

# Europeanization and the Turkish–Cypriot Political Parties: How Europe Matters

Kyris, George

DOI:

[10.1080/14683849.2012.717446](https://doi.org/10.1080/14683849.2012.717446)

License:

None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Kyris, G 2012, 'Europeanization and the Turkish–Cypriot Political Parties: How Europe Matters', *Turkish Studies*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 471-487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14683849.2012.717446>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in *Turkish Studies* on 26th September 2012, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/14683849.2012.717446>

**General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

**Take down policy**

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

**Europeanization and the  
Turkish-Cypriot Political Parties:  
How Europe Matters**

*George Kyris*

*The University of Manchester*

[Georgios.kyris@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:Georgios.kyris@manchester.ac.uk)

***Abstract***

*The paper seeks to investigate the EU effect on the Turkish-Cypriot political parties via a) the prospects for EU integration as part of a united Cyprus and b) the recently commenced Brussels-northern Nicosia interaction. In doing so, the paper engages with the discussion on 'Europeanization' and argues that the EU has affected the Turkish-Cypriot party competition and its outcome. This process of Europeanization is importantly mediated by two specificities of the Turkish-Cypriot case: the centrality of the Cyprus problem in the public debate as well as the international non-recognition and isolation of the Turkish-Cypriots.*

**Keywords:** European Union, Europeanization, political parties, Turkish-Cypriot community

**Introduction**

The Cyprus problem, which casts its shadow over the entire socio-political life in Cyprus, constitutes the most diachronic cleavage of the Turkish-Cypriot (TC) party competition. Based on their stance towards solution to the Greek-Cypriot (GC) -TC dispute, TC political parties can be divided between those that support a solution based on a formula of a federate state (*moderates*) and those who favor a less compromising settlement (*hard-*

*liners*). In recent years, the issue of the Cyprus problem has been associated to the prospects of European Union (EU) integration for the TCs: solution to the Cyprus issue has meant the inclusion of the TCs in the EU environment, as partners of a bi-communal state, which would replace the GC-controlled Republic of Cyprus (RoC) in the process of EU integration. As a result, moderates have generally advocated federative solution and the EU, while the hard-liners have been more sceptical. This division between pro-EU moderates and eurosceptic hardliners is reinforced by the recently commenced EU-TC relations, which, during the period of our research (2002-10), have been led by the moderate governing elites. Initially, the engagement with the EU resulted in a triumph of the moderates (2003, 2005) but recent elections (2009, 2010) saw the return of the hard-liners to the TC leadership, also as a result of their pro-EU profile.

This increased EU relevance for the domestic TC matters present us with the task to explore the EU effect on the TC political parties and their competition, via two main channels: a) the linkage of the Cyprus problem to the EU future of the TCs as well as b) the fresh EU-TC interaction. In pursuing this objective, the paper engages with the literature on Europeanization, which seeks to explore the process through which the EU impacts domestic politics. It is posited that the process of Europeanization of the TC political parties is importantly mediated by a) the Cyprus problem, as a domestic matter of paramount importance and b) the international non-recognition and isolation of the TC community, in the administrative face of self-declared Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (TRNC)<sup>1</sup>. In this context, this paper offers important empirical knowledge for the TC political scene in recent years but also useful insight into the *stimuli* or limitations of the EU to affect domestic politics.

The article is structured in five parts. Firstly, the conceptual framework of Europeanization is introduced. The second and third parts discuss the EU effect on TC political parties. The period of research (2002-10) is divided in two eras: before and after the year 2006, which saw the intensification of EU-TC interaction<sup>2</sup>. This distinction is very important for the channels (i.e. prospects for EU integration and post-2006 EU-TC interaction) via which the EU effect has taken place. The fourth part links the empirical findings to the conceptual discussion, before a series of conclusive remarks, in the final fifth section. The article draws on extensive qualitative research of policy papers and public discourse and a wide range of interviews with TC and EU elites.

### **Europeanization: A Review**

Although ‘many faces of Europeanization’ have been suggested (Olsen 2002), the majority of the literature has approached the phenomenon as a process whereby the EU impacts on the national level, and, more specifically, domestic politics, policy and polity (Ladrech 1994, Borzel 1999, Wallace 2000, Goetz and Hix 2001, Buller and Gamble 2002, Töller 2010). In an accommodating definition, Radaelli (2000, 4) has termed Europeanization as a

process of (a) construction (b) diffusion and (c) institutionalization of formal and informal rules, procedures, policy paradigms, styles, ‘ways of doing things’ and shared beliefs and norms which are first defined and consolidated in the making of EU decisions and then incorporated in the logic of domestic discourse, identities, political structures and public policies.

With regards to how Europeanization takes place, Knill and Lehmkuhl (2002) have proposed three mechanisms via which change is produced at the domestic setting:

- a) *Institutional compliance*, whereby EU's prescription of specific institutional models results in domestic alterations;
- b) *Change of domestic opportunity structures*, whereby change occurs as a result of redistribution of power among domestic actors;
- c) *Framing of domestic beliefs and expectations*, which, ultimately, result in domestic changes.

This work is only concerned with the two latter (b, c) mechanisms proposed.

