UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

The nonlinear evolution of whistler-mode chorus

Ratliff, Daniel j.; Allanson, Oliver

DOI: 10.1017/S0022377823001265

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Ratliff, DJ & Allanson, O 2023, 'The nonlinear evolution of whistler-mode chorus: modulation instability as the source of tones', *Journal of Plasma Physics*, vol. 89, no. 6, 905890607. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022377823001265

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



J. Plasma Phys. (2023), *vol.* 89, 905890607 © The Author(s), 2023. 1 Published by Cambridge University Press This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited. doi:10.1017/S0022377823001265

The nonlinear evolution of whistler-mode chorus: modulation instability as the source of tones

Daniel J. Ratliff¹,[†] and Oliver Allanson^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Mathematics, Physic and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne NE1 8ST, UK

²Space Environment and Radio Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

³Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK

⁴Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK

(Received 26 May 2023; revised 3 November 2023; accepted 8 November 2023)

We review the modulation stability of parallel-propagating/field-aligned whistler-mode chorus (WMC) waves propagating in a warm plasma from a formal perspective with a focus on wave-particle interactions via ponderomotive forces. The modulation instability criteria are characterised by the group velocity dispersion, dc_g/dk , for whistler-mode waves and a condition on the ratio between the group velocity c_g and the electron sound speed $c_{s,e}$. We also demonstrate that in order to investigate the spatiotemporal evolution of the envelope and the formation of packets (according to this mechanism), one necessarily needs to account for the motion of ions within the system, leading to an ionic influence on the modulation instability threshold determined by the ion fraction of the plasma. Finally, we demonstrate that chirping may be captured when higher-order effects are included within the spatiotemporal evolution of the amplitude. This yields not only an explicit expression for the sweep rate but also identifies a possible origin for the power band gap that occurs at half the electron gyrofrequency. Numerical validation demonstrates that the interaction between wave packets is a source for the emergence of tones observed within mission data, and such interactions may be a major source of the electron energisation which WMC are responsible for.

Keywords: plasma nonlinear phenomena, plasma waves, space plasma physics

1. Introduction

Whistler-mode chorus (WMC) waves play a significant role in determining energetic electron dynamics within terrestrial and magnetospheric plasmas (Horne *et al.* 2005; Thorne *et al.* 2010; Artemyev *et al.* 2016; Woodfield *et al.* 2019). The WMC are one particular manifestation of the so-called 'whistler-mode' electromagnetic/plasma wave (Stix 1992), and are particularly noteworthy for their role in rapid electron energisation and

†Email address for correspondence: daniel.ratliff@northumbria.ac.uk

pitch-angle scattering (Bortnik, Thorne & Inan 2008; Omura, Katoh & Summers 2008; Albert 2010; Artemyev *et al.* 2018; Zhang *et al.* 2022). Discussions of the role of WMC as one driver among many (within the general context of energetic charged particle dynamics in the inner magnetosphere) can be found in, for example, Green & Kivelson (2004), Thorne (2010), Bortnik *et al.* (2016), Li & Hudson (2019) and Lejosne *et al.* (2022). In perhaps overly simplistic terms, one can consider two main and contrasting challenges to achieving a full understanding of the role of WMC in magnetospheric plasma dynamics. While they are somewhat contrasting, both of these challenges are fundamentally united by the critical role that is played by wave–particle interactions (Brice 1964; Kennel & Petschek 1966; Tsurutani & Lakhina 1997; Summers, Thorne & Xiao 1998).

One challenge, the 'test-particle' case, is to determine the direct impact of WMC on electrons that would otherwise evolve adiabatically as a geomagnetically trapped particle (Shklyar & Matsumoto 2009; Albert *et al.* 2022*b*). One of the most impressive manifestations of this approach ('wave effects on particles only') is the application of the resonant diffusion limit of the quasilinear theory (e.g. Kennel & Engelmann 1966; Summers 2005; Allanson *et al.* 2022) to global-scale numerical modelling of the terrestrial and planetary radiation belt populations using Fokker–Planck radiation-belt models (e.g. Li *et al.* 2014; Glauert, Horne & Meredith 2018; Wang *et al.* 2020; Allison *et al.* 2021). One of the numerous outstanding problems in this area is to understand and incorporate the role of so-called 'nonlinear wave–particle interactions' (Artemyev *et al.* 2021, 2022), with WMC playing a very important role.

Another challenge, the 'self-consistent case', is to instead try to solve for one or more of the generation, interaction and subsequent evolution of the WMC wave modes, as a function of, for example, a given initial plasma condition, and perhaps with some external driving or particle sources/injections. Studies of this nature try to understand the evolution of both the wave amplitude (i.e. amplitude amplification and modulation) and the structure in frequency space (i.e. either rising or falling tones, or even more exotic forms such as 'hooks'). We should point out that the most general definition of WMC includes a variety of spectral forms, including comparatively structureless emissions (sometimes known as 'hiss-like chorus'; e.g. see Tsurutani & Smith (1974), Li et al. (2012), Tsurutani, Lakhina & Verkhoglyadova (2013), Gao et al. (2014) and Shumko et al. (2018)), as well as the more well known and coherent/structured/'chirping' rising and falling tones (Burtis & Helliwell 1976; Koons & Roeder 1990; Li et al. 2011, 2012; Santolík et al. 2014; Taubenschuss et al. 2014; Teng, Tao & Li 2019). Approaches of this kind usually necessitate some form of 'self-consistent approach', in which one ultimately solves some variation of the Vlasov–Maxwell system (Schindler 2007) given a number of constraints. Therefore, one is likely solving first for the influence of unstable particle distributions on waves (Gary 1993), and possibly also for subsequent resulting turbulence induced by wave-particle interactions and/or wave-wave interactions (Kadomtsev 1965; Sagdeev & Galeev 1969).

A number of important open questions remain regarding both of these challenges, and of course the separation into these two contrasting approaches (which for the purposes of this discussion have been crudely polarised as 'wave effect on particle only' and 'wave evolution only') is an approximation to the complex, dynamic and networked energy pathways of the inner magnetospheric plasma (Jaynes *et al.* 2015; Li & Hudson 2019; Ripoll *et al.* 2020; Koskinen & Kilpua 2022). Many works that consider the 'test-particle' and 'self-consistent' problems consider the fundamental role played by cyclotron resonant interactions (e.g. see Albert, Tao & Bortnik (2012) and Omura-2021, respectively). In terms of the 'test-particle' approach, it is well known that high-amplitude chorus (and indeed other mode) waves can interact with electrons via cyclotron resonance and instigate particle transport that can be described either via quasilinear diffusion coefficients if

the background field inhomogeneity is sufficiently large (Albert 2010), or else via more complicated descriptions (e.g. see Artemyev *et al.* 2021; Albert *et al.* 2022*b*, 2022*a*; Artemyev *et al.* 2022; Bortnik *et al.* 2022). In this work we specifically consider the role of the ponderomotive force, and, furthermore, consider influences of both waves on particles, and particles on waves, i.e. a version of the 'self-consistent' approach.

There have been a number of thorough recent reviews and discussions of WMC generation and evolution (Gołkowski, Harid & Hosseini 2019; Tao et al. 2020; Omura 2021; Tao, Zonca & Chen 2021; Zonca, Tao & Chen 2021) and so we do not do a complete literature review, instead directing the reader to those references and therein. It suffices to say that the inhomogeneity of the background magnetic field is frequently invoked to play a key role in the chirping mechanism for WMC (Helliwell 1967; Sudan & Ott 1971; Nunn 1974; Vomvoridis, Crystal & Denavit 1982; Trakhtengerts 1995; Omura et al. 2008; Tao et al. 2021), facilitating resonant particle trapping, bunching and the formation of 'resonant currents.' However there are some proposed mechanisms that do not rely upon the inhomogeneous background to drive the chirping behaviour (Zonca et al. 2021; Zonca, Tao & Chen 2022), and in particular, we note recent particle-in-cell numerical experiments that demonstrate chirping behaviour within the context of a uniform background magnetic field (Wu et al. (2020)). The most significant contribution of this work is to demonstrate the key role that the ponderomotive force, identified in previous theoretical studies of nonlinear WMC evolution (Ganguli et al. 2010; Crabtree et al. 2012; Krafft & Volokitin 2018), plays in driving chirping behaviour in WMC within the context of a homogeneous background field. This phenomenon could now be considered in addition to other aforementioned mechanisms.

In this paper we simultaneously consider the role of wave–particle interactions both on the evolution of WMC and on the particle populations themselves due to ponderomotive forces. We will do so via the theory of modulations and weakly nonlinear theories, through which the evolution of the wave amplitude is coupled to the variations of number density, to observe how the interplay between the two manifests at the onset of nonlinear effects. Ultimately this will build upon the ideas introduced in previous theoretical treatments, and crucially those introduced by Omura *et al.* (2008) and Omura (2021), which investigated the evolution of WMC given a background particle population, now accounting for the simultaneous evolution of these species alongside the wave motion. However, we reiterate that the derivations presented in this work are limited to the case in which the background magnetic field is infinite and uniform. Therefore, in the context of, for example, the Earth's radiation belts, this implies that the analysis applies close to the geomagnetic equator.

The approach that we take is facilitated by several observations. The first is that coherent WMC waves are known to be narrow banded, with the bandwidth being approximately 10% of the local gyrofrequency (Santolík *et al.* 2003; Santolik *et al.* 2008), meaning that one may restrict the study of the dynamics to a single wave mode. Further, the asymptotic picture is simplified further by the observation that the majority of nonlinear generation processes and amplification events occur near-equatorially in the magnetosphere and are confined to very limited latitudes (LeDocq, Gurnett & Hospodarsky 1998; Lauben *et al.* 2002; Meredith *et al.* 2020), meaning it is not unreasonable to restrict ourselves to a fluid system in Cartesian coordinates without latitude considerations and that we are within the remit of weak curvilinear magnetic field effects. Finally, by considering the field-aligned case so that the wavevector \mathbf{k} is parallel to the background field, i.e. $\mathbf{k} \parallel \mathbf{B}_0$) the reduction procedure is much more straightforward owing to the fact that the Lorentz force due to the wave vanishes. This is because the field-aligned case leads to the wave and the velocity field also being parallel to one another and so for the wave (i.e. perturbed) portion of the motion one has $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$. As a result, the Lorentz force does

not generate anything beyond linear terms in an asymptotic theory. A consequence of this is that higher harmonics, that is non-zero integer frequency multiples of the carrier wave (e.g. $\pm 2\omega, \pm 3\omega, \ldots$), do not contribute to the wave motion. Instead, the wave evolution consists of simply the carrier wave interacting with the particle populations, and it is solely the wave–particle interaction via the ponderomotive forces that drives the nonlinearity observed in the wave's evolution.

With these simplifying factors considered, we are able to explore the emergence of wave packets in WMC using two main nonlinear approaches. The first of these is via classical modulation theory (Whitham 2011), which postulates that the parameters of the wave such as the amplitude, frequency and wavenumber all evolve slowly over the course of many wave periods in a similar fashion to classical Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) theory. Such approaches have been successfully used within space plasmas (Mjølhus 1976; Gribben & Parkes 1977; Mjølhus & Wyller 1986; Eliasson & Shukla 2005; Omura et al. 2008; Tracy et al. 2014; Omura 2021), with the closest related work to this paper considering WMC to deduce the modulation stability of these waves (Tam 1969), but with wave-particle interactions not fully accounted for and by use of a simplified version of the dispersion relation. When a similar approach is also utilised for the electron velocity and number density with ponderomotive effects accounted for, we obtain our first insight into the ponderomotive-driven wave-particle interactions influencing WMC modulation. It is these interactions that are influencing the emergence of wave packets through electron-acoustic effects. Ultimately this builds upon the ideas introduced in theoretical treatments of the second approach, crucially those introduced by Omura et al. (2008) and Omura (2021) which investigated the evolution of WMC given a background particle population, and now account for the simultaneous evolution of these species alongside the wave motion.

The second approach is to undertake a formal multiple-scales analysis to derive a direct evolution equation for the spatiotemporal evolution of WMC amplitude, taking the form of the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. This equation has emerged from heuristic arguments in prior works (Karpman & Washimi 1977; Stenflo, Yu & Shukla 1986), with the key work of Krafft & Volokitin (2018) highlighting its emergence within a wave-particle interaction framework. However, one of the results of this paper is to demonstrate that a formal asymptotic procedure reveals that one should be cautious using such approaches due to an inconsistency that emerges in the induction equation, which is overlooked in previous approaches. As a consequence it highlights that the motion of ions, and not just a population that ensures neutrality, must necessarily be considered to resolve this inconsistency, and in doing so we find that these wave-particle interactions are augmented. Our paper demonstrates that although some of the qualitative conclusions of Krafft & Volokitin (2018) are the same, namely that the group velocity dispersion (by which we mean $dc_{\rm g}/dk$) plays a role in the formation of solitons, the ion number fraction plays a critical role in whether WMC elements are to be observed. Therefore, one of the main conclusions of this work is the statement that ion motion cannot be neglected in such problems.

With the understanding that arises from the above theoretical approaches, we are then able to augment these ideas within this paper by capturing WMC chirp, the mechanism behind rising and falling tones and one of the most intriguing features of WMC. This phenomenon presents itself as a significant repetitive sweep of the dominant frequency peak of the wave. It is known that the NLS equation does not admit such behaviours and requires higher-order effects to be introduced to account for this behaviour. This is precisely what we obtain as part of this work, extending the multiple-scales analysis we demonstrate from a formal perspective that this process arises from the wave–particle interactions. A surprising consequence of this is that the extended model may provide an explanation for the observed band gap in WMC waves at half the gyrofrequency (Li *et al.* 2011; Fu *et al.* 2014; Gao *et al.* 2019; Chen *et al.* 2022) as the terms responsible for frequency variations vanish at precisely this frequency. As such, the theory suggests that sweep rates decrease for waves that approach the band gap before arresting completely. Overall, this analysis provides expressions for the sweep rate of a single WMC element, which demonstrates that within isolation a WMC element/wave packet cannot produce a net change in the frequency of the wave. Instead, it suggests that the chirping behaviour observed originates from the interaction of several WMC elements, which we corroborate with numerical experiments. These produce repetitive WMC tones, and the space–time series demonstrates that their interaction also generates pulsations in the wave envelope which manifest in the particle dynamics as amplifications in the energy density. This, we speculate, may shed light on which stage of their propagation WMC might be energising the electron populations they trap during transit.

