UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Potential neurovirulence of common cold virus

MacLennan, Calman; Solomon, T

DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17456-4

Citation for published version (Harvard): MacLennan, C & Solomon, T 2004, 'Potential neurovirulence of common cold virus', Lancet, vol. 364, pp. 1839-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17456-4

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research. •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1839

- 10 Llovet JM, Wurmbach E. Gene expression profiles in hepatocellular carcinoma: not yet there. J Hepatol 2004; **41**: 336–39.
- 11 lizuka N, Oka M, Yamada-Okabe H, et al. Comparison of gene expression profiles between hepatitis B virus- and hepatitis C virus-infected

Potential neurovirulence of common cold virus

An elegant recent study by Thomas Dufresne and Matthias Gromeier¹ suggests that a causative agent of the common cold, coxsackievirus A21 (CAV21), is potentially neurovirulent and could, under the right circumstances, cause a poliomyelitis-like illness. CAV21 and poliovirus are members of the enterovirus genus (family Picornaviridae) and show remarkable genetic similarity.² However, CAV21 causes upper respiratory-tract infections whereas poliovirus causes neurological disease including poliomyelitis, which clinically manifests as acute flaccid paralysis. The contrast in clinical presentation has been attributed to the different receptors used for cell invasion: intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) is the receptor for CAV21 and CD155 is the receptor for poliovirus. Not surprisingly, the genomes of these two related viruses show the greatest dissimilarity in the capsid (or viral coat) region.³

Murine ICAM-1 does not support CAV21 binding and mice are not normally susceptible to infection with this virus. Dufresne and Gromeier¹ stably inserted the gene encoding human ICAM-1 (hICAM-1) into the genome of a mouse (which thus became transgenic), resulting in expression of hICAM-1 by the animal's cells. They then inoculated one gastrocnemius muscle of the transgenic mouse with CAV21. Acute flaccid paralysis developed in the injected muscle, but not in any other muscle. CAV21 replication, motorneuron destruction, and inflammation were detected in the ipsilateral anterior horn of the spinal cord, but viral replication was not seen in the injected muscle. The abnormalities in the spinal cord did not occur if the sciatic nerve was transected before inoculation (figure). These observations suggest that CAV21 can be pathogenic for motorneurons and that this neurovirulence is dependent on invasion of the central nervous system by retrograde transport along nerve axons.

These findings are scientifically important because they suggest that CAV21 has all the machinery required to cause a poliomyelitis-like illness, but is prevented from doing so by virtue of its receptor not being expressed at the neuromuscular junction. Dufresne and Gromeier¹ found low levels of hICAM-1 at the neuromuscular junction of hICAM-1 transgenic mice, but not in wild-type mice. Unfortunately, they did not report whether there is hICAM-1 expression at the human neuromuscular junction, so leaving doubt as to how the observations translate to human beings. They also found hICAM-1 expression on motorneurons in the spinal cord of transgenic mice, but no paralysis occurred when CAV21 was injected directly into the central nervous system. This apparently paradoxical observation could be explained by a requirement for neuronal infection of a co-receptor that is expressed at the neuromuscular junction, but not by neurons in the central nervous system. A role has been found for decay accelerating factor as a coreceptor for CAV21 attachment to human cell lines⁴ and, indeed, decay accelerating factor is expressed at the neuromuscular junction,⁵ but could not be found on neurons in the central nervous system.⁶

hepatocellular carcinoma by oligonucleotide microarray data on the basis

of a supervised learning method. Cancer Res 2002; 62: 3939-44

2004 sees the 50th anniversary of Enders, Weller, and Robbins receiving the Nobel Prize in Medicine for culturing poliovirus,⁷ and the year coincides with the final stages of the Global Polio Eradication Programme. How clinically significant is the discovery of neurovirulent potential for CAV21 from a public-health perspective and should it concern us? Dufresne and Gromeier¹ chose to refer to the acute flaccid paralysis observed with CAV21 infection in hICAM-1-transgenic mice as "poliomyelitis". In line with WHO definitions, we think this term is best reserved for poliovirus and that "poliomyelitis-like illness" should be used for acute flaccid paralysis caused by other agents.⁸ Thus poliomyelitis eradication is not directly affected by Dufresne and Gromeier's findings, but their results increase our concern that the global public-health burden of acute flaccid paralysis will not disappear with the eradication of poliovirus.

Several reasons suggest that CAV21 itself is unlikely to be a clinically significant cause of poliomyelitis-like illness in human beings. CAV21 infection in the hICAM-1 transgenic mice is site-restricted and much less aggressive than poliomyelitis in human beings. Paralysis only occurred in the hICAM-1 transgenic mice via the intramuscular route and not with intravenous, intranasal, or central nervous system routes, and paralysis remained localised to the injected muscle. This observation is analogous to "provocation poliomyelitis" where skeletal muscle injury predisposes an individual to poliomyelitis from concurrent poliovirus infection.9 Unlike CAV21 in hICAM-1 transgenic mice, poliovirus can spread to the central nervous system directly in the context of viraemia, as well as via retrograde axonal transport. In addition, poliovirus usually enters human beings via the oral route and not by the direct intramuscular route. Dufresne and Gromeier¹ did not report the result of oral administration of CAV21 in hICAM-1 transgenic mice.

