UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

mtDNA diversity in human populations highlights the merit of haplotype matching in gene therapies

Røyrvik, E.c.; Burgstaller, J.p.; Johnston, Iain

DOI:

10.1093/molehr/gaw062

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Røyrvik, EĆ, Burgstaller, JP & Johnston, I 2016, 'mtDNA diversity in human populations highlights the merit of haplotype matching in gene therapies', *Molecular Human Reproduction*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 809-817. https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaw062

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Molecular Human Reproduction following peer review. The version of record Røyrvik, E. C., Joerg P. Burgstaller, and Iain G. Johnston. "mtDNA diversity in human populations highlights the merit of haplotype matching in gene therapies." Molecular Human Reproduction 22.11 (2016): 809-817. is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gaw062

Checked 15/11/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 17. Apr. 2024

- 1 mtDNA diversity in human populations highlights the merit of
- 2 haplotype matching in gene therapies

3

4 Running title: Implications of mtDNA diversity for gene therapies

5

6 E.C. Røyrvik¹, J.P. Burgstaller^{2,3}, I.G. Johnston⁴*

7

- ¹Division of Biomedical Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick,
- 9 Coventry CV4 7AL, UK, ²Biotechnology in Animal Production, Department for
- 10 Agrobiotechnology, IFA Tulln, 3430 Tulln, Austria, Institute of Animal Breeding and
- 11 Genetics, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Veterinärplatz 1, 1210 Vienna,
- 12 Austria, ⁴School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham
- 13 B15 2TT, UK

14

15

Abstract

- Modern gene therapies aim to prevent the inheritance of mutant mitochondrial DNA
- 17 (mtDNA) from mother to offspring by using a third-party mtDNA background.
- 18 Technological limitations mean that these therapies may result in a small amount
- of maternal mtDNA admixed with a majority of third-party mtDNA. This situation is
- 20 unstable if the mother's mtDNA experiences a proliferative advantage over the
- third-party mtDNA, in which case the efficacy of the therapy may be undermined.

Animal models suggest that the likelihood of such a proliferative advantage increases with increasing genetic distance between mother and third-party mtDNA, but in real therapeutic contexts the genetic distance, and so the importance of this effect, remains unclear. Here we harness a large volume of available human mtDNA data to model random sampling of mother and third-party mtDNAs from real human populations. We show that even within the same haplogroup, genetic differences around 20-80 SNPs are common between mtDNAs. These values are sufficient to lead to substantial segregation in murine models, over an organismal lifetime, even given low starting heteroplasmy, inducing increases from 5% to 35% over one year. Randomly pairing mothers and third-party women in clinical contexts thus runs the risk that substantial mtDNA segregation will compromise the beneficial effects of the therapy. We suggest that choices of 'mtDNA donors' be based on recent shared maternal ancestry, or, preferentially, explicit haplotype matching, in order to reduce the potential for problems in the implementation of these therapies.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Introduction

Mitochondria are small organelles within eukaryotic cells that are vital for the normal aerobic production of ATP, the 'universal' biochemical energy carrier. Each mitochondrion, of which there are many in any given cell, carries at least one copy of its own, small genome (mitochondrial or mtDNA), distinct from the large genome stored in the nucleus. While there are good reasons for retaining some genes in

the mitochondrion (Johnston and Williams, 2016), a challenging biochemical environment and comparative lack of efficient DNA repair mechanisms allows a higher mutation rate there than in the nucleus (Alexeyev *et al.*, 2013).

Differences in the sequence of mitochondrial DNA can arise at the level of individuals (population diversity) or different mitochondria in the same cell (heteroplasmy – see below). In humans, mtDNA is inherited uniparentally, via the mother's egg cell; recombination is usually negligible between human mtDNAs (Hagelberg, 2003, Hagstrom et al., 2014). Given the non-recombining nature of the mitochondrial genome, such polymorphisms as exist can be expressed in terms of a straightforward phylogenetic tree (see Fig. 1A). The sum of polymorphisms in an mtDNA sequence is known as a haplotype, and any hierarchical clade of haplotypes is a haplogroup. Since inheritance is uniparental, mtDNA haplogroups are strongly susceptible to genetic drift, and this has given rise to pronounced haplogroup pattern differences between geographical areas, especially on a continental scale (see Fig. 1B).

Mitochondrial diversity in humans is often neutral or near-neutral (Chinnery and Hudson, 2013), although an increasing volume of research in animal models and humans suggests that non-pathogenic mtDNA variants can be associated with some phenotypic effects, from livestock fertility to longevity and disease

susceptibility (Dowling, 2014, Latorre-Pellicer *et al.*, 2016, St John, 2016, Tsai and St John, 2016, Wallace, 2015, Wallace and Chalkia, 2013). We note that, while evidence exists for a range of phenotypic effects, flawed analyses have in some cases led to several statistically unsupported claims of mtDNA links to disease (Johnston, 2015).

