### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Extent and mechanisms of brominated flame retardant emissions from waste soft furnishings and fabrics

Stubbings, William A.; Harrad, Stuart

DOI: 10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.007

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

*Citation for published version (Harvard):* Stubbings, WA & Harrad, S 2014, 'Extent and mechanisms of brominated flame retardant emissions from waste soft furnishings and fabrics: A critical review', *Environment International*, vol. 71, pp. 164-175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.007

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is the pre-peer reviewed manuscript published as: Stubbings, William A., and Stuart Harrad. "Extent and mechanisms of brominated flame retardant emissions from waste soft furnishings and fabrics: a critical review." Environment international 71 (2014): 164-175. Available online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.007

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

#### Highlights

- Likely huge reservoir of BFRs associated with waste soft furnishings
- Little is known about mechanisms via which BFRs migrate from such waste materials
- Few studies exist of BFR emissions from regulated waste treatment activities
- BFR emissions from waste soft furnishings a potential serious environmental concern

| 1                    | 1  | EXTENT AND MECHANISMS OF BROMINATED FLAME                                       |
|----------------------|----|---|
| 2<br>3<br>4          | 2  | RETARDANT EMISSIONS FROM WASTE SOFT FURNISHINGS AND                             |
| 5<br>6               | 3  | FABRICS   |
| 7<br>8               | 4  |   |
| 9<br>10              | 5  | William A. Stubbings <sup>a</sup> *; Stuart Harrad <sup>a</sup>                 |
| 11<br>12             | 6  |   |
| 13<br>14             | 7  | <sup>a</sup> School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of |
| 15<br>16             | 8  | Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK,  |
| 17<br>18             | 9  | wxs985@bham.ac.uk; s.j.harrad@bham.ac.uk  |
| 19<br>20             | 10 |   |
| 21<br>22             | 11 | *Corresponding author: William A. Stubbings                                     |
| 22<br>23<br>24       | 12 | Public Health Building,   |
| 25                   | 13 | School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences,                            |
| 26<br>27             | 14 | University of Birmingham,   |
| 28<br>29             | 15 | Birmingham, B15 2TT,  |
| 30<br>31             | 16 | UK.   |
| 32<br>33             | 17 | Tel +44 (0)798 665 0693   |
| 34<br>35             | 18 |   |
| 36<br>37             | 19 |   |
| 38<br>39             | 20 |   |
| 40<br>41             | 21 |   |
| 42<br>43             | 22 |   |
| 44<br>45             | 23 |   |
| 46<br>47             | 24 |   |
| 48<br>49             | 25 |   |
| 50                   | 26 |   |
| 51<br>52             | 27 |   |
| 53<br>54             | 28 |   |
| 55<br>56             | 29 |   |
| 57<br>58             | 30 |   |
| 59<br>60             | 31 |   |
| 61<br>62<br>63<br>64 | 51 |   |
| 65                   |    |   |

#### 32 Abstract

Use of BFRs in soft furnishings has occurred for over thirty years with the phase out of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) only relatively recently begun. As products treated with BFRs reach the end of their lifecycle they enter the waste stream, thereby constituting an important and increasing reservoir of these chemicals. This review highlights the dearth of data on the extent and potential mechanisms of BFR emissions from waste soft furnishings. However, insights into what may occur are provided by scrutiny of the larger (though still incomplete) database related to BFR emissions from electronic waste (e-waste). In many countries, municipal landfills have historically been the primary disposal method of waste consumer products and therefore represent a substantial reservoir of BFRs. Published data for BFR emissions to both air and water from landfill and other waste disposal routes are collated, presented and reviewed. Reported concentrations of PBDEs in landfill leachate range considerably from <1 ng L<sup>-1</sup> to 133,000 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDE L<sup>-1</sup>. As well as direct migration of BFRs from waste materials; there is evidence that some higher brominated FRs are able to undergo degradation and debromination during waste treatment, that in some instances may lead to the formation of more toxic and bioavailable compounds. We propose that waste soft furnishings be treated with the same concern as e-waste, given its potential as a reservoir and source of environmental contamination with BFRs.

53 Keywords

- 54 Tetrabromobisphenol-A
- 55 Hexabromocyclododecane
- 56 Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- 57 Waste soft furnishings
- 58 Migration
- 59 Landfill

- 56 62

#### **1. Introduction**

Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) are a group of synthetic chemical additives that over the last 30 years or so, have been applied to a wide range of consumer products such as: building materials, electronics and electrical goods, textiles and furnishings, to meet and comply with fire safety standards. Currently there are more than 75 different commercially-recognised BFRs. Moreover, BFRs have historically been the most widely used flame retardants (FRs) due to their low cost and high efficacy (Covaci et al., 2008). The five classes of BFR that have found most widespread use are tetrabromobisphenol-A (TBBP-A), hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD), and three technical mixtures of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs): Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE, and Deca-BDE (Leonards et al., 2008). In an environmental context, BFRs are highly resistant to degradation whether through chemical, biological, or photolytic processes and are therefore capable of long range transport, bioaccumulation in human and animal tissues and potential trophic magnification. As a result, widespread contamination of air, water, soil, sediment, and terrestrial and marine biota by BFRs has been widely documented (Law et al., 2006; Law, 2010; Harrad et al., 2009b; Harrad et al., 2010a; Muir and de Wit, 2010).

These considerations are exacerbated by evidence of the toxicity of some BFRs. HBCD has been identified as an endocrine disruptor that induces enzymes and alters thyroid homeostasis with potential to cause adverse effects in humans at relatively low exposure levels (Darnerud, 2003, 2008; Yamada-Okabe et al., 2005; van der Ven et al., 2006, 2009). With respect to PBDEs, acute toxicity studies have suggested that they can be hepatotoxic at relatively high doses (Zhou et al., 2002; Bruchajzer et al., 2011). Moreover, several studies have indicated that Penta- and Octa-BDE mixtures, as well as several of the major individual congeners present in wildlife and people, can alter liver enzymes, disrupt thyroid homeostasis, and are associated with early onset of puberty, reduced fertility, and impaired neurological development (Viberg et al., 2007). This latter effect is illustrated by a cohort study of 329 pregnancies in New York City, in which prenatal PBDE exposure (as indicated by cord blood PBDE levels) was associated with lower mental and physical development test scores for children aged 1, 4, and 6 years (Herbstman et al., 2010). In general, the lower brominated congeners are more

acutely toxic than the higher ones (Darnerud, 2003). While BDE-209 is relatively less persistent and bioaccumulative than the lower brominated congeners, it has nonetheless been shown to increase the incidence of adenomas and carcinomas during chronic toxicity studies in rodents (Kelly et al., 2008; NTP, 1986, Tomy et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2009). Moreover, work by Johansson et al., (2008) suggests that decabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-209) can be as potent as the lower brominated PBDEs in causing developmental neurotoxic defects. In contrast, TBBP-A is relatively less persistent and bioaccumulative than most other BFRs (Domínguez et al., 2011). Furthermore, it is not acutely toxic (WHO, 1995) and the toxicity and teratogenicity of TBBP-A appeared low in experimental *in vivo* studies (Darnerud, 2003). However, TBBP-A is a potential endocrine disruptor, acting as a thyroid hormone and oestrogen agonist (Meerts et al., 2000; Kitamura et al., 2002, 2005), and additionally has been reported to be immunotoxic as a result of its *in vitro* inhibition of a key T-cell receptor (Mariussen and Fonnum, 2003).

Human exposure to BFRs occurs predominantly via indoor dust and food ingestion
(mainly oily fish, meat, dairy products, and the neonatal sources of human milk and
placental transfer), with a minor contribution from inhalation (Abdallah and Harrad,
2009; Covaci et al., 2009; Frederiksen et al., 2009). Concentrations of BFRs are higher
in indoor air than outdoor air; this is likely due to the usage and slow release of BFRs
from consumer products and building materials (Sjödin et al., 2001; Takigami et al.,
2007; Abdallah et al., 2008; Toms et al., 2011).

These concerns about their adverse environmental impacts have meant that production of the Penta- and Octa-BDE commercial mixtures ceased in the EU and North America in 2004, and their use in all applications in 2006. Likewise, Deca-BDE was restricted severely in the EU in 2008, with US manufacturers voluntarily committing to phase it out from most uses in the USA by the end of 2012, and to end all uses by 31 December 2013. As a further reflection of concern about their use, the Penta- and Octa-BDE commercial mixtures (Tetra-, Penta-, Hexa- and Hepta-BDEs) and HBCD are listed as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) under the UNEP Stockholm Convention, while Deca-BDE is under active consideration for listing.

## 

#### 1.1 BFR production and applications

In 2005, the total annual usage of BFRs was estimated to be about 311,000 tonnes,
130,000 tonnes of which was TBBP-A (Harju et al., 2008). HBCD annual production was
estimated at up to 28,000 tonnes (9,000 to 15,000 tonnes in China, 13,426 tonnes in
Europe and the US) (UNEP, 2011). Total historic production of PBDEs (including DecaBDE) has been estimated to amount to 1.3 million to 1.5 million tonnes between 1970
to 2005 (UNEP, 2010a).

There are essentially two modes via which BFRs are incorporated into polymers. One is referred to as additive; in this instance the BFR is added to the molten polymer. This "additive" mode means that the BFR is not tightly bound to the polymer and therefore its migration to the environment is relatively facile. The alternative approach is where the BFR is covalently-bound to the polymer via reaction. Release to the environment of BFRs incorporated via this "reactive" process is comparatively restricted (Law, 2010). A caveat however, is that during the process of incorporation into the treated product, a proportion of the reactive BFR used may not polymerise, and this residual fraction has potential to be released to the environment (de Wit, 2002). TBBP-A is primarily used as a reactive FR in epoxy, polycarbonate and phenolic resins in printed circuit boards (58%), but has also been used as an additive BFR in the manufacture of acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS) resins (18%), and was "possibly" used as an additive in high impact polystyrene (HIPS) (BSEF, 2009; EFRA, 2007). Concentrations of TBBP-A commonly found in these applications are between 10% and 20% by weight, depending on the polymer (Covaci et al., 2009). Moreover, during the 1990s, TBBP-A was increasingly used as a replacement for Octa-BDE in ABS polymers (Watson et al., 2010).

The available data indicates that in general PBDEs (all congeners) were added at
concentrations between ~3-30% by weight (WHO, 1994). The exact concentration
applied was determined by several considerations such as the degree of flame
retardancy required, the efficacy of the FR selected, whether it is applied in

conjunction with a synergist (e.g. antimony oxide), physical attributes of the end
product (e.g. colour, density, stability, etc.), and its specific application (European
Chemicals Bureau, 2000).

In Europe approximately 95% of Penta-BDE was used in flexible polyurethane foam (PUF), mainly used for furniture upholstery and automotive applications (European Chemicals Bureau, 2000). The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) initially reported treated PUF to usually contain 10-18% by weight of Penta-BDE, however they have subsequently revised these estimates to be around 3-5% by weight for upholstery, cushions, mattresses, and carpet padding (UNEP, 2006a; UNEP 2010a). A similar concentration range of between 6-18% by weight of Penta-BDE in PUF was provided in the Plastics Additives Handbook (Zweifel, 2001; cited in EC, 2011). The remaining minor uses of Penta-BDE (totalling 5%) include: textiles, printed circuit boards, insulation foam, cable sheets, conveyor belts, lacquers, and possibly drilling oils (UNEP, 2007). UNEP reported that the approximate distribution of global Penta-BDE use was 60% in furniture and 36% in transport, with the remaining 4% deployed in other articles (UNEP, 2010b).

Historically, around 95% of Octa-BDE supplied in the EU was used in ABS (globally ~70%), to which it was typically added at concentrations between 10-18% by weight (EC, 2011). The main uses for BFR-treated ABS were predominantly in housings of electrical and electronic Equipment (EEE), particularly for cathode ray tube (CRT) housings (e.g. PC monitors and TVs), and office equipment (e.g. copying machines and business printers). The remaining ~5% of minor uses were in HIPS, polybutylene terephthalate (PBT), and polyamide polymers, with typical concentrations between 12-15% by weight. Other possible uses were in: nylon, low density polyethylene, polycarbonate, phenolformaldehyde resins, and unsaturated polyesters, as well as in adhesives and coatings (UNEP, 2010a; 2010b). 