The outline of this paper is as follows. We begin with a review of the modulation instability of parallel propagating WMC in §2, a process entirely driven by the ponderomotive wave–particle interactions, outlining where such waves become unstable and form subpacket structures. Subsequently, we derive evolution equations for the wave envelope of WMC in §3, leading to a NLS equation and revealing that ions play a crucial role in the envelope dynamics and alter the expected modulational stability transition. Owing to a lack of chirping behaviour, we add correction terms to the NLS that capture such effects in §4, leading to explicit expressions for chirping within a single element. Finally, we use numerical simulations of this model in §5 to demonstrate how the interactions between wave packets is the main driver of the chirping seen within the mission data. Concluding remarks are given in §6.

2. Review: modulation instability of whistler mode waves in electron-only plasmas

To understand the formation of WMC wave packets, we must first analyse the necessary conditions that permit their formation. This is done from the viewpoint of modulation instability, the process under which uniform wave trains destabilise and undergo amplitude modulations, ultimately to form several wave elements as wave energy clusters within packets (Ablowitz & Segur 1981; Chen *et al.* 1984; Billingham & King 2000; Treumann & Baumjohann 2001; Whitham 2011). The approach to identify this instability is to derive quasilinear modulation equations governing the slow evolution of waves, typically amplitude and wavenumber, and determine when this system possesses complex eigenvalues. Quasilinear modulation equations have been derived previously for the wave without particle interaction effects, with notable works relevant to our approach including Tam (1969), Omura *et al.* (2008) and Omura (2021), but a key extension of this work will be to introduce modulation equations governing the electron number density and parallel velocity. Such effects make a significant difference to the stability transition of the wave and thus it is pertinent to include such evolution simultaneously with the electromagnetic wave.

Throughout this paper, we will be considering collisonless, warm, isothermal plasmas from a fluid description. In the first instance, we will be considering a non-relativistic plasma purely comprising of electrons, neglecting any ion influences for the moment but we note these will be accounted for in later sections. The non-relativistic assumption applies to the fluid velocities $v \ll c$ (with v the magnitude of the velocity of a given fluid bulk motion), as is standard practice in such domains (Omura 2021). Furthermore, the mathematics that follows in this paper that does consider individual particle/kinetic quantities (e.g. the gyrofrequency $\Omega = |q|B_0/m$) does assume non-relativistic particle velocities. This is valid for the non-relativistic particle energies that we consider, for example, electron energies \leq 511 keV. Thus, we will be concerned with the following equations of motion:

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t},\tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 q n \boldsymbol{V}_e + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial t} + (V_e \cdot \nabla) V_e + \frac{c_s^2}{n} \nabla n = \frac{q}{m} \left(E + V_e \times B \right) + F_P, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (nV_e) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

In the above, **B** and **E** represent the magnetic field and electric field, respectively. The electron velocity field and electron number density, V_e and n, respectively, are fluid quantities generated from the first two moments of a given distribution function (Chen *et al.* 1984; Baumjohann & Treumann 2012),

$$n = \int f(\boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}, \quad nV_e = \int \boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v}. \tag{2.5a,b}$$

The parameters q = -e and *m* represent the charge and mass of an electron, μ_0 is the magnetic permittivity constant, *c* is the speed of light and $c_s^2 = k_B T/m$ is the speed of sound for the purely electron plasma. The presence of this sound speed, and by virtue the ∇n term in the momentum equation, are the result of the warm plasma and isothermal assumptions where *T* is finite (Chen *et al.* 1984). Such terms would be neglected within the cold plasma regime where $T \rightarrow 0$, whereas hot plasmas would be more accurately treated via a kinetic (Vlasov) approach rather than the fluid description of this paper (Chen *et al.* 1984; Baumjohann & Treumann 2012). The ponderomotive force F_P acting on each electron is given by (see, e.g. Lamb & Morales 1983; Nicholson 1983; Chen *et al.* 1984)

$$F_P = -\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{2\mu c^2 \omega^2} \nabla \left(\langle |E|^2 \rangle \right), \qquad (2.6)$$

where ω_{pe} is the electron plasma frequency and ω is the frequency of the electromagnetic wave. In essence, this force determines the mean drift of electrons over rapid gyrofrequency oscillations due to amplitude modulations emerging within the monochromatic wave train. There are a number of choices one can make for this force depending on the plasma environment (Treumann & Baumjohann 1997; Krafft & Volokitin 2018), but in this body of work we consider the simplest such force corresponding to the lowest-order ponderomotive effect. This is to illustrate that the presence of such a force, even in its most rudimentary form, is crucial for wave–particle interactions and as a consequence the formation of chorus wave packets and elements.

The framework in which this will be achieved is through the use of WKB theory, or equivalently Whitham modulation theory. The starting point for this will be to consider the following Stokes wave ansatz for a wave–particle solution, representing a parallel propagating right polarised wave in the presence of a uniform magnetic field with strength B_0 :

$$B = B_0 \hat{z} + (\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) B_W e^{i\theta},$$

$$E = \alpha_1 (\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) B_W e^{i\theta},$$

$$V_e = v_{\parallel} \hat{z} + \alpha_2 (\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) B_W e^{i\theta} + c.c. + V\hat{z}$$

$$n = n_0 + N, \quad \theta = \omega t - kz,$$

$$(2.7)$$

where z is in the direction of \hat{z} . The parameters v_{\parallel} and n_0 represent the constant parallel velocity and reference electron number density, respectively.¹ The constants α_1, α_2 characterising the wave modes of the electric and electron velocity, respectively, can be found as part of the linear theory and are explicitly given by

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{k}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{q}{mk} \frac{\omega - v_{\parallel}k}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e}, \quad (2.8a,b)$$

as detailed in the Appendix (A), where $\Omega_e = eB_0/m$ is the electron charge with *e* being the elementary electron charge. The wave amplitude B_W , mean velocity perturbation *V* and number density perturbation *N* are initially assumed to be constant but small, so that $|B_W|/B_0 \ll 1$. Typically one is able to characterise this smallness by comparing linear and leading-order nonlinear terms, which for WMC is achieved by comparing the convective term with the Lorentz force in the momentum equation,

$$\left|\frac{B_W}{B_0}\right|\sin\phi \ll \left|\frac{\omega - v_{\parallel}k}{\Omega}\right|,\tag{2.9}$$

where ϕ is the wave normal angle, that is the angle between the wave and background magnetic field. It follows that this ordering of magnitude is trivially satisfied for any choice of the system parameters for parallel propagating WMC. Thus, there is considerable freedom regarding the magnitude of waves this theory can consider, but must still be small enough to separate linear and nonlinear scales. The expansion procedure requires that $N, V = O(|B_W|^2)$, owing to the fact that these oscillation-free terms must balance the oscillation-free terms generated by the ponderomotive force.

The approach is to substitute this ansatz into the governing equations (2.1) and consider terms up to $O(|B_W|^3)$. The details of this calculation can be found within the Appendix (A), but we summarise the key elements of the approach here. The carrier wave terms, when substituted into the governing equations, generate the dispersion relation

$$D(\omega, k, v_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{c^2} \left[(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e)(c^2k^2 - \omega^2) + \omega_{pe}^2(\omega - v_{\parallel}k) \right],$$
(2.10)

which vanishes whenever the frequency $\omega = \omega_0(k, v_{\parallel})$ satisfies the typical whistler-mode dispersion curve

$$c^{2}k^{2} = \omega_{0}^{2} - \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}(\omega_{0} - v_{\parallel}k)}{\omega_{0} - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}}.$$
(2.11)

¹It is worth remembering that this velocity represents the average particle velocity and not one prescribed to all particles. There are a number of distributions that generate the reference values used within this paper, and to list the two simplest which will generate the reference values, we have $f_1 = n_0 \delta(||\mathbf{v} - v_{\parallel}\hat{z}||)$ and $f_2 = n_0/(\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma)^3 \exp(-||\mathbf{v} - v_{\parallel}\hat{z}||^2/\sigma^2)$. Further, we are not requiring a specific value of v_{\parallel} within this paper (in fact, we only impose that this velocity is not the gyroresonant one), although we for simplicity will set it to zero when evaluating coefficients within our analyses. Thus, this work does not require that all particles possess the same velocity $v_{\parallel}\hat{z}$ and proceeds with a suitable choice of distribution that admits two constant moments, avoiding the limitations of a specifically chosen prescribed velocity for all particles outlined by Nunn (1975).

D.J. Ratliff and O. Allanson

The presence of the electron velocity within this dispersion relation is a natural consequence of the ansatz, rather than a particular assumption within our fluid approach, and acts like a Doppler shift on the plasma response portion of the dispersion curve. Typically this velocity is ignored within cold non-relativistic plasma fluid theory, which is permissible as $|v_{\parallel}| \ll c$, as it adds no new physics to the linear wave motion. It is known to have consequences on the nonlinear portion of the motion due to bulk effects (Krafft & Volokitin 2018), as we shall see within our analyses. We will make use of the fact we can neglect the particular value of v_{\parallel} when evaluating later coefficients within the theory of this paper, as the choice of velocity does not meaningfully impact the results of this paper. The theory here may proceed so long as one avoids the choice that leads to the first cyclotron resonance,

$$v_{\parallel} = \frac{\omega_0 - \Omega_e}{k},\tag{2.12}$$

where alternative treatments are required (Omura *et al.* 2008; Omura 2021). By continuing the analysis to higher powers of the amplitude to include amplitude-dependent weakly nonlinear effects, one finds the result

$$DB_{W} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{c^{2}n_{0}} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}\omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}} NB_{W} = 0,$$

$$\frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}} N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2}k^{2}} |B_{W}|^{2} = \gamma - \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} - c_{s}^{2} \ln n_{0},$$
(2.13)

which can be used to extract the nonlinear dispersion relations

$$\omega = \omega_{0}(k, v_{\parallel}) + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2} \left((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}\omega \right)}{\omega_{pe}^{2} \Omega_{e} + 2\omega (\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})^{2}} \frac{N}{n_{0}},$$

$$\gamma = \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} + c_{s}^{2} \ln n_{0} + \frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}} N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2} k^{2}} |B_{W}|^{2}.$$
(2.14)

These are denoted as nonlinear dispersion relations due to the presence of the wave amplitude, mean velocity and number density variation as corrections to the linear dispersion. To complete our analysis here, we demonstrate the above system can be cast in variational form, to make the subsequent analysis closer to classical wave modulation theory (Whitham 2011). This is done by introducing

$$\mathscr{B} = \frac{k^2}{n_0} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^2 - \Omega_e \omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e} |B_W|^2, \qquad (2.15)$$

with the factor non-vanishing for whistler waves, allowing the system can be written as

$$D + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{c^{2}n_{0}} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}\omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}} N \equiv D + QN = 0,$$

$$\frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}} N + Q\mathscr{B} = \gamma - \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} - c_{s}^{2}\ln n_{0}.$$

$$(2.16)$$

The above system of equations is generated by the \mathscr{B} and N variations of Lagrangian density

$$\mathscr{L} = D\mathscr{B} + Q\mathscr{B}N + c_s^2 N \ln n_0 + \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} - \gamma\right) (N + n_0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_s^2 - v_{\parallel}^2}{n_0} N^2,$$
with $Q = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2 n_0} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^2 - \Omega_e \omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e}.$

$$(2.17)$$

This Lagrangian can be thought of as that which is averaged over one period of the whistler mode wave. It is from this Lagrangian density that we will derive the conditions for the whistler wave to undergo a modulational instability, associated with wave packet generation. Ultimately this will signpost this criterion in the electron case, which we will develop within the more applicable but involved ion–electron plasma case.

2.1. Modulation instability

We may now study the Lagrangian (2.17) to determine when the parallel whistler wave is expected to be stable and remain close to monochromatic. If it is not, it is expected to form packets (also known as elements) and as a result generate larger amplitude events. We do so by appealing to Whitham modulation theory (Whitham 1970, 2011) which has in the past been utilised in plasma contexts for the same purpose (see Mjølhus (1976), Gribben & Parkes (1977) and Mjølhus & Wyller (1986) for some key examples). Notably we will be augmenting the existing literature on plasma modulations to account for wave–particle interaction effects, requiring the consideration of an additional phase for the background velocity. The crux of the approach is to introduce the 'rapid' wave phase and velocity potential,

$$\theta = \varepsilon^{-1} \Theta(Z, T), \quad \phi = \varepsilon^{-1} \Phi(Z, T), \quad Z = \varepsilon z, \quad T = \varepsilon t, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1.$$
 (2.18)

This allows the wave parameters k, ω, v_{\parallel} and γ to vary slowly in space and time,

$$k = -\Theta_Z, \quad \omega = \Theta_T, \quad v_{\parallel} = \phi_Z, \quad \gamma = -\phi_T,$$
 (2.19)

where subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the subscripted variable. This admits the phase consistency conditions

$$k_T + \omega_Z = 0, \quad (v_{\parallel})_T + \gamma_Z = 0.$$
 (2.20)

We can replace ω and γ using (2.14) to obtain the first two modulation equations

$$k_{T} + \left(\omega_{0}(k, v_{\parallel}) + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2} \left((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}\omega\right)}{\omega_{pe}^{2} \Omega_{e} + 2\omega (\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})^{2}} \frac{N}{n_{0}}\right)_{Z} = 0,$$

$$(v_{\parallel})_{T} + \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} + c_{s}^{2} \ln n_{0} + \frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}}N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2} k^{2}} |B_{W}|^{2}\right)_{Z} = 0.$$
(2.21)

The first of these equations is referred to as the conservation of waves, whereas the second is the classical conservation of momentum for the plasma in the presence of the wave.

