

## Three Warm Jupiters around Solar-analog Stars Detected with TESS\*

Eberhardt, Jan; Hobson, Melissa J.; Henning, Thomas; Trifonov, Trifon; Brahm, Rafael; Espinoza, Nestor; Jordán, Andrés; Thorngren, Daniel; Burn, Remo; Rojas, Felipe I.; Sarkis, Paula; Schlecker, Martin; Pinto, Marcelo Tala; Barkaoui, Khalid; Schwarz, Richard P.; Suarez, Olga; Guillot, Tristan; Triaud, Amaury H. M. J.; Günther, Maximilian N.; Abe, Lyu

DOI:

[10.3847/1538-3881/ad06bc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ad06bc)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*

Eberhardt, J, Hobson, MJ, Henning, T, Trifonov, T, Brahm, R, Espinoza, N, Jordán, A, Thorngren, D, Burn, R, Rojas, FI, Sarkis, P, Schlecker, M, Pinto, MT, Barkaoui, K, Schwarz, RP, Suarez, O, Guillot, T, Triaud, AHMJ, Günther, MN, Abe, L, Boyle, G, Leiva, R, Suc, V, Evans, P, Dunckel, N, Ziegler, C, Falk, B, Fong, W, Rudat, A, Shporer, A, Striegel, S, Watanabe, D, Jenkins, JM, Seager, S & Winn, JN 2023, 'Three Warm Jupiters around Solar-analog Stars Detected with TESS\*', *The Astronomical Journal*, vol. 166, no. 6, 271.  
<https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ad06bc>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



# Three Warm Jupiters around Solar-analog Stars Detected with TESS\*

Jan Eberhardt<sup>1</sup> , Melissa J. Hobson<sup>1,2</sup> , Thomas Henning<sup>1</sup> , Trifon Trifonov<sup>1,3,4</sup> , Rafael Brahm<sup>2,5</sup> , Nestor Espinoza<sup>6</sup> , Andrés Jordán<sup>2,5</sup> , Daniel Thorngren<sup>7</sup> , Remo Burn<sup>1</sup> , Felipe I. Rojas<sup>2,8</sup> , Paula Sarkis<sup>1</sup> , Martin Schlecker<sup>1,9</sup> , Marcelo Tala Pinto<sup>5,10</sup> , Khalid Barkaoui<sup>11,12,13</sup> , Richard P. Schwarz<sup>14</sup> , Olga Suarez<sup>15</sup> , Tristan Guillot<sup>15</sup> , Amaury H. M. J. Triaud<sup>16</sup> , Maximilian N. Günther<sup>17</sup> , Lyu Abe<sup>15</sup> , Gavin Boyle<sup>18,19</sup> , Rodrigo Leiva<sup>2,20</sup> , Vincent Suc<sup>5,18</sup> , Phil Evans<sup>18</sup> , Nick Dunckel<sup>18</sup> , Carl Ziegler<sup>21</sup> , Ben Falk<sup>6</sup> , William Fong<sup>22</sup> , Alexander Rudat<sup>22</sup> , Avi Shporer<sup>22</sup> , Stephanie Striegel<sup>23</sup> , David Watanabe<sup>24</sup> , Jon M. Jenkins<sup>25</sup> , Sara Seager<sup>12,22,26</sup> , and Joshua N. Winn<sup>27</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany; [eberhardt@mpia.de](mailto:eberhardt@mpia.de)

<sup>2</sup>Millennium Institute for Astrophysics, Chile

<sup>3</sup>Department of Astronomy, Sofia University “St Kliment Ohridski”, 5 James Bourchier Boulevard, BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>4</sup>Landessternwarte, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl 12, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Diagonal las Torres 2640, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile

<sup>6</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>8</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

<sup>9</sup>Department of Astronomy/Steward Observatory, The University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

<sup>10</sup>Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Santiago, Chile

<sup>11</sup>Astrobiology Research Unit, Université de Liège, Allée du 6 Août 19C, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

<sup>12</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>13</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>14</sup>Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>15</sup>Université Côte d’Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d’Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Bd de l’Observatoire, CS 34229, F-06904 Nice cedex 4, France

<sup>16</sup>School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

<sup>17</sup>European Space Agency (ESA), European Space Research and Technology Centre (ESTEC), Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands

<sup>18</sup>El Sauce Observatory, Coquimbo Province, Chile

<sup>19</sup>Cavendish Laboratory, J J Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

<sup>20</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain

<sup>21</sup>Department of Physics, Engineering and Astronomy, Stephen F. Austin State University, 1936 North Street, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA

<sup>22</sup>Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>23</sup>SETI Institute, Mountain View CA 94043 USA/NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

<sup>24</sup>Planetary Discoveries, Valencia, CA, USA

<sup>25</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA

<sup>26</sup>Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

<sup>27</sup>Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, NJ 08544, USA

Received 2023 June 15; revised 2023 October 7; accepted 2023 October 13; published 2023 November 29

## Abstract

We report the discovery and characterization of three giant exoplanets orbiting solar-analog stars, detected by the TESS space mission and confirmed through ground-based photometry and radial velocity measurements taken at La Silla observatory with FEROS. TOI-2373 b is a warm Jupiter orbiting its host star every  $\sim 13.3$  days, and is one of the most massive known exoplanet with a precisely determined mass and radius around a star similar to the Sun, with an estimated mass of  $m_p = 9.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2} M_{\text{jup}}$  and a radius of  $r_p = 0.93^{+0.2}_{-0.2} R_{\text{jup}}$ . With a mean density of  $\rho = 14.4^{+0.9}_{-1.0} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , TOI-2373 b is among the densest planets discovered so far. TOI-2416 b orbits its host star on a moderately eccentric orbit with a period of  $\sim 8.3$  days and an eccentricity of  $e = 0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ . TOI-2416 b is more massive than Jupiter with  $m_p = 3.0^{+0.10}_{-0.09} M_{\text{jup}}$ , however is significantly smaller with a radius of  $r_p = 0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02} R_{\text{jup}}$ , leading to a high mean density of  $\rho = 5.4^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . TOI-2524 b is a warm Jupiter near the hot Jupiter transition region, orbiting its star every  $\sim 7.2$  days on a circular orbit. It is less massive than Jupiter with a mass of  $m_p = 0.64^{+0.04}_{-0.04} M_{\text{jup}}$ , and is consistent with an inflated radius of  $r_p = 1.00^{+0.02}_{-0.03} R_{\text{jup}}$ , leading to a low mean density of  $\rho = 0.79^{+0.08}_{-0.08} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . The newly discovered exoplanets TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b have estimated equilibrium temperatures of  $860^{+10}_{-10} \text{ K}$ ,  $1080^{+10}_{-10} \text{ K}$ , and  $1100^{+20}_{-20} \text{ K}$ , respectively, placing them in the sparsely populated transition zone between hot and warm Jupiters.

*Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts:* [Radial velocity \(1332\)](#); [Exoplanet detection methods \(489\)](#); [Exoplanet systems \(484\)](#)

*Supporting material:* machine-readable table

## 1. Introduction

The discovery of an exoplanet around a solar-type star (Mayor & Queloz 1995), was one of the great achievements of modern astronomy. To date, the field of exoplanetary science has rapidly progressed, leading to the detection of over 5400 exoplanets,<sup>28</sup>

\* Based on observations collected at the European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under MPG programmes 0104.A-9007(A) and 0106.A-9014(A).

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](#). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

<sup>28</sup> <http://exoplanet.eu>

discovered with various astronomical techniques, such as radial velocity (RV) measurements, transit photometry, and direct imaging. The continued study of exoplanets has provided valuable insights into the diversity and frequency of planetary systems in the Galaxy. With these systems showing a great diversity in physical and orbital characteristics, their study is fundamental for understanding planet formation and evolution. Especially interesting for testing theories of planet formation is the detection and characterization of hot and warm Jupiter-mass planets (see, e.g., Dawson & Johnson 2018; Emsenhuber et al. 2021a). Such massive planets in short-period orbits are easier to detect with the transit and RV methods than their long-period counterparts, which allow us to study their properties in depth. The orbits of hot Jupiters however, are influenced by tidal interactions with their host stars, leading to circularization on short timescales, erasing important information about their formation history. On the other hand, warm Jupiter planets are located at greater distances from their host stars, and are much more likely to maintain their postformation and migration orbital eccentricity, since the tidal interactions are weaker. Therefore, warm Jupiters provide an opportunity to study the past interaction with the protoplanetary disk during planet formation and migration.

With the Warm gLANts with tEss (WINE) survey,<sup>29</sup> we aim for the confirmation and characterization of warm gas giants first identified in photometric data obtained from the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2014). This survey uses various spectroscopic facilities to provide Doppler validation for TESS planet candidates. The WINE follow-up survey has been highly successful to date, having detected and characterized many giant planets (see, e.g., Brahm et al. 2019, 2020; Kossakowski et al. 2019; Espinoza et al. 2020; Jordán et al. 2020; Schlecker et al. 2020; Trifonov et al. 2021; Hobson et al. 2021), including a highly eccentric warm Jupiter (Schlecker et al. 2020) and multiple planet systems consistent with a pair of two warm Jupiters near mean motion resonance (Trifonov et al. 2021; Bozhilov et al. 2023; Trifonov et al. 2023).

This work reports the discovery of three single, warm giant exoplanets as part of the WINE survey. The exoplanet companions are designated as TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b, and are found in the transition phase between hot and warm Jupiters and orbit around stars similar to the Sun. Adopting the definitions of Lehmann et al. (2022) for solar analogs<sup>30</sup> and solar twins,<sup>31</sup> a search in the TEPICAT catalog (Southworth 2011) reveals 276 exoplanets with known masses and radii orbiting solar analogs, of which only 25 orbit solar twins. Therefore, the newly discovered TESS planets provide valuable insights into the formation and evolution of planets around G dwarf stars similar to the Sun.

In Section 2, we present the photometric and spectroscopic observational data used for our analysis. Section 3 describes the derivation of the stellar parameters from Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph (FEROS) spectra, as well our global analyses based on TESS photometry and FEROS precise Doppler measurements. Our results are discussed in Section 4,

and finally, we provide a summary and conclusions of our work in Section 5.

## 2. Observations

### 2.1. TESS Photometry

During the first year of the TESS mission, the targets TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524 were observed in the 30 minute cadence mode in the following Sectors: 2, 3, 4, and 8 (TOI-2416); 5 (TOI-2373); and 9 (TOI-2524). These three systems were identified as candidates based on the analysis of the *tesseract*<sup>32</sup> (F. I. Rojas et al. 2023, in preparation.) generated light curves, where we automatically search for individual transit-like features produced by giant planets (e.g. Schlecker et al. 2020). In the extended TESS mission these targets were observed with a 2 minute cadence in the following Sectors: 28, 29, 30, and 38 (TOI-2416); 31 (TOI-2373, TOI-2416); and 35, 45, and 46 (TOI-2524). The Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC; Jenkins et al. 2016) at NASA Ames Research Center processed the 2 minute data for each of these targets to calibrate pixels, provide photometry, and conducted single and multisector transiting planet searches using an adaptive, noise-compensating matched filter (Jenkins 2002; Jenkins et al. 2010, 2020). The SPOC detected the transit signatures of TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524 on 2020 December 11, 2020 September 6, and 2021 December 9, respectively. An initial limb-darkened transit model was fitted (Li et al. 2019) for the transit signatures, and a suite of diagnostic tests were conducted to help make or break the planetary nature of the signal (Twicken et al. 2018). The transit signatures all passed the diagnostic tests presented in the Data Validation reports. According to the difference image centroiding tests, the host star is located within  $0.74(264)''$  of the transit signal source for TOI-2373,  $0.51(258)''$  of the transit signal source for TOI-2416, and  $0.898(2570)''$  of the transit signal source for TOI-2524. The SPOC failed to find additional transiting planet signatures in each case. The transit signatures for all three targets were also detected in searches of Full Frame Image (FFI) data by the Quick Look Pipeline (QLP) at MIT (Huang et al. 2020a, 2020b) as community-provided TESS Objects of Interest (TOIs). The TESS Science Office (TSO) reviewed the vetting information and issued an alert on 2020 November 11 for TOI-2416 based on the SPOC detection. TOI-2373 and TOI-2524 were alerted as CTOIs by the WINE collaboration on 2020 October 23 and 2021 March 2, respectively.