 187 Widely used due to its relatively low cost, Deca-BDE was employed as a back coating
188 on a wide range of fabrics, including: nylon, polypropylene, acrylics, and many other
189 blends such as polyester-cotton (Weil and Levchik, 2008). Typically, Deca-BDE was

added at about 10-25% by weight in a 2:1 weight ratio with antimony oxide (Weil and Levchik, 2008). Important applications were in: automotive upholstery, draperies for hotels and public buildings, and institutional (e.g. office) upholstered furniture (Weil and Levchik, 2008).

The principal use of HBCD (90%) is in the building industry, typically added at <3% by weight into extruded or expanded polystyrene foam in rigid insulation panels/boards (EC, 2011; Marvin et al., 2011), with a further ~2% deployed in HIPS used for electric and electronic equipment (distribution boxes for electrical lines and electrical housings) (EC, 2011). However, HBCD is also used as a textile coating agent in polymer dispersions applied to cotton or cotton/synthetic blends for upholstery fabrics, e.g. residential and commercial upholstered furniture and transportation seating, bed mattress ticking, draperies and wall coverings, interior textiles, e.g. roller blinds, and vehicle interior textiles. HBCD can also be used in thermosol treatment of polyester, polypropylene and nylon fabrics, where it is applied as an aqueous suspension or emulsion at a loading of 8-11% by weight (Weil and Levchik, 2008).

б 

The above underlines the extensive global use of BFRs in a wide range of applications, and demonstrates that while deployment in electronic and electrical equipment (EEE) is substantial; use in soft furnishings is extensive also. While attention to date has understandably focused largely on emissions during the production and use phases of the product life cycle; the fact that a considerable proportion of the BFR inventory has either entered or is moving towards the end-of-life phase, means that attention is increasingly turning towards the environmental implications of BFRs associated with waste materials. In particular, considerable interest has been aroused in potential BFR contamination from landfilled waste. At the beginning of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, the majority of BFR-containing consumer products ended up as untreated waste in landfill, with Alcock et al. (2003) estimating that >80% of total BFR-containing waste was landfilled in the UK and North America. The lifespans of the principal products containing TBBP-A, PBDEs, and HBCD are estimated to be ~10 years for soft furnishings and furniture, ~12 years for cars, but <10 years for EEE; with lifespans of EEE decreasing as the rate of obsolescence for these products hastens. Consequently, as European use of these BFRs

in new goods peaked in the mid 1990s and has decreased substantially to zero since;
many articles containing PBDEs (EEE in particular) have most likely already become
waste and been treated by the existing waste management infrastructure. Essentially
therefore, most products containing PBDEs in Europe have probably been landfilled
already. This therefore represents a significant reservoir in landfilled waste. However,
studies of emissions of BFRs from such waste are few.

#### **1.2 BFRs in waste soft furnishings and furniture**

In the UK alone, WRAP (2012) estimated that around 670,000 tonnes of furniture and 310,000 tonnes of textiles are disposed of by householders annually. This estimate was extrapolated from data collected across the UK during 2010/11. Considering that these products were often treated with BFRs at percent levels by weight (UNEP, 2006a; UNEP 2010a; Weil and Levchik, 2008), it is apparent that they constitute a significant potential source of BFRs to the environment. Despite this, very little research has been undertaken that examines end of life management of BFRs associated with waste soft furnishings and furniture.

#### **1.3 Overview of UK landfills as a case study for the developed world**

Landfill has been the dominant waste management option in the UK for many years. Introduction of the European Directive 1999/31/EC on the Landfill of Waste (Landfill Directive) aimed to reduce the dependence on landfill as a disposal option. Its intention was to lessen the environmental impact of landfills and diminish the overall risk to human health. Before 2004, the UK disposed of both hazardous and non-hazardous wastes together at the same landfill, a practice known as co-disposal. Since July 2004, co-disposal is no longer practised and instead, landfills are divided into three distinct classes in which only specific waste types may be disposed of: landfills for hazardous waste, landfills for non-hazardous waste, and landfills for inert waste (Council of the European Union, 1999). 

From October 2007, it became a requirement that waste sent to landfill be pretreated. This change aims to increase waste recycling and recovery and reduce
pollutant emissions from landfills (Environment Agency, 2011). The process of

treatment must change the characteristics of the waste, and it must do so in order to

substantially: 

б 

(a) Reduce its volume; or

(b) Reduce its hazardous nature; or

(c) Facilitate its handling; or

(d) Enhance its recovery potential.

The treatment of waste can be achieved by many methods depending on the aim of the treatment. These may include: manual sorting to change waste characteristics, physical (mechanical) treatment (crushing, grading, magnetic separation, eddy current separation, ballistic separation, trommeling (a revolving cylindrical sieve used for screening or sizing waste), and sorting, etc.), thermal treatment, and chemical or biological processes (anaerobic/aerobic digestion, biological stabilization of 'black bin' residues) (Environment Agency, 2011; Council of the European Union, 1999). The Landfill Directive also places more stringent engineering and operation conditions on landfill operators. During the active phase and following closure of a site, a monitoring regime must be implemented to ensure groundwater quality is not compromised. Groundwater protection measures require that there is no discharge of a prescribed range of hazardous substances (including organohalogen compounds such as pesticides and herbicides, but not BFRs) to groundwater and that formal compliance points below a landfill have been established, where both leachate and groundwater composition must be monitored. 

It is now mandatory for new landfills in developed nations to be engineered using a liner membrane barrier to separate the landfilled waste from the underlying ground. This membrane retards migration of leachate into surrounding groundwater. It generally comprises a layer of compacted clay with a minimum required thickness and a maximum allowable hydraulic conductivity, overlaid by a high-density polyethylene (HDPE) geomembrane. By doing so, the intention is that in the event of a hole developing, the impact of any leak will be minimised as there will also be clay below the HDPE as a further line of defence. All membranes are slightly porous and over time low volumes of leachate cross the membrane. The landfill membranes are designed

such that at these low volumes the leachate should never have a measurable adverseimpact on the quality of the receiving groundwater.

However, the US EPA (1988) has voiced concerns that "once the unit is closed, the bottom layer of the landfill will deteriorate over time and, consequently, will not prevent leachate transport out of the unit." Therefore it can be said that modern landfill designs delay, but do not wholly prevent ground and surface water pollution. Laid on top of the membrane is a series of leachate collection pipes to transport the leachate to a collection or treatment location. The failure or abandonment of the leachate collection system poses a more significant risk to groundwater. As landfills age they are prone to suffer large internal movements as waste decomposes unevenly. Consequently leachate collection systems are susceptible to internal failure and pipes can buckle and distort. Such failure causes leachate levels to slowly build within the landfill, and given time they may even rise sufficiently high to spill over the top of the containing membrane at uncapped sites. A positive feedback mechanism can then occur, in which further active decomposition and leachate generation can be triggered as leachate levels rise and rehydrate previously dried out waste masses. One of the many problems leachate collection systems can face, is clogging of the conduit pipes with mud or silt. The conditions in leachate collection systems are ideal for micro-organisms to multiply and this growth can exacerbate the clogging problem. Another mechanism via which clogging can occur is by chemical reactions within the leachate which in turn generates solid residues. Furthermore, the pipe walls may be weakened by the chemical composition of the leachate and cause them to fail. Simulations by Danon-Schaffer et al., (2013a) suggest that concentrations of PBDEs will continue to be significant in landfills beyond 2080, and that PBDEs contained in existing landfilled waste will persist to nearly 2070.

Within the UK, the waste electronic and electrical equipment (WEEE) Regulations came
into force in July 2007. This requires collection of 65% of e-waste, and recovery of 85%
of the collected material. This means that 35% of UK e-waste can still be disposed to
landfill untreated, augmenting the already substantial quantity of e-waste residing in

316 UK landfills. However, no regulations exist that address the disposal of waste soft317 furnishings.

#### **2.** Mechanisms of BFR migration from goods and materials and their behaviour

The mechanisms via which BFRs transfer from waste products to the environment remain an active field of investigation. PBDEs and HBCD are used primarily as additives and therefore migrate comparatively readily from the surface of products within which they are incorporated into the environment (Deng et al., 2007). In contrast, TBBP-A is used predominantly (though not exclusively) as a reactive BFR and therefore its release from treated goods is much less pronounced than for PBDEs and HBCD. This is exemplified by the low concentrations of TBBP-A reported in indoor air, dust and food (Covaci et al., 2009). In addition to migration from waste materials following disposal to landfill, evidence also exists to suggest that transport of waste materials to disposal sites and their subsequent storage before sorting or deposition may also prove to be significant emission pathways for BFRs to the environment (Julander et al., 2005; Muenhor et al., 2010). The following sections summarise current knowledge relevant to our understanding of how BFRs are emitted to the environment as a result of waste management.

#### **2.1 Emissions to air**

Emission chamber experiments conducted by Kemmlein et al., (2003) documented emissions of BFRs via volatilisation from a range of consumer products including insulating materials, assembly foam, upholstery/mattresses, and electronics equipment. An increase of temperature (from 23 to 60 °C) was found to cause a 500-fold increase in emissions of BDE-47 from printed circuit boards. This has relevance for emissions from waste, as within a landfill, temperatures can sometimes reach as high as 80-90 °C due to heat released during aerobic degradation (Kjeldsen et al., 2002; Environment Agency, 2002). Consequently, elevated volatile emissions of BFRs from active landfills are plausible. However, the study of Kemmlein et al (2003) also showed BFR emissions to vary between products, and of particular relevance to this review, emissions of HBCD and Deca-BDE from the PUF and textile components of an upholstered stool were not detected over a period of 170 days. Conversely, chamber

experiments by Wilford et al., (2003) showed that PBDEs volatilise from PUF at
significant levels. Both studies showed that different PBDE congeners are released at
different rates, determined by their physical properties i.e. higher emissions observed
for congeners with higher vapour pressures (Table 1 - Kemmlein et al. 2003; Wilford et
al., 2003).

More recently, Kajiwara and Takigami (2013) conducted emission chamber tests on three curtain textile samples. Two of the samples were treated with technical HBCD and one with technical Deca-BDE. Tests were conducted over a range of temperatures (20, 40, 60, and 80 °C) for 120 hours. Both HBCD and BDE-209 (the least volatile PBDE) were found to volatilise at 20 °C indicating that they are emitted from textiles even at room temperature. At temperatures of 80 °C, HBCD emission rates were increased twenty-fold compared to the rates at 60 °C, with those of Deca-BDE at 80 °C six times greater than at 60 °C. There were no clear differences between the emission rates at 20, 40, and 60 °C for both BFRs.

The few studies that have reported concentrations of BFRs in air at or near landfill sites are summarised in Table 2. Weinberg et al., (2011) reported particulate phase concentrations of BDE-183 at both active and closed landfill sites in Germany to fall between 0.001 to 0.011 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.001 to 0.003 ng m<sup>-3</sup> respectively. The authors suggested that the significantly higher (p < 0.05) detection of BDE-183 at the active landfill site compared to the closed site, indicates that BDE-183 might be emitted from waste disposed at the active landfill. However, the study failed to detect PBDEs in the vapour phase at either site, despite other European and UK background studies reporting low concentrations in air, typically sub- to a few  $pg m^{-3}$  (Gioia et al., 2006: Lee et al., 2004). In Canada, St-Amand et al., (2008) reported concentrations of PBDEs collected at a sanitary landfill near Ottawa. Vapour and particulate phase total PBDE concentrations were generally below 0.002 and 0.02 ng m<sup>-3</sup> respectively and ranged from 0.00036 to 0.0069 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.00072 to 0.145 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. These concentrations are in line with those detected at other locations not impacted directly by point sources. In contrast, Oliaei et al., (2010) reported the total  $\Sigma$ PBDE concentration of vapour and particulate phases combined in "ambient air of diffused

landfill gas" at a recently closed landfill in Minnesota, USA was 17.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. BDE-47 had
the highest concentration (8.23 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), followed by BDE-209, -99, -28/33, and -100.
BDE-209 was found predominantly in the particulate phase, while lower brominated
BDEs were principally in the vapour phase. These data suggest strongly that the landfill
studied was a source of PBDEs.