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

65

66

67

68

69

While the phenotypic effects of some mtDNA variants are relatively mild, certain mtDNA mutations in humans have dramatic phenotypic consequences, causing fatal, incurable diseases (for example, mt3243A>G, causing the inherited disease MELAS), which often manifest when the proportion of mutated mtDNA molecules in a cellular population exceeds a threshold (Taylor and Turnbull, 2005, Wallace and Chalkia, 2013). Clinical approaches to prevent the inheritance of diseases resulting from damaging mutations in mtDNA are a focus of current medical research. Cutting-edge therapies including pronuclear transfer and chromosomal spindle transfer attempt to address the inheritance of mutant mtDNA from a maternal carrier by transferring the nuclear genome (either as the pair of pronuclei or the chromosomal spindle) into a third-party, enucleated oocyte or zygote with non-pathogenic mtDNA (Brown et al., 2006, Burgstaller et al., 2015, Craven et al. , 2010, Tachibana et al., 2009) (Fig. 2). These therapies thus aim to place parental nuclear DNA on a healthy mitochondrial background with no mtDNA from the mother present. However, technological limitations currently mean that *carryover* is possible, whereby some of the mother's mtDNA may be carried into the third-party

87 cell with the transferred nuclear genetic material. These therapies can thus lead to 88 the coexistence of several distinct sequences within cellular mtDNA populations. First, the non-pathogenic mtDNA from the third-party oocyte donor is present. 89 90 Second, due to carryover, non-pathogenic mtDNA from the mother may be 91 present. Third, due to carryover, pathogenic (mutant) mtDNA from the mother may 92 be present (Fig. 2). The resulting complex system may give rise to phenotypic 93 effects due to differences between admixed mtDNA types (Burgstaller et al., 2015) 94 and references therein, and between the nucleus and different mtDNA types 95 (reviewed in (Reinhardt et al., 2013)), highlighted by very recent work in mouse 96 model (Latorre-Pellicer et al., 2016). Previous work has reviewed the potential 97 implications of these effects on gene therapies (Morrow et al., 2015, Reinhardt et 98 al., 2013). In this article we will focus on the possibility, and implications, of 99 proliferative differences between different mtDNA types. 100 The above admixture of mtDNA types is stable if mother and oocyte donor mtDNA 101 experience no proliferative differences (Fig. 2, centre), and if the oocyte donor 102 haplotype experiences a proliferative advantage then carried-over mtDNA will 103 generally be reduced over time (Fig. 2 left). However, a general proliferative 104 advantage of the mother's haplotype can in principle lead to the amplification of the 105 associated pathological mutation, working against the desired effect of the therapy 106 to remove this mutation (Fig. 2 right). This amplification can in principle occur even 107 if the pathological mutation itself experiences a selective disadvantage – if this

disadvantage is of lower magnitude than the proliferative difference between haplotypes, the latter effect will still dominate.

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

In a wide selection of mammalian species, such proliferative differences between mtDNA haplotypes have been shown to exist (St John et al., 2010). Pronounced differences have been shown in various mouse models e.g. (Burgstaller et al., 2014, Sharpley et al., 2012), pigs (Takeda et al., 2006), mini-pigs (Cagnone et al. , 2016), and cattle (Ferreira et al., 2010). Sets of models and studies exhibiting this behaviour are reviewed in (Burgstaller et al., 2015, St John, 2012). Recent work in human cell lines (Yamada et al., 2016) has illustrated that pronounced changes in the balance of mtDNA haplotypes in cellular populations can occur over time, with an initially small population of one haplotype (H1) becoming dramatically amplified and subsequently reduced through cell passages when admixed with a distantly-related human haplotype (L3). Recent results from a human stem cell line ultimately derived from an instance of pronuclear transfer explicitly demonstrate that amplification of carried-over mtDNA can occur after therapy implementations, in some instances from 4% to >40% of the cellular population over 10 passages, even with genetically similar (same haplogroup) mtDNA sequences (Hyslop et al., 2016).

While the direction and tissue-dependence of differential proliferation are currently difficult to predict for a given system, the expected magnitude of the difference depends on the genetic distance between haplotypes (Burgstaller *et al.*, 2014) (Fig.

3). An important question to consider in gene therapies is thus, given the mtDNA diversity in human populations, what genetic distances are likely to arise in nuclear mother-oocyte donor pairings in therapeutic contexts, and what is the magnitude of the proliferative differences (Fig. 2) these distances will produce?

133

129

130

131

132

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

If $\prod_{i,j}$ is the number of non-identical bases between two mtDNA genomes, *i* and *j*, then, intuitively, identical mtDNAs ($\prod_{ij} = 0$) would be expected to behave identically, but the more different the mtDNAs ($\prod_{ij} > 0$), the larger is the proliferative difference generally expected between the two. We define heteroplasmy, h, as the proportion of one 'foreign' mtDNA haplotype in a cellular admixture: hence, if a cell contains H_0 mtDNAs of its 'native' haplotype and H_1 mtDNAs of a 'foreign' haplotype, $h = H_1/(H_0 + H_1)$. Proliferative differences between haplotypes can be measured as a quantity β , a rate of proliferation of one mtDNA over another, overcoming the limitations inherent in considering absolute differences in heteroplasmy percentages (see SI for a formal definition). For example, proliferative differences of average magnitude $|\beta| \simeq 0.008$ per day have been measured between two mtDNA types of $\prod_{ij} \simeq 100$ in the livers of mice; this value of β corresponds to an amplification of h from 0.05 (5% of one haplotype) to 0.49 (49% of that same haplotype) over one year (Burgstaller et al., 2014). This pronounced rate of change is supported by results in

