenberg group have been long studied as models for more general strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds of hypersurface type [26,28,32]. The problem of obtaining a spectral multiplier theorem of Mihlin–Hörmander type for \Box_b has been recently considered in [7], where the following result is proved.

Theorem 1.1 [7] Let \Box_b be the Kohn Laplacian on (0, j)-forms on the unit sphere \mathbb{S} in \mathbb{C}^n , where 0 < j < n - 1. For all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, if $||F||_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}} < \infty$ for some s > (2n - 1)/2, then the operator $F(\Box_b)$ is of weak type (1, 1) and L^p -bounded for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, and moreover

$$\|F(\Box_b)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C \|F\|_{L^2_{s,slow}}$$

A key feature of this result is the threshold (2n - 1)/2 in the smoothness condition, i.e., half the topological dimension d = 2n - 1 of the sphere S. In fact, by means of quite general theorems [10, 19], it is fairly straightforward to prove the above result under the stronger assumption that " $||F||_{L^{\infty}_{s,sloc}} < \infty$ for some s > Q/2", where Q = 2n is the socalled homogeneous dimension associated with the control distance for \Box_b . The fact that Q > d is connected with the lack of ellipticity of \Box_b (cf. [24,27]) and the problem of obtaining sharp multiplier theorems of Mihlin–Hörmander type for nonelliptic, subelliptic operators is still widely open (see, e.g., [50]). Most of the analysis in [7] is devoted to proving a "weighted Plancherel-type estimate" that allows weakening the assumption on the multiplier, by replacing Q/2 with d/2 (and L^{∞}_s with L^2_s). The cases j = 0 and j = n - 1 are not treated in [7]. These cases are exceptional because the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of \Box_b (which is the Szegő projection in the case j = 0) is not L^1 -bounded [43]. This constitutes a serious obstruction to the application of the "standard machinery" of [10,19], on which [7] is based, and moreover puts some limits on the results that can be expected. Indeed, when 0 < j < n - 1, the Bochner–Riesz means $(1 - t\Box_b)^{\alpha}_+$ of order $\alpha > (d - 1)/2$ are L^1 -bounded for all t > 0 [7, Theorem 1.2]. The analogous statement in the case $j \in \{0, n - 1\}$ is simply false, independently of the order α .

An alternative approach to this problem is developed in [60], where a multiplier theorem of Mihlin–Hörmander type for \Box_b in the case j = 0 is proved for a fairly general class of compact CR manifolds. However in [60] the more restrictive smoothness condition that " $||F||_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}} < \infty$ for some s > (Q + 1)/2" is required and the technique used seems not to yield a weak type (1, 1) bound.

In contrast, the main result of this paper, which extends Theorem 1.1 to the missing cases j = 0 and j = n - 1, requires a smoothness condition s > d/2 on the multiplier and includes the weak type (1, 1) endpoint.

Theorem 1.2 Let \Box_b be the Kohn Laplacian on (0, j)-forms on the unit sphere \mathbb{S} in \mathbb{C}^n , where $j \in \{0, n - 1\}$. For all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, if $||F||_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}} < \infty$ for some s > (2n - 1)/2, then the operator $F(\Box_b)$ is of weak type (1, 1) and L^p -bounded for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, and moreover

$$||F(\Box_b)||_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C ||F||_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}}$$

Note that this implies the weak type (1, 1) and L^p -boundedness for $p \in (1, \infty)$ of the Bochner–Riesz means $(1 - t\Box_b)^{\alpha}_+$ for all $\alpha > (d - 1)/2$ and t > 0.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 could be easily adapted to the case of Heisenberg groups, equipped with the standard strictly pseudoconvex structure. In fact, the proof there would be even simpler because of the translation-invariance and homogeneity of \Box_b on Heisenberg groups. However, there is no need to do this, in the sense that the result on the Heisenberg group can be directly obtained from the corresponding result on the sphere by transplantation.

Corollary 1.3 Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold also when the sphere S is replaced by the (2n-1)-dimensional Heisenberg group H_{n-1} .

The idea of transplanting estimates from complex spheres to Heisenberg groups has been used several times in the literature (see, e.g., [6, 14, 15, 57]). Here however we propose a different approach, along the lines of [42], which does not require any group or symmetric space structure on the manifold, or group-invariance of the operator. This general transplantation technique (Theorem 5.2) applies to arbitrary self-adjoint differential operators on a vector bundle over a smooth manifold and allows transplanting weak type as well as strong type bounds.

The same technique, combined with an argument of [50], yields the sharpness of the above multiplier theorems. In fact, thanks to the analysis of [3], we can prove a more general result for the Kohn Laplacian on any non-Levi-flat CR manifold of hypersurface type (see [3,5,16] for definitions).

For a general nonnegative self-adjoint operator \mathfrak{L} on $L^2(\mathcal{E})$ as in (1), define the sharp Mihlin–Hörmander threshold $\varsigma(\mathfrak{L})$ as the infimum of the $s \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\exists C \in (0,\infty) : \forall F \in \mathfrak{B} : \|F(\mathfrak{L})\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \|F(\mathfrak{L})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C \|F\|_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}},$$

where \mathfrak{B} is the set of bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$. Clearly

$$\varsigma(\mathfrak{L}) \geq \varsigma_{-}(\mathfrak{L}),$$

where $\varsigma_{-}(\mathfrak{L})$ is the infimum of the $s \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\forall p \in (1,\infty) : \exists C \in (0,\infty) : \forall F \in \mathfrak{B} : \|F(\mathfrak{L})\|_{L^p \to L^p} \le C \|F\|_{L^\infty_{sclore}}.$$

Note that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 can be restated as follows:

$$\varsigma(\Box_b) \le (2n-1)/2$$

for the Kohn Laplacian \Box_b on a sphere or Heisenberg group of dimension 2n - 1.

Theorem 1.4 Let M be a non-Levi-flat CR manifold of hypersurface type and dimension 2n - 1, with a compatible hermitian metric. Let \Box_b be any self-adjoint extension of the Kohn Laplacian on (0, j)-forms on M, where $0 \le j \le n - 1$. Then

$$\varsigma_{-}(\Box_b) \ge (2n-1)/2$$

In particular, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 are sharp.

Apart from sharpness and weak type endpoint, another reason of interest for Theorem 1.2 is the technique used in its proof. To prove that $F(\Box_b)$ is of weak type (1, 1), here we show that $F(\Box_b)$ is a singular integral operator, satisfying the "averaged Hörmander condition" of [18, Theorem 1] (see also [10, Theorem 3.3]):

$$\sup_{r>0} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{S}} \sup_{\varrho(x,y)\geq r} \int_{|K_{F(\Box_{b})(I-A_{r})}(x,y)|} d\mu(x) < \infty,$$
(3)

where μ is the standard hypersurface measure on \mathbb{S} , ρ is the control distance for \Box_b , K_T denotes the integral kernel of an operator T and $\{A_r\}_{r>0}$ is some "approximate identity" (as $r \downarrow 0$) satisfying, among other things, the uniform bound

$$\sup_{r>0} \|A_r\|_{1\to 1} < \infty. \tag{4}$$

In other works on spectral multipliers, this approximate identity is constructed as a function of the operator \mathfrak{L} under consideration, such as the "heat propagator" $A_r = \exp(-r^2 \mathfrak{L})$ (see, e.g., [19]) or $A_r = \Phi(r\sqrt{\mathfrak{L}})$ for a suitable Schwartz function Φ with $\Phi(0) = 1$ (cf. [10]). However such choices of A_r are forbidden in the case $\mathfrak{L} = \Box_b$ and $j \in \{0, n - 1\}$, because the L^1 -unboundedness of the Szegő projection is incompatible with (4).

We are then led to looking for an approximate identity A_r outside the functional calculus of \Box_b , yet related to it, so as to be able to prove (3). Here comes a key observation: in the case j = 0, the operator \Box_b belongs to the joint functional calculus of two commuting differential operators on S, namely, a sublaplacian L and a unit vector field T. Unlike \Box_b , the sublaplacian L does satisfy Gaussian-type heat kernel estimates, so $A_r = \exp(-r^2L)$ satisfies (4) (indeed a sharp multiplier theorem for the sublaplacian L was proved in [11]). In fact, more is true: the T-derivatives of the heat kernel of L satisfy Gaussian-type estimates too. Based on these estimates, we can prove a spectral multiplier theorem of Mihlin–Hörmander type for the joint functional calculus of L and iT, which in turn (in combination with the weighted Plancherel-type estimates from [7]) allows us to derive (3) with $A_r = \exp(-r^2L)$, whenever $||F||_{L^2_{s,sloc}} < \infty$ for some s > d/2.

Spectral multiplier theorems for systems of commuting operators are not new in the literature. Actually, the classical Mihlin–Hörmander theorem for Fourier multipliers on \mathbb{R}^d

can be thought of as a spectral multiplier theorem for the joint functional calculus of the partial derivatives on \mathbb{R}^d . However, in settings other than \mathbb{R}^d , most of the available results (see, e.g., [8,17,30,54,55,64,66]) are of "Marcinkiewicz type", i.e., they impose on the multiplier function a condition that is invariant under multiparameter rescaling, and the correspondingly obtained estimates appear not to be suitable to prove a weak type (1, 1) bound. Exceptions to this are the results of [58] and [49, §4], where a one-parameter rescaling of the multiplier is considered and weak type (1,1) estimates are obtained; however these results are not directly applicable to the system (*L*, *iT*) on the sphere, because [58] only applies to a product setting, whereas [49, §4] applies to left-invariant homogeneous operators on a homogeneous Lie group (this would be enough to deal with the Heisenberg group, but not the sphere).

For this reason, in Sect. 6 we develop an abstract version of [49, §4] in the context of doubling metric measure spaces, which includes the main result of [58] as a particular case and may be of independent interest. When applied to a single operator \mathcal{L} , the result of Sect. 6 essentially reduces to the main result of [33], where only polynomial decay (of arbitrarily high order) is required on the heat kernel of \mathcal{L} , in place of the usual Gaussian-type exponential decay. Because of the general character of the argument, we have tried to put minimal assumptions on the system of commuting operators, in order to obtain a statement that encompasses many different situations; we refer to Sect. 6 for an extensive discussion and examples. The resulting multivariate spectral multiplier theorem of Mihlin–Hörmander type (Theorem 6.1) is sufficiently strong to serve as a base for our sharp Theorem 1.2 and we expect that other similar applications may be found in the future.

Some general remarks about notation are in order. The letter *C* and variants such as C_p will denote a finite positive quantity that may change from place to place. For any two nonnegative quantities *A*, *B*, we also write $A \leq B$ instead of $A \leq CB$; moreover $A \sim B$ is the same as " $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$ ". We denote by $\mathbb{1}_U$ the characteristic function of a set *U*.

2 Unitary group action and joint spectral decomposition

This and the next two sections are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Indeed we need only to discuss the case j = 0, i.e., the case of the Kohn Laplacian \Box_b acting on (scalar-valued) functions on the sphere. In fact, by means of Geller's Hodge star operator ([32, p. 5]; see also [7, Remark 4.6]), it is easily seen that the case j = n - 1 in Theorem 1.2 can be reduced to the case j = 0.

The Kohn Laplacian \Box_b on S is invariant under the action of the unitary group U(*n*). It is therefore natural to exploit the representation theory of U(*n*) for the analysis of \Box_b , as was done in great detail in [26]. Here we just recall the main results that will be of use later.

As it is well-known (see, e.g., [11,26]), the decomposition into irreducible representations of the natural representation of U(n) on $L^2(S)$ is multiplicity-free and is given by

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}) = \bigoplus_{p,q \ge 0} \mathcal{H}_{pq},$$

where \mathcal{H}_{pq} is the space of (p, q)-bihomogeneous complex spherical harmonics (denoted by Φ_{pq0} in [26]). By Schur's Lemma, all U(*n*)-equivariant operators *R* on $L^2(\mathbb{S})$ preserve this decomposition and are scalar when restricted to each \mathcal{H}_{pq} , i.e., $R|_{\mathcal{H}_{pq}} = \lambda_{pq}^{R}$ id $_{\mathcal{H}_{pq}}$ for some $\lambda_{pq}^{R} \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, all such operators commute.

Let the sublaplacian L and the unit vector field T on S be defined as in [11] and set U = -2(n-1)iT. The operators \Box_b , L and U are U(n)-equivariant, so they have a joint spectral decomposition in terms of complex spherical harmonics.

Proposition 2.1 For all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{pq} = \frac{p+q+n-1}{n-1} \binom{p+n-2}{n-2} \binom{q+n-2}{n-2}$$
(5)

$$\lambda_{pq}^{L} = 4pq + 2(n-1)(p+q), \tag{6}$$

$$\lambda_{pq}^{U} = 2(n-1)(p-q), \tag{7}$$

$$\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b} = 2q(p+n-1). \tag{8}$$

In particular

$$2\Box_b = L - U,\tag{9}$$

and moreover, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b} \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b} \le \lambda_{pq}^L \le (n+1)\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b}. \tag{10}$$

Proof (5) is in [11, Corollary 2.6], (6) and (7) are in [11, Section 4], while (8) is in [26, Theorem 6]. From these expressions we immediately obtain (10) and

$$2\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b} = \lambda_{pq}^L - \lambda_{pq}^U,$$

whence (9) follows.

3 Heat kernel estimates and a nonsharp multiplier theorem

Let μ be the standard hypersurface measure on $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and ϱ denote the control distance for \Box_b . We refer to [7, Section 3] for precise definitions and discussion of the main properties of μ and ϱ . Here we just recall that ϱ is U(*n*)-invariant and

$$\varrho(z,w) \sim |1 - \langle z,w \rangle|^{1/2},\tag{11}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover, if V(r) denotes the μ -measure of any ρ -ball of radius $r \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$V(r) \sim \min\{1, r^Q\},\tag{12}$$

where Q = 2n. In the language of Sect. 6, this tells us that $(\mathbb{S}, \varrho, \mu)$ is a doubling metric measure space of homogeneous dimension Q and displacement parameter 0.

Let us introduce some weighted mixed Lebesgue norms defined on Borel functions $K : \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}$, that will be repeatedly used: for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $r \in (0, \infty)$, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||K||_{p,\beta,r} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y} V(r)^{1/p'} ||K(\cdot, y) (1 + \varrho(\cdot, y)/r)^{\beta}||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{S})}.$$

Here p' denotes the conjugate exponent p/(p-1) to p.