The subsequent two equations, which close the system, come from taking the Θ and Φ variations of \mathcal{L} , which when simplified give

$$\mathcal{B}_{T} + \left(c_{g}\mathcal{B} - \frac{Q_{k}}{D_{\omega}}\mathcal{B}N\right)_{Z} = 0,$$

$$N_{T} + \left(v_{\parallel}(N+n_{0}) - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{n_{0}}N^{2} + Q_{v\parallel}N\mathcal{B}\right)_{Z} = 0.$$
(2.22)

These equations represent the conservation of wave action and the conservation of mass, respectively. Thus, the complete modulation system is given by

$$k_T + \left(\omega_0(k, v_{\parallel}) + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \left((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^2 - \Omega_e\omega\right)}{\omega_{pe}^2 \Omega_e + 2\omega (\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e)^2} \frac{N}{n_0}\right)_Z = 0, \qquad (2.23a)$$

$$\mathscr{B}_T + \left(c_g \mathscr{B} - \frac{Q_k}{D_\omega} \mathscr{B}N\right)_Z = 0, \qquad (2.23b)$$

$$(v_{\parallel})_{T} + \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} + c_{s}^{2}\ln n_{0} + \frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}}N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2} k^{2}}|B_{W}|^{2}\right)_{Z} = 0, \qquad (2.23c)$$

$$N_T + \left(v_{\parallel}(N+n_0) - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{n_0} N^2 + Q_{v\parallel} N \mathscr{B} \right)_Z = 0.$$
 (2.23d)

Before proceeding, it is worth noting the connection between the system derived here and that of the system considered (Omura *et al.* 2008; Omura 2021). When the electron fluid responses are neglected (so that $N, \gamma, v_{\parallel} = 0$) the system (2.23) reduces to a form that is identical to that of Omura when hot electron and magnetic curvature effects are neglected, consistent with the warm plasma and uniform field assumptions of this paper. Thus, the theory here could be used to extend parts of Omura's quasilinear wave analysis to account for higher-order nonlinear effects and the inherent wave–particle interactions due to ponderomotive effects, essentially taking the form of perturbations to the cold plasma distribution function. However, the aforementioned assumptions of this paper do not permit the formation of the phase space holes necessary to support the formation of rising tones within Omura's work. Instead, the formulation of this paper is a more local, fluid driven analysis focussing on a constant average electron velocity instead of a hot electron distribution. As a consequence, the mechanism which generates rising tones within this paper is of a different nature than that of Omura, but still rooted within wave–particle interactions, and is the subject of later sections within this paper.

The four equations in (2.23) can then be written in quasilinear form (Whitham 2011),

with
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} k \\ \mathscr{B} \\ v_{\parallel} \\ N \end{pmatrix}$$
, $A = \begin{pmatrix} c_g - \left(\frac{Q}{D_\omega}\right)_k N & 0 & \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial v_{\parallel}} - \left(\frac{Q}{D_\omega}\right)_{v_{\parallel}} N & -\frac{Q}{D_\omega} \\ \omega_0''\mathscr{B} & c_g - \frac{Q_k}{D_\omega} N & (c_g)_{v_{\parallel}} & -\frac{Q_k}{D_\omega} \mathscr{B} \\ Q_k\mathscr{B} & Q & 0 & \frac{c_s^2}{n_0} \\ 0 & Q_{v_{\parallel}} N & n_0 + N - \frac{N^2}{n_0} & Q_{v_{\parallel}} \mathscr{B} \end{pmatrix} \right].$

$$(2.24)$$

11

This quasilinear system of equations encapsulates the wave-particle interactions for a single wave – the second equation determines how the wave amplitude is modified by the presence of variations to the number density, whilst simultaneously the fourth equation describes how the local number density is altered due to the wave. The remaining equations, the first and third, dictate how the local frequencies and velocity field responds to the wave-particle interaction, respectively, and thus alter the properties of the wave propagation.

These modulation equations for the wave parameters can now be analysed for their stability, which is achieved by investigating small perturbations to some fixed state. We take this constant state to be $U_0 = (k_0, \mathcal{B}_0, 0, N_0)$, noting that the choice of velocity does not meaningfully impact the results that follow. By considering perturbations of the form $U = U_0 + \delta \hat{U} e^{i(Z-CT)}$, then the leading-order perturbation is governed by the eigenvalue problem

$$(A - CI)\widehat{U} = \mathbf{0}.\tag{2.25}$$

The resulting eigenvalues *C* ultimately determine the stability of this system – if they are all real the constant state U_0 is stable and the monochromatic wave perseveres; however, when any of these eigenvalues is complex (occurring in complex conjugate pairs) there is an exponentially growing mode that causes the perturbation to rapidly diverge from the monochromatic wave state (Whitham 2011). The emergence of these complex eigenvalues is more commonly referred to as a modulational instability. The characteristic polynomial for this problem admits four roots in general, which can be categorised by their values as $\mathscr{B}_0 N_0 \rightarrow 0$:

$$C = \pm c_s, \quad C = \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial k} \equiv c_g \text{ (multiplicity 2).}$$
 (2.26)

We focus on the latter set of roots, as it transpires that the eigenvalues associated with the sound speed can be shown to be real but those associated with the group velocity can become complex. This is typical of problems involving waves coupled to a mean field, where it is the wave mode driving the instability(Bridges & Ratliff 2022; Tam 1970), and so is not unexpected here either. For this problem, these latter roots can be expanded in powers of \mathcal{B}_0 and N_0 , again assumed small, to give

$$C = c_g \pm \sqrt{\omega_0'' \omega_2 \mathscr{B}_0} + O(\mathscr{B}_0, N), \qquad (2.27)$$

where the effective nonlinear frequency correction ω_2 is given by

$$\omega_{2} = \frac{\omega_{pe}^{4}\omega^{2}(\Omega_{e} - \omega)(\Omega_{e}\omega_{pe}^{2} + 2\Omega_{e}\omega^{2} - 2\omega^{3})}{c^{2}(c_{g}^{2} - c_{s}^{2})(2\Omega_{e}^{2}\omega + \Omega_{e}\omega_{pe}^{2} - 4\Omega_{e}\omega^{2} + 2\omega^{3})^{2})}.$$
(2.28)

It is clear that these eigenvalues are complex whenever $\omega_0''\omega_2 < 0$. To determine when this occurs more readily, we introduce the non-dimensional scalings

$$\omega = \Omega_e W, \quad k = \frac{\Omega_e}{c} K, \quad c_g = cV, \quad \omega_{pe} = \alpha \Omega_e, \quad c_s = c\nu, \quad (2.29a-e)$$

giving

$$\omega_0'' = \frac{2c^2\alpha^2(W-1)^2(4W^4 - 4W^3 - 4W\alpha^2 + \alpha^2)}{\Omega_e(2W^3 - 4W^2 + \alpha^2 + 2W)^3},$$

$$\omega_2 = \frac{\alpha^4\Omega_e^4W^2(W-1)(2W^3 - 2W^2 - \alpha^2)}{c^4(V^2 - \nu^2)(2W^3 - 4W^2 + \alpha^2 + 2W)^2}.$$
(2.30)

12



FIGURE 1. Signs of the right-hand side term in blue and instability in red for parallel propagating whistler waves for subsonic (a) and supersonic (b) waves. The dashed line marks the asymptote $\omega = \Omega_e/4$.

The only sign changes that happen within the whistler wave interval 0 < W < 1 are the roots of ω_0'' ,

$$\frac{W^3(W-1)}{W-\frac{1}{4}} = \alpha^2,$$
(2.31)

which for large α typical in the earth's radiation belts approaches $W = \frac{1}{4}$, a quarter of the gyrofrequency. The other sign change is due to the factor $V^2 - \nu^2$ passing through zero, which occurs when the whistler wave's group velocity goes from subsonic ($c_g < c_s$) to supersonic ($c_g > c_s$). Thus, we have the following criterion for the modulation stability of whistler waves:

modulational instability when
$$\begin{cases} W^{3}(W-1) < \alpha^{2} \left(W - \frac{1}{4}\right), & |V| > \nu \quad \text{(supersonic)}, \\ W^{3}(W-1) > \alpha^{2} \left(W - \frac{1}{4}\right), & |V| < \nu \quad \text{(subsonic)}. \end{cases}$$
(2.32)

This information is summarised in figure 1. It should be noted there are cases where $|V| \sim \nu$ where the story is partially more complex and introduces a further stability boundary, but this is not generic and will not be discussed in detail here. As the speed of sound scales linearly with temperature within the setting considered, we can infer that the supersonic case is more prevalent in cold plasmas, with the subsonic case being expected in warmer plasmas. However, some caution should be noted here as the isothermal approximation is known to be poorer for warmer plasmas (Li *et al.* 2010; Chen *et al.* 2012; Gao *et al.* 2014), so a more technical theory to confidently conclude anything regarding this limit.

In summary, we have used classical modulation theory to explore the stability of monochromatic WMC waves with the additional consideration of the particle effects. A criterion for modulation instability, associated with the formation of wave packets, is deduced by exploring the nature of the eigenvalues of the 4 × 4 quasilinear system (2.24), revealing both the group velocity dispersion $\omega_0^{"}$ and the difference between the squares of the group and sound speed $c_g^2 - c_s^2$. This identifies where one should look for the subelement structures typical of WMC waves, and the analysis of their evolution forms

the remainder of this paper. To do so we will rely on the classical perturbative approach for the evolution of the wave envelope which we derive in the next section.

3. Ion effects and packet generation

The classical Whitham modulation approach of the previous section grants us insight into the criteria necessary for WMC waves to develop into packets. It is the case, however, that to formally derive an equation for the spatiotemporal evolution of these packets one must also include the effects of ions within the analysis. It is not *a priori* obvious that this is necessary, especially as their role is greatly overshadowed by effects due to electron motion, but this analysis will highlight those ionic features, particularly the number fraction of ions present in the plasma, which have a crucial role in the modulation stability of WMC.

With ion motions included, we will consider the two-fluid plasma description (Baumjohann & Treumann 2012) with the assumption of isothermality for each particle species. This gives the system of equations

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t},\tag{3.1}$$

$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 (q_e n_e \boldsymbol{V}_e + q_i n_i \boldsymbol{V}_i) + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial t} + (V_e \cdot \nabla) V_e + \frac{c_{s,e}^2}{n_e} \nabla n_e = \frac{q_e}{m_e} \left(E + V_e \times B \right) + F_{P,e}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_i}{\partial t} + (V_i \cdot \nabla) V_i + \frac{c_{s,i}^2}{n_i} \nabla n_i = \frac{q_i}{m_i} \left(E + V_i \times B \right) + F_{P,i}, \qquad (3.4)$$

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_e V_e) = 0, \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_i V_i) = 0, \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\nabla \cdot E = q_e n_e + q_i n_i, \tag{3.7}$$

where the subscripts i, e denote fields and quantities which describe the ion and electron populations, respectively. The ponderomotive forces for each species will be taken as

$$F_{P,j} = -\frac{\omega_{pj}^2}{2\mu m_j n_j c^2 \omega^2} \nabla \langle |E|^2 \rangle), \quad j = e, i.$$
(3.8)

The ponderomotive force for the ion equation is of the same form as the electron, which can be obtained by following the derivation in Chen *et al.* (1984). As in the purely electron case, alternate forms of this force may be supplied instead but this primary form of the ponderomotive force captures the essence of the wave–particle interaction. For the spatiotemporal analysis, we will undertake a formal weakly nonlinear analysis in the small parameter $\varepsilon \ll 1$. This parameter is a characterisation of the wave amplitude, which in turn determines the strength of the nonlinear effects. Following typical amplitude equation

approaches, we postulate the following expansions:

$$B = B_0 \hat{z} + \varepsilon (\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) (B_W(Z, T) + \varepsilon \beta_1(B_W)_Z) e^{i\theta},$$

$$E = \varepsilon (\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) (\alpha_1 B_W(Z, T) + \varepsilon \beta_2(B_W)_Z) e^{i\theta},$$

$$V_e = v_{\parallel} \hat{z} + \varepsilon (\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) (\alpha_2 B_W(Z, T) + \varepsilon \beta_3(B_W)_Z) e^{i\theta} + \varepsilon^2 V_e(Z, T) \hat{z},$$

$$V_i = u_{\parallel} \hat{z} + \varepsilon (\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) (\alpha_3 B_W(Z, T) + \varepsilon \beta_4(B_W)_Z) e^{i\theta} + \varepsilon^2 V_i(Z, T) \hat{z},$$

$$n_e = n_{e,0} + \varepsilon^2 N_e(Z, T), \quad n_i = n_{i,0} + \varepsilon^2 N_i(Z, T), \quad \theta = kz - \omega t,$$

$$(3.9)$$

where the slow variables Z and T, encoding two time scales, are defined as

$$Z = \varepsilon(z - c_g t), \quad T = \varepsilon^2 t, \tag{3.10}$$

whose scalings are chosen so that the terms of the derived evolution equation are all of the same order in ε . The smallness of the parameter ε is once again determined by the separation between linear and nonlinear scales, and following a similar calculation as that in § 2, one finds that ε must satisfy the ordering

$$\varepsilon \left| \frac{B_W}{B_0} \right| \sin \phi \ll \left| \frac{\omega - v_{\parallel} k}{\Omega} \right|$$
(3.11)

which for field-aligned WMC with a velocity parallel to the magnetic field is automatic, as $\sin \phi = 0$. Such scalings are typical within weakly nonlinear theories describing spatiotemporal amplitude evolution and ultimately indicate that the evolution equation for the amplitude is of NLS type. Indeed, substitution of the above expansions into the governing equations and solving the resulting problems at each order of ε confirm this, as we will outline below.