We obtained the light curves for the 2 minute cadence data by querying the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST).<sup>33</sup> We use the data calculated by the SPOC (Jenkins et al. 2016) at NASA Ames Research Center, which provides Simple Aperture Photometry (SAP) and systematics-corrected Presearch Data Conditioning (PDC; Smith et al. 2012; Stumpe et al. 2012, 2014) photometry.

To account for possible contamination from other sources, we studied the Target Pixel Files (TPFs), generated with *tpfplotter* (Aller et al. 2020), adopting a standard magnitude limit of  $\Delta m = 6$ . Figure 1 shows the TPF plots for the sectors in which the first transits of TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524 were detected. The TPF plots show the


<sup>29</sup> <https://sites.google.com/view/wine-exoplanets/home>

<sup>30</sup>  $4.04 \text{ cm s}^{-2} < \log g < 4.84 \text{ cm s}^{-2}$ ,  $-0.3 < [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0.3$ ,  $5472 \text{ K} < T_{\text{eff}} < 6072 \text{ K}$ .

<sup>31</sup>  $4.24 \text{ cm s}^{-2} < \log g < 4.64 \text{ cm s}^{-2}$ ,  $-0.1 < [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0.1$ ,  $5672 \text{ K} < T_{\text{eff}} < 5872 \text{ K}$ .

<sup>32</sup> <https://github.com/astrofelipe/tesseract>

<sup>33</sup> <https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html>



**Figure 1.** TESS TPFs of TOI-2373 (TIC 332558858; left), TOI-2416 (TIC 237922465; middle), and TOI-2524 (TIC 169249234; right), created using `tpfplotter`. Orange overlays show the apertures used to determine the flux (a white overlay indicates an automatically detected threshold aperture). Gaia DR2 catalog objects are shown as filled red circles sized according to their brightness relative to the target (marked with a white cross).

field around the target observed by TESS, overplotted with an aperture grid, showing the pixels used to determine the flux, as well as nearby Gaia DR2 sources. The remaining TPF plots for the three targets can be inspected in Figures A1, A2, and A3. Within the aperture of TOI-2373 we found one other source (TIC 686510210), while the apertures of TOI-2416 and TOI-2524 each contained two additional sources (TIC 237922464 and TIC 650468769, and TIC 169249237 and TIC 169249240, respectively). We determined dilution factors for each 30 minute cadence TESS sector according to Espinoza et al. (2019). The 2 minute PDCSAP cadence data from MAST had already been corrected for contamination from nearby stars and instrumental systematics, thus no dilution correction was applied by our team.

## 2.2. Ground-based Photometry

Due to the TESS cameras' relatively large pixel scale of  $21'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , nearby companions can contaminate the photometry. To confirm that the observed TESS signals were associated with the correct host stars, we used various ground-based facilities to observe TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524. The facilities are described in the following subsections.

### 2.2.1. ASTEP

Antarctica Search for Transiting Exoplanets (ASTEP; Guillot et al. 2015; Mékarnia et al. 2016; Dransfield et al. 2022) is a 40 cm telescope located on the East Antarctic Plateau. It features a FLI Proline 16800E  $4k \times 4k$  CCD camera with a  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  field of view and a pixel scale of  $0''.93 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ . ASTEP observed a full transit of TOI-2416 b on 2021 June 28, which was not observed by TESS.

### 2.2.2. LCOGT

Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network (LCOGT; Brown et al. 2013) is a worldwide network of 1 m telescopes, equipped with  $4096 \times 4096$  SINISTRO cameras. The cameras have a pixel scale of  $0''.389 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , resulting in a  $26' \times 26'$  field of view. A full transit of TOI-2373 b on 2021 November 10 was observed by the telescopes located at South Africa Astronomical Observatory (SAAO), Teide and Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO), with SAAO having observed the ingress, CTIO the egress, and Teide the full transit. CTIO already observed a partial transit on 2021 September 3. All LCO science images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline (McCully et al. 2018), and

photometric measurements were extracted using `AstroImageJ` (Collins et al. 2017).

### 2.2.3. Evans 0.36 m Telescope at El Sauce

El Sauce is a private observatory located in the Rio Hurtado province in Chile. TOI-2524 was observed with the Evans 0.36 m telescope on 2021 March 31, obtaining a full transit light curve with the  $R_c$  filter. The telescope is equipped with an STT 1603-3 CCD camera with  $1536 \times 1024$  pixels with an image scale of  $1''.47 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$  when binned  $2 \times 2$ . The data were processed with the `AstroImageJ` package (Collins et al. 2017).


TOI-2416 was observed with the CDK24ND telescope on 2020 October 23, obtaining an egress. The CDK24ND system consists of a PlaneWave 0.61 m CDK (Corrected Dall-Kirkham) on a PlaneWave L-600 Direct-Drive mount using a Finger Lakes Instrumentation ProLine PL16803 CCD camera with no filter.

## 2.3. Observatoire Moana

Observatoire Moana (OM) is a global network of robotic telescopes. The station located at El Sauce Observatory (OM-ES), which consists of a 0.6 m CDK telescope coupled to an Andor iKon-L 936 deep depletion  $2k \times 2k$  CCD with a pixel scale of  $0''.67 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , was used on 2022 March 10 to obtain an egress for TOI-2524 using the Sloan  $r'$  filter. The adopted exposure time was of 33 s. This same station was used on 2021 November 9 to obtain an egress of TOI-2373 using the  $r'$  filter and exposure times of 50 s. The OM station located in SSO (OM-SSO), which consists of a 0.5 m RCOS Ritchey Chretien telescope coupled to an FLI ML16803  $4k \times 4k$  CCD with a pixel scale of  $0''.47 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$  operating with  $2 \times 2$  binning, was used to obtain an ingress for TOI-2416 on 2021 October 30. The adopted exposure time was of 49 s and an Astrodon Exoplanet (Clear Blue Blocking) filter was used. Data for both OM stations were processed with a dedicated pipeline that performs the CCD reduction steps along with the computation of the aperture photometry for all stars in the field of view and the generation of the final light curve by selecting the optimal comparison stars.