As already mentioned in the introduction, a key ingredient of our proof is the fact that the heat kernel of *L*, together with its *U*-derivatives, satisfies Gaussian-type estimates.

Proposition 3.1 There exists b > 0 such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that, for all t > 0 and $x, y \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$|K_{U^k e^{-tL}}(x, y)| \le C_k t^{-k} V(t^{1/2})^{-1} \exp(-b \varrho(x, y)^2/t).$$

Proof These estimates are well known, at least for for $t \le 1$ (see, e.g., [40, Theorem 3] or [39, §4]). On the other hand, since S is compact and ρ is bounded, the estimates for $t \ge 1$ follow from the uniform bound

$$||| K_{U^k e^{-tL}} |||_{\infty,0,t^{1/2}} \le C_k t^{-k}$$

which in turn is easily proved by L^2 -spectral theory (cf. [11, p. 630] or [7, proof of Theorem 1.1]):

$$\|K_{U^{k}e^{-tL}}\|_{\infty,0,t^{1/2}} = V(t^{1/2}) \|U^{k}e^{-tL}\|_{1\to\infty}$$

$$\leq V(t^{1/2}) \|U^{k}e^{-tL/2}\|_{2\to\infty} \|e^{-tL/2}\|_{1\to2}$$

and, for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} \|U^{h}e^{-tL/2}\|_{1\to 2}^{2} &= \|U^{h}e^{-tL/2}\|_{2\to\infty}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{p,q\in\mathbb{N}} (\lambda_{pq}^{U})^{2h} e^{-t\lambda_{pq}^{L}} \dim \mathcal{H}_{pq}/\mu(\mathbb{S}) \\ &\leq t^{-2h} \sum_{p,q\in\mathbb{N}} (t\lambda_{pq}^{L})^{2h} e^{-t\lambda_{pq}^{L}} \dim \mathcal{H}_{pq}/\mu(\mathbb{S}) \\ &\lesssim t^{-2h} \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} (t\ell^{2})^{2h} e^{-t\ell^{2}} \ell^{Q-1} \\ &\lesssim t^{-2h} V(t^{1/2})^{-1} \end{split}$$

by Proposition 2.1, Eq. (12) and [11, eq. (21)].

Thanks to the above bounds on the heat kernel of *L* and its *U*-derivative, we can apply Theorem 6.1 to the system (L, U) and isotropic dilations $\epsilon_r(\lambda) = r^2 \lambda$ on \mathbb{R}^2 (cf. Example 6.4).

Corollary 3.2 For all $\beta \ge 0$, all ϵ , R > 0, and all $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $G \subseteq [-R^2, R^2] \times [-R^2, R^2]$,

$$\|K_{G(L,U)}\|_{2,\beta,R^{-1}} \le C_{\beta,\epsilon} \|G(R^2 \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{\beta+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

Proof This is an instance of Theorem 6.1(ii) applied to the system (L, U).

From these estimates we can now derive a nonsharp spectral multiplier theorem for \Box_b . Define, for all t > 0, the operator A_t by

$$A_t = \exp(-t^2 L).$$

Corollary 3.3 *The following estimates hold.*

(*i*) For all $\beta \ge 0$, all ϵ , R, t > 0, and all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [R/16, R]$,

$$\|K_{F(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}\|_{2,\beta,R^{-1}} \leq C_{\beta,\epsilon} \|F(R\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{\beta+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1,(Rt)^{2}\}.$$

🖄 Springer

(*ii*) For all $\beta \ge 0$, all ϵ , R, r, t > 0, and all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [R/16, R]$,

$$\begin{split} & esssup \int_{\varrho(x,y) \ge r} |K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_b)(1-A_t)}(x,y)| \, d\mu(x) \\ & \le C_{\beta,\epsilon} \, \|F(R \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{\beta+Q/2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \, \min\{1, (Rt)^2\} \, (1+Rr)^{-\beta} \end{split}$$

(*iii*) For all $\epsilon > 0$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C_{\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^{\infty}_{Q/2+\epsilon, \text{slow}}}$$

Proof (i) Let

$$G(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = F(\sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)_+/2}) \left(1 - \exp(-t^2 \lambda_1)\right) \eta((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)/(2\lambda_1)),$$

where $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}((0, 2))$ and $\eta = 1$ on [1/(n+1), 1]. By (9) and (10), it is easily seen that

$$G(L, U) = F(\sqrt{\Box_b}) \left(1 - A_t\right)$$

and moreover, for all $s \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\|G(R^2 \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{s}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C_s \|F(R \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}_{s}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1, (Rt)^2\},$$

hence (i) follows by Corollary 3.2.

(ii) This follows from (i), since

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{y} \int\limits_{\varrho(x,y) \geq r} |K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_{b})(1-A_{t})}(x,y)| \, d\mu(x) \\ & \leq (1+Rr)^{-\beta} \|K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_{b})(1-A_{t})}\|_{1,\beta,R^{-1}} \end{split}$$

and

$$|\!|\!| K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_b)\,(1-A_t)} |\!|\!|_{1,\beta,R^{-1}} \leq C_{\beta,\epsilon} |\!|\!| K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_b)\,(1-A_t)} |\!|\!|_{2,\beta+Q/2+\epsilon/2,R^{-1}}$$

by Hölder's inequality (44).

(iii) Suppose first that F(0) = 0. Note that, by Proposition 3.1, the operators A_t satisfy the "Poisson-type bounds" of [18, eq. (2) and (3)]. A simple adaptation of the argument in [19, Proof of Theorem 3.1] (see also the proof of Theorem 6.1(vi) below), exploiting (ii) in place of [19, eq. (4.18)], shows that $F(\sqrt{\Box_b})$ is of weak type (1, 1), with

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C_{\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^{\infty}_{O/2+\epsilon, \text{sloc}}}.$$

In particular, if we take $F = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}$, then we recover the weak type (1,1) of the Szegő projection $\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(\Box_b) = I - \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\Box_b)$ on the unit sphere [43]. For a general *F*, it is then sufficient to split

$$F(\sqrt{\Box_b}) = F(0) \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(\Box_b) + (F\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}})(\sqrt{\Box_b})$$

and apply what we have just proved to the two summands.

4 Sharpening the result

Here we show how the weighted Plancherel estimates proved in [7] can be used to sharpen the multiplier theorem given by Corollary 3.3(iii) and obtain Theorem 1.2. As in [7, §5], define the weight $\varpi : \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\overline{\varpi}(z,w) = |1 - |\langle z,w \rangle|^2 |^{1/2}.$$

Here are some basic properties of ϖ that will be of use.

Lemma 4.1 For all r > 0 and $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta > Q$ and $\alpha < 2n - 2$, and for all $w \in S$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} (1+\varrho(z,w)/r)^{-\beta} (1+\varpi(z,w)/r)^{-\alpha} d\mu(z) \le C_{\alpha,\beta} V(r).$$
(13)

Moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} (1 + \varpi(z, w)/r)^{-\alpha} d\mu(z) \le C_{\alpha} \min\{1, r^{\alpha}\}.$$
(14)

for all r > 0, all $\alpha \in [0, 2n - 2)$ and all $w \in S$, and

$$\varpi(z, w) \le C\varrho(z, w) \tag{15}$$

for all $z, w \in S$.

Proof By U(*n*)-invariance of ϖ and ϱ , we may assume that w = (1, 0, ..., 0). If $z = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) = (z_1, z')$, then

$$\varpi(z, w) = |1 - |z_1|^2 |^{1/2} = |z'|$$

and

$$\varrho(z, w) \sim |1 - z_1|^{1/2} \gtrsim |z'| + |\Im z_1|^{1/2}$$

(see [7, Proposition 3.1]). This gives (15) immediately, and (14) follows because

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}} \overline{\varpi}(z,w)^{-\alpha} \, d\mu(z) < \infty$$

for $\alpha < 2n - 2$.

As for (13), the case $r \ge 1$ is trivial because S is compact, so we may assume that $r \le 1$. Since $\beta > Q - \alpha = (2n - 2 - \alpha) + 2$ and $2n - 2 - \alpha > 0$, we can decompose $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ so that $\beta_1 > 2n - 2 - \alpha$ and $\beta_2 > 2$, hence

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{S}} (1+\varrho(z,w)/r)^{-\beta} (1+\varpi(z,w)/r)^{-\alpha} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{S}} (1+(|z'|+|\Im z_1|^{1/2})/r)^{-\beta} (1+|z'|/r)^{-\alpha} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{S}} (1+|\Im z_1|^{1/2}/r)^{-\beta_2} (1+|z'|/r)^{-\alpha-\beta_1} d\mu(z) \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|u|^{1/2}/r)^{-\beta_2} du \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}} (1+|v|/r)^{-\alpha-\beta_1} dv \\ &= C_{\alpha,\beta} r^{\mathcal{Q}}, \end{split}$$

and we are done.

Let us introduce the following bi-weighted mixed Lebesgue norms defined on Borel functions $K : \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}$: for all $p \in [1, \infty], r \in (0, \infty)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||K||_{p,\beta,\alpha,r} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y} V(r)^{1/p'} ||K(\cdot, y) (1 + \varrho(\cdot, y)/r)^{\beta} (1 + \varpi(\cdot, y)/r)^{\alpha} ||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{S})}.$$

As in [7, §2] (see also [10,19]), for all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in [0, 1], let the norm $||F||_{N,2}$ be defined by

$$\|F\|_{N,2} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup_{\lambda \in \left[\frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i}{N}\right]} |F(\lambda)|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Proposition 4.2 For all $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$, all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, all t > 0, and all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ vanishing off (0, N),

$$\|K_{F(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})}\|_{2,0,\alpha,N^{-1}} \le C_{\alpha} \|F(N \cdot)\|_{N,2},$$
(16)

$$\|K_{F(\sqrt{\Box}_{h})(1-A_{t})}\|_{2,0,\alpha,N^{-1}} \le C_{\alpha} \|F(N\cdot)\|_{N,2} \min\{1, (Nt)^{2}\}.$$
(17)

Proof We prove only (17), the other estimate being similar and easier. By Proposition 2.1 and [7, Lemma 4.3], $K_{F(\sqrt{\Box}_b)(1-A_t)}$ is a "kernel polynomial" in the sense of [7, §5], which satisfies the assumptions of [7, Proposition 5.3]. Hence, for all $\theta \in [0, 1)$,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{S}} |K_{F(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}(x,y)|^{2} \,\varpi(x,y)^{\theta} \,d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C_{\theta} \, N^{Q-1-\theta} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \max\{|F(\sqrt{\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_{b}}})(1-\exp(-t^{2}\lambda_{pq}^{L}))|^{2} : (j-1)^{2} \leq \lambda_{pq}^{\Box_{b}} \leq j^{2}\} \\ &\leq C_{\theta} \, N^{Q-1-\theta}(1-e^{-(n+1)t^{2}N^{2}}) \, \sum_{j=2}^{N} \max\{|F(\sqrt{\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_{b}}})|^{2} : (j-1)^{2} \leq \lambda_{pq}^{\Box_{b}} \leq j^{2}\}, \end{split}$$

Deringer

where we have used (10). As in [7, proof of Theorem 1.1], we then deduce that, for all $\theta \in [0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} |K_{F(\sqrt{\square}_b)(1-A_t)}(x, y)|^2 \, \overline{\varpi} \, (x, y)^\theta \, d\mu(x)$$
$$\leq C_\theta \, N^{Q-\theta} \, \|F(N \cdot)\|_{N,2}^2 \, \max\{1, (Nt)^2\}^2$$

Since $V(N^{-1}) \sim N^{-Q}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, the conclusion follows by combining the two instances of the above estimate corresponding to $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 2\alpha$.

Fix a nonnegative function $\xi \in C_c^{\infty}((-1/16, 1/16))$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(t) dt = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \xi(t) dt = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, 2Q.$$
(18)

As in [10,19], we first deal with the operator $(F * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})$ corresponding to a smoothened version of the multiplier *F*.

Proposition 4.3 The following estimates hold.

(i) For all $\beta \ge 0$, all $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$, all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, all $\epsilon, t > 0$, and all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [N/4, 3N/4]$,

$$|||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}|||_{2,\beta,\alpha,N^{-1}} \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon} ||F(N\cdot)||_{L^{2}_{\beta+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1, (Nt)^{2}\}.$$

(ii) For all $\beta \ge 0$, all $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, all $\epsilon, r, t > 0$, and all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [N/4, 3N/4]$,

$$ess \sup_{y} \int_{\varrho(x,y) \ge r} |K_{(F * \xi)(\sqrt{\square}_b)(1-A_t)}(x,y)| d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq C_{\beta,\epsilon} \|F(N \cdot)\|_{L^2_{\beta+d/2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1, (Nt)^2\}(1+Nr)^{-\beta}$$

(iii) For all $\epsilon > 0$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [1/2, \infty)$,

$$\|(F * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C_\epsilon \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon,\text{sloc}}}$$

Proof (i) By Corollary 3.3(i) and Young's inequality,

$$|||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_b)(1-A_t)}|||_{2,\beta,N^{-1}} \le C_{\beta,\epsilon} ||F(N\cdot)||_{L^{\infty}_{\beta+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1, (Nt)^2\}.$$

for all $\beta \ge 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\epsilon, t > 0$, and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [N/8, 7N/8]$; in particular, by Sobolev embedding and the inequality (15),

$$|||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}|||_{2,\beta,\alpha,N^{-1}} \leq C_{\beta,\alpha,\epsilon} ||F(N\cdot)||_{L^{2}_{\beta+\alpha+1/2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \min\{1, (Nt)^{2}\}.$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \epsilon, t > 0$, and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [N/8, 7N/8]$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2 and [19, eq. (4.9)],

$$|||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_b)(1-A_t)}|||_{2,0,\alpha,N^{-1}} \le C_{\alpha}||F(N\cdot)||_2 \min\{1, (Nt)^2\}$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, t > 0, and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [N/8, 7N/8]$. Interpolation of the last two inequalities (cf., for instance, [52, proof of Lemma 1.2] or [51, proof of Proposition 13]) gives the conclusion.