At leading order, $O(\varepsilon)$, we find that ω , k satisfy the relation

$$D = \frac{1}{c^2} \left[(c^2 k^2 - \omega^2) (\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e) (\omega - u_{\parallel} k + \Omega_i) + \omega_{pe}^2 (\omega - v_{\parallel} k) (\omega - u_{\parallel} k + \Omega_i) + \omega_{pi}^2 (\omega - u_{\parallel} k) (\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e) \right] = 0$$
(3.12)

which has a root corresponding to the whistler dispersion relation

$$c^{2}k^{2} - \omega^{2} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}} + \frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}(\omega - u_{\parallel}k)}{\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i}} = 0.$$
(3.13)

Waves along this dispersion branch require that

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{k}, -\frac{q_e}{m_e k} \frac{\omega - v_{\parallel} k}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e}, -\frac{q_i}{m_i k} \frac{\omega - u_{\parallel} k}{\omega - u_{\parallel} k + \Omega_i}\right).$$
(3.14)

The next order of the analysis generates both first-harmonic and zero-harmonic terms. The former of these may be solved to show that $\beta_1 = 0$ and

$$(\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}) = \left(\frac{c_{g}k - \omega}{k}, -\frac{\mathrm{i}q_{e}((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}(\omega - c_{g}k))}{m_{e}k^{2}(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})^{2}}, -\frac{\mathrm{i}q_{i}((\omega - u_{\parallel}k)^{2} + \Omega_{i}(\omega - c_{g}k))}{m_{i}k^{2}(\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i})^{2}}\right).$$
(3.15)

The first of the zero-harmonic (i.e. oscillation-free) problems arises from (3.2) and is simply

$$q_e(n_{e,0}V_e + N_e v_{\parallel}) + q_i(n_{i,0}V_i + N_i u_{\parallel}) = 0.$$
(3.16)

This is to say that the parallel propagating wave does not induce an additional current at this order of the analysis. The second arises from (3.7) and gives that

$$q_e N_e + q_i N_i = 0, (3.17)$$

which is equivalent to quasineutrality holding in the presence of slow deviations. This allows one to write the electron number density variations according to

$$N_e = -\frac{q_i}{q_e} N_i = \mathcal{Z}_c N_i, \qquad (3.18)$$

where \mathcal{Z}_c is the ion charge number. Both of the conditions (3.16) and (3.17) highlight the importance of ionic effects, as without the ionic contribution this equation would necessarily yield that N_e , V_e must vanish and lead to no nonlinear effects emerging from the weakly nonlinear analysis. Thus, this order makes it clear that ionic effects must be included in the study of the multiple scale WMC evolution and should not be neglected.

The problem at $O(\varepsilon^3)$ is where the analysis terminates, and only the first harmonic and zero-harmonic terms need to be considered to develop the evolution equation that results here. The zero-harmonic terms are more involved at this order, and these read

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_{\parallel} - c_g)N'_e + n_{e,0}V'_e = 0, \\ & (u_{\parallel} - c_g)N'_i + n_{i,0}V'_i = 0, \\ (v_{\parallel} - c_g)V'_e + \frac{c_{s,e}^2}{n_{e,0}}N'_e = -\frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\mu m_e n_{e,0}c^2k^2}(|B_W|^2)', \\ & (u_{\parallel} - c_g)V'_i + \frac{c_{s,i}^2}{n_{i,0}}N'_i = -\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\mu m_i n_{i,0}c^2k^2}(|B_W|^2)', \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.19)$$

where primes denote derivatives with respect to Z. We may use equation (3.16) to show that, if we add q_e times the first equation of (3.19) to q_i times the second of (3.19), we necessarily have that

$$c_g(q_eN_e + q_iN_i)' = 0, \implies q_eN_e + q_iN_i = \text{constant.}$$
 (3.20)

From (3.17) we can see that it follows that this constant is zero. We will recast the system (3.19) by taking the third equation of (3.19) and subtracting $q_i/q_e = -Z_c$ times the fourth. Overall, this gives that

$$Z_{c}(v_{\parallel} - c_{g})N'_{i} + n_{e,0}V'_{e} = 0,$$

$$(u_{\parallel} - c_{g})N'_{i} + n_{i,0}V'_{i} = 0,$$

$$(v_{\parallel} - c_{g})V'_{e} + Z(u_{\parallel} - c_{g})V'_{i} + Z_{c}\left(\frac{c_{s,e}^{2}}{n_{e,0}} + \frac{c_{s,i}^{2}}{n_{i,0}}\right)N'_{i} = -\frac{Z\omega_{pi}^{2}m_{e}n_{e,0} + \omega_{pe}^{2}m_{i}n_{i,0}}{\mu m_{e}m_{i}n_{i,0}n_{e,0}c^{2}k^{2}}(|B_{W}|^{2})'.$$
(3.21)

This system of equations can be inverted to show that the modulation of the mean particle quantities are related to the carrier wave by

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_i \\ V_e \\ V_i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_c \omega_{pi}^2 m_e n_{e,0} + \omega_{pe}^2 m_i n_{i,0}}{\mathcal{Z}_c \mu m_e m_i n_{e,0} n_{i,0} c^2 k^2 \Delta} (|B_W|^2) \begin{pmatrix} n_{e,0} n_{i,0} \\ \mathcal{Z}_c (c_g - v_{\parallel}) n_{i,0} \\ (c_g - u_{\parallel}) n_{e,0} \end{pmatrix},$$
with $\Delta = \left((c_g - u_{\parallel})^2 - c_{s,i}^2 \right) n_{e,0} + \left((c_g - v_{\parallel})^2 - c_{s,e}^2 \right) n_{i,0}.$

$$(3.22)$$

The arbitrary functions resulting from the integration have been ignored here, as these simply correspond to a shift in the frequency of the carrier wave which evolves much more slowly than the envelope.

At $O(\varepsilon^3)$, we require that all terms proportional to the first harmonic vanish, else the analysis will generate secular terms. It can be shown that in order to do so, the amplitude B_W must satisfy the following NLS equation:

$$iB_T + \frac{\omega_0''}{2}B_{ZZ} - \Gamma |B|^2 B = 0,$$
 (3.23)

where the nonlinear frequency correction Γ is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\omega_{pi}^{2}m_{e}n_{e,0} + \omega_{pe}^{2}m_{i}n_{i,0}}{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\mu c^{4}m_{e}m_{i}n_{e,0}n_{i,0}k^{2}\Delta D_{\omega}}(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})(\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i}) \\
\times \left(\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\omega_{pe}^{2}n_{i,0}}{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})^{2}}\left((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}(\omega - c_{g}k)\right) + \frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}n_{e,0}}{(\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i})^{2}}\left((\omega - u_{\parallel}k)^{2} + \Omega_{i}(\omega - c_{g}k)\right)\right).$$
(3.24)

We conclude our formal derivation with a few marks about the validity and limitations of the above model. Primarily we expect the NLS equation above to be a good representation whenever the variations in the wave amplitude occur over scales much larger than the wave period, which is to say that the model is representative whenever the typical packet contains many waves. Observation from the Van Allen Probes and THEMIS mission support this being typical of WMC (Zhang *et al.* 2019; Artemyev *et al.* 2022). It is also known that such envelope models are valid for evolution times up to $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$, so one can expect much longer predictions for lower amplitude packets. However, nonlinear events in WMC typically happen on scales of less than a second (Santolik *et al.* 2008), with the most repetitive emissions taking place within windows of several seconds (Gao *et al.* 2022), suggesting that these events lie within the time span of model validity. Finally a consequence of the scalings of the moving coordinate we have a narrow spectrum requirement of $|k - \delta k|$, $|\omega - \delta \omega| \sim O(\varepsilon)$, where δk , $\delta \omega$ represent the wavenumber and frequency associated with the amplitude B_W , a constraint that mission data suggests WMC satisfies (Santolík *et al.* 2003; Santolík *et al.* 2008).

3.1. Influence of ions on modulation stability

In order to analyse the modulation instability of whistler mode waves, we will again non-dimensionalise the wave quantities according to (2.29a-e), and introduce the further

non-dimensionalisations

$$r = \frac{m_e}{m_i}, \quad c_{s,e} = c\nu. \tag{3.25}$$

Additionally, to simplify the analysis in the first instance, we will choose that $v_{\parallel} = u_{\parallel} = 0$. We note that the parameter *r* is typically small, with its largest value occurring for hydrogen ions where it takes the value $r = \frac{1}{1836}$. Thus, we treat $r \ll 1$. This simplifies the coefficients of the NLS (3.23) to

$$\omega_{0}^{\prime\prime} = \frac{2\alpha^{2}c^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{(W-1)^{2}(4W^{4}-4W^{3}-4W\alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2})}{(2W^{3}-4W^{2}+\alpha^{2}+2W)^{3}} + O(r),$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{\alpha^{4}n_{i,0}\Omega}{\mu m_{e}n_{e,0}\Delta} \frac{(W-1)^{2}(2W^{3}-2W^{2}-\alpha^{2})}{(2W^{3}-4W^{2}+\alpha^{2}+2W)^{2}(W^{2}-\alpha^{2}-W)} + O(r),$$
with $\Delta = c^{2}(n_{e,0}+n_{i,0}) \left[V^{2}-\frac{n_{i,0}}{n_{e,0}+n_{i,0}}v^{2}\right] + O(r).$
(3.26)

In addition, our perturbations to the electron number N_i and their velocity characterised by α_4 , V_i become, in this limit,

$$N_{i} = \frac{\alpha^{2} n_{i,0}}{\mathcal{Z}_{c} \mu m_{e} c^{2} k^{2} \Delta} (|B_{W}|^{2}) + O(r),$$

$$V_{i} = \frac{\alpha^{2} V}{\mathcal{Z}_{c} \mu m_{e} n_{e,0} c k^{2} \Delta} (|B_{W}|^{2}) + O(r),$$

$$\alpha_{4} = O(r).$$
(3.27)

It becomes clear that although the vast majority of effects due to ions characterised by r vanish, save for the zero harmonic/bulk variations, more or less recovering the modulation instability criterion of the electron-only plasma presented in § 2.1. There is, however, a non-negligible ion effect that is crucial in the expression for Δ associated with the subsonic to supersonic wave transition. The remaining expression involving the ion number density now controls one of the stability boundaries for the whistler waves, as was demonstrated in § 2. This expression indicates that the proportion of ions present in the plasma directly alter this boundary, and so the supersonic and subsonic regimes are altered to transition at ratios that can be much lower than unity; this is since

$$0 \le \frac{n_{i,0}}{n_{e,0} + n_{i,0}} \equiv \eta_i \le 1.$$
(3.28)

Further, in the limit as $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$ where the electron fluid (i.e. static electrons) description is expected to be employed, one finds that the sound speed term is eliminated and only the supersonic case is operational, suggesting that parallel WMC would only rise in tone and parallel falling tones are expected to be non-existent in this regime. This is in strong agreement with the mission data on parallel WMC waves, where rising tones are closely aligned with the magnetic field whereas falling tones are to be expected nearly orthogonal to it (Taubenschuss *et al.* 2014; Teng *et al.* 2019). We make this inference primarily based on the location of the region within which the wave is stable; however, dynamically speaking we do not have a term which dictates the movement of the main spectral peak in frequency space. The NLS will need to be extended in order to accommodate such terms and test part of this hypothesis, which is precisely the aim of the next section of our analysis.

4. Spectral asymmetry and the emergence of chirp

It is known that NLS models do not admit chirping behaviour for monochromatic waves or wave packets (see, for example, the standard textbooks Ablowitz & Segur (1981), Agrawal (2000) and Billingham & King (2000) on the subject). This owes to the fact that the growth of sidebands due to modulation instability are symmetric and thus it has no preferred spectral shift. This limits the effect of the NLS solutions on the original carrier wave to simply a constant, time-independent frequency shift. To break this spectral symmetry, it is necessary to appeal to higher-order effects within the weakly nonlinear theory that induce self-frequency shifting as has been explored in optics (Palacios *et al.* 1999; Goyal *et al.* 2011; Triki *et al.* 2016, 2022).

We return our discussion to the approach outlined in § 3. To obtain these higher-order effects, it is necessary to analyse the contributions from the first-harmonic terms at $O(\varepsilon)$. The terms these generate are then included into the NLS equation (3.23) and treated as correction terms (as these are, strictly speaking, of a lower order than the original terms). The result of doing this for parallel propagating whistlers is the modified NLS equation

$$iB_T + \frac{\omega_0''}{2}B_{ZZ} - \Gamma |B|^2 B - i\varepsilon Q|B|^2 B_Z = 0,$$
(4.1)

where the additional nonlinear correction has coefficient

$$Q = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\omega_{pi}^{2}m_{e}n_{e,0} + \omega_{pe}^{2}m_{i}n_{i,0}}{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\mu m_{e}m_{i}n_{e,0}n_{i,0}c^{4}k^{3}\Delta D_{\omega}}(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})(\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i})$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\mathcal{Z}_{c}\omega_{pe}^{2}n_{i,0}}{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})^{2}}\left((\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}(\omega - c_{g}k)\right)\left(1 + \frac{(c_{g} - v_{\parallel})k}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}}\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}n_{e,0}}{(\omega - u_{\parallel}k + \Omega_{i})^{2}}\left((\omega - u_{\parallel}k)^{2} + \Omega_{i}(\omega - c_{g}k)\right)\left(1 + \frac{(c_{g} - u_{\parallel})k}{\omega + \Omega_{i}}\right)\right]. \quad (4.2)$$

We now demonstrate how this additional term alters the growth of sidebands due to a modulation instability. This may be investigated by perturbing the Stokes wave solution, an exact monochromatic wave train solution to this amplitude equation, by

$$B = A(1+P)\exp(i[\delta kZ - (\omega_0''\delta k^2/2) + A^2(\Gamma - \varepsilon Q\delta k)T]), \qquad (4.3)$$

for Stokes wave amplitude A, sideband wavenumber δk and P is a perturbation assumed small enough that quadratic terms in it are negligible. Upon substitution, it can be shown that the perturbation P admits the linear spectrum

$$\left(\sigma - \varepsilon \kappa Q A^2\right)^2 = \kappa^2 \left[\omega_0''(\Gamma - \varepsilon Q \delta k) + \frac{\omega_0''^2}{4} \kappa^2\right]$$
(4.4)

and thus there is a band of spectral wavenumbers κ which generates perturbations that grow in time whenever

$$\omega_0''\Gamma\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon Q\delta k}{\Gamma}\right)<0.$$
(4.5)

We can see that the modulation criterion $\omega_0''\Gamma < 0$ may persist if the bracketed expression is positive. This is ensured even if $\delta k > 0$ as for parameter ranges operational in the magnetosphere one has $\varepsilon Q/\Gamma \ll 1$, and so δk must be significantly large in order to do so which would violate the assumptions used to derive (4.1). Thus, the modulational instability has exactly the same thresholds as the NLS equation. The asymmetry in the wavenumber spectrum can then be determined by studying the maximum growth rate for the perturbed Stokes wave. This can be calculated as

$$G = \max(\operatorname{Im}(\sigma)) = |\Gamma + \varepsilon Q\delta k|, \quad \text{at} \quad \kappa = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{2(\Gamma - \varepsilon Q\delta k)}{\omega_0''}}.$$
 (4.6)

It is apparent now that the sideband wavenumber δk introduces bias to one side of the spectrum via this higher-order term characterised by Q. This is determined by the sign of Q, which like Γ is determined by the factor Δ . Thus, terms with a lower sideband wavenumber will grow at a faster rate than their upper sideband counterpart. What happens subsequently cannot be inferred by this linear stability analysis, and requires further nonlinear approaches that we will not consider here.