Although, initially, the discussion of Europeanization concentrated on EU member states, the EU's impact can be tracked well beyond its borders. Brussels maintain a divergent range of international relations, which offers different avenues for the EU to 'export' an impact on the domestic scene of its partners. Along these lines, the Europeanization debate has flourished to also cover cases of third countries (Wallace 2000, Lavenex 2004, Lavenex and Ucarer 2004). A particularly strong 'branch' of the Europeanization literature concerned with non-member states has been that of enlargement-driven Europeanization, which tracks the EU effect upon candidates for EU membership, through the process of accession (Lippert et. al. 2001, Grabbe 2001, Goetz 2001, Seldemeier 2006).

#### *Europeanization and Political Parties*

Political parties correspond to a particular aspect of domestic politics that has been at the spotlight of the Europeanization debate. Ladrech (2010) has suggested five different remits of party systems that the EU can impact on: 1) programmatic change, 2)

organizational change, 3) patterns of party competition, 4) party-government relations and 5) relations beyond the national system. In this paper, we focus on the *context* of the party competition (understood here as party programs and patterns of party competition). We also aim at contributing to the discussion by adding a dimension which has been largely neglected until now: the *outcome* of party competition, that is, the election results.

As far as the EU effect on patterns of party competition is concerned, Mair (2000) has argued that the process of EU integration limits the policy flexibility of national governments and, thus, leads to the creation of consensus among the political parties. On the contrary, scholars have proposed that the EU has often introduced a cleavage for party competition, with the British and French examples being frequently cited (Daniels 1998, Gabel 2001). Besides, a number of studies have seen the EU impact also manifested in the establishment of new political parties, which are principally informed by the debate on EU matters (Ladrech 2001, 7; Hix and Goetz 2001, 11). The emergence of consensus has also been acknowledged in the candidate countries for EU membership (Baun et al. 2006, Sedelmeier 2006, 17), while wide Euroscepticism has also been testified, especially during the EU accession process (Kopecky and Mudde 2002, Taggart and Szczerbiak 2004). This change of patterns of party competition has also a profound impact on the party programs: EU integration can offer attractive policy templates (Daniels 1998), strengthen existing policy perspectives, or, modify them (Bomberg 2002).

The focus of this article on party competition allows us to combine the discussion on the Europeanization of political parties with the Europeanization mechanisms, as introduced by Knill and Lehmkuhl (2002, see above). In trying to unveil the change of patterns of party competition and programs, the mechanism related to framing of beliefs and expectations is deployed. This helps us understand how the EU became a force of

influence on the profiles and aims of the TC political parties. In addition to that, we explore the EU effect on the outcome of party competition, by using the mechanism related to the change of domestic opportunity structures: the EU effect on the election results can be explained as a reflection of the EU-induced change of the opportunity structures of a domestic party system. In this context, by combining those two parts of the Europeanization literature, we seek to explore the EU effect on the profiles and aims of the TC political parties and the distribution of power between them.

### **‘A Silent Revolution’<sup>3</sup>: European Union and Political Parties in the pre-2006 Era**

A central characteristic of the TC political competition has been the magnitude of the Cyprus issue within the public debate. Consequently, the political parties can be categorized in two camps, based on their views of solution: firstly, the *moderate* camp, which consists of political parties that support a solution in the form of a GC-TC federative state. Secondly, the *hard-line* camp, which includes political parties that support forms of solution that contradict, in various ways, the above commitment (e.g. co-federation, TC integration with Turkey) and are, often, typified by increased loyalty to the separate TC existence and the links with Ankara.

For the Legislative elections of 2003, the issue of solution to the Cyprus problem was linked to the issue of EU integration. With the referenda on the United Nations (UN) - proposed ‘Annan Plan’ for the resolution of Cyprus issue based on a formula of a federative state, which would then accede to the EU, set for the spring of 2004, the election campaign was polarized between the hard-line and moderate camps. The first declined the Annan Plan and renewed their commitment to the self-proclaimed TC state, while the latter supported the UN plan the consequent EU accession of a unitary Cyprus.

Therefore, the polarization of the TC political forces acquired a ‘twin’ character: political forces were divided based on their stance with regards to a) solution and b) EU integration into pro-EU moderates and eurosceptic hard-liners. In the end, the pro-EU moderates prevailed in a historic victory.

### *The Hard-Line Camp*

National Unity Party (*UBP*) has been the biggest hard-line party and monopolized the TC establishment, until recently (Table 1). The party is characterized by devotion to the self-declared TC state and the links with Ankara and an inflexible position with regards to the resolution of the Cyprus issue. For the elections of 2003, the party continued to support the separate TC existence with its leader, and PM at that time, Derviş Eroğlu, stating that

this election will be one that will determine the fate of our *state* (i.e. TRNC-emphasis added) and the future of our people (Turkish Daily News 2003).

This position of the *UBP* was outside the spirit of the Annan Plan, which foresaw a reunification of the two communities under a federal state, which would then accede to the EU. In 2003, for the first time in history, the *UBP* lost the elections (Table 1).