(ii) Note that

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{ess\,sup}_{y} \int_{\varrho(x,y) \ge r} |K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}(x,y)| \, d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \|K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_{b})(1-A_{t})}\|_{1,\beta,0,N^{-1}}(1+Nr)^{-\beta}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, for all nonnegative $\alpha \in (1/2 - \epsilon/2, 1/2)$, since $d/2 + \epsilon/2 + \alpha > d/2 + 1/2 = Q/2$, Hölder's inequality and (13) give that

$$|||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_b)(1-A_t)}|||_{1,\beta,0,N^{-1}} \le C_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon} |||K_{(F*\xi)(\sqrt{\Box}_b)(1-A_t)}|||_{2,\beta+d/2+\epsilon/2,\alpha,N^{-1}}.$$

The conclusion follows by (i).

(iii) This follows from (ii) in the same way as Corollary 3.3(iii) follows from Corollary 3.3(ii).

It remains to deal with $(F - F * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})$; however this part satisfies even better estimates.

Proposition 4.4 *For all* $\epsilon > 0$ *and* $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ *with* supp $F \subseteq [1/2, \infty)$ *,*

$$\|(F - F * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^1} \le C_{\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon \text{ sloc}}}.$$

Proof We follow the argument in [10, proof of Theorem 3.6] (cf. also [19, proof of Theorem 3.2]).

Set $\epsilon' = \min\{1/4, \epsilon\}$ and choose $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$ such that $d/2 + \epsilon' + \alpha > Q/2$. Choose $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \infty))$ such that $\sup p \eta \subseteq [1/4, 3/4]$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_k = 1$ on $(0, \infty)$, where $\eta_k = \eta(2^{-k})$. In particular, if $F_k = \eta_k F$, then $F = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k$. Set $\xi_k = 2^k \xi(2^k)$. By (33), Hölder's inequality, (14), (12), the "Plancherel estimate" (16), and [19, Proposition 4.6] (which applies because of (18)), for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} \|(F_k - F_k * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^1} \\ &= \|(F_k - F_k * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{1,0,0,2^{-k}} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon} \ 2^{-k\alpha} \ V(2^{-k})^{-1/2} \|(F_k - F_k * \xi)(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{2,0,\alpha,2^{-k}} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon} \ 2^{k(Q/2-\alpha)} \|F_k(2^k \cdot) - F_k(2^k \cdot) * \xi_k\|_{2^k,2} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon} \ 2^{k(Q/2-\alpha-d/2-\epsilon')} \|F_k(2^k \cdot)\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon'}} \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon} \ 2^{k(Q/2-\alpha-d/2-\epsilon')} \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon,sloc}}. \end{split}$$

The conclusion follows by summing over $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By combining Propositions 4.3(iii) and 4.4,

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box}_b)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon,\text{slow}}}$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq [1/2, \infty)$. On the other hand, since the eigenvalues $\lambda_{pq}^{\Box_b}$ of \Box_b are nonnegative integers by (8) and $\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(\Box_b)$ is of weak type (1, 1) (see Corollary 3.3(iii)),

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} = \|F(0) \,\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(\Box_b)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \lesssim |F(0)|$$

for all $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ with supp $F \subseteq (-\infty, 1)$. Hence a partition of unity argument yields

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C_{\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon,\text{sloc}}}.$$

Deringer

Interpolation of this bound with the trivial estimate

$$\|F(\sqrt{\Box_b})\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le \|F\|_{\infty} \le C_{\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^2_{d/2+\epsilon} \operatorname{sloc}}$$

gives L^p -boundedness of $F(\sqrt{\square_b})$ for $p \in (1, 2)$; the case $p \in (2, \infty)$ follows by applying this result to \overline{F} in place of F.

5 Transplantation to the Heisenberg group and sharpness

In this section we prove Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 via transplantation. Our approach is an extension of the technique of [42], which is based on perturbation theory for selfadjoint operators. In [42] scale-invariant L^p -bounds for the functional calculus of a selfadjoint differential operator D on a d-manifold M are transplanted to analogous bounds for the homogeneous constant-coefficient differential operator D_0 on \mathbb{R}^d corresponding to the principal symbol of D (with respect to a choice of coordinates) at an arbitrary point of M.

The results of [42] do not apply to our situation, because the Kohn Laplacian on the Heisenberg group (that would play the role of D_0 above) is not a constant-coefficient operator. Indeed the method of "freezing the coefficients" is not appropriate for the analysis of operators such as the Kohn Laplacian on a CR manifold (see, e.g., [3,28,59]). For this reason we introduce in Definition 5.1 below a generalization of this method, based on a system of (possibly nonisotropic) dilations. Correspondingly, we prove a general transplantation result (Theorem 5.2) for an arbitrary self-adjoint differential operator acting on sections of a vector bundle over a smooth manifold. Finally we apply the general result to the Kohn Laplacian.

Manifolds are assumed to be smooth and second-countable. Vector bundles over manifolds are assumed to be smooth as well. By a hermitian vector bundle we mean a complex vector bundle with a (smooth) hermitian metric. A smooth measure on a manifold is a positive Borel measure whose density with respect to the Lebesgue measure in all coordinate charts is smooth and nowhere vanishing.

If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over M and $U \subseteq M$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}|_U$ the vector bundle over U obtained by restriction. By \mathcal{T}_d^k we denote the trivial bundle over \mathbb{R}^d with fibre \mathbb{C}^k , equipped with the standard hermitian metric. Sections of \mathcal{T}_d^k will be identified with \mathbb{C}^k -valued functions.

with the standard hermitian metric. Sections of \mathcal{T}_d^k will be identified with \mathbb{C}^k -valued functions. By a system of dilations $(\delta_R)_{R>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d we mean a family of linear automorphisms of \mathbb{R}^d of the form $\delta_R = \exp((\log R)A)$ for some positive self-adjoint linear endomorphism A of \mathbb{R}^d ; note that det $\delta_R = R^Q$, where $Q = \operatorname{tr} A$ is the "homogeneous dimension" associated with the system of dilations.

Definition 5.1 Let *M* be a *d*-manifold, equipped with a smooth measure μ . Let \mathcal{E} be a hermitian vector bundle of rank *k* over *M*. Let $D : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E})$ be a differential operator. We say that a differential operator $D_0 : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ is a *local model* for *D* at the point $x \in M$ of order $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to a system of dilations $(\delta_R)_{R>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d if there exist a coordinate chart $\phi : U \to V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of *M* centred at *x* and an orthonormal frame $X = (X_l)_{l=1}^k$ for $\mathcal{E}|_U$ such that, if we define

(a) $\Psi: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}|_U) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{I}_d^k|_V)$ by

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} f_l X_l\right) = \left((f_l \circ \phi^{-1}) a^{1/2}\right)_{l=1}^k,\tag{19}$$

where $a \in C^{\infty}(V)$ is the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure of the pushforward of μ via ϕ , and (b) $D_R : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_R^{-1}(V)}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_R^{-1}(V)})$, for all R > 0, as the differential operator given by

$$D_R f = R^{\gamma} (\Psi D \Psi^{-1} (f \circ \delta_R^{-1})) \circ \delta_R,$$

then, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$,

$$\lim_{R \downarrow 0} D_R f = D_0 f \quad \text{in } L^2.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Note that the domains $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_R^{-1}(V)})$ and $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ of the differential operators D_R and D_0 may be different. However, if we identify $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_R^{-1}(V)})$ with a subspace of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$, then, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$, we have that supp $f \subseteq \delta_R^{-1}(V)$ for all sufficiently small R > 0, so $D_R f$ is well defined as an element of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ for such small R and the condition (20) makes sense.

Similar considerations show that the coordinate chart and the local orthonormal frame in Definition 5.1 could be replaced with their restrictions to any smaller open neighbourhood of x, without changing the limit operator D_0 in (20). Hence two differential operators with same germ at x have the same local model.

The definition (19) of the map Ψ involves the density a of the measure on M, in such a way that $\Psi|_{C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}|_U)}$ extends to an isometry $L^2(\mathcal{E}|_U) \to L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_V)$. This corresponds to the fact that our functional calculi, based on the spectral theorem, are initially defined on L^2 and are equivariant with respect to L^2 -isometries. Similarly, we require L^2 -convergence in (20). However, in applications (cf. proof of Proposition 5.4), it may happen that the convergence in (20) holds in a stronger sense and that the limit operator D_0 is independent of the positive smooth function a in (19).

The system of dilations $(\delta_R)_{R>0}$ is crucial in determining the local model. If we take isotropic dilations $\delta_R(v) = Rv$ and let γ be the order of D as a differential operator, then the local model D_0 is nothing else than the principal part of the constant-coefficient differential operator obtained by freezing the coefficients of D at x in the chosen coordinates. Hence Theorem 5.2 extends some results of [42]. On the other hand, our applications involve nonisotropic dilations.

In what follows, we denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ the space of complex-valued continuous functions on \mathbb{R} vanishing at infinity. Strong convergence of operators is always understood in the sense of the strong L^2 operator topology.

Theorem 5.2 Let M be a d-manifold, equipped with a smooth measure. Let \mathcal{E} be a hermitian vector bundle of rank k over M. Let $D : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E})$ be a formally self-adjoint differential operator. Suppose that D has a local model $D_0 : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ at some point $x \in M$ of order $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to some dilations $(\delta_R)_{R>0}$ on \mathbb{R}^d . Assume that D_0 is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ and denote its unique self-adjoint extension by D_0 as well. Let \tilde{D} be any self-adjoint extension of D. Then, for all $F \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and all neighbourhoods $U \subseteq M$ of x,

$$\|F(D_0)\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}^k_d) \to L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{T}^k_d)} \le \liminf_{r \downarrow 0} \|P_U F(r^{\gamma} \tilde{D}) P_U\|_{L^1(\mathcal{E}) \to L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{E})}$$
(21)

and, for all $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\|F(D_0)\|_{L^p(\mathcal{T}^k_d)\to L^p(\mathcal{T}^k_d)} \le \liminf_{r\downarrow 0} \|P_UF(r^\gamma \tilde{D})P_U\|_{L^p(\mathcal{E})\to L^p(\mathcal{E})},$$
(22)

where P_U is the operator of multiplication by $\mathbb{1}_U$.

Proof The right-hand sides of (21) and (22) do not increase if we replace U by a smaller neighbourhood of x. Hence we may assume that U is open and is the domain of a coordinate chart $\phi : U \to V$ centred at x and of an orthonormal frame X for $\mathcal{E}|_U$ such that the conditions of Definition 5.1 are satisfied. We may also assume that the topological boundary ∂U has zero measure in M and that V is bounded in \mathbb{R}^d . Let the map $\Psi : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}|_U) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_V)$ and the differential operators D_R (R > 0) be as in Definition 5.1.

Take an open set $U_* \supseteq U$ of full measure in M and such that ϕ and X can be extended to a coordinate chart $\phi_* : U_* \to V_* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and an orthonormal frame X_* for $\mathcal{E}|_{U_*}$. To construct such a set U_* , take a countable open cover $\{U_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of M such that $U_0 = U$, each U_n carries local coordinates for M and a local frame for \mathcal{E} , and each ∂U_n has zero measure in M, and then define $U_* = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (U_n \setminus \bigcup_{m \le n} U_m)$.

We can then define $\Psi_* : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}|_{U_*}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{V_*})$ in the same way as Ψ in (19), with ϕ_* and X_* in place of ϕ and X. In particular $\Psi_*|_{C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}|_{U_*})}$ extends to an isometry $\tilde{\Psi} : L^2(\mathcal{E}) \to L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{V_*})$.

Correspondingly we extend the differential operators D_R to (densely defined) self-adjoint operators \tilde{D}_R on $L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{D}_R f = R^{\gamma} (\tilde{D}_1 (f \circ \delta_R^{-1})) \circ \delta_R,$$

where \tilde{D}_1 is the self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k) = L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{V_*}) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus V_*})$ given by

$$\tilde{D}_1 = \left(\frac{\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{D}\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}|0}{0|0}\right)$$

In particular $\tilde{D}_R f = D_R f$ for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_n^{-1}(V)})$, and

$$\lim_{R\downarrow 0} \tilde{D}_R f = D_0 f$$

in L^2 for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$.

Since D_0 is essentially self-adjoint on $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$, by [41, §VIII.1.1, Corollary 1.6] we conclude that \tilde{D}_R converges strongly in the generalized sense to D_0 as $R \downarrow 0$ (as defined in [41, §VIII.1.1, p. 429]). Consequently, by [41, §VIII.1.1, Corollary 1.4], the resolvents $(\tilde{D}_R - \zeta)^{-1}$ converge strongly to $(D_0 - \zeta)^{-1}$ as $R \downarrow 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. An application of the Stone–Weierstraß theorem to the class of functions $F \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$F(\tilde{D}_R) \to F(D_0) \text{ and } \overline{F}(\tilde{D}_R) \to \overline{F}(D_0) \text{ strongly as } R \downarrow 0$$
 (23)

shows that (23) holds for all $F \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, by construction,

$$F(\tilde{D}_1)f = \tilde{\Psi}F(\tilde{D})\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}f$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_V)$, hence

$$F(\tilde{D}_R)f = (\tilde{\Psi}F(R^{\gamma}\tilde{D})\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(f\circ\delta_R^{-1}))\circ\delta_R$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k|_{\delta_R^{-1}(V)})$.