This observation is the first hint that this higher-order term will be the driver of the chirping behaviour that we seek to understand. We will continue to explore this extended NLS equation from the perspective of nonlinear wave packets, the structures observed within WMC waves that ultimately generate the rising and falling tones we are interested in understanding. These structures will be derived and explored analytically and numerically in later parts of this section to explore how these may encourage a similar spectral asymmetry in WMC waves.

4.1. Emergence of the band gap

Of significant note within this weakly nonlinear theory, when accounting for the higher-order terms, is that the classical band gap at half the gyrofrequency is a natural consequence. We can identify this by using the non-dimensionalisations (2.29a-e) and (3.25), where $r \ll 1$, to simplify the coefficient of the term responsible for chirping to

$$Q = \frac{\alpha^4 c n_{i,0}}{\mu m_e n_{e,0} \Delta K} \frac{(W-1)^2 (2W-1)(2W^2 - \alpha^2 - 2W)(2W^3 - 2W^2 - \alpha^2)}{(W^2 - \alpha^2 - W)(2W^3 - 4W^2 + \alpha^2 + 2W)^3}.$$
 (4.7)

In this limit there is clearly a root of Q at $W = \frac{1}{2}$, namely $\omega = \Omega_e/2$, which is at the typical band-gap for WMC waves. The only other sign change of Q is determined by Δ (which ultimately leads to a breakdown of the weakly nonlinear theory as a whole) as the remaining factors result in a positive definite quantity in the lower band WMC range $0 < W \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

This observation of this root of Q at $W = \frac{1}{2}$ is an important one. It demonstrates that at half the gyrofrequency the amplitude evolution equations once again reduce to the NLS equation, so the wave mode neither biases a rise or fall in the frequency. Thus, there is no emergent sweep rate for waves at this frequency, and it is clear that waves with frequencies close to this band gap will have very weak sweep rates too. Overall, this observation suggests that a WMC element that rises or falls in frequency will have its sweep rate continuously reduced as its spectral peak approaches this band gap until the wave becomes incoherent or its energy is exchanged with another wave of a different frequency.

Unfortunately, the narrow-band picture of the nonlinear theory is insufficient to fully test this hypothesis. For energy exchanges between different frequencies and how the wave responds, a multimode interaction system is required in the spirit of either a coupled NLS/Manakov system (Manakov 1974; Kourakis, Shukla & Morfill 2005*b*,*a*; Baronio *et al.* 2012) or a Zakharov/wave turbulence model (Galtier *et al.* 2000; Newell & Rumpf 2011;

David & Galtier 2022), both much more complex dynamical models. Therefore, a full validation of this continual sweep rate reduction postulation is reserved for later study. We, however, note that the former of these extensions is essentially a coupled system of NLS-like equations and will retain many of the coefficients derived in this paper, and thus the argument regarding an arrested sweep rate in the proximity of the band gap we hypothesise to hold true.

4.2. Wave packets with chirp

Now that we have a modification to the NLS, as well as some insight into how chirp might come about for sidebands, let us formally derive a solution for a chorus element that undergoes chirping. To do so, we follow Dias & Iooss (1993) and consider a solution of (4.1) of the form

$$B = R(\xi) e^{i(\nu Z - \sigma T)} e^{i\phi(\xi)}, \quad \xi = Z - VT,$$
(4.8)

which is formed of three parts – the amplitude function R, the sideband wave component represented by the second term and a phase function ϕ , which will ultimately be the source of chirp. This is because the local frequency of this solution can be defined as the negative of time derivative of the total phase function,

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\sigma T - \nu Z - \phi) = \varepsilon(c_g \nu + \varepsilon \sigma) + \varepsilon(c_g + \varepsilon V)\phi'.$$
(4.9)

From this one may identify a sweep rate, defined as the rate of change of the frequency in time,

$$\mathscr{S} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}}{\partial t} = -\varepsilon^2 (c_g + \varepsilon V)^2 \phi''. \tag{4.10}$$

Our aim is to relate this sweep rate with properties of the wave packet solution to determine the resulting frequency change, which is achieved though substitution of this ansatz into (4.1) and solve the resulting ordinary differential equations (ODEs).

Starting with our guess at a solution, and splitting the resultant system into real and imaginary parts, we have the equations

$$\sigma R + V \phi' R + \frac{\omega_0''}{2} (R'' - (\nu + \phi')^2 R) - \Gamma R^3 + \varepsilon Q(\nu + \phi') R^3 = 0, \qquad (4.11)$$

$$-VR' + \frac{\omega_0''}{2}(2R'\phi' + R\phi'' + 2\nu R') - \varepsilon QR^2 R' = 0.$$
(4.12)

We start by taking the second equation, (4.12), and multiplying by *R*, which makes it an exact derivative. Integrating gives

$$-\frac{V}{2}R^2 + \frac{\omega_0''}{2}(R^2\phi' - \nu R^2) - \frac{\varepsilon Q}{4}R^4 = I$$
(4.13)

for some constant *I*. It will be convenient herein to introduce $U = R^2 > 0$, and note that for solitary wave packets one must have that U, U' and U'' tend to zero as $\xi \to \pm \infty$. This yields that I = 0 and allows one to manipulate (4.13) to show that the sweep rate \mathscr{S} is

$$\mathscr{S} = -\frac{\varepsilon^3 (c_g + \varepsilon V)^2 Q}{2\omega_0''} U'. \tag{4.14}$$

In modulationally unstable regions, this prefactor is negative, meaning that a positive sweep rate occurs when U' > 0, i.e. at points where the envelope of an element is increasing.

We conclude the discussion here with an exact solution describing the envelope of a single whistler packet/element. To do so, we note that we can set v = 0 to simplify the analysis, and it can be reintroduced under suitable mappings. In this case, we get a quartic potential,

$$(U')^{2} + B_{2}U^{2} + B_{3}U^{3} + B_{4}U^{4} \equiv (U')^{2} - \mathscr{V}(U) = 0$$
(4.15)

with

$$B_2 = \frac{4V^2}{\omega_0''^2} + \frac{8\sigma}{\omega_0''}, \quad B_3 = \frac{4}{\omega_0''} \left(\frac{\varepsilon VQ}{\omega_0''} - \Gamma\right), \quad B_4 = \left(\frac{\varepsilon Q}{\omega_0''}\right)^2. \tag{4.16a-c}$$

A homoclinic connection (that is a trajectory that begins and terminates at the same fixed point) within this system, corresponding to a solitary wave solution is only possible so long as there is an interval for which $\mathscr{V}(U) > 0$. As $B_4 > 0$, we need $B_2 < 0$ and so

$$\frac{4V^2}{\omega_0''^2} + \frac{8\sigma}{\omega_0''} < 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Since σ is a free real-valued parameter, this is always possible to satisfy. Following Kamchatnov *et al.* (2012) let us factorise this ODE as follows:

$$U' = \left| \frac{\varepsilon Q}{\omega_0''} \right| \sqrt{U^2 (U - U_-) (U_+ - U)},$$
(4.18)

where the roots are given by

$$U_{\pm} = \frac{2\omega_0''}{\varepsilon^2 Q^2} \left[\Gamma - \frac{\varepsilon V Q}{\omega_0''} \pm \sqrt{\Gamma^2 - \frac{2\varepsilon Q}{\omega_0''} (V\Gamma + \varepsilon Q\sigma)} \right].$$
(4.19)

The negative subscript denotes the negative root, and plus the positive root, so that $U_{-} < 0 < U_{+}$. Thus, the expression in (4.18) under the square root is positive in the interval $U_{-} < U < U_{+}$, but as $U = R^{2} > 0$ the only interval of interest to us will be $0 \le U < U_{+}$. The solution to this ODE is of the form of a Gardner/extended Korteweg–de Vries soliton (Grimshaw *et al.* 2010; Kamchatnov *et al.* 2012),

$$U = \frac{A}{(1+B)\cosh^2 \Theta - 1}$$
(4.20)

with

$$A = -U_{-} > 0, \quad B = -\frac{U_{-}}{U_{+}} > 0, \quad \Theta = \sqrt{-\frac{2\sigma\omega_{0}'' + V^{2}}{\omega_{0}''^{2}}}(Z - VT), \quad (4.21a-c)$$

and so the amplitude of this wave packet is $A/B = U_+$.

In summary, by considering higher-order terms in the amplitude model we find that these terms are the source of chirping behaviour in both monochromatic wave trains and within wave packets. In the case of the latter, however, we can observe that there is no net shift in the frequency due to the symmetry of the packet. Chirping due to WMC elements would appear to arise, therefore, upon packet interaction as this leads to asymmetry within the wave envelope and thus generates a net frequency shift. This is now explored using numerical means, where we will generate multiple packets, observe their interactions and extract their frequency spectrum to deduce the overall chirp produced by their interplay.

5. Numerical simulation of interacting whistler mode elements

It is clear from the available spacecraft mission data that whistler and chorus wave packets are rarely isolated and propagate in groups of multiple packets. As a result, these packets interact and cause changes to their frequency and amplitude that the above insight of a solitary packet cannot provide. To investigate these interactions, we resort solving (4.1) using a time stepping procedure. For computational ease and to better identify the effects of system parameters on the sweep rate, we rescale (4.1), highlighting two cases dependent on the sign of $\omega_0^{\prime\prime}$. We employ the scalings

$$X = \operatorname{sign}(\omega_0'')Z, \quad \tau = \operatorname{sign}(\omega_0'')\frac{\omega_0''}{2}T, \quad B = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\omega_0''|}{2|\Gamma|}}A^* & \omega_0'' < 0, \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\omega_0''|}{2|\Gamma|}}A^* & \omega_0'' > 0, \end{cases}$$
(5.1*a*-*c*)

to transform (4.1) into

$$iA_{\tau} + A_{XX} - \sigma \left(|A|^2 A + \frac{i\varepsilon Q}{\Gamma} |A|^2 A_X \right) = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma = \operatorname{sign}(\omega_0'' \Gamma).$$
(5.2)

This reduces the problem to a single tuneable parameter, which we can see from the non-dimensionalisations earlier that

$$\frac{\varepsilon Q}{\Gamma} = \frac{\varepsilon c}{\Omega K} \frac{(2W-1)(2W^2 - 2W - \alpha^2)}{2W^3 - 4W^2 + 2W + \alpha^2},$$
(5.3)

thus reducing the tuneable parameters to just ε , W, Ω (or equivalently, B_0) and α which are determined by the plasma environment. For the majority of our simulations we will be using parameter choices representative of those found at *L*-shell $L \sim 6$. This corresponds to

$$\alpha = 7.2, \quad B_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}, \quad n_e = 1 \times 10^7, \quad \varepsilon = O(10^{-5}).$$
 (5.4*a*-*d*)

In addition to this, we focus on wave frequencies lying in the lower frequency band range of $0.1\Omega - 0.5\Omega$.

We advance (5.2) in time using an exponential time differencing method with fourth-order Runge–Kutta time stepping (ETDRK4) (Cox & Matthews 2002; Kassam & Trefethen 2005), using periodic boundary conditions to take advantage of the speed and spectral accuracy of Fourier-based schemes. To initialise a multielement solution and remain close to the analytic solution found, we initialise the simulations with the wave packet

$$A(X,0) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{A_0 \cosh\left(\frac{X}{A}\right)^2 - 1}} e^{ipX},$$
(5.5)

which has amplitude $1/(A_0 - 1)$, width Λ and sideband wavenumber p. The width of these has to be suitably large (of the order 10) in order to generate multiple packets, with smaller Λ recovering a single wave packet with small amplitude wave radiation and larger widths generating many packets that partially fission before interaction. In our simulations, we allow this initial profile to evolve and we then observe the dynamics of this fissioned



FIGURE 2. Examples of the power spectrum $|B_W|^2/\delta\omega$ generated by the parameter choices $(\varepsilon, n_e, \alpha, \Omega) = (4 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^7, 7.2, 2.49 \times 10^4)$ and (a) $W = 0.2, k_B T = 8.02 \text{ KeV},$ (b) $W = 0.35, k_B T = 7.37 \text{ KeV}.$

structure in time to determine how the emergent wave packets interact with each other and the resulting Fourier spectrum.

The result of simulating (5.2) yields wave packets that generate frequency sweeps, with examples appearing in figure 2. These emerge after the initial packet begins to split and interact with the new subelements, and develop further as the packets separate. This separation drives an envelope asymmetry, which from our observations in (4.14) would appear to be the source for the frequency sweeps that emerge numerically. The sweep rate decreases over each simulation, as the subelements grow farther apart, further reinforcing that it is the packet interactions that are the source of rising tones. We find over the course of our numerical investigations that higher magnitude of the ratio in (5.3) enhance the onset of frequency sweeping, which further points to the role that the modified term in the NLS plays in generating frequency shifts in the WMC waves.

