

Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score: Can We Improve Our Identification of “High Stroke Risk” Patients With Atrial Fibrillation?

Szymanski, Filip M.; Lip, Gregory Y.h.; Filipiak, Krzysztof J.; Platek, Anna E.; Hryniewicz-szymanska, Anna; Opolski, Grzegorz

DOI:

[10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Szymanski, FM, Lip, GYH, Filipiak, KJ, Platek, AE, Hryniewicz-szymanska, A & Opolski, G 2015, 'Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score: Can We Improve Our Identification of “High Stroke Risk” Patients With Atrial Fibrillation?', *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 116, no. 11, pp. 1781-1788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score: Can We Improve our Identification of 'High Stroke Risk' Patients with Atrial Fibrillation?

Filip M. Szymanski, MD, Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD, Krzysztof J. Filipiak, MD, Anna E. Platek, MD, Anna Hrynkiewicz-Szymanska, MD, Grzegorz Opolski, MD

PII: S0002-9149(15)01935-9

DOI: [10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049)

Reference: AJC 21410

To appear in: *The American Journal of Cardiology*

Received Date: 19 July 2015

Revised Date: 24 August 2015

Accepted Date: 25 August 2015

Please cite this article as: Szymanski FM, Lip GYH, Filipiak KJ, Platek AE, Hrynkiewicz-Szymanska A, Opolski G, Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score: Can We Improve our Identification of 'High Stroke Risk' Patients with Atrial Fibrillation?, *The American Journal of Cardiology* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.08.049.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score: Can We Improve our Identification of ‘High Stroke Risk’ Patients with Atrial Fibrillation?

Filip M. Szymanski, MD^{a,*}, Gregory Y.H. Lip, MD^{b,c}, Krzysztof J. Filipiak, MD^a, Anna E. Platek, MD^a, Anna Hryniewicz-Szymanska, MD^d, Grzegorz Opolski, MD^a

^a 1st Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

^b University of Birmingham Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences, City Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom

^c Aalborg Thrombosis Research Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

^d Department of Cardiology, Hypertension and Internal Diseases, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.

Running title: Stroke Risk Factors Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score

Corresponding author:

* Filip M. Szymanski, MD, PhD

1st Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw

1A Banacha Street

02-097 Warsaw, Poland

Tel.: ++48 22 599-19-58

Fax: ++48 22 599-19-57

E-mail address: filip.szymanski@wum.edu.pl

Abstract

The prevention of stroke and other thromboembolic events plays a crucial role in the management of atrial fibrillation (AF) patients. Not all AF patients are equal in terms of thromboembolic risk, therefore not all will benefit from oral anticoagulation treatment. The general principle is that the expected benefit of anticoagulation in reduction of thromboembolic risk must exceed the expected harm caused by possible bleeding. Some guidelines have focused on a categorical approach to stroke prevention, with a focus on identifying high risk patients for oral anticoagulation (OAC). Various current guidelines recommend assessment of stroke risk using the CHADS₂ or CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores in order to initially detect low-risk patients, who require no antithrombotic therapy. However, the scores do not incorporate all possible risk factors causing a high thromboembolic risk. Factors such as impaired renal function, obstructive sleep apnea, echocardiographic and biochemical or coagulation parameters can also predict adverse thromboembolic events. The present review aims to describe biomarkers whether blood, urine, imaging (cardiac or cerebral) or clinical that go beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score and potentially aid stroke risk assessment. Whilst useful in some cases, the presented parameters should be perhaps used to further refine initial identification of low risk patients, following which effective stroke prevention can be offered to those with ≥ 1 additional stroke risk factors.

Key words: atrial fibrillation; CHA₂DS₂-VASc; CHADS₂; thromboembolic risk; stroke

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is an independent risk factor for stroke, but not all AF patients have equal stroke risk and therefore, not all should be considered in the same manner. Age, sex and comorbidities influence thromboembolic risk, and it would be simplistic to regard that all risk factors carry equal weight. Various stroke risk factors had led to a development of several risk stratification scores, to aid clinical decision-making. The general principle is that the expected benefit in reduction of ischemic stroke risk associated with anticoagulation must exceed the expected bleeding-related harm. Many of the current guidelines of many scientific societies enforce making the decision on whether to use anticoagulation therapy on 1 of the 2 most widely used risk-stratification schemes, that is, the CHADS₂ or CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores. However, these scores were designed to be simple and practical, and thus, include the common stroke risk factors seen in everyday clinical practice. These scores do not include many of the less common stroke risk factors, imaging or biomarkers, and in this review article, we aim to look beyond the common stroke risk factors within these 2 clinical risk scores.

CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores: where are we now?

Over the last few decades several epidemiological studies aimed to describe factors influencing the stroke risk in AF patients. The CHADS₂ score was developed from the risk factors for stroke seen in the non-warfarin arms of the historical trials cohorts, amalgamating the risk stratification schemes of the AF Investigators and the SPAF Investigators [1]. The CHADS₂ score was validated in the hospitalised cohort of the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation (NRAF) study. Using the CHADS₂ score, points are assigned for history of (recent decompensated) Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age \geq 75 years, Diabetes mellitus and history of Stroke or transient ischemic attack. The CHADS₂ score was simple,

and promptly found its place in clinical practice. Over the years following its introduction, the limitations of the CHADS₂ score were increasingly evident [2, 3]. Indeed, external validations of the score and reviews that compared CHADS₂ with other risk assessment schemes confirmed the poor ability of the CHADS₂ score in distinguishing ‘high risk’ patients who will benefit from anticoagulation [2, 4]. Also, the CHADS₂ score poorly identified low-risk patients [5], resulting in high rates of thromboembolic events even in presumably low-risk patients.