We now prove (21). Since $F(D_0)$ is L^2 -bounded, for all $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ and $\alpha > 0$, if $f_n \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$ and $f_n \to f$ in $L^1 \cap L^2$, then, by Markov's inequality,

$$\mu_0\Big(\Big\{|F(D_0)f| > \alpha\Big\}\Big) \le \sup_{r>0} \inf_{\epsilon>0} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mu_0\Big(B_r \cap \Big\{|F(D_0)f_n| > \alpha/(1+\epsilon)\Big\}\Big)$$

where μ_0 is the Lebesgue measure and B_r is the closed ball of radius r in \mathbb{R}^d centred at the origin. Hence it is sufficient to prove that, for all compact sets $K \subseteq H$, all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_d^k)$, and all $\alpha > 0$,

$$\mu_0\Big(K \cap \Big\{|F(D_0)f| > \alpha\Big\}\Big) \le \kappa_F \|f\|_1/\alpha$$

where $\kappa_F = \liminf_{R \downarrow 0} \kappa_{F,R}$ and $\kappa_{F,R} = \|P_U F(R^{\gamma} \tilde{D}) P_U\|_{L^1(\mathcal{E}) \to L^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{E})}$. On the other hand, by (23) and Markov's inequality,

$$\mu_0\Big(K \cap \Big\{|F(D_0)f| > \alpha\Big\}\Big) \le \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \liminf_{R \downarrow 0} \mu_0\Big(K \cap \Big\{|F(\tilde{D}_R)f| > \alpha/(1+\epsilon)\Big\}\Big)$$

Let *R* be sufficiently small that $\tilde{K} := \text{supp } f \cup K \subseteq \delta_R^{-1}(V)$. Let μ be the measure on *M*. Let $a \in C^{\infty}(V)$ be as in Definition 5.1, and set $A_R = \max_{\delta_R(\tilde{K})} a^{1/2}$ and $B_R = \max_{\delta_R(\tilde{K})} a^{-1/2}$. Let *Q* be the homogeneous dimension associated with the dilations δ_R . Then

$$\begin{split} &\mu_0 \left(K \cap \left\{ |F(\tilde{D}_R)f| > \alpha \right\} \right) \\ &= R^{-Q} \mu_0 \left(\delta_R(K) \cap \left\{ |\tilde{\Psi}F(R^{\gamma}\tilde{D})\tilde{\Psi}^{-1}(f \circ \delta_R^{-1})| > \alpha \right\} \right) \\ &\leq B_R^2 R^{-Q} \mu \left(U \cap \left\{ |F(R^{\gamma}\tilde{D})\Psi^{-1}(f \circ \delta_R^{-1})| > \alpha/A_R \right\} \right) \\ &\leq B_R^2 A_R R^{-Q} \kappa_{F,R} \|\Psi^{-1}(f \circ \delta_R^{-1})\|_{L^1(\mathcal{E})} / \alpha \\ &\leq B_R^2 A_R^2 R^{-Q} \kappa_{F,R} \|f \circ \delta_R^{-1}\|_{L^1(\mathcal{I}_d^k)} / \alpha \\ &= B_R^2 A_R^2 \kappa_{F,R} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathcal{I}_d^k)} / \alpha \end{split}$$

and in particular, since $\lim_{R\downarrow 0} A_R B_R = 1$,

$$\liminf_{R\downarrow 0} \mu_0\left(K \cap \left\{ |F(\tilde{D}_R)f| > \alpha \right\} \right) \le \kappa_F \, \|f\|_{L^1(\mathcal{T}_d^k)} / \alpha.$$

The proof of (22) is similar and follows the lines of the proof of [42, Theorem 2], keeping track of the constants.

Corollary 5.3 With the notation and hypotheses of Theorem 5.2, assume moreover that \hat{D} is nonnegative. Then D_0 is nonnegative and

$$\zeta(D_0) \leq \zeta(D)$$
 and $\zeta_-(D_0) \leq \zeta_-(D)$.

Proof We may assume that $\tilde{D} \neq 0$, otherwise $D_0 = 0$ by (20) and the result is trivial. Let Σ be the L^2 -spectrum of \tilde{D} . Then, from the definition of ς and the fact that $||F(\tilde{D})||_{L^2 \to L^2} = \sup_{\lambda \in \Sigma} |F(\lambda)|$ for all continuous F, it follows easily that $\varsigma(\tilde{D}) \ge 1/2$ (see, e.g., [62, §2.6.2, proof of Theorem 1] or [47, Proposition 2.3.15]).

Take $s > \zeta(\tilde{D})$ and let $\kappa_s \in (0, \infty)$ be such that

$$\|F(D)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \|F(D)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le \kappa_s \, \|F\|_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}}.$$
(24)

for all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$. We show now that a similar inequality holds with D_0 in place of \tilde{D} .

Take any F such that $||F||_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}} < \infty$. Since s > 1/2, by Sobolev embedding F is continuous and bounded on $(0, \infty)$. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.3(iii), it is not

restrictive to assume that F(0) = 0. Let $\eta_n \in C_c^{\infty}((0, \infty))$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be as in the proof of Proposition 4.4 and define $F_N = \sum_{|n| \le N} F \eta_n$. Theorem 5.2 and (24) then give that

$$\|F_N(D_0)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \|F_N(D_0)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le \kappa_s \|F_N\|_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}} \le C_s \kappa_s \|F\|_{L^2_{s,\text{sloc}}}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $F_N(D_0) \to F(D_0)$ strongly as $N \to \infty$. Consequently

$$\|F(D_0)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} + \|F(D_0)\|_{L^1 \to L^{1,\infty}} \le C_s \,\kappa_s \,\|F\|_{L^2_{s \,\text{sloc}}}$$

This proves that $\zeta(\tilde{D}) \ge \zeta(D_0)$. The other inequality is proved analogously.

We now apply these results to the Kohn Laplacian. To this purpose we exploit the analysis of [3].

Proposition 5.4 Let M be a CR manifold of hypersurface type and dimension 2n - 1, equipped with a compatible hermitian metric. Let \Box_b be the Kohn Laplacian acting on section of the bundle $\Lambda^{0,j}M$ of (0, j)-forms, where $j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n-1\} : |J| = j\}$ and let $\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}}$ be the trivial bundle over \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} with fibre $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{J}}$. Let $y \in M$ and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be the eigenvalues of the Levi form of M at p. Define vector fields on \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} by

$$U_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}, \quad U_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} + 2\lambda_k \, u_{n-1+k} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}, \quad U_{n-1+k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1+k}} - 2\lambda_k \, u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}$$

for k = 1, ..., n - 1. Let $\Box_b^y : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{J}})$ be the differential operator defined by

$$\Box_b^{\mathcal{Y}}(f_J)_{J\in\mathcal{J}} = (\Box_b^{\mathcal{Y},J}f_J)_{J\in\mathcal{J}},\tag{25}$$

where

$$-4 \Box_b^{y,J} = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} U_k^2 + 4i \left(\sum_{k \in J} \lambda_k - \sum_{k \notin J} \lambda_k \right) U_0.$$
(26)

Then \Box_b^y *is a local model for* \Box_b *at p of order* 2 *with respect to the dilations*

$$\delta_R(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2n-2}) = (R^2 u_0, R u_1, \dots, R u_{2n-2})$$

on \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} .

Proof This follows from the results of [3, Chapter 4]. Indeed, if the complex vector fields Z_k and Z_k^y are defined as in [3, eqs. (21.1) and (21.5)], and *a* is any smooth function on the domain of the Z_k , then it is easily seen that, for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$,

$$R(Z_k(f \circ \delta_R^{-1})) \circ \delta_R \to Z_k^y f$$
$$(a(f \circ \delta_R^{-1})) \circ \delta_R \to a(0) f$$

as $R \downarrow 0$, in the LF-space topology of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$. Consequently, by composition, for all nowhere zero smooth functions *a* and for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$,

$$R^{2}(a^{-1}Z_{k}\overline{Z}_{l}((af)\circ\delta_{R}^{-1})\circ\delta_{R}\to Z_{k}^{y}\overline{Z}_{l}^{y}f$$

as $R \downarrow 0$, in the topology of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$, and also in L^2 . From this it is not difficult to see that the operator \Box_b^y given by [3, eq. (21.10)] is a local model, in the sense of our Definition 5.1, of the operator \Box_b given by [3, eq. (20.43)]. In order to conclude, it is sufficient to observe that [3, eq. (22.1)], which corresponds to (25) and (26) above, is obtained from [3, eq. (21.10)]

Springer

by means of the coordinate changes [3, eqs. (21.14) and (21.21)], which commute with the dilations δ_R .

Note that the vector fields $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{2n-2}$ of Proposition 5.4 are left-invariant with respect to the nilpotent Lie group law on \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} defined by

$$(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2n-2}) \cdot (u'_0, u'_1, \dots, u'_{2n-2}) = \left(u_0 + u'_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k (u'_k u_{n-1+k} - u_k u'_{n-1+k}), u_1 + u'_1, \dots, u_{2n-2} + u'_{2n-2} \right)$$
(27)

(cf. [3, Definition (1.14)]). Hence the operators $\Box_b^{y,J}$ and \Box_b^y are essentially self-adjoint (see, e.g., [56] or [48, Proposition 3.2]).

It is now immediate that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 may be transplanted to the Heisenberg group.

Proof of Corollary 1.3 The sphere S is a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold with a Levi metric [59, Definition 1.5], so the eigenvalues of the Levi form at each point are all equal to 1. Hence, if we apply Proposition 5.4 to the Kohn Laplacian \Box_b^S on the sphere, we obtain as local model (at any point) an operator that corresponds, in suitable coordinates and trivializations, to the Kohn Laplacian \Box_b^H on the Heisenberg group with the standard strictly pseudoconvex structure (see [28, §§4–5]). Consequently $\varsigma(\Box_b^H) \leq \varsigma(\Box_b^S)$ by Corollary 5.3.

As for Theorem 1.4, the following variation of a result of [50] will be of use.

Proposition 5.5 Let G be a 2-step stratified group of topological dimension d, \mathfrak{L} a homogeneous sublaplacian thereon and V a left-invariant vector field in the second layer of G, such that $\mathfrak{L} \ge iV$. Then

$$\varsigma_{-}(\mathfrak{L}-iV) \ge d/2.$$

Proof The proof follows the lines of the argument in [50, Section 2], where the case V = 0 is treated. Here we just list the main steps and modifications needed.

As in [50, Section 2], let Q be the homogeneous dimension of G, $\mathfrak{g}_2 \cong \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ be the second layer of the Lie algebra of G and \mathbf{U} be the corresponding vector of central derivatives. Then $-iV = \beta \cdot \mathbf{U}$ for some $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_2$. Similarly as in [50, eq. (10)], define $\Omega_{\beta,t}^{\chi,\theta}$ as the convolution kernel of $m_t^{\chi}(\mathfrak{L} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{U}) \theta(t\mathbf{U})$.

By arguing as in [50, Proposition 5], one obtains an expression for $\Omega_{\beta,t}^{\chi,\theta}$ analogous to the right-hand side of [50, eq. (11)], where I^{θ} is replaced by

$$I_{\beta}^{\theta}(t, s, r, y, v) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{*}} \exp(it\Phi(y, v, \mu) - is\Sigma(y, v) - irR(s, r, y, \mu))$$

$$\times \exp(i(1 - sr)\langle\mu, \beta\rangle) B(sr, \mu) \theta(\mu) d\mu.$$

Hence the argument of [50, Proposition 7] gives that

$$t^{Q-d/2} \, \Omega_{\beta,t}^{\chi,\theta}(2ty,t^2v) = e^{i\pi d_1/4} e^{it\Psi_{\beta}(y,v)} A^{\chi,\theta}(y,v) + O(t^{-1}),$$

where $\Psi_{\beta}(y, v) = \Psi(y, v) + \beta \cdot \mu^{c}(y, v)$.

One can then repeat the proof of [50, Theorem 8] to obtain that

$$\|m_t^{\chi}(\mathfrak{L} + \beta \cdot \mathbf{U})\|_{p \to p} \ge C_{p,\chi,\beta} t^{d(1/p - 1/2)}, \quad p \in [1, 2], t \ge 1.$$

Comparison of this estimate with [50, eq. (9)] gives the conclusion.

D Springer

Proof of Theorem 1.4 As in Proposition 5.4, let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be the eigenvalues of the Levi form at a point $y \in M$. Since M is not Levi-flat, we can choose $y \in M$ so that not all λ_j are zero. Hence the group law (27) defines a 2-step stratified structure on \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} , for which $\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} U_k^2$ is a homogeneous sublaplacian and U_0 is a vector field in the second layer. Consequently, by Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.5,

$$\varsigma_{-}(\Box_b) \ge \varsigma_{-}(\Box_b^y) = \max_{J \in \mathcal{J}} \varsigma_{-}(\Box_b^{y,J}) \ge (2n-1)/2$$

and we are done.

6 An abstract multivariable multiplier theorem

In this section we prove a multiplier theorem for commuting operators in the setting of a doubling metric measure space X. More precisely, we will consider a system of strongly commuting, possibly unbounded self-adjoint operators U_1, \ldots, U_n on $L^2(X)$. Here "strongly commuting" means that such operators have a joint spectral resolution E on \mathbb{R}^n , so

$$U_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_j \, dE(\lambda)$$

for j = 1, ..., n, and a joint functional calculus is defined by

$$F(U_1,\ldots,U_n)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}F(\lambda)\,dE(\lambda)$$

for all Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$. In the following we aim at giving a sufficient condition for the weak type (1, 1) and L^p -boundedness of an operator $F(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ in terms of a smoothness condition of Mihlin–Hörmander type on the multiplier F:

$$\sup_{r\geq 0} \|(F\circ\epsilon_r)\chi\|_{L^{\infty}_{s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

for some $s \ge 0$ sufficiently large. Here $(\epsilon_r)_{r>0}$ is a system of dilations on \mathbb{R}^n , $\epsilon_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the constant function 0, and $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is a cutoff supported on an annulus.

A model result for us is the classical Mihlin–Hörmander theorem for Fourier multipliers on \mathbb{R}^n , thought of as a multiplier theorem for the joint functional calculus of the partial differential operators $U_j = -i\partial_j$ on \mathbb{R}^n . In this case isotropic dilations $\epsilon_r(\lambda) = r\lambda$ are considered and a condition (28) of order s > n/2 is required.