It is worth commenting on the contrast between the simulations here and the more conventional studies using particle-in-cell simulations. These have demonstrated chorus wave frequency chirping in a one-dimensional uniform field, but using reflective boundary conditions for particles and open boundary conditions for electromagnetic waves (Wu *et al.* 2020). In that work, the open boundary conditions for the waves facilitate the chirping behaviour in the sense that they allow electromagnetic perturbations to leave the domain and so not to return and interfere with the spatially localised wave–particle interaction that is creating the chirp. The mathematical analysis that is presented in this work is based on



FIGURE 3. Snapshots of the time series of (a) the magnetic wave (b) number density and (c) kinetic energy density at several spatial points, demonstrating the breather-like evolution of the wave envelope as the WMC wave travels.

the assumption of an infinite uniform plasma in a uniform background magnetic field, and we employ periodic boundary conditions for all quantities in our numerical experiments. However, our experiments still permit the chirping mechanism to be fully local/isolated in the same way as the work by Wu *et al.* (2020), since the spatial dimensions of the domain $[0 \le x < L]$ are sufficiently large that the relevant phase and group velocities do not traverse a full box-length *L* over the time scale of chirping events and indeed the whole numerical experiment. This is to say that $v_g \ll LT^{-1}$, $L\omega^{-1} d\omega/dt$. Furthermore, the paper by Wu *et al.* (2020) demonstrates that in their regime, it is the background non-uniformity that establishes a symmetry breaking in order to preferentially permit either rising or falling tones, based on the curvature of the background magnetic field. It is therefore interesting that our results demonstrate a preferential chirping direction (rising tone) in the case of a uniform field. Once again, we state again that our standalone mechanism is of a different origin and nature, and it will be important in future studies to investigate the relationship between this, and others.

The structure of the envelope generated by the interaction of subelements is also noteworthy. In our simulations we find that the packets exhibit an almost time-periodic breathing behaviour, depicted in figure 3(a) akin to the Kuznetsov–Ma soliton found in the NLS (Ma 1979; Akhmediev, Eleonskii & Kulagin 1985), albeit with a zero background. The structure of maximum amplification for widths that generate two to three main packets also bears some semblance to higher-order rogue wave solutions (Chabchoub *et al.* 2012; Slunyaev *et al.* 2013), a fact that is not unsurprising given that such solutions are the infinite-period limit of breathers. This seems to suggest, therefore, that isolated rising tone WMC waves may fall into the category of rogue waves themselves, and the repetitive WMC emission events are breather events. This connection between hydrodynamic rogue waves and WMC has not yet been made in the literature and it may be useful to explore this connection further in the future, given also that the occurrence rate of large-amplitude WMC is significant in the magnetosphere.

We may also use (3.22) to explore the effect on both the number density and the parallel electron velocity. From the latter, we may also extract the following energy density, a combination of kinetic and thermal energy of the electrons induced by the wave:

$$E_{KE} = \frac{m_e}{2} n_e \| V_e - v_{\parallel} \hat{z} \|^2 + \frac{k_B T}{2} (n_e - n_{e,0}), \qquad (5.6)$$



FIGURE 4. (a) Snapshots of the magnetic field wave versus the power spectra for the time series snapshot for the parameter choice $(\varepsilon, n_e, \alpha, \Omega, W, k_BT) = (4 \times 10^{-5}, 1 \times 10^7, 7.2, 2.49 \times 10^4, 0.35, 7.373 \text{ KeV})$. (b) Comparison between the wave envelope (with maxima shifted to the same point in slow time t_s) over the time frame of one pulsation (the fast time t_f) and its short time Fourier transform. The white dashed line denotes the time at which the envelope achieves its maximum.

which allows us to determine which stage of the WMC evolution energises the electrons. We visualise these quantities in figure 3(c), demonstrating that the maximum amplification of the wave is the stage which imparts the most energy to the particles, increasing the energy by several electronvolts. We may also correlate this moment with the frequency activity of the wave, as we do in figure 4. In it, we can observe that the amplification of the wave develops as one rising tone begins to terminate and another, lower in frequency, rising tone begins to initiates. The kinetic energy density peaks at exactly the point in time where the power of the higher frequency rising tone and the lower frequency rising tone are equal, and beyond this point the lower rising tone takes over as the most powerful part of the signal. This observation would therefore suggest that repetitive rising tone events, that are typical of chorus wave activity (Tsurutani & Smith 1974; Li et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2014), are accelerating electrons most when the tones overlap and there is an exchange in wave power between these elements. It is difficult to conclusively identify this as the amplification mechanism, however, as it could simply be that if the rising tone of higher frequency have been left to climb the amplification may have been much greater. Further study beyond that of this paper will be required to fully explore this interaction and its consequences.

We conclude this section with some final commentary on what aspects of WMC we cannot recreate with our current level of modelling, but could be captured with suitable alterations. Primarily, the modified NLS equation (4.1) appears to be unable to produce a single isolated rising tone event that has been successfully created via other theoretical modelling (e.g. Nunn *et al.* 1997; Omura *et al.* 2008; Tao 2014). We attribute this to the fact that we have no source term corresponding to a hot electron population which ultimately drives the WMC waves in these existing theories. We anticipate that once such effects are accounted for properly, the simulations here should be able to reproduce these results. Further, another aspect of our simulations we are not able to reproduce is the non-sequential gap between events, as all WMC events in our simulations happen one after another. One can see from the mission data (cf. Agapitov *et al.* 2011; Gao *et al.* 2022) that this need not be the case, and there can be considerable separation between WMC occurrences. We hypothesise that once hot electron growth effects are accounted for, these too may be emergent from simulations of our amplitude model.

6. Concluding remarks

This paper has provided an overview of the formation and dynamics of parallel propagating WMC waves with rising tone. We have outlined that the mechanism for packet formation is an instability of modulational type, whose transition is marked by a critical point in the group velocity as well as the sign of $c_g^2 - c_s^2$. Further investigations demonstrated that the role of ions within the system is to reduce the latter instability threshold and suggests that their effects, although contributing little elsewhere, are non-negligible and must be accounted for in any analysis of WMC.

We here emphasise that the mechanisms proposed in this paper (namely chirping and potential contributions to the power-gap phenomenon as a result of the ponderomotive force and non-zero ion motion) are not proposed to be replacing other proposed mechanisms, such as those that may even include fixed ions in, for example, Katoh & Omura (2007) and Tao *et al.* (2021). Future work should investigate to what extent different viable mechanisms such as these and others interact and compete in different regimes.

Furthermore, we note that the power-gap phenomenon is not always observed in spacecraft measurements, for example, see Teng *et al.* (2019). It is interesting to note that the occurrence rate of 'no-gap' whistler waves has been observed to peak off the equator ($|MLAT| \approx 8-10^{\circ}$), i.e. in regimes where magnetic curvature cannot be ignored. It will be interesting in future work to see if we can obtain similar results. We emphasise once more, the mechanism that we propose is shown to be viable within the context of a uniform plasma with uniform background magnetic field, and it is not yet known how this mechanism will change in different geometry and regimes, and/or compete with other viable mechanisms.

We have observed here that rising tones emerge from the NLS with higher-order effects, and the degree at which the spectral peak changes can be attributed to either the strength of the background magnetic field and/or the frequency of the original carrier wave. We have also numerically investigated the evolution of multiple WMC wave packets, confirming that the interaction between WMC elements seems to be the mechanism for the emergence of their frequency sweeping. The envelope asymmetry appears to be the reason for this as this interplay overcomes the symmetry of the theoretical solitary WMC element solution which it seemingly does not allow for. This suggested mechanism is supported by the data from the THEMIS and Van Allen missions, where the wave profile for rising tone elements contains both multiple elements and asymmetric wave envelopes.

The assumption of narrow-banded waves implicit in the derivation of the envelope equations captures the phenomenology of WMC. We do, however, note that formally the range of frequencies that the packets can sweep is limited by ε and should not cover a broad range of frequencies simultaneously. This means that the complete picture of a rising tone WMC will involve more complex models which couple multiple frequency bands together and transfer wave energy between one another. This has been seen in other systems, the simplest being coupled NLS models with the limit of such couplings being the Zakharov equation. For parallel propagating WMC, the theoretical analysis should remain tractable due to the lack of second-harmonic terms in the perturbation analysis and yield further insight into the stability and evolution of nonlinear chorus waves.

An important extension of the approach outlined here is to consider the more general case of WMC propagating obliquely to the magnetic field. This is more reflective of what is observed, where WMC is seen to most commonly propagate at angles of 10° (close to parallel) or 70° to the magnetic field. The work here remains reflective of the former of these cases by virtue of the obliqueness remaining small, but the latter case would require a revised version of the perturbative approach and result in a different modified NLS.

The mission data would also suggest that this version of the dynamics would instead lead to wave dynamics which admit falling tone WMC, a feature which may be linked to a negative version of the ratio (5.3). In either case, a quantification of the effect of oblique propagation should be determined and explored in a similar fashion to the field-aligned waves considered in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Editor T. Passot thanks the referees for their advice in evaluating this article.

Funding

D.R. is grateful to the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Dispersive Hydrodynamics where work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant no. EP/R014604/1. O.A. gratefully acknowledges financial support from the University of Exeter, the University of Birmingham and also from the United Kingdom Research and Innovation (UKRI) Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Independent Research Fellowship NE/V013963/1 and NE/V013963/2.

Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Details of the WKB analysis of the electron plasma

First-order terms proportional to $e^{i\theta}$ are given by the linear matrix problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\omega & k & 0\\ -k & \frac{i\omega}{c^2} & \mu_0 q n_0\\ -\frac{iqv_{\parallel}}{m} & -\frac{q}{m} & i(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \alpha_1\\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \boldsymbol{D}(\omega, k; v_{\parallel}) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \alpha_1\\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}.$$
(A1)

The determinant of this matrix needs to be zero for non-trivial solutions and thus

$$D_{W}(\omega, k; v_{\parallel}) = |\mathbf{D}| = \frac{1}{c^{2}} \left[(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e})(c^{2}k^{2} - \omega^{2}) + \omega_{pe}^{2}(\omega - v_{\parallel}k) \right] = 0.$$
(A2)

Useful for later are the following derivative results along the branch of solutions:

$$(D_W)_{\omega} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \left[\frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \Omega_e}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e} + 2\omega (\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e) \right],$$

$$(D_W)_k = \frac{1}{c^2} \left[2c^2 k (\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e) + \frac{v_{\parallel} \omega_{pe}^2 \Omega_2}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e} \right],$$

$$(D_W)_{v_{\parallel}} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \Omega_e k}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e},$$

$$(D_W)_{n_0} = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 (\omega - v_{\parallel} k)}{n_0 c^2}.$$
(A3)

For whistler waves, one has that

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{k}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{q}{mk} \frac{\omega - v_{\parallel}k}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e}.$$
 (A4*a*,*b*)

The left eigenvector of this matrix is also required in order to determine criterion for when

$$DA_1 = A_2 \tag{A5}$$

can be solved for A_1 , namely that the Fredholme alternative is to hold and the right-hand side vanishes when projected in the direction of the left eigenvector. This is given by

$$\boldsymbol{l} = \left(\frac{\omega(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e) - \omega_{pe}^2}{c^2k}, i(\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e), -\mu_0 q n_0\right).$$
(A6)

One is then able to show that

$$lD\begin{pmatrix}1\\\alpha_1\\\alpha_2\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{iD}{k}.$$
 (A7)

The next order of the analysis only requires consideration of zero-harmonic modes, associated with wave–particle interactions. This involves terms which can be written in terms of gradients, and so by considering the expressions under the gradients we have the system

. .

$$q\mu(v_{\parallel}N + n_{0}V) = 0,$$

$$\nabla\left(v_{\parallel}V + \frac{c_{s}^{2}}{n_{0}}N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2}\omega^{2}}|\alpha_{1}|^{2}|B_{W}|^{2}\right) = \nabla\left(v_{\parallel}V + \frac{c_{s}^{2}}{n_{0}}N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2}k^{2}}|B_{W}|^{2}\right) = 0.$$
(A8)

Combining these gives

$$\frac{c_s^2 - v_{\parallel}^2}{n_0} N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\mu c^2 k^2} |B_W|^2 = \text{constant.}$$
(A9)

This constant can be deduced by noticing that the wave-free flow is potential, as there is no indication that such waves have vorticity due to the constant underlying background magnetic field, so that

$$V_e = \nabla \phi = \nabla (v_{\parallel} z - \gamma t). \tag{A10}$$

This would mean that the overall version of this bulk equation would be

$$\frac{c_s^2 - v_{\parallel}^2}{n_0} N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\mu c^2 k^2} |B_W|^2 = \gamma - \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2} - c_s^2 \ln n_0.$$
(A11)

At the final order we consider, we must now examine the terms proportional to $e^{i\theta}$, given by

$$\mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -q\mu\alpha_2 NB_W \\ \frac{\mathrm{i}q}{m}B_W V + \mathrm{i}kV\alpha_2 B_W \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A12)

Now, we project the right-hand side using *l* gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{c^2 k} \left[\frac{\omega_{pe}^2 \Omega_e k}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e} V + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2 (\omega - v_{\parallel} k)}{n_0} N \right] B_W = \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2 k n_0} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel} k)^2 - \Omega_e \omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel} k - \Omega_e} N B_W.$$
(A13)

Now, we could impose that this is zero, but the analysis would be determined to be trivial. Instead, we assume that there is a correction to the frequency, $\delta \omega$, that has amplitude

effects within it. Assuming $\omega = \omega_0 + \delta \omega$, the matrix system (A1) has the amplitude dependent part

$$\delta\omega \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\mathbf{i}}{c^2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \alpha_1\\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} B_W = \mathbf{i}\delta\omega B_W \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \frac{\alpha_1}{c^2}\\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A14)

which would instead give the (A12) as

$$i\delta\omega B_W \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \alpha_1\\ c^2\\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -q\mu\alpha_2 NB_W\\ \frac{iq}{m}B_W V + ikV\alpha_2 B_W \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A15)