150 a range of other mammalian models (including rapid fixation of an initial limited 151 mtDNA haplotype in cattle (Koehler et al., 1991) and the aforementioned results 152 from human cell lines where changes from <10% to >40% occur over a small 153 number of cell passages (Hyslop et al., 2016). 154 A subset of recent evidence for proliferative differences between mtDNA 155 haplotypes in mice is shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3A shows inferred values of $|\beta|$, and the 156 magnitude of proliferative differences between mtDNAs, in a variety of tissues for three mtDNA pairs (where \prod_{ij} = 18, 86, and 107). Fig. 3B shows the predictions 157 158 that this behaviour of β makes about absolute changes in heteroplasmy, for two 159 putative admixtures beginning with 5% and 20% of a 'foreign' haplotype. For example, a haplotype differing from the 'native' type by $\prod_{ij} \simeq 100$ may readily 160 161 experience amplification from 5% to 50% over one year. 162 For simplicity, these plots are limited to the behaviour over one year, but the trends 163 are observed to continue throughout organismal lifetimes. For example, one 164 observation in (Burgstaller et al., 2014) showed heteroplasmy in liver tissue rising 165 from 5.9% to 81.8% over 680 days for a particular mtDNA pairing where \prod_{ij} = 108. There is thus evidence that, in mice, nucleotide differences around $\prod_{ij} \sim 100$ 166 167 are associated with proliferative differences capable of amplifying an admixed 168 haplotype from a 5% minority to a pronounced cellular majority over the course of an organismal lifetime. But what are standard values of \prod_{ij} in actual human 169 170 populations? And is this magnitude of genetic diversity expected to give rise to 171 clinically relevant mtDNA behaviour, given that a mutant mtDNA load of 40-60% is

often sufficient to cause morbidity, and it still poorly known what 'safe' levels may be in most cases (Wallace and Chalkia, 2013)?

Existing studies have characterised the nucleotide differences in contemporary human populations, finding typical differences of dozens of nucleotides across modern Europeans (Fu et al., 2012), greater diversity in Africa than in Europe (Briggs et al., 2009), and results confirming and expanding these observations across a broader geographical range (Lippold et al., 2014). A modern workflow has been developed to address related evolutionary questions (Blanco et al., 2011). However, to our knowledge, the interpretation of these statistics in terms of mtDNA segregation possibility and implications for disease is currently absent, as is an attempt to characterise the expected diversity in modern populations combining social (census) and biological (sequence) data.

Materials and Methods

Materials – None.

Methods – We took a data-driven approach, harnessing the large numbers of human mtDNA sequence data now available through the NCBI database, as well as haplogroup data in the literature. mtDNA molecules may be categorised, via the presence or absence of diagnostic SNPs, into haplogroups, which are typically designated by an alphanumeric code and follow a moderately complex hierarchy. For example, at the coarsest level, all human mtDNAs so far recorded fall into haplogroup *L*. Subsets of *L* include *N* (which in turn includes *R*, containing *H* and

194 V, etc.) and W, X, Y and others. A simplified tree of haplogroups is shown in Fig. 195 1A and illustrative geographical distributions are shown in Fig. 1B. 196 Data on the haplogroup makeup of 'pre-colonial populations', i.e. before early 197 modern population mixing, from different geographical regions is available via 198 MitoMAP (Lott et al., 2013). These data can be used to estimate the probability 199 that an individual with maternal ancestry from a given region belongs to a given 200 haplogroup. 201 Many specific mtDNA sequences corresponding to individual humans belonging to 202 a given haplogroup are available via NCBI. Using these data, we sought to identify 203 the expected genetic differences between pairs of individual, real human mtDNAs. 204 To estimate these expected differences, we first characterised the expected 205 differences between specific mtDNA samples within and between different 206 haplogroups. 207 We obtained the > 30k mtDNA sequences available from NCBI Nucleotide 208 database (NCBI, 2015). Of these sequences $\sim 7.6k$ had straightforwardly 209 interpretable haplogroup information, where the initial letter of the /haplogroup field 210 was taken to be the haplogroup label. We categorised these records by this initial 211 letter, then employed the following sampling protocol. Given a pair of haplogroups 212 $\{\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{H}_2\}$, we picked at random a sequence belonging to \mathcal{H}_1 and picked at random 213 a sequence belonging to \mathcal{H}_2 (ensuring that the two sequences were not the same sample if $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$,). We used BLAST to record the number of sequence 214 215 differences between these specific sampled sequences. For the purposes of this

216 report we recorded the number of non-identical bases as the nucleotide difference \prod_{ij} ; we also note that indels commonly exist between sampled mtDNA sequences, 217 218 further contributing to mtDNA diversity. We then built up a distribution of sequence 219 differences over many (n=1000) sampled pairs of specific human mtDNAs from the 220 given pair of haplogroups. 221 To connect more explicitly with medical policy, we next changed the scale of our 222 analysis from haplogroups per se to the estimated haplogroup profiles of real 223 human populations. First, we employed heuristic data from the MitoMAP project 224 (Lott et al., 2013) estimating the haplogroup makeup of pre-colonial populations from different regions of the world, while noting that the actual census populations 225 226 will usually have a very different makeup, especially in New World countries that 227 experienced extensive overseas colonization. For each region, we randomly chose 228 two haplogroups, each with a probability corresponding to that haplogroup's 229 representation in the region of interest. We then randomly chose two specific 230 mtDNA sequences from those two haplogroups. As above, we then used BLAST to 231 determine the genetic difference between those specific sequences. We repeated 232 this process many times to build up an expected distribution of the genetic 233 differences between two randomly chosen members of the human population from 234 that region. 235 As the UK is on the cusp of implementing gene therapies based on nuclear 236 transfer, we then performed a more rigorous, population-based analysis for Britain. 237 In order to estimate the probable levels of nucleotide diversity (\prod_{ij}) in mtDNA