Given the paucity of data related to BFR concentrations in air in the vicinity of landfills; Table 2 also summarises other data that illustrate the potential for emissions of BFRs to air from waste products. In the UK, Harrad et al., (2010a) reported elevated concentrations of HBCD in outdoor air sampled within the boundaries of an e-waste treatment facility (22 ng  $\Sigma$ HBCDs m<sup>-3</sup>). Likewise, Julander et al., (2005) detected up to 214.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>  $\Sigma_{24}$ PBDEs in airborne particulates sampled in the vicinity of an e-waste recycling facility in Sweden. Moreover, in addition to the data summarised in Table 2, other studies have reported elevated concentrations of PBDEs in indoor air and dust within WEEE recycling facilities (Rosenberg et al., 2011; Sjödin et al., 2001; Takigami et al., 2006).

б

Emissions of BFRs are also plausible as a result of combustion of waste. Rupp and Metzger (2005) qualitatively investigated the reaction of BDE-47 and BDE-153 between 250 °C and 500 °C. At such comparatively low temperatures, PBDEs are not destroyed completely and thus may potentially act as precursors for the formation of e.g. polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PBDD/Fs). Interestingly, the same study revealed that thermal treatment of both BDE-47 and BDE-153 resulted in the formation of monochlorinated polybrominated diphenyl ethers (CPBDEs) (Rupp and Metzger, 2005). 

49 405

Such combustion emissions can occur either accidentally (as in landfill fires), or intentionally via incineration of municipal solid waste (MSW). MSW is by nature a heterogeneous mix of waste that will contain varying proportions of waste furniture and fabrics. The concentration of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs outdoors at a MSW incineration plant in Tagish, Yukon, Canada was reported as 2 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Alaee, 2001). Agrell et al., (2004) sampled air outside a MSW incineration plant in Malmö, Sweden. They reported 

concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs (BDE-28, -47, -66, -100, -153, -154, -183) for vapour and particulate phases combined that ranged between 0.00224 and 0.02132 ng m<sup>-3</sup>; with a median value of 0.0063 ng m<sup>-3</sup>. However, the authors note that the low concentrations were likely due to the sampling having taken place during cool periods (mean 8 °C, 17<sup>th</sup> October-9<sup>th</sup> November 2001 and 19<sup>th</sup> February-15<sup>th</sup> March 2002) when volatilisation from contaminated surfaces was less facile. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDEs and BDE-47 were significantly higher at the MSW incineration plant compared to those at an urban background site. In Japan, PBDE output from incinerator stack emissions were reported as 65,000 ng/h, equivalent to an emission factor of 100 ng PBDE emitted per g waste incinerated) (Sakai et al., 2006). Investigations by Takigami et al., (in press) into the incineration of XPS and EPS insulation foams show that HBCD is destroyed almost entirely during the combustion process and resulting emissions are negligible (destruction efficiency of 99.9999%). It appears reasonable to assume that HBCDs in treated fabrics may exhibit similar behaviour during incineration. Finally, Tu et al., (2011) reported emissions of  $\Sigma_{30}$ PBDEs measured in stack flue gas at two MSW incinerators in southern Taiwan. PBDE concentrations were 9.32 ng/Nm<sup>3</sup> and 7.62 ng/Nm<sup>3</sup> again equating to an emission factor of 100 ng PBDE emitted per g waste incinerated.

б

#### **2.2 Leaching to groundwater**

Percolation of water through almost any material will cause soluble components to be dissolved out and enter the leachate. Insoluble liquids (such as oils) and small particles in the form of suspended solids may also be present in leachate (Environment Agency, 2002). Leachate composition is primarily determined by the composition and solubility of the waste constituents. The composition of waste can be said to change over time as a landfill ages (for example due to weathering or biodegradation) and therefore the leachate composition also changes with time. This is particularly the case in landfills containing non-hazardous municipal waste. Generally, it is accepted that landfilled waste undergoes at least four phases of decomposition and therefore four stages in the generation of leachate. These are: (1) an initial aerobic phase, (2) an anaerobic acid phase, (3) an initial methanogenic phase, and (4) a stable methanogenic phase (Christensen and Kjeldsen, 1995).

1. Leachate produced in the early stages of decomposition of waste is typically generated under aerobic conditions producing a complex solution with near neutral pH. This phase generally only lasts a few days or weeks because oxygen б is not replenished once the waste is covered. It is relatively unimportant in terms of leachate quality, as most leachate produced during this phase results from the release of moisture during compaction and bypassing of precipitation through the buried refuse. However, because aerobic degradation produces heat, leachate temperatures can rise, sometimes as high as 80-90 °C, and if this heat is retained it can enhance the latter stages of leachate production (Kjeldsen et al., 2002; Environment Agency, 2002). Elevated temperatures are likely to enhance potential volatilisation and also the aqueous solubility of BFRs. 

2. As decomposition processes develop and oxygen sources are depleted, the waste becomes anaerobic, supporting fermentation reactions. The anaerobic phase has two main stages in leachate generation; the acidogenic stage and the acetogenic stage. The acidogenic stage proceeds efficiently over a relatively narrow pH range around neutral. During the acetogenic stage, hydrolytic, fermentative, and acetogenic bacteria dominate, resulting in an accumulation of carboxylic acids, and a pH decrease. This acidic pH leachate is chemically aggressive and increases the solubility of many compounds (Kjeldsen et al., 2002; Environment Agency, 2002). 

3. After several months or years, methanogenic conditions are established as the pH of the waste becomes sufficiently neutralised to permit at least limited growth of methanogenic bacteria. The leachate becomes neutral or slightly alkaline as the pH increases and acids are consumed. The overall volume of leachate is lower but still contains significant quantities of some pollutants (e.g. ammonium). 

471
4. As biodegradation nears completion, the pH continues to increase and aerobic
472
473
473
474
474
474
474
475
475
476
476
477
477
478
479
479
479
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
470
<l

of humic acids is that they enhance the leachability of BFRs from waste materials they contact.

Over time, leachate barrier systems are prone to degradation and ultimately failure. When this occurs, pollution of groundwater becomes possible. Alternatively if leachate is not removed for treatment at sufficiently high rates, seepage to both surface and groundwater may occur (Slack et al., 2007). The processes via which BFRs leach from landfilled waste are not yet understood. In order to understand these leaching mechanisms, a full knowledge of the environmental partitioning mechanism at aqueous/solid-phase interfaces is required, as well as the relevant physicochemical properties of BFRs (Choi et al., 2009).

PBDEs are hydrophobic, therefore their presence in leachate implies that other
constituents present in the leachate have enhanced their leachability. When wastes
come into contact with rainwater in a landfill, relatively hydrophilic BFRs such as TBBPA are more readily dissolved in the leachate (Osako et al., 2004). However, dissolved
humic matter (DHM) in the leachate interacts with hydrophobic BFRs such as the
higher brominated PBDEs in the waste and can enhance their leachabilities (Osako et al., 2004).

Danon-Schaffer et al., (2013b) conducted a series of leaching experiments contacting e-waste with distilled water and leachate from a major urban landfill in end-over-end contactors at a rotational speed of 8 revolutions per minute. The authors found greater transfer of PBDEs to the aqueous phase at lower pH levels (4 and 5). The authors hypothesised that changes in pH affect the solubility and mechanical properties of the plastic, influencing the dislodgement of fine particles from the polymer. Compared to when e-waste was contacted with leachate, contacting e-waste with distilled water resulted in a lower transfer of PBDE concentrations to the aqueous phase. The duration of contact time (1 to 168 h) appeared to exert little influence on the transfer of PBDEs to the distilled water. Likewise, when leachate was used (over experimental durations of 1 to 654 h), relatively little PBDE was transferred to the leachate after the first 24 h period and the last 630 h of contact appeared to have little

 further effect on transfer. The authors suggested that there are two potential mechanisms responsible for the observed transfer rates; 1) dislodgement of ultra-fine particles of dust adhering to the surface of the plastic; or 2) abrasion occurring when the chipped e-waste material rubs against each other and against the walls of the columns during end-over-end contacting.

513 Concentrations of BFRs in European landfill leachates have only been reported for
514 Sweden (Table 3). Öman and Junestedt (2008) analysed leachate collected from
515 Swedish municipal landfills containing household waste, industrial waste, construction
516 and demolition waste, as well as ash, slag, sludge, lime, sediment and excavation
517 material. The age of the waste in the landfills varied from a few years to 50 years.
518 Concentrations of ΣPBDEs ranged from 10.2 ng L<sup>-1</sup> to 19,463 ng L<sup>-1</sup>, with those of TBBP519 A reaching up to 20 ng L<sup>-1</sup>.

In North America, Oliaei et al., (2002) reported concentrations of PBDEs in leachate sampled from five landfill sites with different waste characteristics (three municipal, one industrial, and one demolition) in Minnesota, USA. Concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PBDE ranged from 29 to 248 ng L<sup>-1</sup>. The demolition waste landfill had the highest total PBDE concentrations, suggesting that the fate of building material waste warrants serious consideration. BDE-209 was the dominant congener found in leachate, 60% to 98% of the total PBDE contamination (Oliaei et al., 2010). The second phase of this study reported total PBDE concentrations of 7.1 ng L<sup>-1</sup> in leachate from a recently closed landfill in Minnesota, USA (Oliaei et al., 2010). At this site, BDE-47 was the dominant congener found in leachate followed by BDE-99 and BDE-209 respectively. Water sampled from groundwater monitoring wells at the same site contained total PBDE concentrations of 0.052 ng L<sup>-1</sup> (sum of dissolved and particulate phases).

534 In Japan (Osako et al., 2004), leachate samples were taken from seven different landfill
 535 sites and concentrations of PBDEs and TBBP-A determined. The study found
 536 substantial differences in the concentrations of BFRs in the raw leachate of each
 537 landfill site, with PBDE concentrations expressed as the sum of BDE-47, BDE-99 and
 538 BDE-100 ranging from <0.008 to 4 ng L<sup>-1</sup>. In particular, leachate from one section on a

site that contained both crushed fragments of bulk wastes such as WEEE, as well as sewage sludge containing a "large amount" of organic matter; showed an extremely high concentration of PBDEs (e.g. 3.2 ng  $L^{-1}$  tetra-BDE, 1.8 ng  $L^{-1}$  penta-BDE, and 11 ng L<sup>-1</sup> hepta-BDE). TBBP-A concentrations recorded in this study ranged from <1 to 620 ng  $L^{-1}$ , and it was even found in treated leachate at <1 to 11 ng  $L^{-1}$ . Furthermore, relatively high correlation coefficients were observed between concentrations of each PBDE homologue group and chemical oxygen demand (COD) (R = 0.73, 0.90 and 0.92 for tri-, tetra- and penta- BDE homologues respectively) and UV260 (R = 0.80, 0.93 and 0.93 for tri-, tetra- and penta- BDE homologues respectively), both important indicators for DHM. The authors stated that DHM has the potential to enhance leachability of hydrophobic organic pollutants (HOPs) by complex interactions. The presence of DHM was shown to significantly raise the leachability of HOPs, once DHM concentrations exceed a critical micelle concentration. Hydrophobic single tail regions are sequestered to the centre of the micelles leaving the hydrophilic head regions in contact with the surrounding solvent. However, the study found no correlation between concentrations of TBBP-A and either UV260 or COD. The authors hypothesised that more hydrophobic HOPs have greater affinity to DHM and suggested that the relatively higher hydrophobicity of PBDEs relative to TBBP-A, may account for the observed enhanced leachability of PBDEs over TBBP-A. Osako et al., (2004) also suggested that this may be one reason why TBBP-A does not correlate with UV260 and COD. However, as Table 1 shows, the water solubility of TBBP-A is very similar to that of the lower PBDE homologues which did correlate with COD and UV260, and other factors are thus likely involved. 

Choi et al. (2009) conducted leaching tests for PBDEs, polybrominated biphenyls, polybrominated phenols and TBBP-A in plastic samples in the presence of DHM. Their results clearly show that BFRs can be leached by organic matter. While their experiments provide a valuable illustration of the importance of DHM in enhancing the leachability of BFRs, the authors also highlight that conditions within MSW landfills are more complicated, given the high organic matter loadings in leachate and complex interactions between a wide range of heterogeneous BFR waste, non-FR waste, sludge, etc.. 

б 

Some plausible reasons why DHM has the ability to decrease pollutant/solid-phase interaction include: solubilisation, hydrolysis, catalysis, and photosensitisation mechanisms (Choi et al., 2009). Although it is logical to assume that only the BFRs distributed along the surface of a polymer can be leached by a solvent, other chemical engineering studies have reported sorption, diffusion, and permeation in the polymer matrix (Harogoppad and Aminabhavi, 1991; Gamst et al., 2003; Hansen, 2004; cited in Choi et al., 2009). Such interactions will likely involve multiple processes and vary in accordance with a variety of parameters such as temperature, solvent, and the molecular weight and morphology of the polymer (Choi et al., 2009).