The second most popular hard-line party (Table 1) was the Democratic Party (*DP*). The *DP* also rejected the Annan Plan and supported the hard-liner President Rauf Denktaş as a negotiator on the ongoing GC –TC talks (BBC Monitoring International Reports 2003). The hard-line camp also included the newly formed Nationalist Peace Party (*MBP*) and Cyprus Justice Party (*KAP*), which both advocated TC integration into Turkey (Çarkoğlu & Sözen 2004) but failed to reach the parliament and did not return to the 2005 elections.



In the Legislative elections two years later, TC politics were considerably altered. In April 2004, the TCs approved the Annan Plan and EU membership of a united Cyprus<sup>4</sup>. In light of those events, the *UBP* tried to attract the majority that voted in favor of the UN Plan. The party started to back a more compromising solution for the Cyprus issue while, at the same time, continued to suggest the self-declared state as an alternative (Sözen 2005, 468). The election results found *UBP*'s power further decreased (Table 1). The *DP*, which remained in the third place, also displayed greater flexibility with regards to the resolution of the Cyprus problem, by supporting the UN mediation and often attacking the GC side for intransigence (Halkin Sesi 2005). For the 2005 elections, the hard-line camp also saw the emergence of the Nationalist Justice Party (*MHP*), which, after a poor performance, did not come back in the 2009 elections. With regards to the Presidential elections of the same year, the hard-line camp gave two main competitors: the leader of the *UBP* Dervis Eroğlu and *DP*'s Mustafa Arabacıoğlu, who came second and third respectively (Table 2).

### *The Moderate Camp*

On the other side, the moderate camp supported EU membership and the Annan Plan and gained sizeable ground among the electorate. The Republican Turkish Party (*CTP*) had been one of the main opposition parties throughout the TC history (Table 1). For the elections of 2003, the *CTP* underwent a 'make over'. The party was renamed to *CTP-United Forces* and opened its lists to include a 'new blood' of candidates, who shared a commitment to solution and EU membership. In the declaration, the party advocated solution based on the Annan Plan and EU accession of a united Cyprus. Among *CTP*'s programmatic aims was the development of the TC people, based on the adoption of EU law:

we (i.e. the *CTP*) are going to implement a program seeking to adapt the political and economic setup of our community to the Copenhagen and Maastricht criteria and ensure the full harmonization with European Union (Cyprusive 2003).

At the same time, the text underlined the competence of the party to negotiate solution and EU during the GC-TC talks, which had collapsed due to the intransigence of President Denktaş' (BBC News 2003). Top member of the *CTP* underlines that the embrace of the EU scope resulted in a profound modification of the rhetoric of the once socialist and eurosceptic *CTP* (Soyer interviewed by the author 2009). This is most indicative of the extended EU impact on the beliefs and expectations of TC political parties (for more discussion, see next section). *CTP* won the 2003 elections but failed short of a majority, which led to a two year -long coalition with the *DP*.

The second moderate party that entered the TC parliament in 2003 was the freshly formed Peace and Democracy Movement (*BDH*), which included candidates from the left Communal Liberation Party (*TKP*) as well as the newly founded United Cyprus Party (*BKP*). All those candidates came under the umbrella of solution and the EU (Akinci interviewed by the author 2009) and the party program drew legitimization from the EU membership potential. The founding declaration called for the support of an EU member united Cyprus, which would provide TCs with a series of benefits. Again, the ability of the party to form a capable team to negotiate in EU circles was highlighted:

*BDH* has been formed [to make] possible for the pro-solution and pro-European Union forces to act together, win the elections and reach the objectives of peace and European Union with a pro-solution negotiating team (Cyprusive 2003b)

Finally, the moderate camp also included the new Solution and EU Party (*ÇABP*), for which EU membership based on the Annan plan was the sole purpose of being (Halkin Sesi 2003, Erel interviewed by the author 2009). Indeed, after failing to reach the parliament, the party ceased to exist.

For the elections of 2005, the positions of the moderate parties did not change profoundly. *CTP*, that now secured the parliament's majority, placed again solution and the EU at the very heart of its campaign. In addition, the party claimed that the gradually improving international image of the TCs was a result of the *CTP* policies and its actors, such as the then PM Mehmet Ali Talat (Sözen 2005, 467). *BDH*'s campaign also displayed a renewed commitment to solution and the EU (NTV 2005). Two more moderate political parties entered the battle for votes in 2005: firstly, *TKP-BKP* party coalition, which in 2003 went under the *BDH* lists, came back as a separate alliance and, secondly, the New Party (*YP*) (Vatan 2004). Both parties failed to reach the parliament but gave two competitors for the Presidential elections of 2005: *TKP*'s Hüseyin Angolemlı and *YP*'s Nuri Çevikel, who both did rather poorly (Table 2). The ballot declared winner the PM Talat, who became the second President, on what he himself described as a TC 'silent revolution' (New York Times 2005). The victory of Talat marked the complete prevalence of the moderates in both the TC government and Presidency, which showcases the important EU effect on the domestic power distribution (for more discussion, see next section).