between two randomly selected British women, and hence the likely magnitude of proliferative differences between their mtDNA, a haplogroup profile of Britain was assembled, based on over 4,600 individuals. The majority of the UK samples represent ethnic Britons. To account for the fact that the modern UK population consists of many ethnicities, approximations of mtDNA haplogroup distributions for the two largest cities in the UK (London and Birmingham) were also constructed. These distributions are estimates, based on data from the 2011 census, immigration data, and published mtDNA haplogroup data for areas from which there has been mass immigration into the UK (see SI for details).

For each ethnic census category, an estimate of probable haplogroup composition was created (see SI for details on calculations), and the frequency values scaled by the numerical census data to yield expected haplogroup frequencies in London and Birmingham. For simplicity, the single letter level of nomenclature is used, with the exception of superhaplogroup *L*, for which its subgroups *L0-3* are included.

Results

Fig. 4A shows the resulting statistics on differences between sampled mtDNA sequences between haplogroup pairs. Several intuitive features are immediately observable. First, haplogroup *L* displays noticeably more intra-haplogroup differences than any other haplogroup. *L* haplogroups constitute the majority of African haplogroups (and have very deep branching times relative to non-African haplogroups) and are thus expected to include the most genetic diversity (Behar *et*

260 al., 2008). Second, with the exception of L, diagonal elements (i.e. samples from a 261 haplogroup compared to samples from the same haplogroup) show less diversity 262 than off-diagonal elements (i.e. samples from one haplogroup compared to 263 samples from a different haplogroup). Third, haplogroup pairings which are 264 expected to be similar (for example, sister clades H and V) show decreased 265 genetic diversity. The inset shows a breakdown of the L haplogroup into its 266 immediate subgroups. 267 A notable result from this analysis is that between haplogroups, differences of ~50 268 SNPs are common, and, even within haplogroups, differences of ~20 SNPs are 269 not uncommon. This level of diversity may not seem substantial when compared to 270 the ~16 kilobases of total human mtDNA, but we draw attention to our previous 271 observations that differences of ~20 SNPs were enough to induce significant 272 proliferative differences between haplotypes in mice, who also have a ~16kb 273 mtDNA genome (Burgstaller et al., 2014). As shown in parentheses in Fig. 4A, the 274 magnitudes of ∏ that likely emerge from pairwise haplotype samples match those 275 responsible for dramatic mtDNA heteroplasmy changes in mouse models. 276 Fig. 4A also provides a means of identifying a 'partner' for a given haplogroup that 277 minimizes \prod and hence the likelihood of damaging segregation. For example, 278 given a mother with haplogroup B and a choice between donors from C, V, and L, 279 Fig.4A shows that the B-V pairing minimizes maximum ∏, and thus affords the 280 lowest risk of high segregation (see Discussion).

Table 1 gives the estimated haplogroup makeup of the UK and two major cities, based on a combination of census and immigration data and a survey of worldwide mtDNA sequences (see Methods and SI). We underline that these quantities are principled estimates, but the summary statistics that arise from these estimates are robust to variation in the exact population frequencies, and is consistent with the behaviour expected from an ethnically mixed population based on more direct estimates (see below). Fig. 4B illustrates the distribution of nucleotide differences between individuals sampled from geographical regions, and rural vs. urban UK based on estimates in Table 1, in this manner. It is immediately noticeable that pairs of individuals from Africa generally exhibit more diversity than pairs chosen from other regions, but it is striking that the expected genetic difference in many geographic regions is around \prod_{ij} ~ 40-50 SNPs, often with a range between 10-100 SNPs. The substantial diversity expected in the UK and its cities is of a consistent magnitude with that expected from its population history, involving admixtures of African and Asian immigrants in addition to its original European state. Again, parenthesized numbers in Fig. 4B illustrate that these magnitudes of ∏ are readily able to induce pronounced heteroplasmy shifts in mice. Taken together, these results demonstrate that expected levels of mtDNA diversity in modern human populations are of comparable magnitude to those responsible for substantial segregation bias in existing mammalian models, and so therapies that randomly pair women from

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

these populations may engender potentially detrimental heteroplasmy changes over time.