Odusanya et al., (2009) reported concentrations of PBDEs in leachate collected from five landfill sites in South Africa. Expressed as the sum of BDEs-28, -47, -66, -71, -75, -77, -85, -99, -100, -119, -153, -154 and -183, concentrations ranged from 8.4 to 54.8 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup> across the five sites. The dominant congeners found in the leachate were BDEs-28, -47, -71 and -75. The site with the highest concentrations of PBDEs also had the highest COD value, further supporting the influence of DHM on leaching. More recently Daso et al., (2013) reported PBDE concentrations from leachate at three landfill sites in Cape Town, South Africa. Leachate was collected on six occasions at bi-monthly intervals. Concentrations of the sum of BDEs-28, -47, -99, -100, -153, -154, -183 and -209 ranged from 45 to 17,953 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup>, 2.25 to 164 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup> and 13.3 to 9,360 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup> for the three landfills. 

43 593

PBDEs were detected in leachate from 26 out of 28 landfill and dumpsites across Canada (Li et al., 2012). While 59 PBDE congeners were monitored in all samples, only BDEs-47, -99, -100, -153, -154, -183, -206, -207, and -209 were detected frequently. The sum of these 9 congeners constituted more than 99% of the total PBDEs measured. Concentrations ranged substantially from 0.03 to 1,020 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of 166 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup>. The dominant congener was BDE-209 with a mean contribution of 52%. 

Recently, Zhou et al., (2013) reported BFR concentrations from raw ( $\Sigma$ PBDEs 5.67 ng L<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>; TBBP-A 15.4 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) and treated ( $\Sigma$ PBDEs <6.1 ng L<sup>-1</sup>; TBBP-A <4.5 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) landfill leachates from Laogang landfill site, Shanghai, China. Elsewhere in Asia, Kwan et al., (2013) reported PBDEs in leachate from ten MSW dumping sites located within eight tropical countries viz: Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, India, Indonesia, Thailand, the Philippines, and Malaysia. Concentrations ranged from 3.7 to 133,000 ng  $\Sigma$ PBDEs L<sup>-1</sup>. Debromination of PBDE technical mixtures (including BDE-209) is implied by the presence in leachate of congeners that are either absent from or present only in trace concentrations in commercial formulations (e.g., BDEs-208, -207, -206, -202, -188, -179, -49, -17/25, -8, and -1). Further discussion of such debromination follows in section 2.3.

б

#### 2.3 Debromination and degradation

There is strong evidence that BDE-209 debrominates over time, forming lower brominated PBDEs with stronger bioaccumulation characteristics and higher toxicity (Danon-Schaffer, 2010; Kajiwara et al., 2008, 2013; Schenker et al., 2008; Stapleton and Dodder, 2008). Little information is available in the literature on the degradation pathways/kinetics of PBDEs. Work by Danon-Schaffer and Mahecha-Botero (2010) details a simplified degradation/debromination pathway based on stepwise debromination of each homologue group of congeners to the next (lower) one, beginning with BDE-209 and extending ultimately to low molecular weight congeners (tri-BDEs, di-BDEs and mono-BDEs). PBDE congeners lose bromines due to reductive debromination, photolysis, and microbial degradation in anaerobic environments (Danon-Schaffer and Mahecha-Botero, 2010). Reported BDE-209 photodegradation half-lives range between <15 minutes up to 408 days, depending on the matrix with which it is associated and the radiation wavelengths (Stapleton, 2008). It is unclear to what extent degradation occurs in the natural environment as shielding effects likely limit the amount of UV light to which the PBDEs are exposed (Domínguez et al., 2011). Also of concern, Kajiwara et al. (2013) showed that exposure to natural sunlight of textiles treated with Deca-BDE, resulted in the formation of polybrominated dibenzofurans (PBDFs) as products of photodecomposition. A maximum total PBDF concentration of 27,000 ng g<sup>-1</sup> was reached after 329 days of exposure. This was 

approximately 10 times the initial concentration. The authors also reported that di- to hexa-BDF congener concentrations increased continuously throughout the study. Interestingly, textiles that contained approximately 4% HBCD by weight showed no substantial loss of any HBCD diastereomers during the entire exposure period (371 days). This suggested that debromination and isomerisation of HBCD diastereomers did not occur and that HBCD is resistant to sunlight. However, this contrasts with the work of Harrad et al., (2009a) in which dust samples were exposed to light indoors for different periods of time with a control sample kept in darkness. Within the first week of exposure there was a significant shift from  $\gamma$ -HBCD to  $\alpha$ -HBCD and evidence of HBCD degradation in the presence of light. The experimental data suggested a rapid photolytically mediated shift in the diastereomer profile and a slower degradative loss of HBCDs via elimination of HBr to degradation products pentabromocyclododecenes (PBCDs) and tetrabromocyclododecadienes (TBCDs). The difference between the two studies may be an indication of the influence of the material with which the HBCD is associated.

Analysis of dust samples from inside TV casings detected elevated concentrations of PBDEs. In one television studied, lower brominated homologues displayed elevated concentrations in dust relative to those in the plastic components (Takigami et al., 2008). While one explanation for this is that lower congeners transfer more efficiently via volatilisation and subsequent deposition to dust, another suggestion proposed by the authors was that the PBDEs detected in the dust might be transformed (e.g., through debromination and oxidation) during the transfer from components to dust. In a similar vein, Harrad et al., (2009a) reported that dust collected from within the casing of a HBCD flame retarded TV showed a substantially higher ratio of  $\gamma$ -HBCD to  $\alpha$ -HBCD than dust sampled at increasing distances from the source. This is consistent with a photolytically mediated shift in the diastereomer profile. Chen et al., (2010) reported elevated ratios of BDE-208:BDE-183 and BDE-208:BDE-209 in TV/PC display casings, and PC components sampled in South China. The authors attributed these ratios to the decomposition of higher brominated PBDEs during the process of manufacturing, use and/or recycling of PBDE-containing products, in addition to degradation in the environment. Elevated values of such ratios were also reported by Muenhor et al., 

(2010) in dust samples taken from e-waste storage facilities in Thailand. The authors
suggest that debromination of BDE-209 to BDE-208 had taken place during the lifetime
of the e-waste and further hypothesised that the elevated abundances of BDE-208 and
of the other nona-BDEs (BDE-206 and BDE-207) found in their dust samples arose
largely as a result of direct migration of such congeners from stored e-waste.

Finally, reductive debromination of deca-, nona- and octa-BDEs, to lower brominated congeners can occur in anaerobic environments (akin to landfill conditions) via microbial activity (Gerecke et al., 2005; He et al., 2006; Robrock et al., 2008). Gerecke et al., (2006) found that TBBP-A and HBCD also underwent microbially mediated degradation under anaerobic conditions in digested sewage sludge, with the half-life of  $\alpha$ -HBCD almost twice that of  $\beta$ -HBCD and  $\gamma$ -HBCD. Additionally, it has been reported that BDE-209 and nona-BDEs can be debrominated by anaerobic sediment microbes (Parsons et al., 2004; Tokarz et al., 2008).

In summary, the available evidence suggests that the BFR content of landfilled wastewill likely change over time, as a result of a variety of degradation processes.

#### **2.4 Abrasion and weathering**

Hale et al. (2002) conducted weathering experiments on BFR-treated PUF for several
weeks under full sunlight conditions in summer. Typical daytime temperatures were as
high as 30-35 °C and humidity generally exceeded 80%. Disintegration of the surface of
the PUF occurred within four weeks and resulted in small, low-density fragments easily
dispersed by precipitation or wind. Moreover, weathered PUF fragments appeared to
preserve the original constituent pattern of Penta-BDE.

Work by Webster et al. (2009) using environmental forensic microscopy, suggests that physical abrasion is a viable mechanism via which BDE-209 can migrate from consumer products to indoor dust. BDE-209 has a very low vapour pressure, therefore the authors hypothesised that abrasion is a more plausible transfer mechanism than volatilisation – particularly in dust samples containing highly elevated concentrations of BDE-209. This was supported by the heterogeneous distribution of the bromine in the dust samples. Furthermore, bromine-rich particles were not observed free in the dust but appeared to be embedded in a polymeric/organic matrix and associated with calcium. Calcium carbonate is a very common additive in plastics. This evidence supports the abrasion hypothesis in that BDE-209 is primarily present in dust samples containing highly elevated concentrations of this congener as inclusions in plastic particles. Given the conditions prevalent in landfills, it is not unreasonable to hypothesise that similar abrasive mechanisms will be at work on landfilled waste and thereby facilitate BFR emissions.

**3. Analysis and discussion** 

Currently there appear little or no data on emissions of BFRs from waste soft furnishings in the literature. Indeed only a few data exist concerning the emissions of BFRs from landfill sites or during the incineration of MSW; as well as the potential for emissions during transport of waste to landfills or incinerators. The absence of data on these aspects constitute major research gaps. Fig. 1 illustrates the various potential pathways via which BFRs may migrate from waste during transport to and storage at waste treatment facilities as well as during the treatment process itself. The bulk of research into BFR emissions from waste focuses on primitive e-waste dismantling and recycling conducted in areas such as Taizhou, Zhejiang province and Guiyu, Guangdong province in China (Chen et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009; Han et al., 2009) and is therefore not immediately relevant to disposal of waste soft furnishings under controlled conditions.

A small number of emission chamber experiments have shown that volatilisation of BFRs from a range of products including soft furnishings are amplified significantly at temperatures above 80 °C (Kajiwara and Takigami, 2013; Kemmlein et al., 2003). However, despite evidence that temperatures within landfills can reach up to 80-90 °C; to the authors' knowledge there have been no experiments conducted that examine the effect of temperature on the leachability of BFRs from waste. 

As well as volatilisation and leaching, an additional migration pathway from waste of
BFRs such as BDE-183 and BDE-209, is via fugitive emissions of dust to air by abrasion

during waste unloading and/or compacting by on site vehicles. However, there appear
no studies to date that have measured the extent to which this occurs. Likewise, there
exist no studies to date that examine the potential for fugitive (largely, but not
exclusively particle-bound) emissions of BFRs as a result of the landfilling of residual
bottom and fly ash generated by waste incineration.

As well as limited data on the extent and pathways of BFR emissions during waste treatment, our understanding of the factors influencing such emissions is hampered by our limited knowledge of environmentally-relevant physicochemical properties of some BFRs, e.g., aqueous solubility, and partition coefficients like  $K_{OW}$ ,  $K_{OC}$ , and Henry's constant. While such physicochemical properties for lower brominated PBDEs are relatively well-characterised, those of BDE-209, TBBP-A, HBCD, and "novel" BFRs like decabromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE) are subject to greater uncertainty. Enhanced understanding of these properties – and of factors like climate - is needed to facilitate understanding of how BFRs are leached from waste materials in a landfill setting. For example, while Odusanya et al (2009) reported higher concentrations of PBDEs in landfill leachate in winter compared to summer; it still appears likely that hotter climates will enhance the leachability of BFRs in landfill. As a result, compared to much of North America and northern Europe; subtropical and tropical regions such as southern China, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, India, Indonesia, Thailand, the Philippines, and Malaysia are unlikely to display similar BFR concentrations in landfill leachate.

Further international variability in BFR concentrations in landfill leachate will likely
arise due to different waste management practices. For example, the low
concentrations detected in leachate from Japanese landfills may well be attributable to
the fact that 80% of MSW is incinerated in Japan. Hence, the proportion of Japanese
landfill that is incinerator ash is high, and direct extrapolation of Japanese landfill
leachate data to countries such as the UK where incineration is far less prevalent and
BFR-containing waste is more likely landfilled untreated, appears unwise.

Given that in many (though not all) studies, BDE-209 is the dominant PBDE congener
found in landfill leachates, this suggests that water solubility alone is not the primary

 factor driving BFR leaching from landfilled waste. Understanding what does drive BFR leaching is important; while there are no reported measurements of HBCD concentrations in landfill leachates, the evidence for PBDEs suggests that leaching could constitute an appreciable pathway via which HBCD and related BFRs migrate into the environment. Specifically, Li et al., (2012) estimated average annual emissions from a single urban landfill in Canada to be 3.5 tonnes  $\Sigma$ PBDEs /year.