What could account for the difference in severity between CAV21-induced poliomyelitis-like illness and poliomyelitis? The most likely answer is differences in binding of viral capsid with either hICAM-1 or CD155 and the tissue distribution of these receptors and any relevant co-receptors, such as decay accelerating factor. CAV21 could present more of a threat to



Figure: Motorneuron innervating gastrocnemius muscle in three mice from experiments by Dufresne and Gromeier¹

human beings if it successfully switched its receptor specificity from hICAM-1 to CD155 and developed into a new polio-like virus. How likely is this? It has been suggested that this process would be more likely in the face of poliovirus eradication.¹⁰ RNA viruses such as the enteroviruses have extremely high mutation frequencies and exist as a mixture of slightly different viruses or quasispecies, allowing rapid evolution.¹¹ Nevertheless, wild-type poliovirus has been absent from many countries for years and no obvious replacement of poliovirus with CAV21 has emerged.

It is important to appreciate that various viruses are recognised causes of poliomyelitis-like illness, including flaviviruses such as Japanese encephalitis and West Nile virus.⁸ Several enteroviruses can cause poliomyelitis-like illness, and so it is possible that CAV21 occasionally causes a poliomyelitis-like illness, although this has never been described. To a certain extent, human enterovirus 71 has already made a bid to occupy the biological niche vacated by poliovirus. Human enterovirus 71, which is thought to enter cells via the receptor for neuron growth factor,¹² causes neurological disease, including poliomyelitis-like illness, as well as hand-foot-andmouth disease. Although first discovered in 1969, there has been a significant increase in epidemic activity for human enterovirus 71 in the Asia-Pacific region since 1997, with outbreaks in Malaysia, Taiwan, Singapore, and Australia.¹³

The neurovirulent potential of CAV21 seen by Dufresne and Gromeier¹ using a mouse transgenic for hICAM-1 is an interesting scientific finding and sheds new light on the pathogenesis of viral infections in the nervous system. At present, it seems unlikely that CAV21 will present a major threat to public health.

*Calman MacLennan, Tom Solomon

Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme, College of Medicine, PO Box 30096, Blantyre 3, Malawi; and MRC Centre for Immune Regulation, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK (CM); Departments of Neurological Science and

Medical Microbiology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK (TS) cmaclennan@mlw.medcol.mw

CM is a Wellcome Trust Training Fellow in Clinical Tropical Medicine, and TS IS A Wellcome Trust Career Development Fellow. We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

- 1 Dufresne AT, Gromeier M. A nonpolio enterovirus with respiratory tropism causes poliomyelitis in intercellular adhesion molecule-1 transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 13636-41.
- Pulli T, Koskimies P, Hyypia T. Molecular comparision of coxsackie A virus serotypes. Virology 1995; 212: 30–38.
- 3 Brown B, Oberste S, Maher K, Pallansch MA. Complete genomic sequencing shows that polioviruses and members of human enterovirus species C are closely related in the noncapsid coding region. J Virol 2003; 77: 8973–84.
- 4 Shafren DR, Dorahy DJ, Ingham RA, Burns GF, Barry RD. Coxsackie A21 binds to decay-accelerating factor but requires intercellular adhesion molecule 1 for cell entry. J Virol 1997; 71: 4736–43.
- 5 Kaminski HJ, Li Z, Richmonds C, Lin F, Medof ME. Complement regulators in extraocular muscle and experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis. *Exp Neurol* 2004; **189**: 333–42.
- 5 Singhrao SK, Neal JW, Rushmere NK, Morgan BP, Gasque P. Differential expression of individual complement regulators in the brain and choroid plexus. *Lab Invest* 1999; **79**: 1247–59.
- 7 Enders JF, Weller TH, Robbins FC. Cultivation of the Lansing strain of poliomyelitis virus in cultures of various human embryonic tissues. *Science* 1949; **109**: 85–87.
- Solomon T, Willison H. Infectious causes of acute flaccid paralysis. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2003; 16: 375–81.
- 9 Gromeier M, Wimmer E. Mechanism of injury-provoked poliomyelitis. J Virol 1998; 72: 5056-60.
- Rieder E, Gorbalenya AE, Xaio C, et al. Will the polio niche remain vacant? Dev Biol (Basel) 2001; 105: 111–22.
- 11 De la Torre JC, Giachetti C, Semler BL, Holland JJ. High frequency of singlebase transitions and extreme frequency of precise multiple-base reversion mutations in poliovirus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992; 89: 2531–35.
- 12 Wen YY, Chang TY, Chen ST, Li C, Liu HS. Comparative study of enterovirus 71 infection of human cell lines. J Med Virol 2003; 70: 109–18.
- 13 McMinn PC. An overview of the evolution of enterovirus 71 and its clinical and public health significance. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2002; 26; 91–107.

Strokes and holes and headaches: are they a package deal?

The relation between patent foramen ovale (PFO) and systemic embolisation, especially transient ischaemic attack or stroke, has attracted considerable interest over the past decades¹ because of the increasingly widespread application of diagnostic echocardiography and now transcranial

doppler ultrasound. The possibility that a young woman had a clot pass through a PFO and cause a fatal stroke was suggested in 1877.² In 1881, Zahn reported systemic embolisation through a PFO in a woman with uterine thrombi,³ he added "paradoxical embolism" to the medical