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

302

303

Discussion

Our analysis clearly shows that, even within a geographical region restricted to the point of being dominated by a single mtDNA haplogroup, a $\prod_{ij} = 10 - 100$ is expected between randomly sampled individuals from that region. On a continental scale, expected differences are highest in Africa, as predicted from our knowledge of human population history, and comparably lower elsewhere. Comparably high, however, are the differences in the largest urban populations of the UK, where oocyte donor therapies will be implemented. In mice, proliferative differences between haplogroups with $\prod_{ij} \sim 100$ were sufficient in some tissues to cause amplification of one mtDNA type from 0.05 to 0.64 (i.e. a small representation to a notable majority) over an organismal lifetime (Fig. 2B). There remains a wide range of questions involving the mapping from the murine model to the human system. One criticism of our argument may be that mtDNA segregation in humans may progress more slowly than in mice, reducing the magnitude of the effects we consider. However, segregation in humans has been observed to occur more rapidly than in mice (Wallace and Chalkia, 2013). Furthermore, evidence exists for pronounced segregation of a pathological mutation over very short times during embryo-fetal development (Monnot et al., 2011), suggesting the presence of mechanisms in humans that support fast

324 segregation, and which could in principle also act on non-pathological mutations. 325 Recent results in human cell lines (Hyslop et al., 2016, Yamada et al., 2016) 326 showing fast changes in mtDNA population structure over passages support the 327 possibility of fast segregation. These rapid mtDNA dynamics are supported by 328 evidence from other large mammalian models, including the rapid fixation of 329 mtDNA haplotypes in cattle (Burgstaller et al., 2015, Koehler et al., 1991) Even in a 330 conservative case where mtDNA turnover rates are scaled by organismal lifetimes, 331 amplification over the (longer) human lifetime will still be anticipated by analogy 332 with the murine system. An important clinical example of the potentially high 333 mtDNA segregation in human disease (again involving a pathological mutation) is 334 described in Ref. (Mitalipov et al., 2014), in which an embryo selected for its low 335 (12%) load of the 3243 mutation (Treff et al., 2012) developed into an infant with 336 >40% loads in blood and urine at six weeks of age, presenting with a range of 337 (possibly unrelated) metabolic pathologies. 338 It is worth noting that, in addition to the unpredictability of segregation direction, the 339 rate at which mtDNA segregation occurs is not simple and constant – rather, it can 340 depend on tissue type, organismal age and developmental stage (Burgstaller et al., 341 2014), and complicating processes including the mtDNA bottleneck (Johnston et al. 342 , 2015). In addition, increasing evidence that mtDNA variants may influence fertility 343 and development (St John, 2012, St John et al., 2010) suggests further potential 344 complications as mtDNA populations both influence and are influenced by 345 developmental dynamics. Given these complications, it is not unreasonable to think that the 'averaged' rates reported here may be underestimates for a particular time period. We therefore highlight that, even from a conservative calculation of segregation rates, the likely genetic differences between humans randomly sampled from a population may well allow substantial amplification of a disease-carrying mtDNA haplotype over the timescale of a human lifetime.

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

346

347

348

349

350

We must also consider whether randomly sampling NCBI sequences is a good model for the mtDNA pairings likely to be involved in gene therapies. The counterexample of this would be a population consisting of many individuals with identical mtDNA sequences and a small number of individuals with different sequences. The NCBI, which assigns records to unique sequences, will likely have one record for the common sequence and one each for the rare different sequences. In this case, uniformly sampling NCBI would underestimate the population fraction with the common sequence, and thus tend to overestimate mtDNA diversity. However, the ubiquity of many-SNP differences between records (see Fig.4) suggests that this problematic population structure is unlikely, and indeed, several contemporary studies have observed differences between each individual sample (Fu et al., 2012, Lippold et al., 2014). Additionally, socio-economic factors will give rise to structure in the pairings in clinical applications (which may either decrease or increase the expected \prod_{ij}). Despite these complications, we consider our approximations appropriate for considering first-order bounds of likely behaviour in these populations exhibiting realistic human diversity.

The danger of pathological mutations 'hitchhiking' on favoured haplotype backgrounds and being amplified along with the haplotype is described in the introduction and has been discussed previously (Burgstaller et al., 2014, Burgstaller et al., 2015). An additional danger is the amplification of an initially rare mtDNA haplotype to the point where it competes with the dominant mtDNA type in a cell and causes pathologies through mismatched mitochondrially encoded protein subunits or other mechanisms (Burgstaller et al., 2015). The co-occurrence in a cell of two different, but both separately non-pathogenic, mtDNAs has been observed to result in adverse physiological changes (Sharpley et al., 2012), and so-called mito-nuclear incompatibilities between nuclear and 'foreign' mtDNA content can induce phenotypic effects (Latorre-Pellicer et al., 2016) - resulting in potential implications for gene therapies that have been reviewed elsewhere (Morrow et al., 2015, Reinhardt et al., 2013). Segregation between mtDNA haplotypes, allowing an initially rare haplotype to proliferate and become amplified within a cell, has the potential to manifest and exacerbate all of these potential issues. To diminish the likelihood of potentially harmful mtDNA segregation, which we argue is likely given the mtDNA diversity in the modern UK population, we urge experts involved in the implementation of these therapies to consider 'haplotype matching', i.e. choosing an oocyte donor with mtDNA as similar as possible to the

mother's in clinical approaches. Methods to match haplotypes (minimise Π_{ij}) could