Moreover, evidence for reductive debromination of BFRs by microbes under anaerobic conditions suggests this could also occur in landfill, resulting in some instances in more toxic and bioavailable compounds, particularly during phase 2 and 3 of landfill leachate generation (Parsons et al., 2004; Gerecke et al., 2005, 2006; He et al., 2006; Robrock et al., 2008; Tokarz et al., 2008). While this hypothesis has yet to be verified fully; it is supported by the relatively higher abundances in landfill leachate of PBDE congeners that are absent from the commercial formulations (Kwan et al., 2013).

#### 4. Conclusions

There has been very little research into the extent of emissions of BFRs from waste soft furnishings. The mechanisms via which BFRs migrate from such waste materials remain far from completely understood, and current understanding is almost entirely based on the larger – but still far from complete – database on emissions from WEEE and in-use materials. While – in addition to the burgeoning database on BFR emissions resulting from informal e-waste recycling activities - evidence exists of elevated airborne concentrations of HBCDs in the vicinity of a UK e-waste treatment facility together with the presence of BFRs (specifically PBDEs and TBBP-A) in landfill leachate; other studies of BFR emissions from regulated waste treatment activities remain few. Although BFRs are hydrophobic, evidence exists that their leachability from waste materials is substantially enhanced when DHM is present in the leachate. There is a need to enhance understanding of the factors influencing emissions and of the potential for BFR degradation under landfill conditions. Landfill sites already constitute an enormous reservoir of BFR-containing materials and commercial goods e.g. e-waste, building materials, carpets, furniture and upholstery fabrics. As a result, without careful management, such landfills will continue to act as substantial sources of BFRs 

to the global environment, and the wisdom of continued landfilling of BFR-containing waste is questionable. For instance, Weber et al. (2011) suggest that such products should be destroyed or managed in an environmentally sound manner before they enter the waste stream, and that such BFR waste should not be landfilled or recycled in view of its toxicity and associated environmental concerns. While hitherto, scientific and societal focus has been on e-waste, this review highlights that many of the same concerns exist regarding emissions from waste soft furnishings, and that studies to enhance our currently scant understanding of BFR emissions from this important waste stream constitute an urgent research priority.

804 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge gratefully the provision of an Open Competition CASE
studentship award to WAS by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC ref
NE/I018352/1). Additional financial support to WAS from Ricardo-AEA is also
acknowledged gratefully.

#### **References**

Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Harrad, S. J., 2009. Personal exposure to HBCDs and its degradation

812 products via ingestion of indoor dust. *Environment International*, **35**, 870-876.

<sup>36</sup> <sub>37</sub> 813 Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Harrad, S. J.; Covaci, A., 2008. Hexabromocyclododecanes and

39 814 tetrabromobisphenol-A in indoor air and dust in Birmingham, UK: Implications for

41 815 human exposure. *Environmental Science & Technology*, **42**, 6855-6861.

43 816 Agrell, C.; ter Schure, A. F.; Sveder, J.; Bokenstrand, A.; Larsson, P., Zegers, B. N., 2004.

<sup>44</sup><sub>45</sub> 817 Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDES) at a solid waste incineration plant I:

47 818 Atmospheric concentrations. *Atmospheric Environment*, **38**, 5139-5148.

<sup>48</sup><sub>49</sub> 819 Alaee, M., 2001. Levels and trend of PBDEs in North American environment. The

<sup>50</sup> 820 Second International Workshop on Brominated Flame Retardants, BFR 2001

<sup>52</sup> 821 Stockholm, May 14–16, 2001.

<sup>54</sup> 822 Alcock, R. E.; Sweetman, A. J.; Prevedouros, K.; Jones, K. C., 2003. Understanding levels

823 and trends of BDE-47 in the UK and North America: an assessment of principal
 57

824 reservoirs and source inputs. *Environment International*, **29**, 691-698.

| 1<br>2<br>2          | 825 | Bruchajzer, E.; Frydrych, B.; Sporny, S.; Szymanska, J. A., 2011. The effect of short-term     |
|----------------------|-----|--|
|                      | 826 | intoxication of rats with pentabromodiphenyl ether (in mixture mimic commercial                |
| 3<br>4               | 827 | products). Human and Experimental Toxicology, <b>30</b> , 363-378.                             |
| 5<br>6               | 828 | Chen, S.; Ma, Y.; Wang, J.; Tian, M.; Luo, X.; Chen, D.; Mai, B., 2010. Measurement and        |
| 7<br>8               | 829 | human exposure assessment of brominated flame retardants in household products                 |
| 9<br>10              | 830 | from South China. Journal of Hazardous Materials, <b>176</b> , 979-984.                        |
| 11<br>12             | 831 | Chen, D.; Bi, X.; Zhao, J.; Chen, L.; Tan, J.; Mai, B.; Sheng, G.; Fu, J.; Wong, M., 2009.     |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16 | 832 | Pollution characterization and diurnal variation of PBDEs in the atmosphere of an E-           |
|                      | 833 | waste dismantling region. Environmental Pollution, 157, 1051-1057.                             |
| 17<br>18             | 834 | Chen, L.; Mai, B.; Xu, Z.; Peng, X.; Han, J; Ran, Y.; Sheng, G.; Fu, J., 2008. In- and         |
| 19<br>20             | 835 | outdoor sources of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and their human inhalation                   |
| 21<br>22             | 836 | exposure in Guangzhou, China. Atmospheric Environment, 42, 78-86.                              |
| 23<br>24             | 837 | Christensen, T. H.; Kjeldsen, P., 1995. Landfill emissions and environmental impact: An        |
| 25<br>26             | 838 | introduction. In Sardinia '95, Fifth International Landfill Symposium, Proceedings,            |
| 20<br>27<br>28       | 839 | Volume III, Christensen, T. H.; Cossu, R.; Stegmann, R., Eds., CISA, Cagliari, Italy, 3.       |
| 29                   | 840 | Choi, KI.; Lee, SH.; Osako, M., 2009. Leaching of brominated flame retardants from             |
| 30<br>31             | 841 | TV housing plastics in the presence of dissolved humic matter. Chemosphere, 74, 460-           |
| 32<br>33             | 842 | 466.   |
| 34<br>35             | 843 | Commission on Life Sciences, 2000. Toxicological risks of Selected Flame-Retardant             |
| 36<br>37             | 844 | Chemicals. National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA. 534.                                    |
| 38<br>39             | 845 | Council of the European Union, 1999. Council Directive 1999/31/EC on the Landfill of           |
| 40<br>41             | 846 | Waste. Official Journal of the European Communities, I.182/1. Available at: <u>http://eur-</u> |
| 42<br>43             | 847 | lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:1999:182:0001:0019:EN:PDF                      |
| 44<br>45             | 848 | [Accessed 10 June 2013].   |
| 46<br>47             | 849 | Covaci, A.; Voorspoels, S.; Abdallah, M. AE.; Geens, T.; Harrad, S. J.; Law, R. J., 2009.      |
| 48<br>49             | 850 | Analytical and environmental aspects of the flame retardant tetrabromobisphenol-A              |
| 50<br>51             | 851 | and its derivatives. Journal of Chromatography A, 1216, 346-363.                               |
| 52<br>53             | 852 | Covaci, A.; Voorspoels, S.; D'Silva, K.; Huwe, J; Harrad, S. J., 2008. Brominated Flame        |
| 54<br>55             | 853 | Retardants as Food Contaminants. In: Barceló, D. 2008. Comprehensive Analytical                |
| 56<br>57             | 854 | Chemistry, Vol. 51: Food Contaminants and Residue Analysis. Amsterdam & Oxford:                |
| 58<br>59             | 855 | Elsevier. 507-570.   |
| 60<br>61             |     |  |
| 62                   |     |  |
| 63<br>64             |     |  |
| 65                   |     |  |

- Danon-Schaffer, M. N.; Mahecha-Botero, A.; Grace, J. R.; Ikonomou, M. G., 2013a. Mass balance evaluation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in landfill leachate and potential for transfer from e-waste. Science of the Total Environment, 461-462, 290-301. б Danon-Schaffer, M. N.; Mahecha-Botero, A.; Grace, J. R.; Ikonomou, M. G., 2013b. Transfer of PBDEs from e-waste to aqueous media. Science of the Total Environment, , 458-471. Danon-Schaffer, M. N.; Mahecha-Botero, A., 2010. Influence of chemical degradation kinetic parameters on the total debromination of PBDEs in a landfill system. Organohalogen Compounds, **72**, 47-50. Darnerud, P. O., 2008. Brominated flame retardants as possible endocrine disrupters. International Journal of Andrology, **31**, 152-160. Darnerud, P. O., 2003. Toxic effects of brominated flame retardants in man and in wildlife. Environment International, 29, 841-853. Daso, A. P.; Fatoki, O. S.; Odendaal, J. P.; Olujimi, O. O., 2013. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB-153) in landfill leachate in Cape Town, South Africa. Environmental Monitoring & Assessment, 185, 431-439. Deng, W. J.; Zheng, J. S.; Bi, X. H.; Fu, J. M.; Wong, M. H., 2007. Distribution of PBDEs in air particles from an electronic waste recycling site compared with Guangzhou and Hong Kong, South China. Environment International, 33, 1063-1069. de Wit, C. A., 2002. An overview of brominated flame retardants in the environment. Chemosphere, 46, 583-624. Domínguez, A. A.; Law, R. J.; Herzke, D; de Boer, J., 2011. Bioaccumulation of Brominated Flame Retardants. In: Eljarrat, E.; Barceló, D. 2011. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Vol. 16: Brominated Flame Retardants. Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London & New York: Springer. 141-185. Environment Agency, 2011. Treatment of waste for landfill Report – GEHO1111BVDF-E-E 913\_11, Version 2. Available at: <u>http://a0768b4a8a31e1</u>06d8b0-50dc802554eb38a24458b98ff72d550b.r19.cf3.rackcdn.com/geho1111bvdf-e-e.pdf [Accessed 18 June 2013]. Environment Agency, 2002. Guidance on Monitoring of Landfill Leachate, Groundwater and Surface Water. [pdf] Available at:

http://www.ehsdata.com/media/pdf/technical/monitoring%20guidance-june%2002%20v.3a.pdf [Accessed 1 February 2012]. European Chemicals Bureau, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection. 2000. European Union Risk Assessment Report, diphenyl ether, pentabromo derivative б (Pentabromodiphenylether). Available at: http://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/781ee1e9-6c90-467e-998b-8910ca2793e5 [Accessed 12 December 2012]. European Commission, 2011. Final Report: Study on waste related issues of newly listed POPs and candidate POPs. EFRA (European Flame Retardants Association), 2007. Flame Retardant Fact Sheet -Tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBP-A), Available at: <u>http://www.cefic-efra.com</u> [Accessed 26 September 2013]. Frederiksen, M.; Vorkamp, K.; Thomsen, M.; Knudsen, L. E., 2009. Human internal and external exposure to PBDEs -a review of levels and sources. International Journal of Hygiene & Environmental Health, **212**, 109-34. Gerecke, A. C.; Giger, W.; Hartmann, P. C.; Heeb, N. V.; Kohler, H.-P.; Schmid, P.; Zennegg, M.; Kohler, M., 2006. Anaerobic Degradation of Brominated Flame Retardants in Sewage Sludge. Chemosphere, 64, 311-317. Gerecke, A.C.; Hartmann, P.C.; Heeb, N.V.; Kohler, H.-P.; Giger, W.; Schmud, P.; Zennegg, M.; Kohler, M., 2005. Anaerobic Degradation of Decabromodiphenyl Ether. Environmental Science & Technology, **39**, 1078-1083. Hale. R. C.; La Guardia, M. J.; Harvey, E.; Mainor, M. T., 2002. Potential role of fire retardant-treated polyurethane foam as a source of brominated diphenyl ethers to the US environment. Chemosphere, 46, 729-735. Han, W.; Feng, J.; Gu, Z.; Chen, D.; Wu, M.; Fu, J., 2009. Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in the Atmosphere of Taizhou, a Major E-Waste Dismantling Area in China. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 83, 783-788. Harju, M.; Heimstad, E. S.; Sandanger, D.H.; Posner, S.; Wania, F., 2008. Current State of Knowledge and Monitoring requirements Emerging "new" Brominated Flame Retardants in Flame Retarded Products and the Environment, Oslo: Statens forurensningstilsyn. Available at: 

919 <u>http://www.miljodirektoratet.no/old/klif/publikasjoner/2462/ta2462.pdf</u> [Accessed 26