### **Game Over?<sup>5</sup> : European Union and Political Parties in the Post-2006 Era**

The day after the Annan Plan referenda and the EU accession of a divided Cyprus in 2004, Brussels were posed with a contradiction: despite being in favor of solution and EU membership, TCs were, practically, left outside the EU environment and its benefits. Although the entire island entered the EU, the EU law has not been administrated in the north Cyprus territories, which are considered to fall outside the effective control of the GC-led government of RoC (Protocol 10 of the Accession Treaty), which represents the administration of the EU member state Cyprus. To address this situation, the EU has taken a series of initiatives that aim at the assistance of the TCs and the preparation for a future resolution and full TC integration into the EU. Political parties, and especially the governing ones, enjoy an important degree of participation in these activities. This adds to the polarization that exists between pro-EU moderates and Eurosceptic hard-liners and provides an additional channel of EU effect on the domestic mosaic (in addition to the EU integration prospects that continue to exist). Although the role of the EU in this era is not as profound as before 2006, the continuous TC disengagement with the EU appears to have a toll on the electoral power of the moderate parties.

#### *Political Parties and the European Union-Turkish Cypriot Relations*

European Commission has two main instruments to help its role in north Cyprus. The first is the Financial Aid Regulation (European Council 2006), which aims at development and preparation for application of the EU law. For the implementation of this Regulation, the Commission has frequent interaction with the TC administration that, during our research (2002-10), has been monopolized by the *CTP* government and President Talat. As a result, the governing moderates enjoyed greater interaction with the EU than the rest of political parties. Secondly, the Green Line Regulation (European Council 2005) has been set as a framework for the trade between TCs and GCs.

Interestingly, the engagement of the governing political elites in this EU activity is limited. As also indicated by high-ranked EU official (Anonymous interviewed by the author 2009), given the fact that the TC administration is not diplomatically recognized, the EU preferred to avoid any direct interaction with the TC authorities and delegated a significant deal of the Regulation's responsibilities to the TC Chamber of Commerce (European Commission 2004), which does not bare strong correlations to the TC self-declared state.

The European Parliament (EP) is also involved in north Cyprus. In 2006, the 'High Level Contact Group for the Relations with the TC Community in the Northern Part of Cyprus' (hereinafter CYTR) was founded in order to 'establish contact with the political representatives [...] (and) elected representatives' (CYTR 2009). CYTR has been paying frequent visits to the island, within which governing political elites have held a prominent role. President Talat, his advisor and Special Representative for relations with the EU and UN, Özdil Nami as well as the PM at that time Ferdi Sabit Soyer (all front-line members of the *CTP*) are some examples of the most frequently contacted actors (European Parliament 2007; 2007b 2008; 2008b, 2009, 2010). Nevertheless, the participation of other political parties has been, comparatively, weaker. Particularly the representation of hard-liners has been considerably limited, largely as a result of their eurosceptic stance (Anonymous c interviewed by the author 2009). This increased interaction of the moderate political parties with the EU offered them a series of advantages, which, ultimately, have increased their domestic power, despite the fact that this empowerment is not always translated to direct voting gains (more discussion in the next section).

In the most recent Legislative elections of 2009 and Presidential elections of 2010, the interrelated issues of EU and solution were again part of the political agenda and, consequently, affected the party competition (although not to the extent that they did in the pre-2006 era). In spring 2008, direct negotiations between GCs and TCs resumed. The issues of solution to the Cyprus problem and the EU integration were, again, connected, as the reunification of the island would mean the full integration of the TCs into the EU, as partners of the new federal state of Cyprus, which would replace the RoC in the structures of the EU. As a result, the EU played a role -yet, not as big as previously- in the context and outcome of the political competition. In the end, hard-liners were restored both in the government and Presidency (Table 1, 2).

The political parties' positions with regards to the EU and solution did not change much. The *UBP*, that won the Legislative elections, supported a model of loose federation (Kathimerini 2009) and continued to be largely adhered to its hard-line politics, which were incompatible with the basis of the ongoing negotiations and the EU future of the TCs. The *DP* continued with a rather inflexible stance, often directly attacking the EU (Star Kibris 2009) and, finally, came third after the *CTP*. Lastly, the hard-line camp saw the emergence of the Politics for the People Party (*HISP*) which, devoted to the self-declared state and pessimistic with regards to the prospects of solution (Kibris 2008), did not manage to enter the TC parliament. In the Presidential elections of next year, *UBP*'s leader and PM at that time Dervis Eroğlu, won by a thin margin (Table 2).

On the moderate side, the *CTP* continued to be largely in favor of EU and solution (Yeni Duzen 2009) and achieved a runner-up position. Communal Democracy Party (*TDP*), which was the result of the *BDH* and *TKP* merge, followed a pro-solution stance along

with a continuous support of the EU and came fourth. The newly founded Freedom and Reform Party (*ORP*) was also in favor of the EU and a compromised solution while, at the same time, supported the self-declared TRNC and especially its greater international engagement (Sozcu 2008). The *ORP* was the fifth and last party to enter the parliament as the United Cyprus Party (*BKP*), defined by a deep pro-solution stance (Afrika 2009), failed to join the TC Legislature body. As far as the Presidential elections are concerned, Talat's failure to keep the Presidency (Table 2) completed the short, but important, break of the moderates in the lead of the community. For this failure of the moderates, domestic matters, such as economic problems and corruption scandals (Capital gr. 2009), played a very important role. At the same time, the EU constituted an additional factor, as it appears that the continuous disengagement with the EU led the TC electorate to withdraw their support to the moderates, who continue to be more associated with Brussels (for more discussion see following session).