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

include choosing maternal relatives of the mother with low or zero proportions of the pathological mutation under consideration, or choosing donors from a haplogroup as similar as possible to the mother's. To illustrate this latter strategy, Fig. 5 shows the range of expected Π values that could arise when a third-party donor is paired with a mother from haplogroup H1a. If no haplotype matching is employed, and the third-party donor is randomly sampled from our estimated London population, a maximum ∏ around 100 is possible (due to the pronounced population diversity illustrated in Fig. 4B). Choosing a donor from haplogroup H decreases this maximum value to around 36 (that is, the maximal within-H diversity, shown on the diagonal of Fig. 4A). More detailed matching, specifically choosing another H1a woman as the third-party, further limits the maximum ∏ to approximately 17. These lower values achieved through haplotype matching dramatically decrease the expected potential heteroplasmy changes (for example, in mice (Fig 2), from a maximum of 5% \rightarrow 49% over one year for $\prod = 100$ to 5% \rightarrow 8% over one year for $\Pi = 17$), thus immediately limiting the potential for detrimental segregation. Our results, and future findings from more detailed studies, can help provide a strategy for this matching process – given a mother of known mtDNA haplogroup, choose from available oocyte donors so as to minimise the maximum genetic distance given in Fig. 4. Such haplotype matching, which is in principle technically straightforward and economically marginal, decreases the risk of inadvertently choosing an mtDNA pairing which experiences substantial

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

proliferative differences, and thus decreases the risk of manifestation of the disease the therapy was implemented to prevent.

Table 1. Estimated haplogroup frequencies in the British population UK – majority ethnic Britons, exclusive of large urban areas, London, Birmingham – census and immigration data based estimates (see SI).

HG	UK %	London %	Birmingham %
A	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
В	0.0%	1.1%	0.7%
C	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%
D	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%
F	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%
G	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Н	45.2%	30.4%	29.9%
I	4.1%	2.6%	2.6%
J	12.4%	7.8%	8.2%
K	8.3%	5.1%	5.3%
L0	0.0%	1.3%	0.8%
L1	0.0%	2.4%	1.9%
L2	0.0%	4.9%	3.8%
L3	0.1%	4.5%	3.5%
M	0.0%	10.4%	12.7%
N	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
O	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
P	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
R	0.1%	2.7%	3.6%
S	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
T	10.5%	6.8%	6.9%
U	12.6%	11.5%	12.6%
V	3.2%	1.6%	1.8%
W	1.5%	1.2%	1.6%

X	1.8%	1.2%	1.1%
other	0.3%	1.3%	0.6%

Figure 1. A) Relationship between human mtDNA haplogroups. Haplogroup labels and tree structure for human mtDNA groups; *MRCA* is most recent common ancestor. B) Typical haplogroups in pre-colonial human populations by approximate geography. We have omitted higher-order haplogroups of which many sub-groups are presented (e.g. *N* & *R*). Based on data from MitoMAP (Lott *et al.*, 2013) and references therein.

Figure 2. mtDNA segregation and gene therapies. A mother may possess two similar haplotypes, one wild type (blue) and one mutant (blue with red star). Therapies attempt to use a third-party with a potentially different mtDNA haplotype (yellow) to provide a healthy mtDNA background. Carryover in these therapies may result in an admixture of wildtype mother, mutant mother, and wildtype third-party mtDNA in a cell. If the two haplotypes (blue and yellow) proliferate differently, the offspring may evolve a predominance of third-party (lower left) or mother (lower right) mtDNA with time. In the latter case, if mutated mtDNA proliferates at a similar rate to its 'carrier' haplotype, the damaging mutation may be amplified to harmful levels in cells.

Figure 3. mtDNA segregation and genetic differences in mice. A) Magnitudes of segregation (proliferative differences between mtDNA types) in different tissues (points) in four different mtDNA pairings from (Burgstaller et al., 2014). More pronounced segregation is observed in those pairings with the greatest genetic distance. Red line shows the mean trend of segregation with number of nucleotide differences; blue line shows the approximate maximum segregation strength across all tissues for mtDNA pairings with < 100 nucleotide differences. **B)** Ranges of expected heteroplasmy in mice after 1 year, given different initial heteroplasmies (h₀) and the mean (lower) and maximal (higher) segregation magnitude observed in mice. For example, the darker red curve shows that for an mtDNA pairing with 75 nucleotide differences, a maximal increase from h = 0.05 to $h \approx 0.3$ is expected. Figure 4. A) MtDNA differences between haplogroups. The maximum (outer halo) and minimum (inner halo) nucleotide differences expected between a pair of randomly sampled mtDNA sequences (horizontal and vertical axes). The diagonal corresponds to pairs within the same haplogroup; off-diagonal elements correspond to pairs of mtDNAs from different haplogroups. Dataset size for each haplogroup is given in brackets; n=1000 samples were used for each pairing. Max h change shows, for a given magnitude of genetic diversity, the maximum expected change in heteroplasmy over one year starting at 5%, based on mouse

models (Fig 3). As described in the text, haplotype labels denote sequences that

fall within a given category and not within any named subcategories of that

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

category. Inset shows subgroups of the most-diverse L haplogroup. Red circles give the magnitudes of genetic differences between the "background" C57BL/6N mtDNA and the different mtDNA types in the mouse models in Fig. 3. **B) MtDNA differences between geographical regions.** In blue, genetic differences between a pair of individuals randomly sampled from sets modelling populations within a given region of the world, using the MitoMAP (Lott $et\ al.$, 2013) estimation of the (pre-colonial) haplogroup profile of different geographical regions. In black, expected differences in the general the modern non-urban UK population, and populations of London and Birmingham. Candlesticks show minimum, mean \pm s.d., and maximum nucleotide differences between simulated pairs sampled from geographical regions. Explicit sample distributions are given in in lighter colours; max h change gives maximum expected change in heteroplasmy as in (A). SE Asia (in grey) has poorly characterised MitoMAP estimates. Red marks, as in (A), give the magnitudes of genetic differences in the mouse models in Fig. 3.