## 2.4. High-resolution Imaging

TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524 have all been observed as part of the SOAR TESS survey (Ziegler et al. 2019), in which high-resolution images of TESS planet candidate host



**Figure 2.** Speckle observations from HRCam at SOAR. The black points and solid curves represent the  $5\sigma$  contrast curves. The insets show the speckle ACFs.

stars have been acquired using speckle imaging with the high-resolution camera (HRCamera), mounted to the 4.1 m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope, located at Cerro Pachón, Chile (Tokovinin 2018). These high-resolution images aided in the identification and rejection of false-positive TESS candidates.

TOI-2373 and TOI-2416 were observed on 2020 December 3, while TOI-2524 was observed on 2022 April 15. For all three targets, no nearby sources were identified within  $3''$ . The contrast curves and auto-correlation functions (ACFs) are shown in Figure 2.

### 2.5. FEROS Spectroscopy

FEROS (Kaufer et al. 1999) is an echelle spectrograph mounted on the 2.2 m MPG/ESO<sup>34</sup> telescope at ESO’s La Silla Observatory, Chile. FEROS is a highly efficient spectrograph with a resolving power of  $R = 48,000$ , covering the visible wavelength range from  $\sim 350$  to  $\sim 920$  nm. FEROS’s achievable RV precision is approximately  $10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , which makes it an ideal instrument for confirming the planetary nature of massive exoplanets discovered by TESS in the Southern Hemisphere. During the time from 2020 February to 2021 March, we obtained 16 FEROS spectra for TOI-2373, 17 for TOI-2416, and eight for TOI-2524. From these spectra we extracted RV measurements and the stellar activity indicators bisector span (BIS),  $H\alpha$ , He I,  $\log R_{HK}$ , and Na II using the Collection of Elemental Routines for Echelle Spectra (CERES) pipeline (Brahm et al. 2017a). The FEROS RVs and stellar activity measurements for our targets are listed in Table A1.

## 3. Analysis and Results

### 3.1. Stellar Parameters

The stellar parameters for the three studied targets have been estimated using a similar method to previous discoveries from the WINE survey (e.g., Brahm et al. 2019; Schlecker et al. 2020; Trifonov et al. 2021; Hobson et al. 2021). We computed the atmospheric parameters of the three targets from the coadded FEROS spectra by using the *zaspe* package (Brahm et al. 2017b), which provides the effective temperature  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , surface gravity  $\log g$ , metallicity  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ , and the projected rotational velocity  $v \sin i$ . *zaspe* determines these by comparing the coadded spectra with a grid of synthetic spectra that were generated from the ATLAS9 model atmospheres

<sup>34</sup> MPG=Max-Planck-Gesellschaft=Max Planck Society; ESO=European Southern Observatory).

**Table 1**

Stellar Parameters for the Three Targets Discussed in This Work along with Their  $1\sigma$  Uncertainties and Floor Uncertainties According to Tayar et al. (2022) in Parentheses


Parameter	TOI-2373	TOI-2416	TOI-2524
$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)	$5651 \pm 80$ (113)	$5808 \pm 80$ (116)	$5831 \pm 80$ (116)
$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ (dex)	$0.3 \pm 0.05$	$0.32 \pm 0.05$	$0.06 \pm 0.05$
Distance (pc)	$496 \pm 10$	$542 \pm 8$	$429 \pm 11$
Age (Gyr)	$5.9^{+1.7}_{-1.7}$ (1.2)	$4.9^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ (1.0)	$6.7^{+1.7}_{-1.6}$ (1.3)
$v \sin i$ ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )	$2.7 \pm 0.5$	$2.4 \pm 0.5$	$2.2 \pm 0.5$
Mass ( $M_{\odot}$ )	$1.041^{+0.032}_{-0.028}$	$1.118^{+0.029}_{-0.027}$	$1.007^{+0.032}_{-0.029}$
	(0.052)	(0.056)	(0.050)
$\log g$ ( $\text{cm s}^{-2}$ )	$4.371^{+0.024}_{-0.023}$	$4.303^{+0.019}_{-0.020}$	$4.344^{+0.026}_{-0.025}$
Radius ( $R_{\odot}$ )	$1.102^{+0.019}_{-0.019}$	$1.236^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$	$1.119^{+0.024}_{-0.023}$
	(0.044)	(0.049)	(0.045)
Luminosity ( $L_{\odot}$ )	$1.125^{+0.058}_{-0.046}$	$1.574^{+0.077}_{-0.061}$	$1.307^{+0.079}_{-0.065}$
	(0.023)	(0.031)	(0.026)
$\rho$ ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	$1.096^{+0.083}_{-0.073}$	$0.835^{+0.049}_{-0.048}$	$1.013^{+0.083}_{-0.075}$
$A_v$ (mag)	$0.097^{+0.075}_{-0.062}$	$0.097^{+0.070}_{-0.059}$	$0.130^{+0.089}_{-0.077}$

(Castelli & Kurucz 2003). The parameters of the best-fit model atmosphere are adopted as the parameters of the observed star.


To determine the physical parameters of the stars, we followed the procedure described in Brahm et al. (2019). We used the Gaia DR2 parallaxes (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018) to convert broadband photometric measurements from publicly available catalogs into absolute magnitudes and compared them to synthetic magnitudes from the PARSEC stellar evolutionary models (Bressan et al. 2012). For the employed stellar models, we fixed the stellar metallicities to those we found with *zaspe*, while using the effective temperature from *zaspe* as a prior. We determined the age, mass, luminosity, density, and extinction using the *emcee* package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to sample the posterior distribution. We further obtained more precise values for  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$  compared to the values obtained from *zaspe*. Table 1 lists the atmospheric and physical properties of TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, alongside their  $1\sigma$  uncertainties.