### **Unpacking the European Union Effect**

After years of complete lack of domestic relevance, the EU has become a new point of reference for the TC political parties, presenting us with a very interesting case of Europeanization. To begin with, the EU has affected the TC political parties through the framing of their beliefs and expectations, which, mostly, refers to the context (patterns of party competition and party programs) of the party competition. Certain policies (support of a compromised solution) of political parties have been assisted by the EU, in a similar way to what has been documented in other examples of Europeanization. Besides, the EU has offered added substance to the programs of the moderate parties, which lacked a strong policy agenda, in contrast to the hard-line forces that, for years, monopolized the administration and developed a better knowledge about domestic

governance. This EU-generated provision of policy templates is not new for the Europeanization literature. Finally, examples of the extended EU effect on the profile of the political parties include the re-launch of the *CTP* or the establishment of the *ÇABP*, based on largely EU-inspired manifestos.

Europeanization of the TC political parties took also the form of change of domestic opportunity structures. Here, the effect is mostly related to the outcome of the political competition, that is, the elections results. By providing moderates with competitive policy suggestions, the EU increased their appeal in the electorate and affected the distribution of power among political parties. In the pre-2006 period, the ‘carrot’ of EU membership offered people the incentives to pursue resolution as the only way to safeguard their inclusion in the EU family, which would bring series of economical, social and political privileges that the community was lacking, due to the long-standing international non-recognition and isolation. As a result of this pro-solution/ EU movement, the moderate parties were importantly empowered. The EU’s impact on the outcome of the party competition was also apparent in the post-2006 era (yet not as strong as before), but shaped power constellations in an opposite way. By the elections of 2009, the positive climate with regards to the EU had considerably decreased among the TCs: while in 2005, 71 per cent considered EU membership a good thing (European Commission 2005), in late 2008 this percentage had dropped to 53 (European Commission 2008c). The failure of the EU to match the TC hopes for ending their international isolation and for quick, first -hand benefits has been the main reason behind this TC change of attitude. Thus, although the failure of the *CTP* and Talat was also due to domestic matters, the loss of EU popularity among TCs appears to have played a role too, as reconfirmed by series of political elites (Circakli, Denктаş, Erçin and Nami, all interviewed by the author 2009) and by the overall decrease of electoral



performance of the moderate/EU camp (Figure 1). Consequently, moderate political parties, directly associated to the EU, are gradually depending on the latter's image in north Cyprus.

Besides, the degree of affiliation and interaction of the political parties with the EU also affected the domestic opportunity structures. Pre-2006, in contrast to the hard-liners that followed a rather defensive stance towards the EU, the moderate camp emphasized their EU fondness and knowledge and projected themselves as more suitable to negotiate the solution to the Cyprus problem and handle the EU matters, based on their greater expertise and their pro-solution views, which were more welcome internationally. Besides, Brussels, even before the outcome of the Annan Plan referenda, built some reluctant bridges with the moderate parties (NTV 2003, Euractiv 2004) and further assisted their image as respected international agents. Indeed, for the elections of 2005, the governing party *CTP* and its leader Talat successfully claimed that the improving international image of the TCs was a result of their party policies.

A difference of the post-2006 era is that, now, the EU has developed direct interaction with the TC political parties, which offers an additional canal for impact on domestic matters. Moderate political parties, and especially the governing *CTP*, have enjoyed greater interaction with the EU for two reasons: firstly, moderates, during the time of research, were at the head of the TC administration and, consequently, the dealings with the EU (Anonymous c interviewed by the author 2009). Secondly, the EU itself favors the moderate parties, by linking the success of its programs to the prevalence of pro-solution politics (European Commission 2008b). At the same time, members of the CYTR have testified that among their main goals has been the support of the moderates (Kibris 2006). The case of the *DP* is also indicative of the comparatively bigger role that

certain parties enjoy within the interaction with Brussels, due to their pro-EU profile: despite partner of a coalition government for considerable period of time (December 2003-September 2006), the party did not participate in the dealings with the EU, which, often boycotted. This greater interaction of moderates and the *CTP* with the EU gives the former a series of EU-related advantages. Firstly, due to the fact that the EU's presence in the area is so recent, the *CTP* is the only actor at the helm of the administration to have managed the EU and international interaction. This has led to a deeper knowledge of the international matters which can be (and has been) capitalized in domestic politics. Furthermore, despite not always producing positive results in the electoral game, this greater engagement with the EU has advanced moderate political parties, which have become more relevant for the overall TC politics. Their leading role at the pro- EU/Annan Plan movement along with their increased electoral power provided them with the credentials of a group of highly respected and influential TC actors.