Figure 5. MtDNA differences expected with different haplotype matching strategies for a mother with haplogroup H1a. Distributions of nucleotide differences (min, mean +- sd, max) expected when pairing mtDNA from haplogroup H1a with randomly sampled mtDNA from our estimated London population, with randomly sampled mtDNA from haplogroup H, and with randomly sampled mtDNA from haplogroup H1a.

- 479 Alexeyev M, Shokolenko I, Wilson G, LeDoux S (2013) The maintenance of
- 480 mitochondrial DNA integrity--critical analysis and update. Cold Spring Harbor
- 481 perspectives in biology 5, a012641.
- Behar DM, Villems R, Soodyall H, Blue-Smith J, Pereira L, Metspalu E, Scozzari R,
- 483 Makkan H, Tzur S, Comas D *et al.* (2008) The dawn of human matrilineal diversity.
- 484 American journal of human genetics 82, 1130-40.
- 485 Blanco R, Mayordomo E, Montoya J, Ruiz-Pesini E (2011) Rebooting the human
- 486 mitochondrial phylogeny: an automated and scalable methodology with expert
- 487 knowledge. BMC bioinformatics 12, 174.
- 488 Briggs AW, Good JM, Green RE, Krause J, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Lalueza-Fox C, Rudan P,
- 489 Brajkovic D, Kucan Z et al. (2009) Targeted retrieval and analysis of five Neandertal
- 490 mtDNA genomes. Science 325, 318-21.
- 491 Brown DT, Herbert M, Lamb VK, Chinnery PF, Taylor RW, Lightowlers RN, Craven L,
- 492 Cree L, Gardner JL, Turnbull DM (2006) Transmission of mitochondrial DNA
- disorders: possibilities for the future. Lancet 368, 87-9.
- 494 Burgstaller JP, Johnston IG, Jones NS, Albrechtova J, Kolbe T, Vogl C, Futschik A,
- 495 Mayrhofer C, Klein D, Sabitzer S et al. (2014) MtDNA segregation in heteroplasmic
- 496 tissues is common in vivo and modulated by haplotype differences and developmental
- 497 stage. Cell reports 7, 2031-41.
- 498 Burgstaller JP, Johnston IG, Poulton J (2015) Mitochondrial DNA disease and
- developmental implications for reproductive strategies. Molecular human
- 500 reproduction 21, 11-22.
- Cagnone G, Tsai TS, Srirattana K, Rossello F, Powell DR, Rohrer G, Cree L, Trounce IA,
- 502 St John JC (2016) Segregation of Naturally Occurring Mitochondrial DNA Variants in a
- 503 Mini-Pig Model. Genetics 202, 931-44.
- 504 Chinnery PF, Hudson G (2013) Mitochondrial genetics. British medical bulletin 106.
- 505 135-59.
- 506 Craven L, Tuppen HA, Greggains GD, Harbottle SJ, Murphy JL, Cree LM, Murdoch AP,
- 507 Chinnery PF, Taylor RW, Lightowlers RN et al. (2010) Pronuclear transfer in human
- embryos to prevent transmission of mitochondrial DNA disease. Nature 465, 82-5.
- 509 Dowling DK (2014) Evolutionary perspectives on the links between mitochondrial
- genotype and disease phenotype. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1840, 1393-403.
- Ferreira CR. Burgstaller IP. Perecin F. Garcia IM. Chiaratti MR. Meo SC. Muller M. Smith
- LC, Meirelles FV, Steinborn R (2010) Pronounced segregation of donor mitochondria
- introduced by bovine ooplasmic transfer to the female germ-line. Biology of
- 514 reproduction 82, 563-71.
- Fu Q, Rudan P, Paabo S, Krause J (2012) Complete mitochondrial genomes reveal
- neolithic expansion into Europe. PloS one 7, e32473.
- Hagelberg E (2003) Recombination or mutation rate heterogeneity? Implications for
- 518 Mitochondrial Eve. Trends Genet 19, 84-90.
- Hagstrom E, Freyer C, Battersby BJ, Stewart JB, Larsson NG (2014) No recombination
- of mtDNA after heteroplasmy for 50 generations in the mouse maternal germline.
- 521 Nucleic Acids Res 42, 1111-6.