In the general setting described above, clearly some assumptions on the operators U_1, \ldots, U_n are necessary, other than self-adjointness and strong commutativity, in order to prove L^p -bounds for $p \neq 2$. This problem has been studied extensively in the case n = 1, especially for a single nonnegative self-adjoint operator $\mathfrak{L} = U_1$; in this case the choice of dilations ϵ_r is irrelevant and (28) reduces to (2). Several assumptions on \mathfrak{L} have been considered in the literature (see, e.g., [4, 10, 19, 20, 33, 45, 46] and references therein), which usually involve estimates for the heat propagator $e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}$ or the wave propagator $\cos(t\sqrt{\mathfrak{L}})$ associated with \mathfrak{L} . When bounds on the heat propagator (such as Gaussian-type bounds) are assumed, a classical proof strategy (which appears to originate in the study of group-invariant differential operators on Lie groups, see [1,9,12,13,29,37,38,52] and references therein) consists in a "change of variable", i.e., writing $F(\mathfrak{L}) = G(e^{-t\mathfrak{L}})$ for $G(s) = F(-t^{-1}\log s)$, and then using the particularly favourable bounds on the heat propagator $e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}$ for $G(s) = F(-t^{-1}\log s)$, and then using the particularly favourable bounds on the heat propagator of the appearator $e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}$ or $G(s) = F(-t^{-1}\log s)$, and then using the particularly favourable bounds on the heat propagator $e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}$ is obtain L^p -boundedness of $G(e^{-t\mathfrak{L}})$ whenever G is sufficiently smooth and supported away from 0;

since the "change of variables" is given by a smooth function, smoothness properties of G can be reduced to smoothness properties of F.

For a system U_1, \ldots, U_n of several commuting operators, there seems not to be an obvious standard generalization of heat propagator bounds. In [58] the case of direct products is considered, where $X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ and each U_i operates on a different factor X_i of the product; there a multiplier theorem for the system U_1, \ldots, U_n is proved by assuming bounds for each heat propagator e^{-tU_j} on X_j . However there are many systems of commuting operators that are not in "direct product form". Numerous examples come from the setting of Lie groups and homogeneous spaces, such as the systems of commuting differential operators associated to Gelfand pairs [25,31,35,65]. In these cases, one can usually find an operator $\mathfrak{L} = P(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ for some polynomial P such that good bounds hold for the heat propagator $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$, as well as for the "U_i-derivatives" $U_i e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ of the propagator. In [48,49] such systems of commuting group-invariant differential operators on Lie groups are studied and, in the case of homogeneous operators on a homogeneous Lie group, a multiplier theorem of Mihlin-Hörmander type is proved. The basic idea in the proof is again to use a change of variables such as $F(U_1, \ldots, U_n) = G(e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}, U_1e^{-t\mathfrak{L}}, \ldots, U_ne^{-t\mathfrak{L}})$ in order to reduce the functional calculus of the original (unbounded) operators to the functional calculus of operators satisfying good bounds.

What follows can be considered as an extension of the multiplier theorem of [49, §4] to the setting of abstract operators on a doubling metric measure space. To this purpose, here we take as an assumption the existence of a "change of variables" with suitable smoothness and invertibility properties and such that the operators resulting from this change satisfy suitably good bounds. In order for our result to encompass the various different cases mentioned above, we do not prescribe a specific form for this "change of variables", and we state fairly minimal hypotheses that are enough for the argument to work.

So far we have been vague on the "good bounds" to be required on the operators resulting from the "change of variables". In the case n = 1, a typical assumption is given by Gaussiantype heat kernel bounds, i.e., superexponential decay in space of the integral kernel of the heat propagator. However in [33] it is shown that polynomial decay of arbitrarily large order is sufficient. Following [33], an analogous polynomial decay assumption is stated in our result below. This assumption is sufficient to prove the weak type (1, 1) of $F(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ under a condition of the form (28) of order s > Q/2, where Q is the "homogeneous dimension" of X (see definitions below).

Note that in the smoothness condition (28) an L^{∞} Sobolev norm is used. It would be interesting to investigate whether sharper results involving other Sobolev norms are possible. In the case n = 1, this problem is extensively discussed in [19], where further assumptions on the operator, such as "Plancherel-type estimates", are used to replace L_s^{∞} with L_s^q for some *s*. A similar approach is used in [49] in the case of systems of group-invariant operators on Lie groups. For the sake of brevity, we will not pursue this here.

Let (X, ϱ, μ) be a doubling metric measure space of homogeneous dimension Q and displacement parameter N. In other words, (X, ϱ) is a metric space and μ is a positive regular Borel measure on X, such that all balls $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : \varrho(x, y) < r\}$ have nonzero finite measure $V(x, r) = \mu(B(x, r))$, and moreover there exist c', c'' > 0 such that, for all $x, y \in X, \lambda, r \in [0, \infty)$,

$$V(x,\lambda r) \le c'(1+\lambda)^Q V(x,r), \tag{29}$$

$$V(y,r) \le c''(1 + \rho(x,y)/r)^N V(x,r).$$
(30)

The constants Q, N, c', c'' contained in the inequalities (29), (30) will be referred to as the "structure constants" of the doubling space (X, ϱ, μ) .

A basic consequence of the doubling property (29) is the following integrability property: for all s > Q and $y \in X$,

$$\int_{X} (1 + \varrho(x, y)/r)^{-s} d\mu(x) \le C_s V(y, r).$$
(31)

In the following we will consider bounded linear operators *T* between Lebesgue spaces on *X* with an integral kernel, that is, a locally integrable function $K_T : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$Tf(x) = \int_{X} K_T(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$
(32)

for all $f \in C_c(X)$ and μ -almost all $x \in X$. Not all the operators of interest have an integral kernel, so we also consider a weaker notion: in case the function K_T is just locally integrable on $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \neq y\}$ and (32) holds for μ -a.e. $x \notin \text{supp } f$, then we say that K_T is the off-diagonal kernel of T.

In dealing with integral kernels, we will make repeated use of certain mixed weighted Lebesgue norms on functions $K : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$, defined as follows: for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $s \in [0, \infty), r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$||K||_{p,s,r} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{y} V(y,r)^{1/p'} ||K(\cdot, y) (1 + \varrho(\cdot, y)/r)^{s}||_{L^{p}(X)}$$

where p' = p/(p-1) is the conjugate exponent. We will also write $|||K|||_{p,s}$ in place of $|||K|||_{p,s,1}$. It is worth noting that, if the operator T has integral kernel K_T , then

$$||T||_{1 \to 1} = ||K_T||_{1,0,r}$$
(33)

for all $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Theorem 6.1 Let U_1, \ldots, U_n be strongly commuting, possibly unbounded self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X)$, with joint spectrum $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in (0, \infty)$ and define dilations ϵ_r on \mathbb{R}^n by $\epsilon_r(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = (r^{\gamma_1}\lambda_1, \ldots, r^{\gamma_n}\lambda_n)$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\Sigma_* = \bigcup_{r>0} \epsilon_r(\Sigma)$. Assume that, for some $m \ge n$, there exists a continuous map $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that:

- (A) $\Psi(0) \neq 0$ and there exist an open neighbourhood Ω of $\Psi(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^m and a smooth map $\Phi: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is the identity on $\Psi^{-1}(\Omega) \cap \Sigma_*$;
- (B) for all j = 1, ..., m and r > 0, the operator $\Psi_j \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, ..., U_n)$ has an integral kernel and

$$\sup_{r>0} ||| K \psi_{j} \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \dots, U_n) |||_{2,a,r} < \infty$$
(34)

for all $a \in [0, \infty)$ and j = 1, ..., m.

Then the following estimates hold.

(i) For all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$, the operator $F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ has an integral kernel for all $r \in (0, \infty)$ and

$$\sup_{r>0} |||K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)}||_{2,0,r} \le C ||F||_{\infty}.$$

(ii) For all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $b, s \in [0, \infty)$ with $s > b + Q(1/p - 1/2)_+ + N(1/2 - 1/p)_+$ and all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$,

$$\sup_{r>0} |||K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)}|||_{p,b,r} \le C_{p,s,b} ||F||_{L^{\infty}_s}.$$

(iii) For all $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ in the Schwartz class, for all $a \in [0, \infty)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\sup_{r>0} |\!|\!| K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,\ldots,U_n)} |\!|\!|_{p,a,r} < \infty$$

(iv) For all s > Q/2 and all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$,

$$\sup_{r>0} \|F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,\ldots,U_n)\|_{1\to 1} \leq C_s \|F\|_{L^\infty_s}.$$

- (v) Let *E* be the joint spectral resolution of U_1, \ldots, U_n . Then $E(\{0\})$ is bounded on $L^p(X)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. Moreover $E(\{0\}) = 0$ if $\mu(X) = \infty$.
- (vi) For all s > Q/2 and all bounded Borel functions $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, if

$$\sup_{r>0} \| (F \circ \epsilon_r) \chi \|_{L^{\infty}_s} < \infty$$

for some cutoff $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ with $\bigcup_{r>0} \epsilon_r(\{\chi \neq 0\}) = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, then the operator $F(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ is of weak type (1, 1) and bounded on $L^p(X)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, and moreover

$$\|F(U_1,\ldots,U_n)\|_{L^1\to L^{1,\infty}}\leq C_{\chi,s}\sup_{r\geq 0}\|(F\circ\epsilon_r)\chi\|_{L^\infty_s}.$$

Here are some examples of applications of the above theorem.

Example 6.2 Let $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with the Euclidean metric and Lebesgue measure, so Q = d. Then Theorem 6.1 can be applied with n = d, $\gamma_j = 1$ and $U_j = -i\partial_j$ for j = 1, ..., d, and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ given by

$$\Psi(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_d)=(e^{-(\lambda_1^2+\cdots+\lambda_d^2)},\lambda_1\,e^{-(\lambda_1^2+\cdots+\lambda_d^2)},\ldots,\lambda_d\,e^{-(\lambda_1^2+\cdots+\lambda_d^2)}).$$

Note that $F(-i\partial_1, \ldots, -i\partial_d)$ is the Fourier multiplier operator on \mathbb{R}^d corresponding to the multiplier *F*. Hence Theorem 6.1(vi) in this case essentially reduces to the classical Mihlin–Hörmander theorem, with a smoothness condition of order s > d/2 on the multiplier. It is known that the threshold d/2 is sharp in this case, so the condition s > Q/2 in Theorem 6.1(vi) cannot be weakened in general. By suitably adjusting the metric on \mathbb{R}^d [34], one could also take arbitrary $\gamma_j \in [1, \infty)$, thus obtaining nonisotropic versions of the Mihlin–Hörmander theorem on \mathbb{R}^d (cf. [23,44]).

Example 6.3 Suppose that *L* is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$ satisfying, for some $h \in (0, \infty)$, the following heat kernel estimate: for all $a \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{t>0} ||K_{e^{-tL}}||_{2,a,t^{1/h}} < \infty.$$
(35)

Then Theorem 6.1 can be applied with n = 1, $U_1 = L$, $\gamma_1 = h$ and $\Psi(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$. In the case h > 1, the estimate (35) can be obtained by Hölder's inequality from the following pointwise Gaussian-type heat kernel estimate: for all t > 0 and $x, y \in X$,

$$|K_{e^{-tL}}(x, y)| \le C \ V(y, t^{1/h})^{-1} \exp\left(-b\left(\varrho(x, y)/t^{1/h}\right)^{h/(h-1)}\right).$$
(36)

Description Springer

This is one of the usual assumptions in abstract spectral multiplier theorems for a single operator L (cf., e.g., [19, Assumption 2.2], where $h \ge 2$ is required).

Example 6.4 Let *L* and *h* be as in Example 6.3. Suppose moreover that *D* is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$, that commutes strongly with *L* and satisfies, for some $k \in (0, \infty)$, the following estimate: for all $a \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{k/h} ||| K_{De^{-tL}} |||_{2,a,t^{1/h}} < \infty.$$
(37)

Then Theorem 6.1 can be applied with n = 2, $(U_1, U_2) = (L, D)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (h, k)$ and $\Psi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (e^{-\lambda_1}, \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_1})$. As before, in the case h > 1, the estimate (37) can be obtained from the following Gaussian-type estimate for the "*D*-derivative" of the heat kernel of *L*: for all t > 0 and $x, y \in X$,

$$|K_{De^{-tL}}(x, y)| \le C t^{-k/h} V(y, t^{1/h})^{-1} \exp(-b(\varrho(x, y)/t^{1/h})^{h/(h-1)}).$$
(38)

See, e.g., [2,22,61,63] for examples of differential operators *L*, *D* satisfying (36) and (38); see also [47-49] for examples of commuting operators.

Example 6.5 Suppose that X is the product $X_1 \times X_2$ of two doubling metric measure spaces of homogeneous dimensions Q_1 and Q_2 , so $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$. For j = 1, 2 let L_j be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X_j)$ satisfying the analogue of (35): for some $h_j \in (0, \infty)$ and all $a \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{t>0} |||K_{e^{-tL_j}}|||_{2,a,t^{1/h_j}} < \infty.$$
(39)

Let $\tilde{L}_1 = L_1 \otimes I$ and $\tilde{L}_2 = I \otimes L_2$ be the corresponding operators on $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$. Then Theorem 6.1 can be applied with n = 2, $(U_1, U_2) = (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)$, $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (h_1, h_2)$, $\Psi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (e^{-2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, e^{-\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2})$, since

$$\begin{split} & K_{\psi_1 \circ \epsilon_r(\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)}(x, y) = K_{e^{-2r^{h_1}L_1}}(x_1, y_1) \, K_{e^{-r^{h_2}L_2}}(x_2, y_2), \\ & K_{\psi_2 \circ \epsilon_r(\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)}(x, y) = K_{e^{-r^{h_1}L_1}}(x_1, y_1) \, K_{e^{-2r^{h_2}L_2}}(x_2, y_2) \end{split}$$

and

$$(1 + \varrho(x, y)/r)^{a} \le (1 + \varrho_{1}(x_{1}, y_{1})/r)^{a}(1 + \varrho_{2}(x_{2}, y_{2})/r)^{a}.$$

This gives an alternative proof and a generalization of the main result of [58], where Gaussiantype estimates like (36) are required for each L_i , and only the case $h_1 = h_2$ is considered.

Remark 6.6 In the case the map Ψ in Theorem 6.1 is smooth, one could replace the assumption (A) with the following:

(A') $\Psi(0) \neq 0, d\Psi(0)$ is injective, $\Psi^{-1}(\Psi(0)) = \{0\}$ and $\Psi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is compact for some compact neighbourhood Γ of $\Psi(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^m .

Indeed, under the assumption (A'), the existence of the smooth local inverse Φ as in (A) can be obtained from the constant rank theorem. On the other hand, smoothness of Ψ is never used in the proof of Theorem 6.1. In fact, one could even weaken the smoothness assumption on the local inverse Φ of Ψ as follows:

(A") $\Psi(0) \neq 0$ and there exist an open neighbourhood Ω of $\Psi(0)$ in \mathbb{R}^m and a continuous map $\Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ which is smooth on $\Omega \setminus \{\Psi(0)\}$ and such that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is the identity on $\Psi^{-1}(\Omega)$.