### 3.2. Period Search Analysis

We detrended the TESS transit light curves using the *wotan* package (Hippke et al. 2019). We chose a Gaussian Processes model with a Matern 3/2 kernel of size 5 days, while also taking dilution factors for each individual 30 minute TESS sector into account. After detrending the TESS light curves (see Sections 3.3.1–3.3.3), we performed a period search using the




**Figure 3.** TLS analysis of TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524. The top panels show the TLS results of the raw light curves, the bottom panels show the TLS results after subtracting a photometric transit model. The dashed blue vertical lines show the transit signal periods. Dashed horizontal lines correspond to false-alarm probability (FAP) levels of 10%, 1%, and 0.1%.



**Figure 4.** Maximum likelihood periodogram analysis of TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524. The top two panels show the periodograms of the RV data, before and after subtracting a Keplerian model, and the following panels show the periodograms of stellar activity indicators and the RV window function. Shown as dashed blue vertical lines are the transit signal periods. Dashed horizontal lines correspond to FAP levels of 10%, 1%, and 0.1%.

transitleastsquares package (TLS; Hippke & Heller 2019). The top panel of Figure 3 shows the results from the TLS analysis of the raw light curves after detrending, whereas the bottom panel shows the TLS analysis of the residuals after fitting of a best-fit transit model applied to the light curves. Figure 3 indicates we detected very significant TLS signals for the three targets at periods of  $\sim 13.3$  days,  $\sim 8.3$  days, and  $\sim 7.2$  days indicated by dashed blue lines. No further significant signals were detected in the residuals from our best-fit transit model, which indicates only one transiting planet in each target could be detected with TESS.

We employed maximum likelihood periodograms (MLPs; Baluev 2009; Zechmeister et al. 2019) to inspect the FEROS RV and stellar activity measurements for significant periodic signals. Figure 4 shows the results for the available RV and activity data. The top two panels of Figure 4 show the power spectra for the RVs and the RV residuals from a one-planet Keplerian fit, respectively. The following panels show the periodograms of the stellar activity data, and the window function of the FEROS RVs in the bottom panel. The orbital periods of the planet candidates are indicated as dashed blue lines. We determined the maximum possible rotational periods



**Figure 5.** Phase plots for TOI-2373 b. Top left: phase-folded light curves for all TESS sectors. Faint points correspond to the unbinned data, the strong points to binned data. Top right: phase-folded light curves of the photometry from the ground-based facilities. Individual data sets are offset for better visibility. LCO CTIO observed two different transits and thus appears twice. Bottom: phase-folded RVs.

**Table 2**

Median Values of The Relevant Physical and Orbital Parameters for TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b Alongside Their  $1\sigma$  Uncertainties

Parameter	TOI-2373 b	TOI-2416 b	TOI-2524 b
$P$ (d)	$13.33668_{-0.00001}^{+0.00001}$	$8.275479_{-0.000009}^{+0.000009}$	$7.18585_{-0.00001}^{+0.00001}$
$e$	$0.112_{-0.009}^{+0.007}$	$0.32_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	0 (fixed)
$i$ (degrees)	$89.2_{-0.2}^{+0.6}$	$90.0_{-0.6}^{+0.6}$	$89.4_{-0.4}^{+0.4}$
$m$ ( $M_{\text{jup}}$ )	$9.3_{-0.2}^{+0.2}$	$3.00_{-0.09}^{+0.10}$	$0.64_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$
$r$ ( $R_{\text{jup}}$ )	$0.93_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$0.88_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$1.00_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$
$a$ (au)	$0.112_{-0.001}^{+0.001}$	$0.0831_{-0.0007}^{+0.0007}$	$0.0730_{-0.0007}^{+0.0007}$
$\rho$ ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )	$14.4_{-1.0}^{+0.9}$	$5.4_{-0.3}^{+0.3}$	$0.79_{-0.08}^{+0.08}$
$T_{\text{eq}}$ (K)	$860_{-10}^{+10}$	$1080_{-10}^{+10}$	$1100_{-20}^{+20}$


of the host stars  $P_{\text{rot}}/\sin i = 2\pi R_{\star}/v \sin i$  and their  $1\sigma$  uncertainties by using the stellar radii and projected rotational velocities from Table 1. Using our determined orbital inclinations of the systems, and assuming the orbits and stellar spins are aligned, we removed the dependency on the inclination and found rotational periods of  $P_{\text{rot}} = 20.63_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$  days,  $26.04_{-0.06}^{+0.01}$  days, and  $25.71_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$  days for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, respectively. The orbital periods of all three planet candidates reside outside the uncertainties of their host stars’ rotational periods.

The MLPs of the RVs reveal significant signals at periods fully consistent with the results from the TLS, i.e., at  $\sim 13.3$  days,  $\sim 8.3$  days, and  $\sim 7.2$  days for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, respectively. Our analysis showed no counterparts to the RV signals in the stellar activity periodograms. While this is not necessarily excluding a stellar origin of the signals, the lack of stellar activity and the strong RV signals in phase with the detected transits convinces us that the signals are of planetary nature.

### 3.3. Global Modeling

For the combined analyses of the RV and photometry data for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, we used the Exo-Striker<sup>35</sup> (Trifonov 2019) exoplanet toolbox. Exo-Striker employs the batman package (Kreidberg 2015) for light-curve transit models, and the formalism in Lee & Peale (2003) for RV models. For posterior analysis, the Exo-Striker uses the nested sampling (NS) algorithm (Skilling 2004) in conjunction with the dynesty package (Speagle 2020). The NS setup in this work is similar to that adopted in Trifonov et al. (2021). We run 100 “live-points” per parameter using a “dynamic” NS scheme. The priors for the parameters were

<sup>35</sup> <https://github.com/3fon3fonov/exostriker>



**Figure 6.** Same as Figure 5, but for TOI-2416 b.

estimated from consecutive NS runs, starting from parameters derived from TLS and MLP runs.