In 2010, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc was proposed, which incorporated factors omitted in the original CHADS₂ score but included additional ‘non-CHADS₂ risk factors’, namely age 65-74, vascular disease (myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease, complex aortic plaque) and female sex [6] [Table 1]. Many subsequent analyses showed that the CHA₂DS₂-VASc particularly helped distinguish patients who were at low-risk where antithrombotic therapy was not indicated. Table 2 summarises the annual stroke and thromboembolism risk according to the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores [7].

Given that risk scores solely based on clinical risk factors only have modest predictive value for high risk patients, and that prior guideline strategies focused on a categorical (that is, low, moderate and high) risk strata approach to stroke prevention led to under-treatment of the high risk patients, a different focus was clearly needed. In addition, stroke risk is a continuum, and the artificial categorization of stroke risk into low, moderate and high risk strata simply had not improved optimal thromboprophylaxis amongst AF patients, especially amongst the ‘high risk’ category [5].

The approach associated with the introduction of CHA₂DS₂-VASc score changed clinical practice. In the 2012 focused update of the European Society of Cardiology guidelines, there was a recommendation that instead of focusing on the identification of high risk patients, a clinical practice shift was recommended so that the initial step was the

identification of ‘truly low risk’ patients (that is, CHA₂DS₂-VASc score 0 in males, 1 in females) who did not need any antithrombotic therapy. The subsequent step was to offer effective stroke prevention (i.e. oral anticoagulation) to patients with 1 or more additional stroke risk factors. Indeed, Olesen et al. found that a CHADS₂ = 0 was not ‘low risk’ and that the annual stroke risk in this group may be as high as 3.2% [8].

Guidelines do differ in their approaches. In the European Society of Cardiology and American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society (AHA/ACC/HRS), the use of CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is recommended [9, 10]. However, in the European Society of Cardiology guidelines only patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 0 in males (or 1 in females) are not recommended oral anticoagulation, while all the others with ≥ 1 stroke risk factors a recommended (or should consider) oral anticoagulants. The 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS agree with no anticoagulation in patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 0, but in those with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score = 1 the recommendation is ‘nothing, aspirin or oral anticoagulation’.

The guidelines of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society and the American College of Chest Physicians also opt for using the CHADS₂ score [11, 12]. Again, they also agree on no coagulation in CHADS₂ = 0 patients, but the Canadian Cardiovascular Society assigns oral anticoagulation to all patients with a score ≥ 1 or those age ≥ 65 years, with aspirin recommended in AF patients age <65 years with vascular disease. The 2012 American College of Chest Physicians guidelines are based on the CHADS₂ score, but in those with a CHADS₂ score = 0, they recommend consideration of ‘non CHADS₂’ risk factors (i.e. age 65-74, vascular disease and female gender) where oral anticoagulation may be considered. Details regarding the differences in all 4 guidelines are described in Figure 1 [13].

Beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score

As discussed above, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score includes the common stroke risk factors seen in everyday clinical practice. Apart from left ventricular impairment or complex aortic plaque on echocardiography, the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score does not include any imaging or biomarker parameters, nor some of the less common clinical stroke risk factors.

Impaired renal function

Chronic kidney disease is common in AF patients, and between 10 and 15% of all AF patients meet the criteria for the diagnosis [9]. The prevalence of AF also rises with the severity of renal function impairment. For example, amongst AF patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate <45 ml/min, the prevalence of AF was approximately 20% [14]. Common risk factors are responsible for development of both AF and chronic kidney disease – indeed, these include hypertension, diabetes mellitus, impaired endothelial function and inflammation, and all are associated with elevated thromboembolic risk.

Impaired renal function impairment is associated with increased thromboembolic risk in AF. For example, in female patients with AF, a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack has been linked with 7-fold increase in the stroke risk, whilst renal dysfunction resulted in an 11-fold increase [15].

One analysis of AF patients categorized according the risk assessed in the CHADS₂ score found that in the control group (patients with normal renal function) approximately 70% of patients were classified as CHADS₂ 0 or 1 points, while in the group with CKD stage >III almost 70% had CHADS₂ ≥2 points [16]. This accentuated risk with chronic kidney disease in AF patients led to a proposal to include chronic kidney disease into the existing CHA₂DS₂-VASc scheme, whereby the little “c” on the end of the acronym was proposed to be translated as “chronic severe renal impairment” [17].

In the interim, the R₂CHADS₂ score was proposed based on an analysis of the

ROCKET AF study [18]. The initial “R₂” in the acronym stood for renal dysfunction and meant that a patient was assigned 2 additional points when his glomerular filtration rate was <60 mL/min. The initial analysis showed that the predictive value of this score was comparable to CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc, but has several major limitations. Also, the derivation of R₂CHADS₂ was from a selected anticoagulated clinical trial cohort, which only included high risk subjects (CHADS₂ score ≥2, and those with score=2 were capped at 10%) and those with creatinine clearance <30 mL/min were excluded.