However, under this weaker assumption, one would obtain weaker versions of items (ii), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 6.1, where *F* is constant in some neighbourhood of 0. A further generalization would be to consider several maps Ψ instead of a single one and assume that, for all $a \in [0, \infty)$, the kernel estimate (34) is satisfied by some Ψ that may depend on *a*. In the case n = 1, this idea has been exploited in the study of the L^p functional calculus for certain pseudodifferential operators *L*, where better and better estimates are available for the kernel of e^{-tL^M} as $M \in \mathbb{N}$ grows [21]. For the sake of brevity, we will not pursue this here.

As mentioned above, one of the main ideas in the proof of Theorem 6.1 is to use the map Ψ as a "change of variables", in order to replace the (possibly unbounded) operators U_1, \ldots, U_n with the bounded operators $\Psi_j \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. By means of this change of variables, the proof of Theorem 6.1 is essentially reduced to the following result, which is a multivariate extension of a result of [33].

For notational convenience, set

$$\exp_0(\lambda) = e^{\lambda} - 1. \tag{40}$$

Proposition 6.7 Let M_1, \ldots, M_m be pairwise commuting, self-adjoint bounded operators on $L^2(X)$, admitting integral kernels K_1, \ldots, K_m .

(i) Suppose that, for some $\kappa \ge 0$ and a > 0,

$$||K_{j}||_{2,0} \le \kappa, \qquad ||K_{j}||_{1,a} \le \kappa$$
(41)

for j = 1, ..., m. Then, for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, the operator

$$\exp_0(i(h_1M_1 + \dots + h_mM_m))$$

has an integral kernel E_h satisfying

$$|||E_h|||_{1,b} \leq C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_1^{\gamma_{a,b}}$$

for all $b \in [0, a)$. Here $|h|_1 = |h_1| + \cdots + |h_m|$ and the constants in the previous inequality depend only on the specified parameters and on the structure constants of (X, ϱ, μ) ; in particular

$$\gamma_{a,b} = 2^{\lfloor (b+Q/2)/(a-b) \rfloor} (1 + (b+Q/2)(1+1/(a-b))).$$
(42)

(ii) Suppose moreover that, for some $b \in [0, a)$,

$$|||K_j|||_{2,b} \leq \kappa$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Then, for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^m$,

$$||E_{h}||_{2,b} \le C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}+1}.$$
(43)

(iii) Under the previous assumptions, if $F \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for some

$$s > \gamma_{a,b} + 1 + m/2$$

and F(0) = 0, then the operator $F(M_1, \ldots, M_m)$ has an integral kernel satisfying

$$|||K_{F(M_1,...,M_m)}|||_{2,b} \le C_{m,\kappa,a,b,s}||F||_{L^2_s}$$

Remark 6.8 Proposition 6.7 is stated in terms of the norms $\| \cdot \| _{p,s}$, instead of the more general $\| \cdot \| _{p,s,r}$. However the norm $\| \cdot \| _{p,s,r}$ can be considered as the norm $\| \cdot \| _{p,s}$ defined in terms of the rescaled distance ρ/r . Moreover it is easily seen that the "rescaled space" $(X, \rho/r, \mu)$ satisfies the same estimates (29) and (30) as the original space (X, ρ, μ) , with the same structure constants. For this reason, it is not restrictive to omit the "scale parameter" r in Proposition 6.7 and other statements where just a single scale is used.

Before entering the proofs of the above statements, let us briefly recall a few basic facts about integral kernels. If an operator has integral kernel K, then its adjoint corresponds to the integral kernel K^* given by

$$K^*(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}.$$

Composition of operators corresponds (under suitable integrability conditions on the kernels) to the following "convolution" of integral kernels:

$$K_1 * K_2(x, y) = \int_X K_1(x, z) K_2(z, y) d\mu(z).$$

The following lemma collects a few useful inequalities, among which is an extension of Young's inequality for convolution.

Lemma 6.9 Let H, K be the integral kernels of the operators S, T respectively.

(i) For all $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ and $1 \le p < q \le \infty$,

$$||K||_{p,a} \le C_{p,q,a,b} ||K||_{q,b} \quad if \ b > a + Q(1/p - 1/q).$$
(44)

(ii) For all $a \in [0, \infty)$ and $1 \le p, q, r \le \infty$ with 1/p + 1/q = 1 + 1/r,

$$|||H * K||_{r,a} \le (c'')^{1/p'} |||H|||_{p,a}^{p/r} |||H^*|||_{p,a+N/p'}^{p/q'} |||K|||_{q,a+N/p'}.$$
(45)

The constants c'' and N in (45) are the same as in (30). (iii) For all $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$||| H * K |||_{p,0} \le ||S||_{p \to p} |||K||_{p,0}.$$
(46)

Proof (i) This follows immediately from Hölder's inequality and (31). (ii) Note that 1/r' = 1/p' + 1/q'. Define

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}_1(x, y) &= H(x, y) \, V(y, 1)^{1/p'} (1 + \varrho(x, y))^a, \\ \tilde{H}_2(x, y) &= H(x, y) \, V(x, 1)^{1/p'} (1 + \varrho(x, y))^{a + N/p'}, \\ \tilde{K}(y, z) &= K(y, z) \, V(z, 1)^{1/q'} (1 + \varrho(y, z))^{a + N/p'}. \end{split}$$

Then, by (30) and the inequality $(1 + \varrho(x, z)) \le (1 + \varrho(x, y))(1 + \varrho(y, z))$,

$$V(z, 1)^{1/r'} |H(x, y)K(y, z)| (1 + \varrho(x, z))^a$$

$$\leq (c'')^{1/p'} |\tilde{H}_1(x, y)|^{p/r} |\tilde{H}_2(x, y)|^{p/q'} |\tilde{K}(y, z)|^{q/r} |\tilde{K}(y, z)|^{q/p'}$$

Integration in y and Hölder's inequality yield

$$V(z, 1)^{1/r'} |H * K(x, z)| (1 + \varrho(x, z))^{a}$$

$$\leq (c'')^{1/p'} \left(\int_{X} |\tilde{H}_{1}(x, y)|^{p} |\tilde{K}(y, z)|^{q} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/r}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{X} |\tilde{H}_{2}(x, y)|^{p} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{X} |\tilde{K}(y, z)|^{q} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p'}.$$

By raising both sides to the power r, integrating in x and taking the essential supremum in z, the inequality (45) follows.

(iii) This follows easily from the fact that $H * K(\cdot, y) = S(K(\cdot, y))$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.7. Let us see first how Theorem 6.1 is derived from Proposition 6.7.

Proof of Theorem 6.1 By replacing Ψ with $\Psi \circ \epsilon_r$ for sufficiently small r > 0 and possibly permuting the components of Ψ , we may assume the following:

- there exists $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi \cdot \Psi_1 = 1$ on $[-1, 1]^n$;
- there exist an open neighbourhood Ω of $\Psi([-1, 1]^n)$ in \mathbb{R}^m and a smooth map $\Phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Phi \circ \Psi$ is the identity on $\Psi^{-1}(\Omega) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}$.
- (i) If $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is supported in $[-1, 1]^n$, then $F = (F\psi)\Psi_1$, hence

$$\| K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} \|_{2,0,r} \le \| (F\psi) \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n) \|_{2\to 2} \| K_{\Psi_1 \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} \|_{2,0,r}$$

$$\le \| F \|_{\infty} \| \psi \|_{\infty} \| K_{\Psi_1 \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} \|_{2,0,r}$$

for all r > 0, and the conclusion follows by (34).

(ii) We consider first the case p = 2, i.e., we want to prove the inequality

$$|||K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)}|||_{2,b,r} \le C_{s,b} ||F||_{L_s^{\infty}}.$$
(47)

for all r > 0, all $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$, and all $s > b \ge 0$. Suppose first that s > b + Q/2 + 2 + m/2. Then we can find a > 0 sufficiently large that $s > \gamma_{a,b} + 1 + m/2$, where $\gamma_{a,b}$ is given by (42). By (34) and Hölder's inequality (44), there exists $\kappa \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\| K \Psi_{i} \circ \epsilon_{r}(U_{1},...,U_{n}) \|_{1,a,r} \le \kappa, \qquad \| K \Psi_{i} \circ \epsilon_{r}(U_{1},...,U_{n}) \|_{2,b,r} \le \kappa$$

for all r > 0 and j = 1, ..., m. Take some $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ supported in Ω and identically 1 on $\Psi([-1, 1]^n)$. Then, for all $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$,

$$F = ((F \circ \Phi) \eta) \circ \Psi \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{\epsilon},$$

so, for all r > 0,

$$F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \ldots, U_n) = ((F \circ \Phi) \eta)(\Psi \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \ldots, U_n)).$$

By Proposition 6.7(iii) applied to the rescaled space $(X, \varrho/r, \mu)$ and the operators $\Psi_j \circ \epsilon_r(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$, we then obtain that

$$|||K_{F \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)}|||_{2,b,r} \le C_{s,b} ||(F \circ \Phi) \eta||_{L^2_a} \le C_{s,b} ||F||_{L^\infty_s},$$

🖉 Springer

since η is smooth and compactly supported and Φ is smooth on supp η .

This gives (47) for $b \ge 0$, s > b + Q/2 + 2 + m/2. On the other hand, (47) also holds when s = b = 0, by (i). The full range s > b is then obtained by interpolation (cf., e.g., the proofs of [52, Lemma 1.2], [19, Lemma 4.3], [49, Theorem 2.7]).

So the proof of the case p = 2 is concluded. Note now that the case p < 2 follows from the case p = 2 by Hölder's inequality (44). As for p > 2, take any real-valued $\xi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\xi = 1$ on $[-1, 1]^n$, and define q by 1/q = 1/2 + 1/p. Then q < 2 and, from what we have just proved, it follows that

$$\sup_{r>0} \| K_{\xi \circ \epsilon_r(U_1,\dots,U_n)} \|_{q,a,r} < \infty$$

$$\tag{48}$$

for all $a \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover $F = \xi \cdot F$ for all $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[-1, 1]^n$; hence, by Young's inequality (45) and the estimates (47) and (48),

$$\begin{aligned} \| K_{F \circ \epsilon_r}(U_1,...,U_n) \| \|_{p,b,r} \\ &\leq C_p \| K_{\xi \circ \epsilon_r}(U_1,...,U_n) \| \|_{q,b+N/q',r} \| K_{F \circ \epsilon_r}(U_1,...,U_n) \| \|_{2,b+N/q',r} \\ &\leq C_{p,b} \| F \|_{L^{\infty}_s} \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $b, s \in [0, \infty)$ with s > b + N/q' = b + N(1/2 - 1/p). (iii) Let $a \in [0, \infty)$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, and set

$$s = a + Q(1/p - 1/2)_{+} + N(1/2 - 1/p)_{+} + 1$$

Let $\phi_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be supported in $[-1, 1]^n$ and such that $\phi_0 + \sum_{k>0} \phi_k = 1$, where $\phi_k = \phi \circ \epsilon_{2^{-k}}$ for all k > 0. Then, by (ii), for all r > 0,

$$||| K_{(F\phi_0)\circ\epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} |||_{p,a,r} \le C_{p,a} || F\phi_0 ||_{L^{\infty}_s},$$

and moreover, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\| K_{(F\phi_k)\circ\epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} \|_{p,a,r} \le C_p \, 2^{kQ/p'} \, \| K_{(F\phi_k)\circ\epsilon_r(U_1,...,U_n)} \|_{p,a,2^{-k}r} \le C_{p,a} \, 2^{kQ/p'} \, \| (F \circ \epsilon_{2^k})\phi \|_{L^{\infty}_s},$$

by (29). Since it is easily seen that

$$\|F\phi_0\|_{L^{\infty}_s} + \sum_{k>0} 2^{kQ/p'} \,\|(F \circ \epsilon_{2^k})\phi\|_{L^{\infty}_s}$$

is controlled by some Schwartz norm of F, the conclusion follows.

- (iv) This follows immediately from (ii) and (33).
- (v) Note that $E(\{0\}) = \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Hence, by (i),

$$||| K_{E(\{0\})} |||_{2,0,r} \le C$$

uniformly in r > 0, so

$$\int_{X} |K_{E(\{0\})}(x, y)|^2 d\mu(y) \le CV(y, r)^{-1}$$

for a.e. $y \in X$ and all r > 0. If $\mu(X) = \infty$, then the right-hand side tends to zero as $r \to \infty$, hence $K_{E(\{0\})} = 0$ a.e. and consequently $E(\{0\}) = 0$.

If instead $\mu(X) < \infty$, then by (29) we can find r > 0 such that B(y, r) = X for all $y \in X$. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality,

$$|||K_{E(\{0\})}^{*}|||_{1,0} = |||K_{E(\{0\})}|||_{1,0} \le |||K_{E(\{0\})}|||_{2,0,r} \le C,$$

hence $||E(\{0\})||_{p \to p} \le C < \infty$ for $1 \le p \le \infty$ by (33) and interpolation. (vi) Note that $F = F(0)\mathbb{1}_{\{0\}} + F\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}$, so

$$F(U_1, \ldots, U_n) = F(0)E(\{0\}) + (F\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}})(U_1, \ldots, U_n).$$

Hence, because of (v), it is not restrictive to assume in the following that F(0) = 0. In particular

$$||F(U_1,...,U_n)||_{2\to 2} \le ||F||_{\infty} \le C_{\chi,s} \sup_{R>0} ||(F \circ \epsilon_R) \chi||_{L^{\infty}_s}.$$

Let $\omega \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ be such that $\operatorname{supp} \omega \subseteq [-1, 1]^n$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_k(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, where $\omega_k = \omega \circ \epsilon_{2^{-k}}$. Take moreover a cutoff $\eta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is identically 1 on $[-1, 1]^n$. Hence, by (ii), the operators $A_t = \eta \circ \epsilon_t(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ satisfy

$$\sup_{t>0} ||| K_{A_t} |||_{\infty,b,t} < \infty$$

for all $b \in [0, \infty)$, and in particular they satisfy the "Poisson-type bounds" of [18, eq. (2) and (3)].