In the NS scheme, the orbital elements, transit, and RV data parameters, and transit light-curve parameters were modeled simultaneously. The fitted parameters are namely the RV semiamplitude  $K$ , the orbital period  $P$ , the eccentricity  $e$ , the argument of periastron  $\omega$ , the orbital inclination  $i$ , the time of midtransit  $t_0$ , and the relative semimajor axis and planetary radius  $a/R_*$  and  $r/R_*$ . As well, we adopt quadratic limb-darkening coefficients  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  for the light-curve data. Additional parameters in our NS modeling are the transit and RV data offsets, and jitter parameters. The latter are added in quadrature to the instrumental data uncertainties to account for the unknown variance of the data, while we optimize the maximum  $-\ln \mathcal{L}$  function of our combined model. From the resulting posterior probability distribution, we derive posteriors of the mass  $m_p$ , semimajor axis  $a_p$ , radius  $r_p$ , mean density  $\rho_p$ , and equilibrium temperature  $T_{\text{eq}}$  for each planet.

For each target, we tested two competing models, a circular fit (i.e.,  $e = 0$ , while  $\omega$  is undefined and forced to  $0^\circ$ ) and a more complex, full Keplerian fit allowing eccentric orbits. We compared the competing models based on their Bayesian log-evidence  $\ln \mathcal{Z}$  from the results of the NS fitting, computing  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$  as  $\ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ecc}} - \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{circ}}$ . This model comparison was based on Trotta (2008). Two models are not considered distinguishable if their Bayesian log-evidence difference satisfies

$\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} \lesssim 2$ . For  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} > 2$ , a model is moderately favored over another, while a difference of  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} > 5$  indicates a strongly favored model. Below, we introduce each target analysis results individually, whereas Table 2 summarizes the relevant physical and orbital parameter estimates for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524. The complete list of posterior estimates and  $\ln \mathcal{Z}$  parameters are given in Tables A2–A4.

### 3.3.1. TOI-2373

Our model comparison resulted in a difference of  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} = 16.71$ , thus favoring the eccentric model strongly against the circular model. Our final estimates show that TOI-2373 b is a warm Jupiter with a mass of  $m_p = 9.3_{-0.2}^{+0.2} M_{\text{Jup}}$ , a radius of  $r_p = 0.93_{-0.2}^{+0.2} R_{\text{Jup}}$ , an orbital eccentricity of  $e = 0.112_{-0.009}^{+0.007}$ , and a bulk density of  $\rho_p = 14.4_{-1.0}^{+0.92} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . It orbits its host star with an orbital period of  $P = 13.34$  days corresponding to a distance of  $a_p = 0.11$  au. The planetary equilibrium temperature is  $T_{\text{eq}} = 860_{-10}^{+10}$  K.

Figure A4 shows a time series of the photometric and RV data, along with the residuals underneath. The top panel shows the TESS light curves for Sectors 5 and 31, together with the transit model shown as a solid gray line. In both sectors, two transits are detected. The bottom panel shows the FEROS RV measurements and the Keplerian model as a gray line. Figure 5 shows the TESS photometry (top left), ground-based



photometry (top right), and FEROS RV measurements (bottom) phase folded with the orbital period of 13.3 days.

### 3.3.2. TOI-2416

As a result of our Bayesian log-evidence-based comparison, the eccentric model is very strongly favored against the circular model ( $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} = 27.84$ ). TOI-2416 b is a warm Jupiter with a mass of  $m_p = 3.00^{+0.10}_{-0.09} M_{\text{jup}}$ , a radius of  $r_p = 0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02} R_{\text{jup}}$ , an orbital eccentricity of  $e = 0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ , and a bulk density of  $\rho_p = 5.4^{+0.3}_{-0.3} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . It orbits its host star at a distance of  $a_p = 0.08$  au, corresponding to an orbital period of  $P = 8.28$  days. Its equilibrium temperature is  $T_{\text{eq}} = 1080^{+10}_{-10}$  K.

Figure A5 shows a time series of the photometric and RV data along with the residuals underneath. The top panel shows the TESS light curves for Sectors 2, 3, 4, 8, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 38 together with the transit model shown as solid gray line. In all sectors, two to three transits can be observed. The bottom panel shows the FEROS RV measurements and the Keplerian model as a gray line. Figure 6 shows the TESS photometry (top left), ground-based photometry (top right), and FEROS RV measurements (bottom) phase folded with the orbital period of 8.3 days.

### 3.3.3. TOI-2524

Considering a difference of  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z} = -2.23$ , the circular model is moderately favored against the eccentric model. Therefore, we chose to adopt the simpler circular model as our final result. TOI-2524 b is a warm giant with a mass of  $m_p = 0.64^{+0.04}_{-0.04} M_{\text{jup}}$ , a radius of  $r_p = 1.00^{+0.02}_{-0.03} R_{\text{jup}}$ , and a bulk density of  $\rho_p = 0.79^{+0.08}_{-0.08} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . It orbits its host star at a distance of  $a_p = 0.07$  au, corresponding to an orbital period of  $P = 7.19$  days. Its equilibrium temperature is  $T_{\text{eq}} = 1100^{+20}_{-20}$  K.

Figure A6 shows a time series of the photometric and RV data along with the residuals underneath. The top panel shows the TESS light curves for Sectors 9, 35, 45, and 46 together with the transit model shown as solid gray line. In all sectors, two to four transits can be observed. The bottom panel shows the FEROS RV measurements and the Keplerian model as a gray line. Figure 7 shows the TESS photometry (top left), ground-based photometry (top right), and FEROS RV measurements (bottom) phase folded with the orbital period of 7.2 days.

## 3.4. Heavy Element Mass

Following Thorngren & Fortney (2019), we determined the bulk metallicity fraction  $Z_p$  for TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b as well as the anomalous heating efficiency  $\epsilon$  for TOI-2416 b and TOI-2524 b. The estimation of the planetary metallicity is based on an Markov Chain Monte Carlo inversion of a planet evolution model (see Thorngren & Fortney 2019), using the planetary mass, bulk metallicity, age, and log anomalous heating fraction as parameters. The priors are either taken from observations (mass and age) or based on a mass-metallicity relation (for metallicity; Thorngren et al. 2016) and equilibrium temperature (for heating; Thorngren & Fortney 2018). The model uses these parameters to compute a radius which it compares to the observed radius. The anomalous heating efficiency is defined as the logarithm of the heat injected into a planet as a fraction of the incident stellar flux (Thorngren & Fortney 2018). It is a parameterization of an

unknown mechanism transporting energy from irradiation to the interior of the planet and causing the planet to inflate (see Section 4).