Various ‘real world’ cohorts with a broad spectrum of stroke risk and renal function showed that renal function did not significantly improve the prediction value of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score for identifying high risk patients. For example, Roldán et al. showed that adding chronic kidney disease to CHADS₂ nor CHA₂DS₂-VASc in AF patients did not provide additional benefit in risk stratification [19]. Renal impairment is associated with heart failure, age, diabetes, vascular disease, etc. – which are components within the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. Thus, it would be difficult to show an incremental predictive advantage in stroke prediction by adding renal impairment to CHA₂DS₂-VASc.

There is little doubt that chronic kidney disease in AF patients represents a high risk group. For example, Lin et al. identified 338 individuals with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0–1 points and followed them for systemic thromboembolic events, including acute ischemic stroke, transient ischemic attack, and peripheral artery embolism [20]. In low-risk patients without the chronic kidney disease annual event rate was 0.2%, whereas with chronic kidney disease patients the event rate was 2.9% (p<0.001). Similar conclusions were evident in a study of patients after AF ablation [21], where in patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0–1 presence of chronic kidney disease was associated with a 14-fold increase in the rate of thromboembolic events. Therefore all AF patients with chronic kidney disease are at increased risk of thromboembolic events.

Obstructive sleep apnea

Obstructive sleep apnea is among the more novel cardiovascular risk factors, associated with a number of cardiovascular diseases, including hypertension, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke or arrhythmias. This translates into elevated risk of stroke seen in but this seems to be related to several factors, including a prothrombotic state, but endothelial dysfunction, intermittent hypoxia, variations in intrathoracic pressure, and recurrent arousals associated with increased heart rate and blood pressure [22].

The prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea amongst AF patients is very high. In the general population, the estimated obstructive sleep apnea prevalence is 2-4%, while in AF patients referred for ablation, obstructive sleep apnea was found in nearly 46% of cases [23]. Recently, obstructive sleep apnea and AF, along with erectile dysfunction, have been proposed to be a single clinical entity. [24]

Obstructive sleep apnea is directly and independently associated with elevated thromboembolic risk in AF. Our study included 254 AF patients who underwent polygraphy for a diagnosis of OSA and had their thromboembolic risk assessed using the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores [25]. The patients with obstructive sleep apnea had significantly higher CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores, and with along with the increasing obstructive sleep apnea severity – expressed by higher values of the apnea-hypopnea index, also the mean risk score rose. A paper by Yaranov et al. [26] found that in patients with CHA₂DS₂-VASc = 0, the presence of obstructive sleep apnea increased the risk of stroke by 62%.

Other clinical risk factors associated with increased thromboembolism

Amyloid is a fibrous protein aggregate composed of various form of inappropriately folded proteins and polypeptides. It is associated with degenerative diseases of central

nervous system and the heart. One of its sub-forms - serum amyloid protein A is considered to be an inflammatory cytokine. Elevated serum amyloid protein A levels have been found in AF patients and are associated with atrial remodelling and inflammatory state and promotes vascular thrombosis. This association is confirmed by higher levels of serum amyloid protein A found in patients with venous thromboembolism compared to control group. A different type of the protein, namely β -amyloid is extensively deposited within the walls of small vessels, especially in the elderly. The presence of amyloid angiopathy in the cerebral vessels predisposes to higher risk for thromboembolic events but also for intracranial hemorrhage related to anticoagulation [27].

Also, some myocardial disease like obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HC) are linked with alterations in coagulation parameters. In obstructive HC patients various indicators of the coagulation activation are found. They include elevated levels of plasma fibrinopeptide A and thrombin-antithrombin HI complex. Nearly 15% of HC patients develop AF, and such patients are at high risk of stroke and thromboembolism [28]. Others have proposed the addition of hyperlipidaemia and smoking to CHA_2DS_2 -VASc, the so-called CHA_2DS_2 -VASc-HS score, proposed in order to predict vascular events, not thromboembolism [29].

Echocardiography

Echocardiography is among the most often used imaging techniques used in AF patients. Transesophageal echocardiography is a method more accurate in assessing left atrial appendage size, function and presence of thrombus. It is routinely used prior to cardioversion or catheter ablation to prevent procedure-related thromboembolism. Nevertheless, the transthoracic echocardiography also plays a role in the thromboembolic risk stratification of AF patients. Transthoracic echocardiography is a method more accessible and more often

used. Recent guidelines of the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) state that transesophageal echocardiography may help in assessment of patients, in whom refinement of clinical risk stratification for antithrombotic therapy is needed. [30]

Utility of both imaging techniques in the stroke assessment has been proven in numerous studies, which are briefly summarized in the Table 3 (modified from Providência et al. [31]). Most of the prognostic factors described in the literature are associated to the left atrium morphology and function.

As we have recently shown, the simplest measurement derived from left atrial diameter is a good predictor of thromboembolic risk [40], whereby atrial enlargement is not only related to the higher risk scores, but similarly as in the case of obstructive sleep apnea, the thromboembolic risk rises along with the rising left atrial size.

There is a relation between CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores and left atrial remodelling status including its maximum volume index, total emptying fraction and mean strain [31]. Other described echocardiographic parameters include mechanical discordance, electromechanical delay or increased orifice size and decreased flow velocity of left atrial appendage, spontaneous contrast, smoke, sludge, or thrombus [41].