Fix $a \in (Q/2, s)$ and an integer M > s. For $r, t > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e. $y \in X$, we then have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{X\setminus B(y,r)} |K_{(F\omega_k)(U_1,...,U_n)(I-A_t)}(x, y)| \, d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \left(\int_{X\setminus B(y,r)} \frac{(1+2^k \varrho(x, y))^{-2a}}{V(y, 2^{-k})} \, d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \, \|K_{(F\omega_k(1-\eta\circ\epsilon_t))(U_1,...,U_n)}\|_{2,a,2^{-k}} \\ & \leq C_\beta (1+2^k r)^{Q/2-a} \|(F\circ\epsilon_{2^k})\, \omega\|_{L^\infty_s} \|(1-\eta)\circ\epsilon_{2^k t}\|_{C^M(\operatorname{supp}\omega)} \end{split}$$

by Hölder's inequality, (29) and (ii).

Note now that the quantity $\|(1-\eta) \circ \epsilon_t\|_{C^M(\operatorname{supp} \omega)}$ is continuous in t, vanishes for $t \leq 1$ and is constant for t large, therefore is bounded uniformly in t > 0. We then conclude that the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} K_{(F\omega_k(1-\eta \circ \epsilon_t))(U_1,...,U_n)}$ converges, off the diagonal of $X \times X$, to a function K_t satisfying

$$\sup_{y \in X} \int_{X \setminus B(y,r)} |K_t(x, y)| \, d\mu(x) \le C_s \sum_{k: 2^k > 1/t} (1 + 2^k r)^{Q/2 - a} \|(F \circ \epsilon_{2^k}) \, \omega\|_{L^{\infty}_s}$$

$$\le C_{\chi, s}(r/t)^{Q/2 - a} \sup_{R > 0} \|(F \circ \epsilon_R) \, \chi\|_{L^{\infty}_s},$$

and it is easily checked that K_t is the off-diagonal kernel of

$$(F(1-\eta\circ\epsilon_t))(U_1,\ldots,U_n)=F(U_1,\ldots,U_n)(1-A_t).$$

If we take r = t in the previous inequality, then [18, Theorem 1] implies that $F(U_1, \ldots, U_n)$ is of weak type (1, 1) and bounded on L^p for $1 , with norm controlled by <math>\sup_{R>0} ||(F \circ \epsilon_R) \chi||_{L^{\infty}_s}$. For $2 , it is sufficient to apply the result just obtained to the function <math>\overline{F}$.

We are left with the proof of Proposition 6.7. The proof, which follows the lines of [33, §2], will require some preliminary considerations and lemmas.

By Young's inequality (45), for all $a \in [0, \infty)$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,a}$ is submultiplicative, i.e.,

$$|||K_1 * K_2|||_{1,a} \le |||K_1|||_{1,a} |||K_2|||_{1,a};$$

consequently, the space of kernels

$$B_a^0 = \{K : |||K|||_{1,a}, |||K^*|||_{1,a} < \infty\},\$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|K\|_{B_a} = \max\{\|K\|_{1,a}, \|K^*\|_{1,a}\}$$
(49)

and with the operations of convolution and involution, is a Banach *-algebra (with isometric involution).

Let us denote by T_K the operator corresponding to the integral kernel K. The identity (33), together with interpolation, shows that the correspondence $K \mapsto T_K$ embeds B_a^0 continuously into the space $\mathcal{B}(L^p)$ of bounded operators on $L^p(X)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, with

$$\|K\|_{p \to p} := \|T_K\|_{p \to p} \le \|K\|_{B_a}.$$
(50)

If the algebra B_a^0 has an identity element *I*, then we set $B_a = B_a^0$. Otherwise we formally introduce an identity *I*, i.e., we consider the unitization $B_a = \mathbb{C}I \oplus B_a^0$, which is a Banach *-algebra with norm

$$\|\lambda I + H\|_{B_{q}} = |\lambda| + \|H\|_{B_{q}}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $H \in B_a^0$. Notice that, if we extend analogously the definition of the $\|\cdot\|_{1,a}$ -norm by setting

$$\|\lambda I + H\|_{1,a} = |\lambda| + \|H\|_{1,a}$$

then the extension is still a submultiplicative norm, and (49) holds for every $K \in B_a$. Moreover the embedding into $\mathcal{B}(L^p)$ extends to the whole B_a , together with the inequality (50).

The introduction of the identity I makes the manipulation of kernels easier. For instance, for every $K \in B_a$, the exponential e^K is defined via power series as an element of B_a , and $||e^K||_{B_a} \le e^{||K||_{B_a}}$; moreover the corresponding operator on $L^2(X)$ is nothing else than the exponential e^{T_K} . In the case K is a "genuine kernel", i.e., $K \in B_a^0$, then $e^K \in I + B_a^0$ (as it is clear by inspection of the power series); therefore, by (40), $e^K - I \in B_a^0$ is the integral kernel of the operator $\exp_0(T_K)$.

Let $M_1, \ldots, M_m \in \mathcal{B}(L^2)$ be pairwise commuting, self-adjoint operators admitting integral kernels K_1, \ldots, K_m . According to the above discussion, under the hypothesis (41), for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, the operator $\exp_0(i(h_1M_1 + \cdots + h_mM_m))$ has integral kernel

$$E_h = A_1^{*h_1} * \cdots * A_m^{*h_m} - I;$$

here $A_j = e^{iK_j}$ and $A_j^{*h_j}$ is the iterated convolution of $|h_j|$ factors of the form A_j or A_j^* , depending on the sign of h_j . Proposition 6.7(i) will then be proved by showing that, for all $b \in [0, a)$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\|A_1^{*h_1} * \dots * A_m^{*h_m}\|_{B_b} \le C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_1^{\gamma_{a,b}}.$$
(51)

From now on, we will assume that $h \in \mathbb{N}^m$; the proof in the general case can be obtained by replacing some of the A_j with A_j^* in the argument below.

The idea is to decompose each A_j , or rather the kernel $A'_j = A_j - I$, into pieces supported at different distances from the diagonal. Namely, for some parameter $r \ge 1$ (which will be fixed later) we set

$$A_{j,k}(x, y) = \begin{cases} A'_j(x, y) & \text{if } e^k r \le \varrho(x, y) < e^{k+1}r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for k > 0, and $A_{j,0} = I + A'_{j,0}$, where

$$A'_{j,0}(x, y) = \begin{cases} A'_j(x, y) & \text{if } \rho(x, y) < er, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By dominated convergence, $A'_{j} = A'_{j,0} + \sum_{k>0} A_{j,k}$ in B^{0}_{a} , and consequently $A_{j} = \sum_{k\geq 0} A_{j,k}$ in B_{a} . By so decomposing each factor in $A^{*h_{1}}_{1} * \cdots * A^{*h_{m}}_{m}$, we obtain an infinite sum of products of the form

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = A_{\alpha_1,\beta_1} * \cdots * A_{\alpha_n,\beta_n}$$

where $n = |h|_1$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \{1, \ldots, m\}^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$; to simplify notation, we set $\mathcal{I}_n = \{1, \ldots, m\}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$, and

$$|\beta|_H = |\{u : \beta_u \neq 0\}|.$$

In order to estimate the B_b -norm of the products $P_{\alpha,\beta}$, we use the fact that $\delta = a - b > 0$; hence, for all k > 0,

$$\|A_{j,k}\|_{2\to 2} \le \|A_{j,k}\|_{B_b} \le e^{-k\delta} r^{-\delta} \|A_j'\|_{B_a} \le e^{-k\delta} r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa},$$
(52)

where $\tilde{\kappa} = e^{\kappa}$. For k = 0 this does not work, however we can exploit cancellation from the L^2 -theory: since $||A_j||_{2\to 2} = ||e^{iT_{K_j}}||_{2\to 2} \le 1$ by spectral theory,

$$\|A_{j,0}\|_{2\to 2} \le \|A_j\|_{2\to 2} + \|A_j - A_{j,0}\|_{B_b} \le 1 + r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa};$$
(53)

moreover, by (41) and Young's inequality (45),

$$\|A_{j,0}'\|_{2,0} \le \|A_{j}'\|_{2,0} \le \sum_{n>0} \left\| \frac{(iK_{j})^{*n}}{n!} \right\|_{2,0} \le \sum_{n>0} \frac{\|K_{j}\|_{B_{0}}^{n-1}\|K_{j}\|_{2,0}}{n!} \le \tilde{\kappa}$$
(54)

and the same holds for $(A'_{i,0})^*$.

Set $\tilde{b} = b + Q/2$.

Lemma 6.10 For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{I}_n$,

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{B_b} \le C_{\kappa,b} n \left(r \sum_{u=1}^n e^{\beta_u} \right)^{\bar{b}} e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H} (1+r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^n.$$
(55)

In particular, if $n \leq (r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^{-1}$, then

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{B_b} \le C_{\kappa,b} n^{1+\tilde{b}} \left(r e^{|\beta|_{\infty}}\right)^{\tilde{b}} e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H},$$
(56)

where $|\beta|_{\infty} = \max\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}.$

🖉 Springer

Proof Note that (56) follows from (55) because $(1 + r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^n \leq (1 + 1/n)^n \leq e$ whenever $n \leq (r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^{-1}$. We are therefore reduced to proving (55).

By (52) and (53), a simpler estimate can be immediately obtained, for the $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$ -norm: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{I}_n$,

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{2\to 2} \le e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H} (1+r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^n.$$

From this, (46) and (54) we then get, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$,

$$|\!|\!| P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0} |\!|\!|_{2,0} \leq \tilde{\kappa} e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H} (1+r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^n.$$

On the other hand, it is easily proved that $(P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0})(x, y)$ vanishes for $d(x, y) \ge R$, where $R = e(r + r \sum_{u=1}^{n} e^{\beta_u}) \ge 1$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality and the doubling condition (29),

$$|||P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0}|||_{1,b} \le (1+R)^b |||P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0}|||_{1,0} \le C_b R^b |||P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0}|||_{2,0}$$

and finally

$$|||P_{\alpha,\beta} * A'_{j,0}|||_{1,b} \le C'_{\kappa,b} \left(r + r \sum_{u=1}^{n} e^{\beta_u} \right)^{\dot{b}} e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H} (1 + r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^n;$$
(57)

here $C'_{\kappa b}$ depends only on κ , b and the constants in (29).

We now prove, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{I}_n$, the inequality

$$||P_{\alpha,\beta}||_{1,b} \leq C_{\kappa,b} n \left(r \sum_{u=1}^{n} e^{\beta_u} \right)^b e^{-\delta|\beta|_1} (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_H} (1+r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^n,$$

where $C_{\kappa,b} = C'_{\kappa,b} + 1$, by induction on *n*.

Set $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}), \beta' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$. If $\beta_n \neq 0$, then

 $||| P_{\alpha,\beta} |||_{1,b} \leq ||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} |||_{1,b} ||| A_{\alpha_n,\beta_n} |||_{1,b};$

since the first factor $||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} |||_{1,b}$ is 1 when n = 1 and is controlled by the inductive hypothesis when n > 1, while the second factor $||| A_{\alpha_n,\beta_n} ||_{1,b}$ is controlled by (52), the conclusion follows. If instead $\beta_n = 0$, then

$$||| P_{\alpha,\beta} |||_{1,b} \leq ||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} |||_{1,b} + ||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} A'_{\alpha_n,0} |||_{1,b};$$

the required estimate then follows by majorizing $||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} |||_{1,b}$ as before and $||| P_{\alpha',\beta'} A'_{\alpha_n,0} |||_{1,b}$ by (57).

An analogous argument proves the same estimate for $||| P^*_{\alpha,\beta} |||_{1,b}$ and the two estimates together give (56).

From now on, the argument becomes purely Banach-algebraic. In fact, the inequality (56) can be improved via a combinatorial technique. The following lemma should be compared with [33, Lemma (2.6)].

Lemma 6.11 Let $v \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exists $I \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ such that:

(i) $|I| \le 2^{\nu-1} - 1$; (ii) $\beta_j \ne 0$ for all $j \in I$; (iii) $\nu \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j \le |\beta|_1$ for all $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|J| \le |I| + 1$ and $J \cap I = \emptyset$.

Proof Modulo reordering and padding with zeros, we may assume that the sequence β_1, \ldots, β_n is nonincreasing and $n \ge 2^{\nu} - 1$. In particular

$$|\beta|_1 \ge \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{j=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \beta_j,$$

hence there exists $k \leq v - 1$ such that

$$|\beta|_1 \ge \nu \sum_{j=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \beta_j.$$

Set $I = \{j \in \{1, ..., 2^k - 1\} : \beta_j \neq 0\}$. Clearly (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Moreover, since β is nonincreasing, if $I \neq \{1, ..., 2^k - 1\}$, then, for every $J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ which is disjoint from I, we have $\beta_j = 0$ for all $j \in J$, so that (iii) is trivially satisfied. In the case $I = \{1, ..., 2^k - 1\}$, instead, we have $J \subseteq \{2^k, ..., n\}$, hence, if $|J| \leq |I| + 1 = 2^k$,

$$\nu \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j \leq \nu \sum_{j=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \beta_j \leq |\beta|_1,$$

because β is nonincreasing, and we are done.