### **Conclusion and the Difference of Being Turkish-Cypriot**

The study of the EU relevance for the TC political parties has provided rich empirical evidence for the domestic scene of the community in recent years, especially with regards to the process of EU integration and its role in the public debate. In addition, the TC example and its exceptional characteristics (i.e. the international non-recognition and isolation and the Cyprus problem) have added to the discussion on Europeanization and EU integration impact. To begin with the TC non-recognition, EU's diplomatic stance to not recognize the TC administration has limited the engagement (and the related to it benefits) with the TC government (during our research timeframe, monopolized by the *CTP*). What is also interesting is that this restricted for the governing party access to EU-

associated benefits works in favor of other domestic actors, namely the civil society (Anonymous b interviewed by the author 2009), such as the TC Chamber of Commerce, who do not bare correlations to the self-declared TC state. Furthermore, as also indicated by high-ranked EU official (Anonymous interviewed by the author 2009), the EU programs are, often, compromised, due to the non-recognition of the TC authorities. In this context, this study has indicated that in similar examples of diplomatic relations, EU activities would be normally 'slowed down'. All the same, the limited international recognition has also boosted the EU effect on political parties: firstly, the TC international isolation has increased the importance of EU integration, which equals to the begging of international existence, and has allowed for the EU to profoundly affect party competition in favor of pro-EU forces (pre-2006 era). Besides, the minor TC dealings with the international community have added to the significance of benefits, such as EU-generated informational advantages, which the moderate parties enjoy.

Besides, the linkage between EU integration prospects and the resolution of the Cyprus issue has allowed for a remarkable role of the EU both in the context and the outcome of party competition. Had not been for the connection between solution to the Cyprus problem and EU integration prospects for the TCs, the change triggered in the party scene would not have been that extended. The linkage between EU and an already dividing issue of the TC politics, which is the solution to the Cyprus problem, importantly altered the context and the outcome of the party competition, especially in the pre-2006 era, when the EU gave the moderate political parties the power to win for the first time in community's history of almost thirty years. This testifies that, when attached to a domestically important issue, EU integration can drastically affect the party scene. Last but not least, the EU effect on the outcome of party competition, which has

been largely downplayed in the literature, has been a particularly interesting and additional insight offered by this study.

To conclude, TC political parties represent a different example of Europeanization. The EU effect on the TC political parties has been manifested through a change in the context and patterns of competition as well as a change in the outcome of this competition. The fact that the EU has become a reason for support (pre-2006) *and* condemnation (post-2006) of political parties is indicative of the steady influence that the EU has enjoyed in the TC party scene. The victory of Derviş Eroğlu in the Presidential elections of April 2010 marked the complete come-back of the hard-liners to the TC leadership. In this context, it is left to be seen how the EU relevance will be shaped in the forthcoming period. The ongoing negotiations on the Cyprus problem are staggering, making EU prospects for the TCs a not so clear reality and, thus, compromising the potential for the EU effect on the party scene, via anticipation of EU integration. At the same time, the position of the hard-liners at the TC government has led them to greater interaction with Brussels, which creates the conditions for potential change in their eurosceptic profile and a ‘hollow out’ of the pro-EU moderates/ eurosceptic hard-liners division that exists so far. The years to come are expected to test the EU’s aptitude to affect the TC domestic mosaic as well as the precise way the EU relevance will shape the profile and competition of TC political parties.

---

<sup>1</sup> This article does not wish to engage in the debate over the legality of the self-declared Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus and the legitimacy of its governing institutions. For the sake of clarity, domestic institutions are referred to by their official name as used by the Turkish-Cypriots (e.g. President, Government etc). This should not be interpreted as a statement that the author engages in the discussion over the legality of the Turkish-Cypriot administration.

<sup>2</sup>In addition to the ‘Green Line Regulation’ (European Council 2005), in place since 2004, the ‘Financial Aid Regulation’ (European Council 2006), the main EU instrument in the TC community, was

---

implemented in 2006, a year which also saw the beginning of more substantial relations between TCs and the European Parliament.

<sup>3</sup>The leader of the moderate *CTP*, Mehmet Ali Talat, used the phrase 'silent revolution' to describe his victory in the Presidential elections of 2005

<sup>4</sup> Despite that, reunification was never fulfilled due to the GC rejection of the UN Plan.

<sup>5</sup> 'Game Over' was *UBP's* campaign slogan for the Legislative elections of 2009.

## References

Afrika. 2009. The manifesto of the Jasmine Movement for a United Cyprus was announced, 4 March. Retrieved 16 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2009/09-03-04.tcpr.html#08>

Akinci, M. (TDP member, BDH ex-Secretary General 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus.

Anonymous (EU official). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus.

Anonymous b (EU official). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Brussels, Belgium.

Anonymous c (MEP). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Brussels, Belgium.