Biomarkers

There have been a wide plethora of biomarkers that have been tested in AF, and have been related to prognosis and stroke risk in AF. These biomarkers include those related to myocardial stress or injury (i.e. troponins, natriuretic peptides), altered coagulation (D-dimer, plasminogen activator inhibitor, tissue factor, P-selectin), endothelial damage/dysfunction (thrombomodulin, E-selectin, von Willebrand factor), inflammation (C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor- α), fibrosis and extracellular matrix turnover (transforming growth factor- β , myeloperoxidase, metallopeptidases and their inhibitor) or

genetic factors (micro RNA, single-nucleotide polymorphisms) [42].

Stroke and thromboembolic events in AF patients are caused mainly by alteration in the 3 components of Virchow's triad, as follows: (i) abnormal blood flow (blood stasis in the left atrium), (ii) vessel wall abnormalities (endothelial and endocardial damage/dysfunction, oxidative stress) and (iii) abnormal blood constituents.

Table 4 (modified from Kornej et al. [42]) shows a wide spectrum of the biomarkers that have been associated with stroke or adverse thromboembolic events in patients with AF [Table 4]. Of course, a balance is needed between complex additional biomarker tests that require time and generate costs, and practical simplicity of risk prediction schemes used in clinical practice in busy wards or clinics. Thus, more emphasis now put on the initial identification of "truly low risk" patients, and therefore biomarkers with high negative-predictive value for ruling out thrombosis may be particularly useful.

Endothelial damage/dysfunction often measured by levels of circulating von Willebrand factor was the first biomarker shown to help refined clinical risk stratification in AF, improving the predictive value of the CHADS₂ and Birmingham scores [57]. Other factors include uric acid that was also useful in refining risk assessment [58]. The addition of troponin to risk assessment scheme similarly helped identify patients with higher risk of intracardiac thrombi as seen on the echocardiography [59]. Another marker of myocardial stress, that is, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide provides complementary prognostic information to the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score [60]. More recently, growth differentiation factor 15 a novel marker of oxidative stress and inflammation was tested in an anticoagulated clinical trial population [61]. Higher growth differentiation factor 15 concentrations were associated with a risk stroke or systemic embolism, major bleeding and death, and the association in this selected trial cohort remained significant after adjustment for risk factors included in the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score.

Adding large number of biomarkers (listed in table 5.) may help improve prediction of 'high risk' but would be at the cost or disadvantage of adding substantial complexity, expense and lack of practicality especially where rapid decisions are needed. [Table 5] Extensive and complex biochemical, imaging, and physical assessment are perhaps not mandatory in every AF patient to decide on anticoagulation. Whilst useful in some cases, the presented parameters should be perhaps used to further refine the initial identification of low risk patients, following which effective stroke prevention can be offered to those with ≥ 1 additional stroke risk factors. Proposed scheme for the AF patients screening for additional non-CHA₂DS₂-VASc risk factors is proposed in the figure 2. [Figure 2]

1. Gage BF, Waterman AD, Shannon W, Boehler M, Rich MW, Radford MJ. Validation of clinical classification schemes for predicting stroke: results from the National Registry of Atrial Fibrillation. *JAMA* 2001;285:2864–2870.
2. Keogh C, Wallace E, Dillon C, Dimitrov BD, Fahey T. Validation of the CHADS2 clinical prediction rule to predict ischaemic stroke. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Haemost* 2011;106:528–538.
3. Karthikeyan G, Eikelboom JW. The CHADS2 score for stroke risk stratification in atrial fibrillation - friend or foe? *Thromb Haemost* 2010;104:45–48.
4. Fang MC, Go AS, Chang Y, Borowsky L, Pomernacki NK, Singer DE; ATRIA Study Group. Comparison of risk stratification schemes to predict thromboembolism in people with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51:810–815.
5. Nieuwlaat R, Capucci A, Lip GY, Olsson SB, Prins MH, Nieman FH, López-Sendón J, Vardas PE, Aliot E, Santini M, Crijns HJ; Euro Heart Survey Investigators. Antithrombotic treatment in real-life atrial fibrillation patients: a report from the Euro Heart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:3018–3026.
6. Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the EuroHeart Survey on Atrial Fibrillation. *Chest* 2010;137:263–272.
7. Olesen JB, Lip GY, Hansen ML, Hansen PR, Tolstrup JS, Lindhardsen J, Selmer C, Ahlehoff O, Olsen AM, Gislason GH, Torp-Pedersen C. Validation of risk stratification schemes for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in patients with atrial fibrillation: nationwide cohort study. *BMJ*. 2011;342:d124.
8. Olesen JB, Torp-Pedersen C, Hansen ML, Lip GY. The value of the CHA2DS2-VASc score for refining stroke risk stratification in patients with atrial fibrillation with a