920 September 2013].

- 921 Harner, T.; Shoeib, M., 2002. Measurements of Octanol-Air Partition Coefficients (K<sub>OA</sub>)
- 922 for Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs): Predicting Partitioning in the
- 923 Environment. *Journal of Chemical Engineering Data*, **47**, 228-232.
- 924 Harrad, S.; Desborough, J; Abdallah, M. A.-E., 2010a. An Overview of Contamination of
- 925 the UK Environment with HBCD and its Degradation Products. *Organohalogen*
- *Compounds*, **72**, 193-196.
- 927 Harrad, S.; de Wit, C. A.; Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Bergh, C.; Bjorklund, J. A.; Covaci, A.;
- 928 Darnerud, P. O.; de Boer, J.; Diamond, M.; Huber, S.; Leonards, P.; Mandalakis, M.;
- 929 Oestman, C.; Haug, L. S.; Thomsen, C.; Webster, T. F., 2010b. Indoor contamination
- 930 with hexabromocyclododecanes, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and perfluoroalkyl
- 931 compounds: An important exposure pathway for people? *Environmental Science* &
- *Technology*, **44**, 3221-3231.
- 933 Harrad, S.; Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Covaci, A., 2009a. Causes of variability in concentrations
   934 and diastereomer patterns of Hexabromocyclododecanes in indoor dust. *Environment* 935 *international*, **35**, 573-579.
- Harrad, S.; Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Rose, N. L.; Turner, S. D.; Davidson, T. A., 2009b. Current-
- 5 937 use brominated flame retardants in water, sediment, and fish from English Lakes.
- 7 938 Environmental Science & Technology, **43**, 9077-9083.
- 939 He, J.; Robcock, K. R.; Alvarez-Cohen, L. 2006. Microbial Reductive Debromination of
   940 Polychlorinated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs). *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40,
   941 4429-4434.
- <sup>+</sup> 942 Herbstman, J. B.; Sjodin, A.; Kurzon, M.; Lederman, S. A.; Jones, R. S.; Rauh, V.;
- <sup>o</sup> 943 Needham, L. L.; Tang, D.; Niedzwiecki, M.; Wang, R. Y.; Perera, F., 2010. Prenatal
- <sup>8</sup> 944 exposure to PBDEs and neurodevelopment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **118**,
- $^{0}_{1}$  945 712-719.
- <sup>2</sup>946 Johansson, N.; Viberg, H.; Fredriksson, A.; Eriksson, P., 2008. Neonatal exposure to
- <sup>4</sup> 947 deca-brominated diphenyl ether (PBDE 209) causes dose-response changes in
- <sup>6</sup> 948 spontaneous behaviour and cholinergic susceptibility in adult mice. *Neurotoxicology*,
   <sup>8</sup> 949 **29**, 911-919.

Julander, A.; Westberg, H.; Engwell, M.; van Bavel, B., 2005. Distribution of brominated flame retardants in different dust fractions in air from an electronics recycling facility. Science of the Total Environment, **350**, 151-160. Kajiwara, N.; Takigami, H., 2013. Emission behavior of hexabromocyclododecanes and polybrominated diphenyl ethers from flame-retardant treated textiles. Environmental Science Processes & Impacts, 15, 1957-1963. Kajiwara, N.; Desborough, J.; Harrad, S.; Takigami, H., 2013. Photolysis of brominated flame retardants in textiles exposed to natural sunlight. Environmental Science: *Processes Impacts*, **15**, 653-660. Kajiwara, N.; Noma, Y.; Takigami, H., 2008. Photolysis Studies of Technical Decabromodiphenyl Ether (DecaBDE) and Ethane (DeBDethane) in Plastics under Natural Sunlight. Environmental Science & Technology, 42, 4404-4409. Kelly, B.; Ikonomou, M.; Blair, J.; Gobas, F., 2008. Bioaccumulation behaviour of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in a Canadian Arctic marine food web. Science of the Total Environment, 401, 60-72. Kemmlein, S.; Hahn, O.; Jann, O., 2003. Emissions of organophosphate and brominated flame retardants from selected consumer products and building materials. Atmospheric Environment, 37, 5485-5493. Kitamura, S.; Jinno, N.; Ohta, S.; Kuroki, H.; Fujimoto, N., 2002. Thyroid hormonal activity of the flame retardants tetrabromobisphenol A and tetrachlorobisphenol A. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 293, 554-559. Kitamura, S.; Kato, T.; Iida, M.; Jinno, N.; Suzuki, T.; Ohta, S.; Fujimoto, N.; Hanada, H.; Kashiwagi, K.; Kashiwagi., A., 2005. Anti-thyroid hormonal activity of tetrabromobisphenol A, a flame retardant, and related compounds: Affinity to the mammalian thyroid hormone receptor, and effect on tadpole metamorphosis. Life Sciences, 76, 1589-1601. Kjeldsen, P.; Barlaz, M. A.; Rooker, A. P.; Baun, A.; Ledin, A.; Christensen, T. H., 2002. Present and Long-Term Composition of MSW Landfill Leachate: A Review. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, **32**, 297-336. Kuramochi, H.; Kawamoto, K.; Miyazaki, K.; Nagahama, K.; Maeda, K.; Li, X.-W.; Shibata, E.; Nakamura, T.; Sakai, S., 2008. Determination of Physicochemical Properties of Tetrabromobisphenol-A. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 27, 2413-2418. 

| 982  | Kwan, C. S.; Takada, H.; Mizukawa, K.; Torii, M.; Koike, T.; Yamashita, R.; Rinawati;   |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 983  | Saha, M.; Santiago, E. C., 2013. PBDEs in leachates from municipal solid waste  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 984  | dumping sites in tropical Asian countries: phase distribution and debromination.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 985  | Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 20, 4188-4204.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 986  | Law, K.; Halldorson, T. H.; Danell, R. W.; Stern, G. A.; Gerwutz, S.; Alaee, M.; Marvin, C.;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 987  | Whittle, D. M.; Tomy, G. T. 2006. Bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of some  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 988  | brominated flame retardants in a Lake Winnipeg (Canada) food web. Environmental   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 989  | Toxicology and Chemistry, <b>25</b> , 2177-2186.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 990  | Law, R. J., 2010. Brominated Flame Retardants. In: Harrad, S. J., 2010. Persistent  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 991  | Organic Pollutants. Chichester: Wiley. 5-24.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 992  | Lee, R. G.; Thomas, G. O.; Jones, K. C., 2004. PBDEs in the atmosphere of three   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 993  | locations in western Europe. Environmental Science & Technology, 38, 699-706.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 994  | Leisewitz, A.; Kruse, H.; Schramm, E., 2000. Substituting environmentally relevant  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 995  | flame retardants: vol. I. Results and summary overview. vol. II: Flame-retardant  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 996  | finishings of selected products – application-focused analysis: state of the art, trends,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 997  | alternatives. Federal Environmental Agency, Germany, Report no. UBA-FB 000171/1;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 998  | 2000. Available at:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 999  | http://www.cleanproduction.org/library/German%20Alternatives%20Report%202000.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 | pdf [Accessed 9 March 2012].  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1001 | Leonards, P.; López, P.; de Boer, J., 2008. Analysis and occurrence of new brominated   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1002 | flame retardants in the environment. Organohalogen Compounds, 70, 926-929.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1003 | Li, B.; Danon-Schaffer, M. N.; Li, L. Y.; Ikonomou, M. G.; Grace, J. R., 2012. Occurrence   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1004 | of PFCs and PBDEs in Landfill Leachates from Across Canada. Water, Air, & Soil  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1005 | Pollution, <b>223</b> , 365-3372.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1006 | Li, X.; Chen, J.; Zhang, L.; Qiao, X.; Huang, L., 2006. The Fragment Constant Method for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1007 | Predicting Octanol-Air Partition Coefficients of Persistent Organic Pollutants at   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1008 | Different Temperatures. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 35, 1365-  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1009 | 1384.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1010 | MacGregor, J. A.; Nixon, W. B., 2001. Determination of the n-octanol/water partition  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1011 | coefficient of tetrabromobisphenol A. Wildlife International Ltd., Project Number   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1012 | 439C-129. Available at: <u>http://www.epa.gov/hpv/pubs/summaries/phenolis/c13460.pdf</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1013 | [Accessed 18 April 2013].   |  |  |  |  |  |
|      | <ul> <li>983</li> <li>984</li> <li>985</li> <li>986</li> <li>987</li> <li>988</li> <li>989</li> <li>990</li> <li>991</li> <li>992</li> <li>993</li> <li>994</li> <li>995</li> <li>996</li> <li>997</li> <li>998</li> <li>999</li> <li>1000</li> <li>1001</li> <li>1002</li> <li>1003</li> <li>1004</li> <li>1005</li> <li>1006</li> <li>1007</li> <li>1008</li> <li>1009</li> <li>1010</li> <li>1011</li> <li>1012</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |

- 1014 MacGregor, J. A.; Nixon, W. B., 1997. Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD):
- 1015 Determination of n-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient. Wildlife International Ltd.,
   3

1016 Project No. 439C-104.

4 5

6

1017 Mariussen, E.; Fonnum, F. 2003. The effect of brominated flame retardants on

 $\frac{7}{8}$  1018 neurotransmitter uptake into rat brain synaptosomes and vesicles. *Neurochemistry* 

<sup>9</sup><sub>10</sub> 1019 *international,* **43,** 533-542.

- <sup>11</sup><sub>12</sub> 1020 Marvin, C. H.; Tomy, G. T.; Armitage, J. M.; Arnot, J. A.; McCarty, L.; Covaci, A.; Palace,
- <sup>13</sup><sub>14</sub> 1021 V. H., 2011. Hexabromocyclododecane: Current Understanding of Chemistry,
- <sup>15</sup><sub>16</sub> 1022 Environmental Fate and Toxicology and Implications for Global Management.
- <sup>17</sup><sub>18</sub> 1023 Environmental Science & Technology, **45**, 8613-8623.
- <sup>19</sup><sub>20</sub> 1024 Meerts, I. A.; van Zanden, J. J.; Luijks, E. A.; van Leeuwen-Bol, I.; Marsh, G.; Jakobsson,
- <sup>21</sup> 1025 E.; Bergman, A.; Brouwer, A., 2000. Potent competitive interactions of some

<sup>23</sup> 1026 brominated flame retardants and related compounds with human transthyretin in

- <sup>25</sup> 1027 vitro. *Toxicological Sciences*, **56**, 95-104.
- <sup>27</sup> 1028 Muenhor, D.; Harrad, S.; Ali, N.; Covaci, A., 2010. Brominated flame retardants (BFRs)
- 1029 in air and dust from electronic waste storage facilities in Thailand. *Environment* 1030 *International*, **36**, 690-698.

Muir, D. C. G.; de Wit, C. A., 2010. Trends of legacy and new persistent organic

- pollutants in the circumpolar Arctic: overview, conclusions, and recommendations.
- <sup>36</sup> <sub>37</sub> 1033 *Science of the Total Environment*, **408**, 2852-2853.
- <sup>38</sup> <sub>39</sub> 1034 NTP, 1986. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of decabromodiphenyl oxide (CAS
- <sup>40</sup> 41 1035 No. 1163-19-5) in R344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (feed studies). *NTP (National*

<sup>42</sup><sub>43</sub> 1036 Toxicology Programme) Technical Report Series No. 309; 1986.