Baun, M., Durr, J., Marek, D. & Saradin, P. 2006. The Europeanization of Czech Politics: The Political Parties and the EU Referendum. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 4, no. 2: 249-280

BBC Monitoring International Reports. 2003. Turkish Cypriot party leader hopes to obtain biggest share of vote, 2 December. Retrieved 13 November 2009 from [http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary\\_0286-19569351\\_ITM](http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary_0286-19569351_ITM)

BBC News. 2003. Cyprus peace process collapses, 11 March. Retrieved 13 November 2009 from <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/europe/2838535.stm>

Bomberg, E. 2002. The Europeanisation of Green Parties: Exploring the EU's Impact. *West European Politics* 25, no. 3: 29-50

Borzel, T. A. 1999. Towards Convergence in Europe? Institutional Adaptation to Europeanisation in Germany and Spain. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 37, no. 4: 573-596

Borzel, T. A. & Risse T. 2002. When Europe Hits Home: Europeanisation and Domestic Change. *European Integration Online Papers* 4: 1-24

Buller, J. & Gramble, A. 2002. Conceptualising Europeanisation. *Public Policy and Administration* 17, no. 2: 4-20

Capital.gr. 2009. Στις κάλπες οι Τουρκοκύπριοι [Turkish Cypriots go on Elections], 18 April. Retrieved 17 November 2009 from <http://www.capital.gr/news.asp?id=717821>

Çarkoglu, A. & Sözen, A. 2004. The Turkish Cypriot General Elections of December 2003: Setting the Stage for Resolving the Cyprus Conflict. *South European Society and Politics* 9, no. 3: 122-136

Cirakli, M. (Turkish-Cypriot Chamber of Commerce: Brussels Representation). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Brussels, Belgium

Cyprusive. 2003. 'CTP-United Forces' Declaration for a New Cyprus. Retrieved 21 August 2009 from <http://www.cyprusive.com/?CID=354>

Cyprusive. 2003b. BDH Declaration. Retrieved 21 August 2009 from <http://www.cyprusive.com/?CID=357>

CYTR. 2009. Mandate. Retrieved 5 March 2010 from <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/cytr/2/cyt24110eu24249.htm>.

Daniels, P. 1998. From Hostility to 'Constructive Engagement': The Europeanisation of Labour Party, *West European Politics* 21, no. 1: 72-96

Denktaş, S. (Democratic Party: Secretary General). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus

Erçin, E. (EU Coordination Office: Coordinator). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus

Erel, A. (*ÇABP* ex-leader). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus.

Euractiv. 2004. Verheugen confirms EU 'flexibility' in accommodating Cyprus accord, 26 March. Retrieved November 17 2009 from <http://www.euractiv.com/en/enlargement/verheugen-confirms-eu-flexibility-accommodating-cyprus-accord/article-116105>

European Council. 2005. Consolidated version of the Green Line Regulation including amendments.



European Council. 2006. Council Regulation (EC) establishing an instrument of financial support for encouraging the economic development of the Turkish Cypriot community.

European Commission. 2004. Commission Decision of 7 July 2004 on the authorisation of the Turkish Cypriot Chamber of Commerce according to Article 4(5) of Council Regulation (EC) No 866/2004, C(2004) 2583, Brussels

European Commission. 2005. Eurobarometer 63.4 Public Opinion in the European Union: National Report, Executive Summary, Cyprus-Turkish Cypriot Community

European Commission. 2008. Eurobarometer 70 Public Opinion in the European Union: National Report, Executive Summary, Turkey

European Commission. 2008b. Second Annual Report 2007 on the Implementation of Community Assistance under Council Regulation (EC) No 389/2006 of 27 February 2006 Establishing an Instrument of Financial Support Encouraging the Economic Development of the Turkish Cypriot Community, COM 551 Final, Brussels

European Commission. 2008c. Eurobarometer 70 Public Opinion in the European Union: National Report, Executive Summary, Cyprus-Turkish Cypriot Community

European Parliament. 2007. High-level Contact Group for Relations with the Turkish-Cypriot community in the northern part of the island, Programme -Mission to Cyprus 2-4 July 2007

European Parliament. 2007b. High-level Contact Group for Relations with the Turkish-Cypriot community in the northern part of the island, Draft programme- Mission to Cyprus 7-10 November 2007

European Parliament. 2008. Mission to Cyprus 15-17 October 2008 - Programme.

European Parliament. 2008b. High-level Contact Group for Relations with the Turkish-Cypriot community in the northern part of the island, Programme -Mission to Cyprus 14-16 May 2008

European Parliament. 2009. High-level Contact Group for Relations with the Turkish-Cypriot community in the northern part of the island, Programme -Mission to Cyprus 4-6 March 2009

European Parliament. 2010. High-level Contact Group for Relations with the Turkish-Cypriot community in the northern part of the island Programme Mission to Cyprus 9-11 June 2010

Gabel, Mathew. 2001. European Integration, Voters and National Politics, in *Europeanised Politics? European Integration and National Political Systems*, eds. Goetz, Klaus H. & Hix, Simon, New York: Frank Cass Publishers

Grabbe, H. 2001. How Does Europeanization Affect CEE Governance? Conditionality, Diffusion and Diversity, *Journal of European Public Policy* 8, no. 6: 1013 - 1031

Goetz, K. H. 2001. Making Sense of Post-Communist Central Administration: Modernization, Europeanization or Latinization, *Journal of European Public Policy* 8, no. 6: 1032 - 1051

Goetz, Klaus H. & Hix, Simon, eds. 2001. *Europeanised Politics?: European Integration and National Political Systems*, New York: Frank Cass Publishers