- CHADS2 score 0–1: a nationwide cohort study. *Thromb Haemost* 2012;107:1172–1179.
9. Camm AJ, Lip GY, De Caterina R, Savelieva I, Atar D, Hohnloser SH, Hindricks G, Kirchhof P; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG). 2012 focused update of the ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation: an update of the 2010 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Eur Heart J* 2012;33:2719-2747.
 10. January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC Jr, Conti JB, Ellinor PT, Ezekowitz MD, Field ME, Murray KT, Sacco RL, Stevenson WG, Tchou PJ, Tracy CM, Yancy CW; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2014 AHA/ ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;64:e1–76.
 11. You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, Kane DA, Eckman MH, Fang MC, Hylek EM, Schulman S, Go AS, Hughes M, Spencer FA, Manning WJ, Halperin JL, Lip GY; American College of Chest Physicians. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence- Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012;141:e531S–575S.
 12. Verma A, Cairns JA, Mitchell LB, Macle L, Stiell IG, Gladstone D, McMurtry MS, Connolly S, Cox JL, Dorian P, Ivers N, Leblanc K, Nattel S, Healey JS; CCS Atrial Fibrillation Guidelines. 2014 focused update of the Canadian cardiovascular society

- guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation. *Can J Cardiol* 2014;30:1114–1130.
13. Chen-Scarabelli C, Scarabelli TM, Ellenbogen KA, Halperin JL. Device-detected atrial fibrillation: what to do with asymptomatic patients? *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65:281–294.
14. Soliman EZ, Prineas RJ, Go AS, Xie D, Lash JP, Rahman M, Ojo A, Teal VL, Jensvold NG, Robinson NL, Dries DL, Bazzano L, Mohler ER, Wright JT, Feldman HI; Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC) Study Group. Chronic kidney disease and prevalent atrial fibrillation: the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort (CRIC). *Am Heart J* 2010;159:1102–1107.
15. Banerjee A, Fauchier L, Vourc'h P, Andres CR, Taillandier S, Halimi JM, Lip GY. A prospective study of estimated glomerular filtration rate and outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Loire Valley Atrial Fibrillation Project. *Chest* 2014;145:1370–1382.
16. Lai HM, Aronow WS, Kalen P, Adapa S, Patel K, Goel A, Vinnakota R, Chugh S, Garrick R. Incidence of thromboembolic stroke and of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation and chronic kidney disease treated with and without warfarin. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis* 2009;2:33–37.
17. Marinigh R, Lane DA, Lip GY. Severe renal impairment and stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: implications for thromboprophylaxis and bleeding risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:1339–1348.
18. Piccini JP, Stevens SR, Chang Y, Singer DE, Lokhnygina Y, Go AS, Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Halperin JL, Breithardt G, Hankey GJ, Hacke W, Becker RC, Nessel CC, Fox KA, Califf RM; ROCKET AF Steering Committee and Investigators. Renal dysfunction as a predictor of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with

nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: validation of the R2CHADS2 index in the ROCKET AF (Rivaroxaban Once-Daily, Oral, Direct Factor Xa Inhibition Compared with Vitamin K Antagonism for Prevention of Stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation) and ATRIA (Anticoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial Fibrillation) study cohorts. *Circulation* 2013;127:224–232.

19. Roldán V, Marín F, Manzano-Fernandez S, Fernández H, Gallego P, Valdés M, Vicente V, Lip GY. Does chronic kidney disease improve the predictive value of the CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc stroke stratification risk scores for atrial fibrillation? *Thromb Haemost* 2013;109:956–960.
20. Lin WY, Lin YJ, Chung FP, Chao TF, Liao JN, Chang SL, Lo LW, Hu YF, Chiang CE, Cheng SM, Lin WS, Chen SA. Impact of renal dysfunction on clinical outcome in patients with low risk of atrial fibrillation. *Circ J* 2014;78:853–858.
21. Chao TF, Tsao HM, Ambrose K, Lin YJ, Lin WS, Chang SL, Lo LW, Hu YF, Tuan TC, Suenari K, Li CH, Hartono B, Chang HY, Chung FP, Hanafy DA, Lin WY, Chen SA. Renal dysfunction and the risk of thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation after catheter ablation-the potential role beyond the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;9:1755–1760.
22. Butt M, Dwivedi G, Khair O, Lip GY. Obstructive sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease. *Int J Cardiol* 2010;139:7–16.
23. Szymanski FM, Platek AE, Karpinski G, Kozluk E, Puchalski B, Filipiak KJ. Obstructive sleep apnoea in patients with atrial fibrillation: prevalence, determinants and clinical characteristics of patients in Polish population. *Kardiol Pol* 2014;72:716–724.
24. Szymanski FM, Puchalski B, Filipiak KJ. Obstructive sleep apnea, atrial fibrillation, and erectile dysfunction: are they only coexisting conditions or a new clinical

- syndrome? The concept of the OSAFED syndrome. *Pol Arch Med Wewn* 2013;123:701–707.
25. Szymanski FM, Filipiak KJ, Platek AE, Hryniewicz-Szymanska A, Karpinski G, Opolski G. Assessment of CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores in obstructive sleep apnea patients with atrial fibrillation. *Sleep Breath* 2015;19:531–537.
26. Yaranov DM, Smyrlis A, Usatii N, Butler A4, Petrini JR, Mendez J, Warshofsky MK. Effect of obstructive sleep apnea on frequency of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol* 2015;115:461–465.
27. Paciaroni M, Agnelli G. Should oral anticoagulants be restarted after warfarin-associated cerebral haemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation? *Thromb Haemost* 2014;111:14–18.
28. Yamamoto K, Ikeda U, Furuhashi K, Irokawa M, Nakayama T, Shimada K. The coagulation system is activated in idiopathic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;25:1634–1640.
29. Cetin M, Cakici M, Zencir C, Tasolar H, Baysal E, Balli M, Akturk E. Prediction of coronary artery disease severity using CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores and a newly defined CHA2DS2-VASc-HS score. *Am J Cardiol* 2014;113:950–956.
30. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Atrial fibrillation: the management of atrial fibrillation. London: Royal College of Physicians; 2014. <http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg180/resources/guidance-atrial-fibrillation-the-management-of-atrial-fibrillation-pdf> (22 August 2015, date last accessed).
31. Providência R, Trigo J, Paiva L, Barra S. The role of echocardiography in thromboembolic risk assessment of patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2013;2:801–812.