Projecting this down to assess whether this is solvable gives

$$-i\delta\omega\frac{(D_W)_{\omega}}{k} = \frac{i\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2kn_0}\frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^2 - \Omega_e\omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e}N$$
(A16)

and so

$$\delta\omega = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega_{p_e}^2}{(D_W)_{\omega}c^2n_0}\frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^2 - \Omega_e\omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_e}N.$$
(A17)

Thus, the two equations that emerge from the WKB theory are

$$DB_{W} + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{c^{2}n_{0}} \frac{(\omega - v_{\parallel}k)^{2} - \Omega_{e}\omega}{\omega - v_{\parallel}k - \Omega_{e}} NB_{W} = 0,$$

$$\frac{c_{s}^{2} - v_{\parallel}^{2}}{n_{0}}N + \frac{\omega_{pe}^{2}}{\mu c^{2}k^{2}}|B_{W}|^{2} = \gamma - \frac{v_{\parallel}^{2}}{2} - c_{s}^{2}\ln n_{0}.$$
(A18)

REFERENCES

- ABLOWITZ, M.J. & SEGUR, H. 1981 Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform. SIAM.
- AGAPITOV, O., KRASNOSELSKIKH, V., ZALIZNYAK, Y., ANGELOPOULOS, V., LE CONTEL, O. & ROLLAND, G. 2011 Observations and modeling of forward and reflected chorus waves captured by themis. In *Annales Geophysicae*, vol. 29, pp. 541–550. Copernicus Publications Göttingen.
- AGRAWAL, G.P. 2000 Nonlinear fiber optics. In *Nonlinear Science at the Dawn of the 21st Century*, pp. 195–211. Springer.
- AKHMEDIEV, N., ELEONSKII, V.M. & KULAGIN, N.E. 1985 Generation of periodic trains of picosecond pulses in an optical fiber: exact solutions. *Sov. Phys. JETP* **62** (5), 894–899.
- ALBERT, J.M. 2010 Diffusion by one wave and by many waves. J. Geophys. Res. 115 (A3), A00F05.
- ALBERT, J.M., ARTEMYEV, A., LI, W., GAN, L. & MA, Q. 2022*a* Analytical results for phase bunching in the pendulum model of wave–particle interactions. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **9**, 971358.
- ALBERT, J.M., ARTEMYEV, A., LI, W., GAN, L. & MA, Q. 2022b Equations of motion near cyclotron resonance. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 9, 910224.
- ALBERT, J.M., TAO, X. & BORTNIK, J. 2012 Aspects of Nonlinear Wave–Particle Interactions, pp. 255–264. American Geophysical Union (AGU).
- ALLANSON, O., ELSDEN, T., WATT, C. & NEUKIRCH, T. 2022 Weak turbulence and quasilinear diffusion for relativistic wave-particle interactions via a Markov approach. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 8, 805699.

- ALLISON, H.J., SHPRITS, Y.Y., ZHELAVSKAYA, I.S., WANG, D. & SMIRNOV, A.G. 2021 Gyroresonant wave-particle interactions with chorus waves during extreme depletions of plasma density in the van Allen radiation belts. *Sci. Adv.* 7 (5), eabc0380.
- ARTEMYEV, A., AGAPITOV, O., MOURENAS, D., KRASNOSELSKIKH, V., SHASTUN, V. & MOZER, F. 2016 Oblique whistler-mode waves in the earth's inner magnetosphere: energy distribution, origins, and role in radiation belt dynamics. *Space Sci. Rev.* **200** (1), 261–355.
- ARTEMYEV, A.V., MOURENAS, D., ZHANG, X.-J. & VAINCHTEIN, D. 2022 On the incorporation of nonlinear resonant wave–particle interactions into radiation belt models. J. Geophys. Res. 127 (9), e2022JA030853.
- ARTEMYEV, A.V., NEISHTADT, A.I., VASILIEV, A.A. & MOURENAS, D. 2018 Long-term evolution of electron distribution function due to nonlinear resonant interaction with whistler mode waves. *J. Plasma Phys.* 84 (2), 905840206.
- ARTEMYEV, A.V., NEISHTADT, A.I., VASILIEV, A.A., ZHANG, X.-J., MOURENAS, D. & VAINCHTEIN, D. 2021 Long-term dynamics driven by resonant wave–particle interactions: from hamiltonian resonance theory to phase space mapping. J. Plasma Phys. 87 (2), 835870201.
- BARONIO, F., DEGASPERIS, A., CONFORTI, M. & WABNITZ, S. 2012 Solutions of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equations: evidence for deterministic rogue waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (4), 044102.
- BAUMJOHANN, W. & TREUMANN, R.A. 2012 Basic Space Plasma Physics. World Scientific.
- BILLINGHAM, J. & KING, A.C. 2000 Wave Motion. Cambridge University Press.
- BORTNIK, J., ALBERT, J.M., ARTEMYEV, A., LI, W., JUN, C.-W., GRACH, V.S. & DEMEKHOV, A.G. 2022 Amplitude dependence of nonlinear precipitation blocking of relativistic electrons by large amplitude EMIC waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **49** (12), e2022GL098365.
- BORTNIK, J., THORNE, R.M. & INAN, U.S. 2008 Nonlinear interaction of energetic electrons with large amplitude chorus. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35 (21), L21102.
- BORTNIK, J., THORNE, R.M., LI, W. & TAO, X. 2016 Chorus waves in geospace and their influence on radiation belt dynamics. In *Waves, Particles, and Storms in Geospace: A Complex Interplay* (ed. G. Balasis, I. A. Daglis & I. R. Mann), Oxford University Press.
- BRICE, N. 1964 Fundamentals of very low frequency emission generation mechanisms. J. Geophys. Res. 69 (21), 4515–4522.
- BRIDGES, T.J. & RATLIFF, D.J. 2022 Reappraisal of Whitham's 1967 theory for wave-meanflow interaction in shallow water. *Wave Motion* **115**, 103050.
- BURTIS, W.J. & HELLIWELL, R.A. 1976 Magnetospheric chorus: occurrence patterns and normalized frequency. *Planet. Space Sci.* 24 (11), 1007–1024.
- CHABCHOUB, A., HOFFMANN, N., ONORATO, M. & AKHMEDIEV, N. 2012 Super rogue waves: observation of a higher-order breather in water waves. *Phys. Rev.* X 2 (1), 011015.
- CHEN, F.F. 1984 Introduction to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, vol. 1. Springer.
- CHEN, H., GAO, X., LU, Q., FAN, K., KE, Y., WANG, X. & WANG, S. 2022 Gap formation around 0.5\u03c6 in the whistler-mode waves due to the plateau-like shape in the parallel electron distribution: 2D PIC simulations. J. Geophys. Res. 127 (5), e2021JA030119.
- CHEN, L., LI, W., BORTNIK, J. & THORNE, R.M. 2012 Amplification of whistler-mode hiss inside the plasmasphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** (8), L08111.
- COX, S.M. & MATTHEWS, P.C. 2002 Exponential time differencing for stiff systems. J. Comput. Phys. 176 (2), 430–455.
- CRABTREE, C., RUDAKOV, L., GANGULI, G., MITHAIWALA, M., GALINSKY, V. & SHEVCHENKO, V. 2012 Weak turbulence in the magnetosphere: formation of whistler wave cavity by nonlinear scattering. *Phys. Plasmas* 19 (3), 032903.
- DAVID, V. & GALTIER, S. 2022 Wave turbulence in inertial electron magnetohydrodynamics. J. Plasma Phys. 88 (5), 905880509.
- DIAS, F. & IOOSS, G. 1993 Capillary-gravity solitary waves with damped oscillations. *Physica* D **65** (4), 399–423.
- ELIASSON, B & SHUKLA, P.K. 2005 Linear self-focusing of whistlers in plasmas. New J. Phys. 7 (1), 95.
- FU, X., COWEE, M.M., FRIEDEL, R.H., FUNSTEN, H.O., GARY, S.P., HOSPODARSKY, G.B., KLETZING, C., KURTH, W., LARSEN, B.A., LIU, K., *et al.* 2014 Whistler anisotropy instabilities

as the source of banded chorus: Van Allen probes observations and particle-in-cell simulations. *J. Geophys. Res.* **119** (10), 8288–8298.

- GALTIER, S., NAZARENKO, S.V., NEWELL, A.C. & POUQUET, A. 2000 A weak turbulence theory for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. *J. Plasma Phys.* **63** (5), 447–488.
- GANGULI, G., RUDAKOV, L., SCALES, W., WANG, J. & MITHAIWALA, M. 2010 Three dimensional character of whistler turbulence. *Phys. Plasmas* 17 (5), 052310.
- GAO, X., CHEN, L., LI, W., LU, Q. & WANG, S. 2019 Statistical results of the power gap between lower-band and upper-band chorus waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **46** (8), 4098–4105.
- GAO, X., CHEN, R., LU, Q., CHEN, L., CHEN, H. & WANG, X. 2022 Observational evidence for the origin of repetitive chorus emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **49** (12), e2022GL099000.
- GAO, X., LI, W., THORNE, R.M., BORTNIK, J., ANGELOPOULOS, V., LU, Q., TAO, X. & WANG, S. 2014 New evidence for generation mechanisms of discrete and hiss-like whistler mode waves. J. Geophys. Res. 41 (14), 4805–4811.
- GARY, S.P. 1993 Theory of Space Plasma Microinstabilities. Cambridge University Press.
- GLAUERT, S.A., HORNE, R.B. & MEREDITH, N.P. 2018 A 30-year simulation of the outer electron radiation belt. *Space Weath*. **16** (10), 1498–1522.
- GOŁKOWSKI, M., HARID, V. & HOSSEINI, P. 2019 Review of controlled excitation of non-linear wave-particle interactions in the magnetosphere. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **6**.
- GOYAL, A., GUPTA, R., KUMAR, C.N. & RAJU, T.S. 2011 Chirped femtosecond solitons and double-kink solitons in the cubic-quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with self-steepening and self-frequency shift. *Phys. Rev.* A 84 (6), 063830.
- GREEN, J.C. & KIVELSON, M.G. 2004 Relativistic electrons in the outer radiation belt: differentiating between acceleration mechanisms. J. Geophys. Res. 109 (A3), A03213.
- GRIBBEN, R.J. & PARKES, E.J. 1977 Slowly varying nonlinear waves in a cold plasma stream. J. Plasma Phys. 18 (3), 495–508.
- GRIMSHAW, R., PELINOVSKY, E., TALIPOVA, T. & KURKINA, O. 2010 Internal solitary waves: propagation, deformation and disintegration. *Nonlinear Proc. Geophys.* **17** (6), 633–649.
- HELLIWELL, R.A. 1967 A theory of discrete VLF emissions from the magnetosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 72 (19), 4773–4790.
- HORNE, R.B., THORNE, R.M., SHPRITS, Y.Y., MEREDITH, N.P., GLAUERT, S.A., SMITH, A.J., KANEKAL, S.G., BAKER, D.N., ENGEBRETSON, M.J. & POSCH, J.L., et al. 2005 Wave acceleration of electrons in the Van Allen radiation belts. *Nature* 437, 227–230.
- JAYNES, A.N., BAKER, D.N., SINGER, H.J., RODRIGUEZ, J.V., LOTO'ANIU, T.M., ALI, A.F., ELKINGTON, S.R., LI, X., KANEKAL, S.G. & CLAUDEPIERRE, S.G., et al. 2015 Source and seed populations for relativistic electrons: their roles in radiation belt changes. J. Geophys. Res. 120 (9), 7240–7254.
- KADOMTSEV, B.B. 1965 Plasma Turbulence, New York: Academic Press.
- KAMCHATNOV, A.M., KUO, Y.-H., LIN, T.-C., HORNG, T.-L., GOU, S.-C., CLIFT, R., EL, G.A. & GRIMSHAW, R.H.J. 2012 Undular bore theory for the Gardner equation. *Phys. Rev. E* 86 (3), 036605.
- KARPMAN, V.I. & WASHIMI, H. 1977 Two-dimensional self-modulation of a whistler wave propagating along the magnetic field in a plasma. J. Plasma Phys. 18 (1), 173–187.
- KASSAM, A.-K. & TREFETHEN, L.N. 2005 Fourth-order time-stepping for stiff PDEs. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 26 (4), 1214–1233.
- KATOH, Y. & OMURA, Y. 2007 Computer simulation of chorus wave generation in the Earth's inner magnetosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34 (3), L03102.
- KENNEL, C.F. & ENGELMANN, F. 1966 Velocity space diffusion from weak plasma turbulence in a magnetic field. *Phys. Fluids* **9** (12), 2377–2388.
- KENNEL, C.F. & PETSCHEK, H.E. 1966 Limit on stably trapped particle fluxes. J. Geophys. Res. 71 (1), 1–28.
- KOONS, H.C. & ROEDER, J.L. 1990 A survey of equatorial magnetospheric wave activity between 5 and 8 RE. *Planet. Space Sci.* **38** (10), 1335–1341.
- KOSKINEN, H. & KILPUA, E. 2022 *Physics of Earth's Radiation Belts: Theory and Observations*. Astronomy and Astrophysics Library, vol. 1. Springer Nature Switzerland AG.