Halkin Sesi. 2003. The leader of the Solution and EU Party analyses his party's positions, 7 September. Retrieved 12 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2003/03-09-09.tcpr.html#01>

Halkin Sesi. 2005. Serdar Denktaş has begun his initiative to 'stop Mr Papadopoulos' policies on the Cyprus problem, 16 February. Retrieved 12 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2005/05-02-16.tcpr.html#05>

Kıbrıs. 2006. The High Level Contact Group is to visit the occupied area, 1 January. Retrieved 16 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2006/06-06-01.tcpr.html#04>

Kıbrıs. 2008. Yonluer stated that the policy of the recognition of the TRNC must be persuaded, 28 February. Retrieved 17 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2008/08-02-28.tcpr.html#04>

Knill, C. & Lehmkuhl, D. 2002. The National Impact of European Union Regulatory Policy: Three Europeanisation Mechanisms. *European Journal of Political Research*, 41: 255-280

Kopecky, P. & Mudde, C. 2002. The Two Sides of Euroscepticism: Party Positions on European Integration in East Central Europe. *European Union Politics* 3, no. 3: 297–326

Ladrech, R. 1994. Europeanization of domestic politics and institutions: The case of France. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 32, no. 1: 69-88

Ladrech, R. 2001. Europeanisation and Political Parties: Towards a Framework for Analysis. *Queen's Papers on Europeanisation*, available from: <http://www.qub.ac.uk/schools/SchoolofPoliticsInternationalStudiesandPhilosophy/FileStore/EuropeanisationFiles/Fileupload,38436,en.pdf>

Ladrech, Robert. 2010. *Europeanisation and National Politics*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan

Lavenex, S. 2004. EU External Governance in 'Wider Europe'. *Journal of European Public Policy* 11, no. 4: 680 - 700

Lavenex, S. & Ucarer, E. M. 2004. The External Dimension of Europeanization :The Case of Immigration Policies. *Cooperation and Conflict: Journal of the Nordic International Studies Association* 39, no. 4:417–443

Lippert, B., Umbach, G. & Wessels, W. 2001. Europeanization of CEE executives: EU membership negotiations as a shaping power. *Journal of European Public Policy* 8, no. 6: 980 - 1012

Mair, P. 2000. 'The Limited Impact of Europe on National Party Systems', *West European Politics* 23, no. 4: 27-51

Nami, O. (Special Representative for the Relations with UN & EU). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus

New York Times. 2005. Pro-EU leader seeks to unify Cyprus, 19 April. Retrieved 8 June 2010 from [http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/18/world/europe/18iht-cyprus.html?\\_r=1](http://www.nytimes.com/2005/04/18/world/europe/18iht-cyprus.html?_r=1)

NTV. 2003. Mr Talat called on Denktaş to resign during the meeting of the party leaders', 2 January. Retrieved 18 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2003/03-01-03.tcpr.html#02>

NTV. 2005. Turkish Cypriot political party leaders debate their party's programme before the so-called elections, 16 February. Retrieved 12 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2005/05-02-18.tcpr.html#01>

Olsen, J. P. 2002. The Many Faces of Europeanisation. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 40, no. 5: 921-952

Radaelli, C. M. 2000. Whither Europeanization? Concept stretching and substantive change. *European Integration Online Papers* 4, no. 8

Star Kibris. 2009. Serdar Denktaş attacks the EU for allegedly not keeping its promises to the Turkish Cypriots, 17 March. Retrieved 16 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2009/09-03-17.tcpr.html#08>

Sedelmeier, U. 2006. Europeanisation in new member and candidate states. *Living Reviews on European Governance* 1, no 3, available from <http://www.livingreviews.org/lreg-2006-3>

Sozcu. 2008. Avci said that the Turkish Cypriot side will on the one hand continue to support the negotiating process and on the other to work for the opening of the TRNC to the world, 27 November. Retrieved 17 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2008/08-11-27.tcpr.html#02>

Soyer, F. S. (CTP Secretary General). 2009. Interviewed by the author. Nicosia, Cyprus

Sözen, A. 2005. The Turkish Cypriot Legislative Election of February 2005: The Rise of CTP/BG. *South European Society and Politics* 10, no. 3: 465-475

Taggart, P. & Szczerbiak, A. 2004. Contemporary Euroscepticism in the party systems of the European Union candidate states of Central and Eastern Europe. *European Journal of Political Research* 43, no. 1: 1–27

Töller, A. E. 2010. Measuring and comparing the Europeanization of national legislation: A research note. *Journal of Common Market Studies* 48, no. 2: 417-444

Turkish Daily News. 2003. Eroğlu: December polls will determine KKTC's future, 3 October. Retrieved 13 November 2009 from [http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary\\_0286-24651486\\_ITM](http://www.accessmylibrary.com/coms2/summary_0286-24651486_ITM)

Vatan. 2004. A new party has been established in occupied Cyprus, 9 June. Retrieved 12 November 2009 from <http://www.hri.org/news/cyprus/tcpr/2004/04-06-09.tcpr.html#05>

Wallace, H. 2000. Europeanisation and Globalisation: Complementary or Contradictory Trends. *New Political Economy* 5, no. 3: 369-382