32. The Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. Predictors of thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation: II. Echocardiographic features of patients at risk. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;116:6–12.
33. Osranek M, Bursi F, Bailey KR, Grossardt BR, Brown RD Jr, Kopecky SL, Tsang TS, Seward JB. Left atrial volume predicts cardiovascular events in patients originally diagnosed with lone atrial fibrillation: three-decade follow-up. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:2556–2561.
34. Lee SH, Choi S, Chung WJ, Byun YS, Ryu SK, Pyun WB, Rim SJ. Tissue Doppler index, E/E0 , and ischemic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Neurol Sci* 2008;271:148–152.
35. Shin HW, Kim H, Son J, Yoon HJ, Park HS, Cho YK, Han CD, Nam CW, Hur SH, Kim YN, Kim KB. Tissue Doppler imaging as a prognostic marker for cardiovascular events in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction and atrial fibrillation. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2010;23:755–761.
36. Azemi T, Rabdiya VM, Ayirala SR, McCullough LD, Silverman DI. Left atrial strain is reduced in patients with atrial fibrillation, stroke or TIA, and low risk CHADS2 scores. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2012;25:1327–1332.
37. Zabalgoitia M, Halperin JL, Pearce LA, Blackshear JL, Asinger RW, Hart RG. Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III Investigators. Transesophageal echocardiographic correlates of clinical risk of thromboembolism in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;31:1622–1626.
38. The Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Investigators Committee on Echocardiography. Transesophageal echocardiographic correlates of thromboembolism in high-risk patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;128:639–647.

39. Dawn B, Varma J, Singh P, Longaker RA, Stoddard MF. Cardiovascular death in patients with atrial fibrillation is better predicted by left atrial thrombus and spontaneous echocardiographic contrast as compared with clinical parameters. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2005;18:199–205.
40. Hryniewicz-Szymanska A, Dluzniewski M, Platek AE, Szymanski FM, Syska-Suminska J, Klos-Szadryn A, Glinka M, Strojek M, Kuciej A, Tomaszewska-Kiecana M. Association of the CHADS2 and CHA2DS2-VASc scores with left atrial enlargement: a prospective cohort study of unselected atrial fibrillation patients. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2015;40:240-247.
41. Nar G, Inci S, Aksan G, Soylu K, Demirelli S, Nar R. The Relationships between Atrial Electromechanical Delay and CHA2DS2-VASc Score in Patients Diagnosed with Paroxysmal AF. *Echocardiography* 2014 doi: 10.1111/echo.12855.
42. Kornej J, Apostolakis S, Bollmann A, Lip GY. The emerging role of biomarkers in atrial fibrillation. *Can J Cardiol* 2013;29:1181–1193.
43. Heppell RM, Berkin KE, McLenachan JM, Davies JA. Haemostatic and haemodynamic abnormalities associated with left atrial thrombosis in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation. *Heart* 1997;77:407–411.
44. Mondillo S, Sabatini L, Agricola E, Ammataro T, Guerrini F, Barbati R, Pastore M, Fineschi D, Nami R. Correlation between left atrial size, prothrombotic state and markers of endothelial dysfunction in patients with lone chronic nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol* 2000;75:227–232.
45. Conway DS, Pearce LA, Chin BS, Hart RG, Lip GY. Prognostic value of plasma von Willebrand factor and soluble p-selectin as indices of endothelial damage and platelet activation in 994 patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 2003;107:3141–3145.

46. Conway DS, Buggins P, Hughes E, Lip GY. Relationship of interleukin-6 and c-reactive protein to the prothrombotic state in chronic atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:2075–2082.
47. Heeringa J, Conway DS, van der Kuip DA, Hofman A, Breteler MM, Lip GY, Witteman JC. A longitudinal population-based study of prothrombotic factors in elderly subjects with atrial fibrillation: the Rotterdam study 1990-1999. *J Thromb Haemost* 2006;4:1944–1949.
48. Nozawa T, Inoue H, Hirai T, Iwasa A, Okumura K, Lee JD, Shimizu A, Hayano M, Yano K. D-dimer level influences thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol* 2006;109:59–65.
49. Ferro D, Loffredo L, Polimeni L, Fimognari F, Villari P, Pignatelli P, Fuster V, Violi F. Soluble CD40 ligand predicts ischemic stroke and myocardial infarction in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2007;27:2763–2768.
50. Lip GY, Patel JV, Hughes E, Hart RG. High-sensitivity c-reactive protein and soluble CD40 ligand as indices of inflammation and platelet activation in 880 patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: relationship to stroke risk factors, stroke risk stratification schema, and prognosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:1229–1237.
51. Kurl S, Ala-Kopsala M, Ruskoaho H, Mäkikallio T, Nyysönen K, Vuolteenaho O, Sivenius J, Salonen JT, Laukkanen JA. Plasma N-terminal fragments of natriuretic peptides predict the risk of stroke and atrial fibrillation in men. *Heart* 2009;95:1067–1071.
52. Pinto A, Tuttolomondo A, Casuccio A, Di Raimondo D, Di Sciacca R, Arnao V, Licata G. Immuno-inflammatory predictors of stroke at follow-up in patients with chronic non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAf). *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2009;116:781–789.