- Hyslop LA, Blakeley P, Craven L, Richardson J, Fogarty NM, Fragouli E, Lamb M,
- Wamaitha SE, Prathalingam N, Zhang Q et al. (2016) Towards clinical application of
- pronuclear transfer to prevent mitochondrial DNA disease. Nature 534, 383-6.
- Johnston IG (2015) Multiple hypothesis correction is vital and undermines reported
- 526 mtDNA links to diseases including AIDS, cancer, and Huntingdon's. Mitochondrial
- 527 DNA, 1-5.
- Johnston IG, Burgstaller JP, Havlicek V, Kolbe T, Rulicke T, Brem G, Poulton J, Jones NS
- 529 (2015) Stochastic modelling, Bayesian inference, and new in vivo measurements
- elucidate the debated mtDNA bottleneck mechanism. eLife 4.
- Johnston IG, Williams BP (2016) Evolutionary Inference across Eukaryotes Identifies
- 532 Specific Pressures Favoring Mitochondrial Gene Retention. Cell Systems 2, 101-11.
- Koehler CM, Lindberg GL, Brown DR, Beitz DC, Freeman AE, Mayfield JE, Myers AM
- 534 (1991) Replacement of bovine mitochondrial DNA by a sequence variant within one
- 535 generation. Genetics 129, 247-55.
- Latorre-Pellicer A, Moreno-Loshuertos R, Lechuga-Vieco AV, Sanchez-Cabo F, Torroja
- 537 C, Acin-Perez R, Calvo E, Aix E, Gonzalez-Guerra A, Logan A et al. (2016) Mitochondrial
- and nuclear DNA matching shapes metabolism and healthy ageing. Nature.
- Lippold S, Xu H, Ko A, Li M, Renaud G, Butthof A, Schroder R, Stoneking M (2014)
- Human paternal and maternal demographic histories: insights from high-resolution Y
- 541 chromosome and mtDNA sequences. Investig Genet 5, 13.
- Lott MT, Leipzig JN, Derbeneva O, Xie HM, Chalkia D, Sarmady M, Procaccio V, Wallace
- 543 DC (2013) mtDNA Variation and Analysis Using Mitomap and Mitomaster. Current
- protocols in bioinformatics / editoral board, Andreas D Baxevanis [et al] 44, 1 23 1-6.
- Mitalipov S, Amato P, Parry S, Falk MJ (2014) Limitations of preimplantation genetic
- diagnosis for mitochondrial DNA diseases. Cell reports 7, 935-7.
- Monnot S, Gigarel N, Samuels DC, Burlet P, Hesters L, Frydman N, Frydman R, Kerbrat
- V, Funalot B, Martinovic J et al. (2011) Segregation of mtDNA throughout human
- embryofetal development: m.3243A>G as a model system. Human mutation 32, 116-
- 550 25.
- 551 Morrow EH, Reinhardt K, Wolff JN, Dowling DK (2015) Risks inherent to
- mitochondrial replacement. EMBO reports 16, 541-4.
- 553 NCBI (2015)
- 554 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/?term=%28015400%5BSLEN%5D:016700%5
- 555 BSLEN%5D%29+AND+Homo%5BOrganism%5D+AND+mitochondrion%5BFILT%5D
- +NOT+%28Homo+sp.+Altai+OR+Denisova+hominin+OR+neanderthalensis+OR+heid
- 6557 elbergensis+OR+consensus+OR+ancient+human+remains%29.
- Reinhardt K, Dowling DK, Morrow EH (2013) Medicine. Mitochondrial replacement,
- evolution, and the clinic. Science 341, 1345-6.
- Sharpley MS, Marciniak C, Eckel-Mahan K, McManus M, Crimi M, Waymire K, Lin CS,
- Masubuchi S, Friend N, Koike M et al. (2012) Heteroplasmy of mouse mtDNA is
- 562 genetically unstable and results in altered behavior and cognition. Cell 151, 333-43.

- 563 St John JC (2012) Transmission, inheritance and replication of mitochondrial DNA in
- mammals: implications for reproductive processes and infertility. Cell and tissue
- 565 research 349, 795-808.
- 566 St John JC (2016) Mitochondrial DNA copy number and replication in reprogramming
- and differentiation. Seminars in cell & developmental biology 52, 93-101.
- 568 St John JC, Facucho-Oliveira J, Jiang Y, Kelly R, Salah R (2010) Mitochondrial DNA
- transmission, replication and inheritance: a journey from the gamete through the
- embryo and into offspring and embryonic stem cells. Human reproduction update 16,
- 571 488-509.
- Tachibana M, Sparman M, Sritanaudomchai H, Ma H, Clepper L, Woodward J, Li Y,
- Ramsey C, Kolotushkina O, Mitalipov S (2009) Mitochondrial gene replacement in
- 574 primate offspring and embryonic stem cells. Nature 461, 367-72.
- Takeda K, Tasai M, Iwamoto M, Akita T, Tagami T, Nirasawa K, Hanada H, Onishi A
- 576 (2006) Transmission of mitochondrial DNA in pigs and progeny derived from nuclear
- transfer of Meishan pig fibroblast cells. Molecular reproduction and development 73,
- 578 306-12.
- Taylor RW, Turnbull DM (2005) Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human disease.
- Nature reviews Genetics 6, 389-402.
- Treff NR, Campos J, Tao X, Levy B, Ferry KM, Scott RT, Jr. (2012) Blastocyst
- preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) of a mitochondrial DNA disorder. Fertility
- 583 and sterility 98, 1236-40.
- Tsai T, St John JC (2016) The role of mitochondrial DNA copy number, variants, and
- haplotypes in farm animal developmental outcome. Domestic animal endocrinology
- 586 56 Suppl. S133-46.
- Wallace DC (2015) Mitochondrial DNA variation in human radiation and disease. Cell
- 588 163, 33-8.
- Wallace DC, Chalkia D (2013) Mitochondrial DNA genetics and the heteroplasmy
- conundrum in evolution and disease. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology 5,
- 591 a021220.
- 592 Yamada M, Emmanuele V, Sanchez-Ouintero MJ, Sun B, Lallos G, Paull D, Zimmer M,
- Pagett S, Prosser RW, Sauer MV et al. (2016) Genetic Drift Can Compromise
- Mitochondrial Replacement by Nuclear Transfer in Human Oocytes. Cell stem cell 18,
- 595 749-54.