- <sup>44</sup><sub>45</sub> 1037 Odusanya, D. O.; Okonkwo, J. O.; Botha, B., 2009. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers
- <sup>46</sup><sub>47</sub> 1038 (PBDEs) in leachates from selected landfill sites in South Africa. *Waste Management*,
   <sup>48</sup><sub>49</sub> 1039 **29**, 96-102.
- <sup>50</sup> 1040 Oliaei, F.; Weber, R.; Watson, A., 2010. PBDE contamination in Minnesota landfills,
- <sup>52</sup> 1041 waste water treatment plants and sediments as PBDE sources and reservoirs.
- <sup>54</sup> 1042 *Organohalogen Compounds*, **72**, 1346-1349.
- <sup>56</sup> 1043 Oliaei, F.; King, P.; Phillips, L., 2002. Occurrence and concentrations of polybrominated
- <sup>58</sup> 1044 diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Minnesota environment. *Organohalogen Compounds*, **58**, <sup>59</sup>
- 60 1045 **185-188**. 61

62 63

| _  | 1046 | Öman, C. B.; Junestedt, C., 2008. Chemical characterization of landfill leachates – 400    |
|--|------|--|
| 1<br>2   | 1047 | parameters and compounds. Waste Management, 28, 1876-1891.                                 |
| 3<br>4   | 1048 | Osako, M.; Kim, YJ.; Sakai, S., 2004. Leaching of brominated flame retardants in           |
| 5<br>6   | 1049 | leachate from landfills in Japan. Chemosphere, 57, 1571-1579.                              |
| 7<br>8   | 1050 | Parsons, J.; Zegers, B. N.; Skoczynska, E.; de Voogt, P., 2004. Reductive Debromination    |
| 9<br>10  | 1051 | of Decabromodiphenyl Ether (BDE 209) by Anaerobic Sediment Microorganisms.                 |
| 11<br>12   | 1052 | Organohalogen Compounds, <b>66</b> , 2272-2274.  |
| 13<br>14   | 1053 | Robrock, K. R.; Korytár, P.; Alvarez-Cohen, L., 2008. Pathways for the Anaerobic           |
| 15<br>16   | 1054 | Microbial Debromination of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers. Environmental Science &         |
| 17<br>18   | 1055 | Technology, <b>42</b> , 2845-2852.   |
| 19<br>20   | 1056 | Rosenberg, C.; Hämeilä, M.; Tornaeus, J.; Säkkinen, K.; Puttonen, K.; Korpi, A.; Kiilunen, |
| 21<br>22   | 1057 | M.; Linnainmaa, M.; Hesso, A., 2011. Exposure to Flame Retardants in Electronics           |
| 23<br>24   | 1058 | Recycling Sites. The Annals of Occupational Hygiene, 55, 658-665.                          |
| 25<br>26   | 1059 | Rupp, S.; Metzger J. W., 2005. Brominated–chlorinated diphenyl ethers formed by            |
| 27<br>28   | 1060 | thermolysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers at low temperatures. Chemosphere, 60,        |
| 20<br>29<br>30                                     | 1061 | 1644-1651.   |
| 31   | 1062 | Sakai, S.; Hirai, Y.; Aizawa, H.; Ota, S.; Muroishi, Y., 2006. Emission inventory of deca- |
| 32<br>33   | 1063 | brominated diphenyl ether (DBDE) in Japan. Journal of Material Cycles and Waste            |
| 34<br>35   | 1064 | Management, <b>8</b> , 56-62.  |
| 36<br>37   | 1065 | Sjödin, A.; Carlsson, H.; Thuresson, K.; Sjölin, S.; Bergman, Å.; Östman, C., 2001. Flame  |
| 38<br>39   | 1066 | retardants in indoor air at an electronics recycling plant and at other work               |
| 40<br>41   | 1067 | environments. Environmental Science & Technology, 35, 448-454.                             |
| 42<br>43   | 1068 | Slack, R. J.; Gronow, J. R.; Hall, D. H.; Voulvoulis, N., 2007. Household hazardous waste  |
| 44<br>45   | 1069 | disposal to landfill: using LandSim to model leachate migration. Environmental             |
| 46<br>47   | 1070 | <i>Pollution</i> , <b>146</b> , 501-509.   |
| 48<br>49   | 1071 | St-Amand, A.; Mayer, P.; Blais, J., 2008. Seasonal trends in vegetation and atmospheric    |
| 50<br>51   | 1072 | concentrations of PAHs and PBDEs near a sanitary landfill. Atmospheric Environment,        |
| 52<br>53   | 1073 | <b>42</b> , 2948-2958.   |
| 54<br>55   | 1074 | Stapleton, H. M., 2008. Debromination of the Flame Retardant DecaBDE: Is it                |
| 55<br>56<br>57<br>58<br>59<br>61<br>62<br>63<br>64 | 1075 | Environmentally Relevant? Organohalogen Compounds, <b>70</b> , 1008-1011.                  |

Stapleton, H. M.; Dodder, N. G., 2008. Photodegradation of decabromodiphenylether in house dust by natural sunlight. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 27, 306-312. Takigami, H.; Watanabe, M.; Kajiwara, N., in press. Destruction behavior of hexabromocyclododecanes during incineration of solid waste containing expanded and extruded polystyrene insulation foams. Chemosphere. Takigami, H.; Suzuki, G.; Hirai, Y.; Sakai, S., 2008. Transfer of brominated flame retardants from components into dust inside television cabinets. Chemosphere, 73, 161-169. Takigami, H.; Suzuki, G.; Hirai, Y.; Sakai, S., 2007. Comparison of brominated flame retardants in indoor air and dust samples from two homes in Japan. Organohalogen Compounds, 69, 2785-2788. Takigami, H.; Hirai, Y.; Matsuzawa, Y.; Sakai, S., 2006. Brominated flame retardants and brominated dioxins in the working environment and environmental emission—a case study at an electronic recycling plant. Organohalogen Compounds; 68, 2190-2193. Tittlemier, S. A.; Halldorson, T.; Stern, G. A.; Tomy, G. T., 2002. Vapor pressures, aqueous solubilities and Henry's law constants of some brominated flame retardants. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, **21**, 1804-1810. Tokarz III, J. A.; Ahn, M-.Y.; Leng, J.; Filley, T. R.; Nies, L., 2008. Reductive Debromination of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Anaerobic Sediment and a Biomimetic System. *Environmental Science & Technology*, **42**, 1157-1164. Tomy, G. T.; Pleskach, K.; Oswald, T.; Halldorson, T.; Helm, P. A.; Macinnis, G.; Marvin, C. H., 2008. Enantioselective bioaccumulation of hexabromocyclododecane and congener-specific accumulation of brominated diphenyl ethers in an eastern Canadian Arctic marine food web. Environmental Science & Technology, 42, 3634-3639. Toms, L.-M.; Hearn, L.; Sjödin, A.; Mueller, J. F., 2011. Human Exposure to Brominated Flame Retardants. In: Eljarrat, E.; Barceló, D. 2011. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Vol. 16: Brominated Flame Retardants. Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London & New York: Springer. 203-240. Tu, L.; Wu, Y.; Wang, L.; Chang-Chien, G., 2011. Distribution of Polybrominated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Dibenzofurans and Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in a Coal-

| 11 | 108<br>109 | Research, <b>11</b> , 596-615.   |
|----|------------|--|
| 11 | 109        |  |
|    |            | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2011. Risk management evaluation      |
|    | 110        | on hexabromocyclododecanes. Stockholm Convention document for 7th POP              |
|    | 111        | Reviewing Committee meeting (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.7/5). Available at:                   |
| 11 | 112        | http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/POPsReviewCommittee/Meetings/POPRC7/POPR         |
| 11 | 113        | C7Documents/tabid/2267/ctl/Download/mid/7441/Default.aspx?id=149&ObjID=1324        |
| 11 | 114        | <u>1</u> [Accessed 24 September 2013].   |
| 11 | 115        | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2010a. Technical review of the        |
| 11 | 116        | implications of recycling commercial Penta and Octabromodiphenyl ethers. Stockholm |
|    | 117        | Convention document for 6th POP Reviewing Committee meeting                        |
|    | 118        | (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.6/2). Available at:   |
|    | 119        | http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/Repository/POPRC6/UNEP-POPS-POPRC.6-2.English.pdf    |
|    | 120        | [Accessed 24 June 2013].   |
|    | 121        | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2010b. Technical review of the        |
| 11 | 122        | implications of recycling commercial Penta and Octabromodiphenyl ethers. Annexes.  |
|    | 123        | Stockholm Convention document for 6th POP Reviewing Committee meeting              |
| 11 | 124        | (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.6/INF/6). Available at:   |
| 11 | 125        | http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/Repository/POPRC6/UNEP-POPS-POPRC.6-2.English.pdf    |
|    | 126        | [Accessed 24 June 2013].   |
| 11 | 127        | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2007. Commercial                      |
| 11 | 128        | Pentabromodiphenyl Ether, Risk Management Evaluation. Report of the Persistent     |
| 11 | 129        | Organic Pollutants Review Committee on the work of its third meeting               |
| 11 | 130        | (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.3/20/Add.1). Available at:  |
| 11 | 131        | http://www.pops.int/documents/meetings/poprc/POPRC3/POPRC3 Report e/POPRC          |
| 11 | 132        | <u>3 Report e.pdf</u> [Accessed 25 June 2013].                                     |
| 11 | 133        | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). 2006a. Pentabromodiphenyl Ether,      |
| 11 | 134        | Risk Profile. Report of the Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee on the  |
|    | 135        | work of its second meeting, UNEP/POPS/POPRC.2/17/Add.1. Available at:              |
| 11 | 136        | http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/download.aspx?d=UNEP-POPS-POPRC.2-17-                |
|    | 137        | Add.1.English.pdf [Accessed 25 June 2013].   |

| 1   | 1138 | United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2006b. Call for Global Action on E-               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1<br>2  | 1139 | waste. United Nations Environment Programme. Available at:                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3<br>4  | 1140 | http://www.unep.org/documents.multilingual/default.asp?articleid=5447&docum                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6  | 1141 | entid=496&l=en [Accessed 27 October 2011].   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16 | 1142 | US EPA, 2008. Supporting Documents for Initial Risk-Based Prioritization of High               |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1143 | Production Volume Chemicals. Chemical/Category: Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD).                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1144 | Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. Available at:                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1145 | http://www.epa.gov/hpvis/rbp/HBCD.3194556.Web.SupportDocs.31408.pdf                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1146 | [Accessed 16 November 2011].   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18  | 1147 | US EPA, 1988. Criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills. United States Environmental        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20  | 1148 | Protection Agency, Washington D.C.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21<br>22  | 1149 | van der Ven, L. T.; van de Kuil, T.; Leonards, P. E.; Slob, W.; Lilienthal, H.; Litens, S.;    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24  | 1150 | Herlin, M.; Hakansson, H.; Canton, R. F., van den Berg, M.; Visser, T. J.; van Loveren, H.;    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25<br>26  | 1151 | Vos, J. G.; Piersma, A. H., 2009. Endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD)           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>27<br>28  | 1152 | in a one-generation reproduction study in Wistar rats. <i>Toxicology Letters, 185, 51-62</i> . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29<br>30  | 1153 | van der Ven, L. T.; Verhoef, A.; van de Kuil, T.; Slob, W.; Leonards, P. E.; Visser, T. J.;    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31<br>32  | 1154 | Hamers, T.; Herlin, M.; Hakansson, H.; Olausson, H.; Piersma, A. H.; Vos, J. G., 2006. A       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33  | 1155 | 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34<br>35  | 1156 | hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats. Toxicological Sciences, 94, 281-92.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36<br>37  | 1157 | Viberg, H., Fredriksson, A. & Eriksson, P., 2007. Changes in spontaneous behaviour and         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38<br>39  | 1158 | altered response to nicotine in the adult rat, after neonatal exposure to the                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40<br>41  | 1159 | brominated flame retardant, decabrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE 209).                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42<br>43  | 1160 | <i>Neurotoxicology, <b>28,</b></i> 136-142.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44<br>45  | 1161 | Wania, F.; Dugani, C. B., 2003. Assessing the long-range transport potential of                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46<br>47  | 1162 | polybrominated diphenyl ethers: a comparison of four multimedia models.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48<br>49  | 1163 | Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, <b>22</b> , 1252-1261.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50<br>51  | 1164 | Watson, A.; Weber, R.; Webster, T. F., 2010. Technical review of the implications of           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52<br>53  | 1165 | recycling commercial penta and octabromodiphenyl ethers. Available at:                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54<br>55  | 1166 | http://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/download.aspx?d=UNEP-POPS-POPRC.6-2-                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56<br>57  | 1167 | Annex.English.pdf. [Accessed 22 July 2013].  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58<br>59  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60<br>61  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63<br>64  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Weber, R.; Watson, A.; Forter, M; Oliaei, F., 2011. Review Article: Persistent organic pollutants and landfills - a review of past experiences and future challenges. Waste Management & Research, **29**, 107-121. Webster, T. F.; Harrad, S.; Millette, J. R.; Holbrook, R. D.; Davis, J. M.; Stapleton, H. M.; Allen, J. G.; McClean, M. D.; Ibarra, C.; Abdallah, M.-A.; Covaci, A. 2009. Identifying Transfer Mechanisms and Sources of Decabromodiphenyl Ether (BDE 209) in Indoor Environments Using Environmental Forensic Microscopy. Environmental Science & *Technology*, **43**, 3067-3072. Weil, E. D.; Levchik, S. V., 2008. Flame Retardants in Commercial Use or Development for Textiles. Journal of Fire Sciences, 26, 243-281. Weinberg, I.; Dreyer, A.; Ebinghaus, R., 2011. Landfills as sources of polyfluorinated compounds, polybrominated diphenyl ethers and musk fragrances to ambient air. Atmospheric Environment, 45, 935-941. WHO, 1994. Environmental Health Criteria 162: Brominated Diphenyl Ethers. World Health Organization, Geneva. Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc162.htm [Accessed 12 December 2012]. WHO, 1995. Environmental Health Criteria 172: Tetrabromobisphenol A and Derivatives. Health Organization, Geneva. Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc172.htm [Accessed 24 September 2013]. Wilford, B. H.; Thomas, G. O.; Alcock, R. E.; Jones, K. C.; Anderson, D. R., 2003. Polyurethane foam as a source of PBDEs to the environment. Organohalogen Compounds, 61, 219-222. Wong, A.; Lei, Y. D.; Alaee, M.; Wania, F., 2001. Vapor Pressures of the Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers. Journal of Chemical Engineering Data, 46, 239-242. WRAP, 2012. Composition of kerbside and HWRC bulky waste. Waste & Resources Action Programme. Available at: http://www.wrap.org.uk/content/study-re-use-potential-household-bulky-waste [Accessed 22 July 2013]. Wu, J.; Luo, X.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, M.; Chen, S.; Mai, B.; Yang, Z., 2009. Bio-magnification of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls in a highly 