53. Yuce M, Cakici M, Davutoglu V, Ozer O, Sari I, Ercan S, Sucu M, Dogan A, Yavuz F. Relationship between mean platelet volume and atrial thrombus in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 2010;21:722–725.
54. Sadanaga T, Kohsaka S, Mitamura H, Ogawa S. Elevated b-type natriuretic peptide level as a marker of subsequent thromboembolic events in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Heart Vessels* 2011;26:530–535.
55. Hijazi Z, Oldgren J, Andersson U, Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Hohnloser SH, Reilly PA, Vinereanu D, Siegbahn A, Yusuf S, Wallentin L. Cardiac biomarkers are associated with an increased risk of stroke and death in patients with atrial fibrillation: a randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulation therapy (RE-LY) substudy. *Circulation* 2012;125:1605–1616.
56. Sugiura S, Fujii E, Senga M, Sugiura E, Nakamura M, Ito M. Clinical features of patients with left atrial thrombus undergoing anticoagulant therapy. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2012;34:59–63.
57. Lip GY, Lane D, Van Walraven C, Hart RG. Additive role of plasma von Willebrand factor levels to clinical factors for risk stratification of patients with atrial fibrillation. *Stroke* 2006;37:2294–2300.
58. Chao TF, Liu CJ, Chen SJ, Wang KL, Lin YJ, Chang SL, Lo LW, Hu YF, Tuan TC, Chen TJ, Tsao HM, Chen SA. Hyperuricemia and the risk of ischemic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation - could it refine clinical risk stratification in AF? *Int J Cardiol* 2014;170:344–349.
59. Providência R, Paiva L, Faustino A, Botelho A, Trigo J, Casalta-Lopes J, Nascimento J, Leitão-Marques AM. Cardiac troponin I: prothrombotic risk marker in non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;167:877–882.

60. Roldán V, Vílchez JA, Manzano-Fernández S, Jover E, Gálvez J, Puche CM, Valdés M, Vicente V, Lip GY, Marín F. Usefulness of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic Peptide levels for stroke risk prediction in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. *Stroke* 2014;45:696–701.
61. Wallentin L, Hijazi Z, Andersson U, Alexander JH, De Caterina R, Hanna M, Horowitz JD, Hylek EM, Lopes RD, Asberg S, Granger CB, Siegbahn A; ARISTOTLE Investigators.. Growth differentiation factor 15, a marker of oxidative stress and inflammation, for risk assessment in patients with atrial fibrillation: insights from the Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation (ARISTOTLE) trial. *Circulation* 2014;130:1847-1858.

Figure legends.

Figure 1. Comparison of risk assessment schemes used in different guidelines [21].

Figure 2. Proposed flow-chart for thromboembolic risk assessment in atrial fibrillation patients.

ACCP – American College of Chest Physicians, AHA/AC/HRS – American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society, CCS – Canadian Cardiovascular Society, CKD – chronic kidney disease, CrCl – creatinine clearance, ESC - European Society of Cardiology, vit. K – vitamin K.

Table 1. Comparison of the CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores.*LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction***criteria from the validation study*

| CHADS ₂ | | | CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc | |
|--|--------|----------|--|--|
| Description* | Points | Letter | Points | Description* |
| Recent heart failure exacerbation | 1 | C | 1 | Moderate to severe systolic left ventricular dysfunction, LVEF ≤40%, or recent decompensated heart failure requiring hospitalization |
| History of hypertension | 1 | H | 1 | History of hypertension |
| Age ≥75 years | 1 | A | 2 | Age ≥75 years |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1 | D | 1 | Diabetes mellitus |
| History of stroke or transient ischemic attack | 2 | S | 2 | History of stroke, transient ischemic attack or thromboembolism |
| | | | 1 | History of myocardial infarction, complex aortic plaque or peripheral artery disease |
| | | | 1 | Age 65 to 74 years |
| | | | 1 | Female sex |

Table 2. Comparison of the annual hospital admission and death rates due to thromboembolism (includes peripheral artery embolism, ischaemic stroke, and pulmonary embolism) as assessed by CHADS₂ and CHA₂DS₂-VASc scores.

| CHADS₂ | | CHA₂DS₂-VASc |
|--------------------------|----------|---|
| Annual risk | Points | Annual risk |
| 1.7% (1.5-1.9) | 0 | 0.8% (0.6-1.0) |
| 4.8% (4.5-5.1) | 1 | 2.0% (1.7-2.4) |
| 7.3% (6.9-7.8) | 2 | 3.7% (3.4-4.1) |
| 15.5% (14.6-16.4) | 3 | 5.9% (5.5-6.3) |
| 21.6% (20.0-23.2) | 4 | 9.3% (8.7-9.9) |
| 19.7% (16.9-23.0) | 5 | 15.3% (14.4-16.2) |
| 22.4% (14.6-34.3) | 6 | 19.7% (18.2-21.4) |
| | 7 | 21.5% (18.8-24.6) |
| | 8 | 22.3% (16.3-30.8) |
| | 9 | 23.6% (10.6-52.6) |