Lemma 6.12 Let $v \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le n \le (r^{-\delta} \tilde{\kappa})^{-1}$, and for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{I}_n$,

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{B_{b}} \leq C_{\kappa,b,\nu} r^{2^{\nu-1}\tilde{b}} n^{2^{\nu-1}(1+\tilde{b})} e^{(\tilde{b}/\nu-\delta)|\beta|_{1}} (r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta|_{H}}$$

Proof Let $I \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ be given by Lemma 6.11 applied to ν , n, β ; in particular $l = |I| \le 2^{\nu-1} - 1$. Let $j_1, ..., j_l$ be an increasing enumeration of the elements of I, so that $\beta_{j_1}, ..., \beta_{j_l}$ are nonzero, and set $j_0 = 0$, $j_{l+1} = n + 1$. Then

$$P_{\alpha,\beta} = P_{\alpha^{(0)},\beta^{(0)}} A_{\alpha_{j_1},\beta_{j_1}} P_{\alpha^{(1)},\beta^{(1)}} \dots A_{\alpha_{j_l},\beta_{j_l}} P_{\alpha^{(l)},\beta^{(l)}},$$

where $\alpha^{(k)} = (\alpha_{j_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_k-1}), \beta^{(k)} = (\beta_{j_{k-1}+1}, \dots, \beta_{j_k-1}),$ and

$$\nu \sum_{k=0}^{l} |\beta^{(k)}|_{\infty} \le |\beta|_1.$$

Moreover (56) gives, for the $\beta^{(k)}$ which are nonempty,

$$\|P_{\alpha^{(k)},\beta^{(k)}}\|_{B_b} \le C_{\kappa,b} n^{1+\tilde{b}} r^{\tilde{b}} e^{\tilde{b}|\beta^{(k)}|_{\infty}} e^{-\delta|\beta^{(k)}|_1} (r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa})^{|\beta^{(k)}|_H}$$

whereas

$$\|A_{\alpha_{j_k},\beta_{j_k}}\|_{B_b} \leq e^{-\beta_{j_k}\delta}r^{-\delta}\tilde{\kappa}$$

by (52). Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta|_1 &= |\beta^{(0)}|_1 + \beta_{j_1} + |\beta^{(1)}|_1 + \dots + \beta_{j_l} + |\beta^{(l)}|_1 \\ |\beta|_H &= |\beta^{(0)}|_H + 1 + |\beta^{(1)}|_H + \dots + 1 + |\beta^{(l)}|_H, \end{aligned}$$

the conclusion follows by multiplying these estimates together.

Deringer

Proof of Proposition 6.7 (i) From the previous discussion, we are reduced to proving (51) for $h \in \mathbb{N}^m \setminus \{0\}$. Having fixed such an h, we choose $r = (|h|_1 \tilde{\kappa})^{1/\delta}$ and $\nu = \nu_{a,b} := \lfloor \tilde{b}/\delta \rfloor + 1$, so that $\epsilon_{a,b} := \delta - \tilde{b}/\nu > 0$. Hence Lemma 6.12 gives, for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{I}_{|h|_1}$,

$$\|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{B_b} \leq C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_1^{\gamma_{a,b}} e^{-\epsilon_{a,b}|\beta|_1} |h|_1^{-|\beta|_H}.$$

Set now $\alpha = (1, ..., 1, 2, ..., 2, ..., m, ..., m)$, with h_1 occurrences of 1, h_2 occurrences of 2, and so on. Then

$$\begin{split} \|A_{1}^{*h_{1}} * \cdots * A_{m}^{*h_{m}}\|_{B_{b}} &\leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{|h|_{1}}} \|P_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{B_{b}} \\ &\leq C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{|h|_{1}}} e^{-\epsilon_{a,b}|\beta|_{1}} |h|_{1}^{-|\beta|_{H}} \\ &= C_{\kappa,a,b} |h|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}} \left(1 + |h|_{1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon_{a,b}k}\right)^{|h|_{1}} \\ &\leq C_{\kappa,a,b} \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\epsilon_{a,b}k}\right) |h|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}}, \end{split}$$

and we are done.

(ii) We assume as before that $h_1, \ldots, h_m \ge 0$. First of all, by arguing as in (54), one immediately obtains that

$$|||A_j - I|||_{2,b} \le \tilde{\kappa}$$

for j = 1, ..., m. Now we proceed inductively on $|h|_1$. The case $|h|_1 = 0$ is trivial. If instead $h_j \neq 0$ for some j, then

$$E_h = E_{h'} + (A_1^{*h'_1} * \cdots * A_m^{*h'_m}) * (A_j - I),$$

where $h' = (h_1, ..., h_{j-1}, h_j - 1, h_{j+1}, ..., h_m)$, hence

$$||E_{h}||_{2,b} \leq C_{\kappa,a,b}(|h'|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}+1} + |h'|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}}) \leq C_{\kappa,a,b}|h|_{1}^{\gamma_{a,b}+1}$$

by (51), Young's inequality (45) and the inductive hypothesis.

(iii) We may assume, modulo rescaling, that $\kappa = 1$, so in particular the joint spectrum of M_1, \ldots, M_m is contained in $[-1, 1]^m$. The Fourier series expansion

$$F(\lambda) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \hat{F}(h) e^{ih \cdot \lambda} = \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \hat{F}(h) (e^{ih \cdot \lambda} - 1)$$

for $\lambda \in (-\pi, \pi)$ (where the last equality is due to the fact that F(0) = 0, and the convergence is uniform because s > m/2) then implies that

$$F(M_1,\ldots,M_m) = \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \hat{F}(h) \exp_0(i(h_1M_1 + \cdots + h_mM_m))$$

with convergence in $\mathcal{B}(L^2)$. On the other hand,

$$\sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}^m} |\hat{F}(h)| \| E_h \|_{2,b} \le C_{a,b} \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbb{Z}^m} |\hat{F}(h)| \|h\|_1^{\gamma_{a,b}+1} \le C_{a,b,m,s} \|F\|_{L^2_s}$$

by (43) and Hölder's inequality, since $s > \gamma_{a,b} + 1 + m/2$, and we are done.

Acknowledgements The author wishes to thank Valentina Casarino, Michael Cowling, and Adam Sikora for several discussions on the subject of this work, and Jonathan Bennett for suggestions about the presentation.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Alexopoulos, G.: Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 120, 973–979 (1994)
- Auscher, P., ter Elst, A.F.M., Robinson, D.W.: On positive Rockland operators. Colloq. Math. 67, 197–216 (1994)
- Beals, R., Greiner, P.: Calculus on Heisenberg Manifolds. Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 119. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1988)
- Blunck, S.: A Hörmander-type spectral multiplier theorem for operators without heat kernel. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 2(5), 449–459 (2003)
- Boggess, A.: CR Manifolds and the Tangential Cauchy–Riemann Complex. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton (1991)
- Casarino, V., Ciatti, P.: Transferring L^p eigenfunction bounds from S²ⁿ⁺¹ to hⁿ. Stud. Math. 194, 23–42 (2009)
- Casarino, V., Cowling, M. G., Martini, A. and Sikora, A.: Spectral multipliers for the Kohn Laplacian on forms on the sphere in Cⁿ, J. Geom. Anal. arXiv:1501.02321 (to appear)
- Chen, P., Duong, X.T., Li, J., Ward, L., Yan, L.: Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multipliers on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces on product spaces of homogeneous type. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (2016). doi:10.1007/ s00041-016-9460-3
- Christ, M.: L^p bounds for spectral multipliers on nilpotent groups. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 328, 73–81 (1991)
- Cowling, M.G., Sikora, A.: A spectral multiplier theorem for a sublaplacian on SU(2). Math. Z. 238, 1–36 (2001)
- 11. Cowling, M.G., Klima, O., Sikora, A.: Spectral multipliers for the Kohn sublaplacian on the sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **363**, 611–631 (2011)
- De Michele, L., Mauceri, G.: H^p multipliers on stratified groups. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 148(4), 353–366 (1987)
- Dixmier, J.: Opérateurs de rang fini dans les représentations unitaires. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 6, 13–25 (1960)
- 14. Dooley, A.H., Gupta, S.K.: The contraction of S^{2p-1} to H^{p-1} . Monatsh. Math. **128**, 237–253 (1999)
- Dooley, A.H., Gupta, S.K.: Transferring Fourier multipliers from S^{2p-1} to H^{p-1}. Illinois J. Math. 46, 657–677 (2002)
- Dragomir, S., Tomassini, G.: Differential Geometry and Analysis on CR Manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 246. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston (2006)
- Duong, X. T., Li, J., and Yan, L.: End-point estimates for singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on product spaces (preprint). arXiv:1509.07548
- Duong, X.T., McIntosh, A.: Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 15, 233–265 (1999)
- Duong, X.T., Ouhabaz, E.M., Sikora, A.: Plancherel-type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers. J. Funct. Anal. 196, 443–485 (2002)
- Duong, X.T., Sikora, A., Yan, L.: Weighted norm inequalities, Gaussian bounds and sharp spectral multipliers. J. Funct. Anal. 260, 1106–1131 (2011)
- Dziubański, J.: A remark on a Marcinkiewicz–Hörmander multiplier theorem for some nondifferential convolution operators. Colloq. Math. 58, 77–83 (1989)
- Dziubański, J., Hebisch, W., Zienkiewicz, J.: Note on semigroups generated by positive Rockland operators on graded homogeneous groups. Studia Math. 110, 115–126 (1994)
- 23. Fabes, E.B., Rivière, N.M.: Singular intervals with mixed homogeneity. Studia Math. 27, 19–38 (1966)
- Fefferman, C., and Phong, D. H.: Subelliptic eigenvalue problems. In: Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, pp. 590–606 (1983)

- Fischer, V., Ricci, F., Yakimova, O.: Nilpotent Gelfand pairs and spherical transforms of Schwartz functions I: rank-one actions on the centre. Math. Z. 271, 221–255 (2012)
- Folland, G.B.: The tangential Cauchy–Riemann complex on spheres. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 171, 83–133 (1972)
- Folland, G.B., Kohn, J.J.: The Neumann Problem for the Cauchy–Riemann Complex. Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 75. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1972)
- 28. Folland, G.B., Stein, E.M.: Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}_b$ complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27**, 429–522 (1974)
- Folland, G.B., Stein, E.M.: Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups. Mathematical Notes, vol. 28. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1982)
- Fraser, A.J.: Marcinkiewicz multipliers on the Heisenberg group. PhD dissertation, Princeton University (1997)
- Gangolli, R., Varadarajan, V.S.: Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 101. Springer, Berlin (1988)
- 32. Geller, D.: The Laplacian and the Kohn Laplacian for the sphere. J. Differ. Geom. 15, 417–435 (1980)
- Hebisch, W.: Functional calculus for slowly decaying kernels (preprint) http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/ ~hebisch/ (1995)
- Hebisch, W., Sikora, A.: A smooth subadditive homogeneous norm on a homogeneous group. Studia Math. 96, 231–236 (1990)
- Helgason, S.: Groups and Geometric Analysis. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 113. Academic Press Inc., Orlando (1984)
- 36. Hörmander, L.: Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces. Acta Math. 104, 93–140 (1960)
- Hulanicki, A.: Subalgebra of L₁(G) associated with Laplacian on a Lie group. Colloq. Math. 31, 259–287 (1974)
- Hulanicki, A.: A functional calculus for Rockland operators on nilpotent Lie groups. Studia Math. 78, 253–266 (1984)
- Jerison, D., Sánchez-Calle, A.: Subelliptic, Second Order Differential Operators. In: Berenstein, C.A. (ed.), Complex Analysis, III (College Park, Md., 1985–86), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1277. Springer, Berlin, pp. 46–77 (1987)
- Jerison, D.S., Sánchez-Calle, A.: Estimates for the heat kernel for a sum of squares of vector fields. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 35, 835–854 (1986)
- 41. Kato, T.: Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (1995)
- Kenig, C.E., Stanton, R.J., Tomas, P.A.: Divergence of eigenfunction expansions. J. Funct. Anal. 46, 28–44 (1982)
- Korányi, A., Vági, S.: Singular integrals on homogeneous spaces and some problems of classical analysis. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. 25(3), 575–648 (1971)
- 44. Krée, P.: Sur les multiplicateurs dans \mathcal{F} , L^p . Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 16, 31–89 (1966)
- Kriegler, C.: Hörmander functional calculus for Poisson estimates. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 80, 379– 413 (2014)
- Kunstmann, P.C., Uhl, M.: Spectral multiplier theorems of Hörmander type on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces. J. Oper. Theory 73, 27–69 (2015)
- Martini, A.: Algebras of differential operators on Lie groups and spectral multipliers. PhD thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore (Pisa). arXiv:1007.1119 (2010)
- Martini, A.: Spectral theory for commutative algebras of differential operators on Lie groups. J. Funct. Anal. 260, 2767–2814 (2011)
- Martini, A.: Analysis of joint spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 62, 1215–1263 (2012)
- Martini, A., Müller, D.: Spectral multipliers on 2-step groups: topological versus homogeneous dimension. Geom. Funct. Anal. 26, 680–702 (2016)
- Martini, A., Sikora, A.: Weighted Plancherel estimates and sharp spectral multipliers for the Grushin operators. Math. Res. Lett. 19, 1075–1088 (2012)
- 52. Mauceri, G., Meda, S.: Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 6, 141–154 (1990)
- Mihlin, S.G.: On the multipliers of Fourier integrals. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. (N.S.) 109, 701–703 (1956)
- Müller, D., Ricci, F., Stein, E.M.: Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups. I. Invent. Math. 119, 199–233 (1995)
- Müller, D., Ricci, F., Stein, E.M.: Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups. II. Math. Z. 221, 267–291 (1996)

- Nelson, E., Stinespring, W.F.: Representation of elliptic operators in an enveloping algebra. Am. J. Math. 81, 547–560 (1959)
- Ricci, F., Rubin, R.L.: Transferring Fourier multipliers from SU(2) to the Heisenberg group. Am. J. Math. 108, 571–588 (1986)
- Sikora, A.: Multivariable spectral multipliers and analysis of quasielliptic operators on fractals. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58, 317–334 (2009)
- Stanton, N.K., Tartakoff, D.S.: The heat equation for the *∂*_b-Laplacian. Comm. Partial Differ. Equ. 9, 597–686 (1984)
- 60. Street, B.: The \Box_b heat equation and multipliers via the wave equation. Math. Z. 263, 861–886 (2009)
- ter Elst, A.F.M., Robinson, D.W., Sikora, A.: Heat kernels and Riesz transforms on nilpotent Lie groups. Colloq. Math. 74, 191–218 (1997)
- 62. Triebel, H.: Spaces of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev Type. Teubner, Leipzig (1978)
- Varopoulos, N.T., Saloff-Coste, L., Coulhon, T.: Analysis and Geometry on Groups. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 100. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)
- Veneruso, A.: Marcinkiewicz multipliers on the Heisenberg group. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 61, 53–68 (2000)
- Wolf, J.A.: Harmonic Analysis on Commutative Spaces. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 142. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2007)
- Wróbel, B.: Multivariate spectral multipliers. PhD thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore (Pisa) and University of Wrocław. arXiv:1407.2393 (2014)