We found bulk metallicities of  $Z_p = 0.11^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ ,  $Z_p = 0.36^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ , and  $Z_p = 0.24^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$  for TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b, respectively. As well, we estimated heating efficiencies of  $\epsilon = 0.34^{+0.012}_{-0.018} \%$  and  $\epsilon = 0.40^{+0.014}_{-0.021} \%$  for TOI-2416 b and TOI-2524 b, respectively. TOI-2373 b has an equilibrium temperature of  $860^{+10}_{-10}$  K and is therefore too cold for any anomalous heating.

## 4. Discussion

Figures 8–10 show the three targets presented in this work in the context of all known transiting exoplanets orbiting solar-analog stars, including the solar system planets. The planets have been selected from the TEPICAT catalog (Southworth 2011) to match the following selection criteria on the host stars:  $4.3 \text{ dex} < \log g < 4.6 \text{ dex}$ ,  $-0.1 < [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < 0.4$ , and  $5600 \text{ K} < T_{\text{eff}} < 5900 \text{ K}$ . Figure 8 shows a mass-separation diagram, with the exoplanets color coded according to their equilibrium temperatures. TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b are shown as big color-coded stars. The three planets, while all having similar semimajor axes, cover a wide range of masses, with TOI-2373 b being one of the two most massive known planets around a solar analog, featuring a mass of  $m_p = 9.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2} M_{\text{jup}}$ , the other being CoRoT-27 b with a mass of  $m_p = 10.39 \pm 0.55 M_{\text{jup}}$  (Parviainen et al. 2014).

Figure 9 shows the same set of planets according to their orbital eccentricities and orbital separations. TOI-2373 b and TOI-2416 b have rather eccentric orbits compared to most known hot Jupiters orbiting similar stars. In the regime of warm Jupiters, there are planets with very highly eccentric orbits, however, this regime is only sparsely sampled with known exoplanets. Warm Jupiters with high eccentricities include TOI-2179 b ( $e = 0.575$ ; Schlecker et al. 2020), CoRoT-20 b ( $e = 0.59$ ; Rey et al. 2018; Raetz et al. 2019), Kepler-1656 b ( $e = 0.838$ ; Brady et al. 2018; Angelo et al. 2022), Kepler-1657 b ( $e = 0.496$ ; Hébrard et al. 2019), and K2-287 b ( $e = 0.478$ ; Jordán et al. 2019; Borsato et al. 2021). Understanding the orbital eccentricity demographics is important for constraining formation and migration models, as different evolutionary tracks predict different distributions.

Figure 10 shows all known exoplanets around solar-analog stars, including the solar system giant planets, in a mass-radius diagram, allowing for study of their bulk densities. Shown in dashed lines are nominal density curves corresponding to 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, and  $10 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , while exoplanet composition models from Mordasini et al. (2012), Zeng et al. (2019), and Emsenhuber et al. (2021b) are shown as solid lines of varying colors. For the latter model, we fitted their synthetic planet population with orbital periods shorter than 30 days and masses larger than  $100 M_{\text{earth}}$  using the same functional form as Mordasini et al. (2012)

$$r(m) = \frac{b}{1 + \left| \frac{\log_{10}(m/M_0)}{w} \right|^p}, \quad (1)$$

and found  $b = 1.061 \pm 0.002 R_{\text{jup}}$ ,  $M_0 = 3.85 \pm 0.10 M_{\text{jup}}$ ,  $w = 2.0 \pm 0.2$ , and  $p = 2.5 \pm 0.2$ . The error of the fit was determined by bootstrapping their sample and taking the standard deviations of the resulting fit parameters. In Figure 10,




Figure 7. Same as Figure 5, but for TOI-2524.




Figure 8. Mass-separation diagram for planets around solar-analog stars (definition according to Lehmann et al. 2022; see Section 1). The new planets TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b are shown as big stars.





Figure 9. Mass-separation diagram for planets around solar-analog stars (definition according to Lehmann et al. 2022; see Section 1). Shown as big stars are the new planets TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b.

we show as a shaded region the moving standard deviation of the synthetic data with respect to the fit. This visualizes the predicted scatter, which is larger at lower planetary masses.

While TOI-2524 b closely follows the model predictions, TOI-2373 b and TOI-2416 b are denser, containing either more massive cores, or consisting of a larger fraction of heavy

elements in their envelopes. Hot Jupiters have frequently been observed to be inflated (Demory & Seager 2011), although the exact mechanisms creating these puffy planets are still not entirely understood (Laughlin et al. 2011; Sarkis et al. 2021; Schneider et al. 2022). Observed inflated hot Jupiters span a temperature range of  $1300 \text{ K} < T_{\text{eq}} < 1500 \text{ K}$ , and have a mean



**Figure 10.** Mass–radius diagram for planets around solar-analog stars. Shown as blue stars are the solar system giant planets, whereas the new planets TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b are shown as colored stars. Shown as solid lines are exoplanet composition models based on Mordasini et al. (2012), Zeng et al. (2019), and Emsenhuber et al. (2021b) and fixed densities of  $\rho = 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, 3.0,$  and  $1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  are shown as dashed gray lines. The shaded area around the fit to the data of Emsenhuber et al. (2021b) marks the moving standard deviation of their synthetic planets.

observed radius of  $1.1 \pm 0.1 R_{\text{jup}}$ . However, the radius inflation effect is predicted to exist for planets with  $T > 1000 \text{ K}$  (Demory & Seager 2011; Miller & Fortney 2011). Therefore, for consistency we include anomalous heating in our model of TOI-2524 b, which has an equilibrium temperature of  $1100 \pm 20 \text{ K}$ . Although this planet has a lower density than the other planets presented in this paper, it does not appear to be significantly inflated. Another possible explanation for TOI-2524 b’s lower density compared to TOI-2373 b and TOI-2416 b might be a lower abundance of heavy elements, which could be associated to the host star’s relatively low metallicity of  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = +0.06 \pm 0.05$ . Assuming a similarly low abundance of heavy elements in the protoplanetary disk as in the star, would result in an equally low abundance of heavy elements in the planet; however, the correlation between stellar metallicity and heavy element content is unclear (Miller & Fortney 2011; Thorngren et al. 2016). The low heavy element content of the planet could be also associated to formation/migration history.