Table 3. Echocardiography parameters in prediction of various thromboembolic events in atrial fibrillation patients.

| Study | Year | Number of participants, type of AF | Parameter | Main findings |
|---|-------------|--|--|---|
| TRANSTHORACIC ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY | | | | |
| SPAF Investigators [32] | 1992 | 568 + non-rheumatic | 14 transthoracic variables i.e. LA size, LV posterior wall, LV end diastolic/systolic dimensions, regional dysfunction | LA size and depressed LVEF were independent predictors of thromboembolism |
| Osranek et al. [33] | 2005 | 46 + lone | LA volume index, LV ejection fraction | LA volume ≥ 32 mL/m ² was associated worse event-free survival |
| Lee et al. [34] | 2008 | 330 + persistent | E/E' ratio | E/E' ratio was independently associated with ischemic stroke |
| Shin et al. [35] | 2010 | 148 + AF and heart failure with preserved EF | S' and E' | S' and E', were predictors of a composite of cardiovascular death, recurrent heart failure, and ischemic stroke |
| Azemi et al. [36] | 2012 | 57 + non-valvular | LA strain | Reduced peak negative and peak positive LA strain values in patients with stroke |
| TRANSESOPHAGEAL ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY | | | | |
| Zabalgaitia et al. [37] | 1998 | 789 + non-valvular | LA appendage thrombi, dense SEC, LA appendage peak flow velocities ≤ 20 cm/sec, complex aortic plaque | All factors were associated with increased thromboembolic risk |
| SPAF Committee on Echocardiography [38] | 1998 | 382 + non-valvular | LA abnormality, complex aortic plaque, LA appendage abnormality | LA abnormality, complex aortic plaque, LA appendage abnormality was associated with high risk for stroke |
| Dawn et al. [39] | 2005 | 175 | LA appendage thrombus, LA SEC | LA appendage thrombus and LA SEC were predictors of cardiovascular death |

AF, atrial fibrillation; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; SEC, spontaneous echocardiographic contrast; SPAF III, Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III;

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 4. Biomarkers in prediction of various thromboembolic events in atrial fibrillation patients

| Study | Year | Participants | Biomarker | Investigation |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Heppell et al. [43] | 1997 | 109 | BTG, von Willebrand factor | Association with presence of left atrial thrombosis |
| Mondillo et al. [44] | 2000 | 45 chronic AF, 35 control | von Willebrand factor, thrombomodulin | Higher levels in chronic AF; association with a prothrombotic state and endothelial dysfunction, coagulation factors and left atrial dimension |
| Conway et al. [45] | 2003 | 994 AF patients taking aspirin | von Willebrand factor, P-selectin | Rise in von Willebrand was predictive of stroke and vascular events |
| Conway et al. [46] | 2004 | 106 AF; 41 control | Interleukin 6, CRP, TF | Higher levels in AF patients; TF associated with stroke risk |
| Heeringa et al. [47] | 2006 | 162 AF, 324 control | P-selectin | Association with adverse outcomes in AF |
| Nozawa et al. [48] | 2006 | 509 | D-dimer | Prediction of thromboembolic events even in AF patients on anticoagulation |
| Ferro et al. [49] | 2007 | 285 | CD-40 ligand | Predictor of vascular events (stroke and myocardial infarct) |
| Lip et al. [50] | 2007 | 880 | hsCRP | Correlation with stroke risk factors and prognosis (mortality, cardiovascular events) |
| Kurl et al. [51] | 2009 | 958 | NT-proBNP, NT-proANP | Predictor for stroke and AF in men |
| Pinto et al. [52] | 2009 | 373 | TNF- α , IL-6, von Willebrand factor | Predictor for new-onset stroke in persistent AF |
| Yuce et al. [53] | 2010 | 205 chronic AF | MPV | MPV is not related with left atrial thrombus in patients with chronic AF |
| Sadanaga et al. [54] | 2011 | 261 | BNP | Association with thromboembolic events in patients with AF during oral anticoagulant therapy |
| Hijazi et al. [55] | 2012 | 6 189 | NT-proBNP, Troponin I | Association with risk for stroke and mortality |

AF, atrial fibrillation; BTG, β -thromboglobulin; CHF, chronic heart failure; CRP, C-reactive protein; HF, heart failure; hsCRP, highly sensitive C-reactive protein; IL, interleukin; LAA, left atrial appendage; MMP, metalloproteinase; MPV, mean platelet volume; NT-proANP, N-terminal prohormone of ANP; NT-proBNP, N-terminal prohormone of BNP; OAC, oral anticoagulants; SPAF III, Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation III; TF, tissue factor; TNF, tumour necrosis factor;

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 5. Some of the factors associated with an increased thromboembolic risk, that are not issued in the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score.

NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic protein

Factors associated with an increased thromboembolic risk, that are not issued in the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score

- chronic kidney disease
 - obstructive sleep apnea
 - left atrial enlargement
 - left atrial strain
 - atrial mechanical discordance, electromechanical delay or increased orifice size
 - decreased flow velocity of left atrial appendage
 - echocardiographic spontaneous contrast, smoke, sludge, or thrombus
 - troponin
 - NT-proBNP
 - adiponectine
 - D-dimer
 - smoking
-