| 1                    | 1199 | contaminated freshwater food web from South China. Environmental Pollution, 157,             |
|----------------------|------|--|
| 1<br>2               | 1200 | 904-909.   |
| 3<br>4               | 1201 | Yamada-Okabe, T.; Sakai, H.; Kashima, Y.; Yamada-Okabe, H., 2005. Modulation at a            |
| 5<br>6               | 1202 | cellular level of the thyroid hormone receptor-mediated gene expression by                   |
| 7<br>8               | 1203 | 1,2,5,6,9,10-hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD), 4,4'-diiodobiphenyl (DIB), and nitrofen          |
| 9<br>10              | 1204 | (NIP). Toxicology Letters, <b>155,</b> 127-133.  |
| 11<br>12             | 1205 | Yue, C.; Li, L. Y., 2013. Filling the gap: Estimating physicochemical properties of the full |
| 13<br>14             | 1206 | array of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs). Environmental Pollution, 180, 312-          |
| 15<br>16             | 1207 | 323.   |
| 17<br>18             | 1208 | Zhou, T.; Ross, D. G.; DeVito, M. J.; Crofton, K. M., 2001. Effects of short-term in vivo    |
| 19<br>20             | 1209 | exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers on thyroid hormones and hepatic enzyme            |
| 21<br>22             | 1210 | activities in weanling rats. Toxicological Sciences, 61, 76-82.                              |
| 23<br>24             | 1211 | Zhou, X.; Guo, J.; Lin, K; Huang, K.; Deng, J., 2013. Leaching characteristics of heavy      |
| 25<br>26             | 1212 | metals and brominated flame retardants from waste printed circuit boards. Journal of         |
| 27<br>28             | 1213 | Hazardous Materials, <b>246-247</b> , 96-102.  |
| 20<br>29<br>30       | 1214 |  |
| 31                   | 1215 |  |
| 32<br>33             | 1216 |  |
| 34<br>35             | 1217 |  |
| 36<br>37             | 1218 |  |
| 38<br>39             | 1219 |  |
| 40<br>41             | 1220 |  |
| 42<br>43             | 1221 |  |
| 44<br>45             | 1222 |  |
| 46<br>47             | 1223 |  |
| 48<br>49             | 1224 |  |
| 50<br>51             | 1225 |  |
| 52<br>53             | 1226 |  |
| 54<br>55             | 1227 |  |
| 55<br>56<br>57       | 1228 |  |
| 58                   | 1229 |  |
| 59<br>60<br>61<br>62 | 1230 |  |
| 63                   |      |  |
| 64<br>65             |      |  |

|  | Compound  | Log K <sub>ow</sub>  | Aqueous Solubility (mg/L)  | Log K <sub>OA</sub> | Vapour Pressure         |
|--|---|----------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|
|  | BDE-15  | 5.48 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.821 <sup>b</sup>   | 8.72 <sup>g</sup>   | 8.80×10 <sup>-3 i</sup> |
|  | BDE-28  | 5.80 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.421 <sup>b</sup>   | 9.50 <sup>h</sup>   | 1.57×10 <sup>-3 i</sup> |
|  | BDE-47  | 6.39 <sup>ª</sup>    | 0.112 <sup>b</sup>   | 10.53 <sup>h</sup>  | 2.50×10 <sup>-4</sup> i |
|  | BDE-85  | 7.37 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.097 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.69 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.86×10 <sup>-6 j</sup> |
|  | BDE-99  | 6.76 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.077 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.31 <sup>h</sup>  | 5.00×10 <sup>-5</sup> i |
|  | BDE-100   | 6.53 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.085 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.13 <sup>h</sup>  | 2.86×10 <sup>-5 j</sup> |
|  | BDE-153   | 7.08 <sup>ª</sup>    | 0.031 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.82 <sup>h</sup>  | 5.80×10 <sup>-6</sup> i |
|  | BDE-183   | 8.27 <sup>b</sup>    | -  | 11.96 <sup>h</sup>  | 4.68×10 <sup>-7 j</sup> |
|  | BDE-209   | 9.97 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.002 <sup>b</sup>   | 15.73 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.43×10 <sup>-8 b</sup> |
|  | HBCD  | 5.60 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.030 <sup>e</sup>   | 10.46 <sup>e</sup>  | 6.27×10 <sup>-5</sup> k |
|  | TBBP-A  | 5.90 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.099 <sup>f</sup>   |                     | 4.72×10 <sup>-9 f</sup> |
|  | <sup>a</sup> Wania & Di   |                      |  | 2002)               |                         |
|  | <sup>b</sup> Yue & Li (20<br><sup>c</sup> MacGregor                         |                      | <sup>h</sup> Harner & Shoeib (:<br>997) <sup>i</sup> Wong et al., (2001) |                     |                         |
|  | <sup>d</sup> MacGregor  |                      |  |                     |                         |
|  |   |                      | <sup>k</sup> USEPA (2008)  | ,                   |                         |
|  | <sup>e</sup> Marvin et al., (2011)<br><sup>f</sup> Kuramochi et al., (2008) |                      | 8) Nb : estimated value  | es used whe         | re not measured         |
|  | Kuramochi   | ct al., (2000        |  |                     |                         |
| 232  | Kuramochi   | <u>et al., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
|  | Kuramochi   |                      | ,  |                     |                         |
| 233  | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> | ,  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> | ,  |                     |                         |
| 232<br>233<br>234<br>235   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> | ,  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> | ,  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> | ,  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237  | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239  | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239<br>240   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239<br>240<br>241  | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239<br>240<br>241<br>242   | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239<br>240<br>241<br>242   | Kuramochi   |                      |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237<br>238<br>239<br>240<br>241<br>242<br>243  | Kuramochi   | <u>et ul., (2000</u> |  |                     |                         |
| 233<br>234<br>235<br>236<br>237  | Kuramochi   |                      |  |                     |                         |
| <ul> <li>233</li> <li>234</li> <li>235</li> <li>236</li> <li>237</li> <li>238</li> <li>239</li> <li>240</li> <li>241</li> <li>242</li> <li>243</li> <li>244</li> </ul> | Kuramochi   |                      |  |                     |                         |

|                            | of waste tre<br>Compound       | atment facilities<br>Phase   | Method of waste   | Concentrations (ng                 | Country                        |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                            | Compound                       | Flidse                       |   |                                    | Country                        |
|                            | ΣHBCDs                         | Both (total)                 | disposal<br>WEEE recycling                                | <mark>m<sup>-3</sup>)</mark><br>22 | UK <sup>a</sup>                |
|                            |                                | · ·                          |   | 175.79 – 233.16                    | Sweden <sup>t</sup>            |
|                            | $\Sigma_{24}$ PBDEs            | Particulate only             | WEEE recycling  | 175.79 - 233.16<br>0.008 - 0.15    | Thailand                       |
|                            | $\Sigma_{10}$ PBDEs<br>BDE-183 | Vapour only                  | WEEE storage<br>Active landfill                           | 0.008 - 0.15                       |                                |
|                            |                                | Particulate only             | Closed landfill   | 0.001 - 0.001                      | Germany                        |
|                            | BDE-183                        | Particulate only             | Active landfill   | 0.001 - 0.003                      | Germany<br>Canada <sup>e</sup> |
|                            | $\Sigma$ PBDEs                 | Both (total)                 |   |                                    | USA <sup>f</sup>               |
|                            | ΣPBDEs                         | Both (total)                 | Closed landfill   | 17.3                               |                                |
|                            | $\Sigma$ PBDEs                 | Both (total)                 | Incineration plant  | 2                                  | Canada <sup>g</sup>            |
|                            | $\Sigma_7 PBDEs$               | Both (total)                 | Incineration plant  | 0.00224 - 0.02132                  | Sweden                         |
|                            | <sup>a</sup> Harrad et a       | al., (2010)<br>t al., (2005) | <sup>e</sup> St-Amand et<br><sup>f</sup> Oliaei et al., ( |                                    |                                |
|                            |                                | et al., (2003)               | <sup>g</sup> Alaee, (2001)                                |                                    |                                |
|                            |                                | et al., (2011)               | <sup>h</sup> Agrell et al., (                             |                                    |                                |
| 49                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 250                        |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 51                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
|                            |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 52<br>52                   |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 53<br>54                   |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 54                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 55                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 56                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 57                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
|                            |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58                         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59                   |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>60             |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>60             |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>60<br>61       |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>50<br>51       |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 8<br>9<br>0<br>1           |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 8<br>9<br>0<br>1           |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 8<br>9<br>0<br>1           |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 8<br>9<br>50<br>51         |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>50<br>51       |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>60<br>61<br>62 |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |
| 58<br>59<br>60<br>61       |                                |                              |   |                                    |                                |

|            | aqueous san<br>Compound                          | Aqueous medium               | Site Category        | Concentration (ng L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Count            |
|------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
|            | ΣPBDEs   | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 10.2 – 19,463                       | Swede            |
|            | TBBP-A   | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | <1 - 20                             | Swede            |
|            | $\Sigma$ PBDEs                                   | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 29 – 248                            | USA <sup>b</sup> |
|            | $\Sigma$ PBDEs                                   | Raw Leachate                 | Closed landfill      | 7.1                                 | USA <sup>c</sup> |
|            | $\Sigma$ PBDEs                                   | Groundwater                  | Closed landfill      | 0.052                               | USA <sup>c</sup> |
|            | $\Sigma_3$ PBDEs                                 | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | <0.008 – 4                          | Japan            |
|            | TBBP-A   | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | <1 - 620                            | Japan            |
|            | TBBP-A   | Treated Leachate             | Active landfill      | <1-11                               | Japan            |
|            | $\Sigma_{13}$ PBDEs                              | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 8.392 - 54.761                      | S. Afri          |
|            | $\Sigma_8$ PBDEs                                 | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 2.25 – 17,953                       | S. Afri          |
|            | $\Sigma_{59}$ PBDEs                              | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 0.03 – 1,020                        | Canad            |
|            | $\Sigma_{30}$ PBDEs                              | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 5.67                                | China            |
|            | $\Sigma_{22}$ PBDEs                              | Treated Leachate             | Active landfill      | <6.10                               | China            |
|            | TBBP-A   | Raw Leachate                 | Active landfill      | 15.41                               | China            |
|            | TBBP-A   | Treated Leachate             | Active landfill      | <4.50                               | China            |
|            | $\Sigma_{46}$ PBDEs                              | Raw Leachate                 | Active waste dump    | 3.7 – 133,000                       | S.E. As          |
| 264        | <sup>d</sup> Osako et a<br><sup>e</sup> Odusanya | l., (2004)<br>et al., (2009) | <sup>i</sup> Kwan et | al., (2013)                         |                  |
|            |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 265<br>266 |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 267        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 268        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 269        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 270        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 271        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 272        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 273        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |
| 274        |  |                              |                      |                                     |                  |