- KOURAKIS, I., SHUKLA, P.K. & MORFILL, G. 2005b Modulational instability and localized excitations involving two nonlinearly coupled upper-hybrid waves in plasmas. *New J. Phys.* 7 (1), 153.
- KOURAKIS, I., SHUKLA, P.K. & MORFILL, G.E. 2005a Dynamics of nonlinearly coupled magnetic-field-aligned electromagnetic electron-cyclotron waves near the zero-group-dispersion point in magnetized plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* 12 (8), 082303.
- KRAFFT, C. & VOLOKITIN, A.S. 2018 Whistler envelope solitons. I. Dynamics in inhomogeneous plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* 25 (10), 102301.
- LAMB, B.M. & MORALES, G.J. 1983 Ponderomotive effects in nonneutral plasmas. *Phys. Fluids* **26** (12), 3488–3496.
- LAUBEN, D.S., INAN, U.S., BELL, T.F. & GURNETT, D.A. 2002 Source characteristics of ELF/VLF chorus. J. Geophys. Res. 107 (A12), SMP-10.
- LEDOCQ, M.J., GURNETT, D.A. & HOSPODARSKY, G.B. 1998 Chorus source locations from VLF poynting flux measurements with the polar spacecraft. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25 (21), 4063–4066.
- LEJOSNE, S., ALLISON, H.J., BLUM, L.W., DROZDOV, A.Y., HARTINGER, M.D., HUDSON, M.K., JAYNES, A.N., OZEKE, L., ROUSSOS, E. & ZHAO, H. 2022 Differentiating between the leading processes for electron radiation belt acceleration. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* 9.
- LI, W., THORNE, R.M., NISHIMURA, Y., BORTNIK, J., ANGELOPOULOS, V., MCFADDEN, J.P., LARSON, D.E., BONNELL, J.W., LE CONTEL, O. & ROUX, A., et al. 2010 Themis analysis of observed equatorial electron distributions responsible for the chorus excitation. J. Geophys. Res. 115, A6.
- LI, W., THORNE, R.M., MA, Q., NI, B., BORTNIK, J., BAKER, D.N., SPENCE, H.E., REEVES, G.D., KANEKAL, S.G. & GREEN, J.G., et al. 2014 Radiation belt electron acceleration by chorus waves during the 17 march 2013 storm. J. Geophys. Res. 119 (6), 4681–4693.
- LI, W., BORTNIK, J., THORNE, R.M. & ANGELOPOULOS, V. 2011 Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using THEMIS wave observations. *J. Geophys. Res.* **116** (A12).
- LI, W. & HUDSON, M.K. 2019 Earth's Van Allen radiation belts: from discovery to the Van Allen probes era. *J. Geophys. Res.* **124** (11), 8319–8351.
- LI, W., THORNE, R.M., BORTNIK, J., SHPRITS, Y.Y., NISHIMURA, Y., ANGELOPOULOS, V., CHASTON, C., LE CONTEL, O. & BONNELL, J.W. 2011 Typical properties of rising and falling tone chorus waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, 14103.
- LI, W., THORNE, R.M., BORTNIK, J., TAO, X. & ANGELOPOULOS, V. 2012 Characteristics of hiss-like and discrete whistler-mode emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** (18), L18106.
- MANAKOV, S.V. 1974 On the theory of two-dimensional stationary self-focusing of electromagnetic waves. *J. Expl Theor. Phys.* **38** (2), 248–253.
- MA, Y.-C. 1979 The perturbed plane-wave solutions of the cubic Schrödinger equation. *Stud. Appl. Maths* **60** (1), 43–58.
- MEREDITH, N.P., HORNE, R.B., SHEN, X.-C., LI, W. & BORTNIK, J. 2020 Global model of whistler mode chorus in the near-equatorial region ($|\lambda_m| < 18^\circ$). *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47** (11), e2020GL087311.
- MJØLHUS, E. 1976 On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field. *J. Plasma Phys.* **16** (3), 321–334.
- MJØLHUS, E. & WYLLER, J. 1986 Alfvén solitons. Phys. Scr. 33 (5), 442.
- NEWELL, A.C. & RUMPF, B. 2011 Wave turbulence. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 43, 59-78.
- NICHOLSON, D.R. 1983 Introduction to Plasma Theory, vol. 582. Wiley.
- NUNN, D. 1974 A self-consistent theory of triggered VLF emissions. Planet. Space Sci. 22 (3), 349-378.
- NUNN, D. 1975 Comment on 'A feedback model of cyclotron interaction between whistler mode waves and energetic electrons in the magnetosphere' by R.A. Helliwell and T.L. Crystal. J. Geophys. Res. 80 (31), 4397.
- NUNN, D., OMURA, Y., MATSUMOTO, H., NAGANO, I. & YAGITANI, S. 1997 The numerical simulation of VLF chorus and discrete emissions observed on the Geotail satellite using a Vlasov code. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102** (A12), 27083–27097.
- OMURA, Y. 2021 Nonlinear wave growth theory of whistler-mode chorus and hiss emissions in the magnetosphere. *Earth Planet. Space* 73 (1), 95.

- OMURA, Y., KATOH, Y. & SUMMERS, D. 2008 Theory and simulation of the generation of whistler-mode chorus. J. Geophys. Res. 113 (A4), A04219.
- PALACIOS, S.L., GUINEA, A., FERNANDEZ-DIAZ, J.M. & CRESPO, R.D. 1999 Dark solitary waves in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with third order dispersion, self-steepening, and self-frequency shift. *Phys. Rev. E* 60 (1), R45.
- RIPOLL, J.-F., CLAUDEPIERRE, S.G., UKHORSKIY, A.Y., COLPITTS, C., LI, X., FENNELL, J.F. & CRABTREE, C. 2020 Particle dynamics in the earth's radiation belts: review of current research and open questions. J. Geophys. Res. 125 (5), e2019JA026735.
- SAGDEEV, R.Z. & GALEEV, A.A. 1969 Nonlinear Plasma Theory. W.A. Benjamin, Inc.
- SANTOLIK, O., MACUSOVA, E., TITOVA, E.E., KOZELOV, B.V., GURNETT, D.A., PICKETT, J.S., TRAKHTENGERTS, V.Y. & DEMEKHOV, A.G. 2008 Frequencies of wave packets of whistler-mode chorus inside its source region: a case study. In *Annales Geophysicae*, vol. 26, pp. 1665–1670. Copernicus GmbH.
- SANTOLÍK, O., GURNETT, D.A., PICKETT, J.S., PARROT, M. & CORNILLEAU-WEHRLIN, N. 2003 Spatio-temporal structure of storm-time chorus. J. Geophys. Res. 108 (A7), 1278.
- SANTOLÍK, O., KLETZING, C.A., KURTH, W.S., HOSPODARSKY, G.B. & BOUNDS, S.R. 2014 Fine structure of large-amplitude chorus wave packets. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41** (2), 293–299.
- SCHINDLER, K. 2007 Physics of Space Plasma Activity. Cambridge University Press.
- SHKLYAR, D. & MATSUMOTO, H. 2009 Oblique whistler-mode waves in the inhomogeneous magnetospheric plasma: resonant interactions with energetic charged particles. *Surv. Geophys.* 30 (2), 55–104.
- SHUMKO, M., TURNER, D.L., O'BRIEN, T.P., CLAUDEPIERRE, S.G., SAMPLE, J., HARTLEY, D.P., FENNEL, J., BLAKE, J.B., GKIOULIDOU, M. & MITCHELL, D.G. 2018 Evidence of microbursts observed near the equatorial plane in the outer Van Allen radiation belt. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 45 (16), 8044–8053.
- SLUNYAEV, A., PELINOVSKY, E., SERGEEVA, A., CHABCHOUB, A., HOFFMANN, N., ONORATO, M. & AKHMEDIEV, N. 2013 Super-rogue waves in simulations based on weakly nonlinear and fully nonlinear hydrodynamic equations. *Phys. Rev. E* 88 (1), 012909.
- STENFLO, L., YU, M.Y. & SHUKLA, P.K. 1986 Electromagnetic modulations of electron whistlers in plasmas. J. Plasma Phys. 36 (3), 447–452.
- STIX, T.H. 1992 Waves in Plasmas. American Inst. of Physics.
- SUDAN, R.N. & OTT, E. 1971 Theory of triggered VLF emissions. J. Geophys. Res. 76 (19), 4463-4476.
- SUMMERS, D. 2005 Quasi-linear diffusion coefficients for field-aligned electromagnetic waves with applications to the magnetosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 110 (A8), A08213.
- SUMMERS, D., THORNE, R.M. & XIAO, F. 1998 Relativistic theory of wave-particle resonant diffusion with application to electron acceleration in the magnetosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 103 (A9), 20487–20500.
- TAM, C.K.W. 1969 Amplitude dispersion and nonlinear instability of whistlers. *Phys. Fluids* 12 (5), 1028–1035.
- TAM, C.K.W. 1970 Non-linear dispersion of cold plasma waves. J. Plasma Phys. 4 (1), 109–125.
- TAO, X. 2014 A numerical study of chorus generation and the related variation of wave intensity using the dawn code. J. Geophys. Res. 119 (5), 3362–3372.
- TAO, X., ZONCA, F. & CHEN, L. 2021 A "Trap-release-amplify" model of Chorus waves. J. Geophys. Res. 126 (9), e29585.
- TAO, X., ZONCA, F., CHEN, L. & WU, Y. 2020 Theoretical and numerical studies of chorus waves: a review. Sci. China Earth Sci. 63 (1), 78–92.
- TAUBENSCHUSS, U., KHOTYAINTSEV, Y.V., SANTOLÍK, O., VAIVADS, A., CULLY, C.M., CONTEL, O.L. & ANGELOPOULOS, V. 2014 Wave normal angles of whistler mode chorus rising and falling tones. J. Geophys. Res. 119 (12), 9567–9578.
- TENG, S., TAO, X. & LI, W. 2019 Typical characteristics of whistler mode waves categorized by their spectral properties using Van Allen probes observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46 (7), 3607–3614.
- THORNE, R.M. 2010 Radiation belt dynamics: the importance of wave–particle interactions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37** (22), L22107.

- THORNE, R.M., NI, B., TAO, X., HORNE, R.B. & MEREDITH, N.P. 2010 Scattering by chorus waves as the dominant cause of diffuse auroral precipitation. *Nature* **467**, 943–946.
- TRACY, E.R., BRIZARD, A.J., RICHARDSON, A.S. & KAUFMAN, A.N. 2014 Ray Tracing and Beyond: *Phase Space Methods in Plasma Wave Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- TRAKHTENGERTS, V.Y. 1995 Magnetosphere cyclotron maser: backward wave oscillator generation regime. J. Geophys. Res. 100 (A9), 17205–17210.
- TREUMANN, R.A. & BAUMJOHANN, W. 1997 Advanced Space Plasma Physics, vol. 30. Imperial College Press.
- TREUMANN, R.A. & BAUMJOHANN, W. 2001 Advanced Space Plasma Physics. Imperial College Press.
- TRIKI, H., PORSEZIAN, K., CHOUDHURI, A. & DINDA, P.T. 2016 Chirped solitary pulses for a nonic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a continuous-wave background. *Phys. Rev.* A 93 (6), 063810.
- TRIKI, H., SUN, Y., BISWAS, A., ZHOU, Q., YILDIRIM, Y., ZHONG, Y. & ALSHEHRI, H.M. 2022 On the existence of chirped algebraic solitary waves in optical fibers governed by Kundu–Eckhaus equation. *Res. Phys.* 34, 105272.
- TSURUTANI, B.T. & LAKHINA, G.S. 1997 Some basic concepts of wave-particle interactions in collisionless plasmas. *Rev. Geophys.* **35** (4), 491–501.
- TSURUTANI, B.T., LAKHINA, G.S. & VERKHOGLYADOVA, O.P. 2013 Energetic electron (> 10 KeV) microburst precipitation, 5–15 s x-ray pulsations, chorus, and wave–particle interactions: a review. *J. Geophys. Res.* **118** (5), 2296–2312.
- TSURUTANI, B.T. & SMITH, E.J. 1974 Postmidnight chorus: a substorm phenomenon. J. Geophys. Res. **79** (1), 118–127.
- VOMVORIDIS, J.L., CRYSTAL, T.L. & DENAVIT, J. 1982 Theory and computer simulations of magnetospheric very low frequency emissions. J. Geophys. Res. 87 (A3), 1473–1489.
- WANG, D., SHPRITS, Y.Y., ZHELAVSKAYA, I.S., EFFENBERGER, F., CASTILLO, A.M., DROZDOV, A.Y., ASEEV, N.A. & CERVANTES, S. 2020 The effect of plasma boundaries on the dynamic evolution of relativistic radiation belt electrons. J. Geophys. Res. 125 (5), e2019JA027422.
- WHITHAM, G.B. 1970 Two-timing, variational principles and waves. J. Fluid Mech. 44 (2), 373–395.
- WHITHAM, G.B. 2011 Linear and Nonlinear Waves. John Wiley & Sons.
- WOODFIELD, E.E., GLAUERT, S.A., MENIETTI, J.D., AVERKAMP, T.F., HORNE, R.B. & SHPRITS, Y.Y. 2019 Rapid electron acceleration in low-density regions of Saturn's radiation belt by whistler mode chorus waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46 (13), 7191–7198.
- WU, Y., TAO, X., ZONCA, F., CHEN, L. & WANG, S. 2020 Controlling the chirping of chorus waves via magnetic field inhomogeneity. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47 (10), e2020GL087791.
- ZHANG, X.-J., ARTEMYEV, A., ANGELOPOULOS, V., TSAI, E., WILKINS, C., KASAHARA, S., MOURENAS, D., YOKOTA, S., KEIKA, K. & HORI, T., *et al.* 2022 Superfast precipitation of energetic electrons in the radiation belts of the Earth. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 1611.
- ZHANG, X.-J., MOURENAS, D., ARTEMYEV, A.V., ANGELOPOULOS, V., BORTNIK, J., THORNE, R.M., KURTH, W.S., KLETZING, C.A. & HOSPODARSKY, G.B. 2019 Nonlinear electron interaction with intense chorus waves: statistics of occurrence rates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 46 (13), 7182–7190.
- ZONCA, F., TAO, X. & CHEN, L. 2021 Nonlinear dynamics and phase space transport by chorus emission. *Rev. Mod. Plasma Phys.* **5** (1), 8.
- ZONCA, F., TAO, X. & CHEN, L. 2022 A theoretical framework of chorus wave excitation. J. Geophys. Res. 127 (2), e2021JA029760.