Both TOI-2373 and TOI-2416 have higher metallicities and host denser exoplanets. The comparison to evolved, synthetic planets modeled by Emsenhuber et al. (2021b) shown in Figure 10 reveals that they are not necessarily reproduced naturally by core-accretion formation models. TOI-2373 b has a bulk metallicity of  $Z_p = 0.11^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ . A planet as massive as TOI-2373 b could possibly have formed either by core accretion or by gravitational instability (Schlaufman 2018) and should have the same metallicity as the host star. Postformation accretion of metals could explain its high amount of heavy elements (Ikoma et al. 2006; Leconte et al. 2009; Cabrera et al. 2010; Ginzburg & Chiang 2020; Shibata et al. 2022; Morbidelli et al. 2023). TOI-2416 b is a warm super-Jupiter and has an even higher bulk metallicity of  $Z_p = 0.36^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ . This is an unusually high amount of metals and

makes it a rare case. While it is still unclear how such a planet can form, similar planets, including CoRoT-10 b ( $m = 2.75 M_{\text{jup}}$  and  $Z_p \approx 0.23$ ), HATS-17 b ( $m = 1.34 M_{\text{jup}}$  and  $Z_p \approx 0.46$ ), HAT-P-20 b ( $m = 7.25 M_{\text{jup}}$  and  $Z_p \approx 0.29$ ), and Kepler-419 b ( $m = 2.5 M_{\text{jup}}$  and  $Z_p \approx 0.25$ ) have been presented by Thorngren et al. (2016). Combined with the existing sample of dense exoplanets, this poses a challenge to planet formation and evolution or, alternatively, interior structure models.

## 5. Summary and Conclusion

We report the discovery and characterization of three giant exoplanets orbiting stars similar to the Sun, first identified as candidates using data from the TESS mission and confirmed with RV measurements with FEROS. TOI-2373 b is a warm Jupiter with an orbital period of  $\sim 13.3$  days, an estimated mass of  $m_p = 9.3^{+0.6}_{-0.2} M_{\text{jup}}$ , and a radius of  $r_p = 0.93^{+0.2}_{-0.2} R_{\text{jup}}$ . With a mean density of  $\rho = 14.4^{+0.9}_{-1.0} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , TOI-2373 b is among the densest planets discovered so far and presents a challenge to current theories of planet formation and evolution. TOI-2416 b is a planet with a short period of  $\sim 8.3$  days, a mass of  $m_p = 3.0^{+0.10}_{-0.09} M_{\text{jup}}$ , and a small radius of  $r_p = 0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02} R_{\text{jup}}$ , also resulting in an anomalously high mean density of  $\rho = 5.4^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . TOI-2524 b is a low-density warm Jupiter near the hot Jupiter transition region with a period of  $\sim 7.2$  days. For TOI-2524 b we estimated a mass of  $m_p = 0.64^{+0.04}_{-0.04} M_{\text{jup}}$  and a radius of  $r_p = 1.00^{+0.02}_{-0.03} R_{\text{jup}}$ , leading to a mean density of  $\rho = 0.79^{+0.08}_{-0.08} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , similar to that of Saturn.

The newly discovered exoplanets TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b have estimated equilibrium temperatures of  $860^{+10}_{-10} \text{ K}$ ,  $1010^{+10}_{-10} \text{ K}$ , and  $1100^{+20}_{-20} \text{ K}$ , respectively, placing them in the sparsely populated transition region between hot and warm Jupiters. This is further supported by Rice et al. (2022), who empirically defined the boundary between hot and

warm Jupiters based on orbital alignment or misalignment, using the relative size of the orbit  $a/R_*$ , with hot Jupiters satisfying  $a/R_* < 11$  and warm Jupiters satisfying  $a/R_* > 11$ . With orbital sizes of  $a_p/R_* = 23.1$ ,  $a_p/R_* = 14.4$ , and  $a_p/R_* = 14.3$  for TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b, respectively, these targets reside in the transition region.

While we do not have measurements for the three targets' RVs during the planets' transits, we can estimate the amplitudes of the Rossiter–McLaughlin effect (McLaughlin 1924; Rossiter 1924) to be 12.9, 10.4, and 22.8  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , respectively, which can be measured by high-resolution spectrographs.

Rice et al. (2022) showed that warm Jupiters tend to be in spin–orbit alignment, whereas hot Jupiters tend not to be aligned. Attia et al. (2023) find the same tendency, with longer-period planets being more aligned than closer-in planets. These findings are also consistent with Huang et al. (2016), who come to the conclusion that hot Jupiters form separately from other gas giants, while warm Jupiters tend to form via disk migrations, explaining their coplanar orbits. As transiting planets, TOI-2373 b, TOI-2416 b, and TOI-2524 b are well suited to estimate the sky-projected spin–orbit alignment of their host stars through Rossiter–McLaughlin observations, aiding in constraining the formation scenarios of these systems.

### Acknowledgments

This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. Resources supporting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products. We acknowledge the use of public TESS data from pipelines at the TESS Science Office and at the TESS Science Processing Operations Center. This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission, which are publicly available from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) operated by the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). Funding for the TESS mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission Directorate. This work makes use of observations from the ASTEP telescope. ASTEP benefited from the support of the French and Italian polar agencies IPEV and PNRA in the framework of the Concordia station program, from OCA, INSU, Idex UCAJEDI (ANR-15-IDEX01), and ESA through the Science Faculty of the European Space Research and Technology Centre (ESTEC). This work made use of `tpfplotter` by J. Lillo-Box (publicly available in [www.github.com/jlillo/tpfplotter](http://www.github.com/jlillo/tpfplotter)), which also made use of the python packages `astropy`, `lightkurve`, `matplotlib`, and `numpy`. The data used for the analyses presented in this paper can be accessed via [10.17909/zsh5-wq95](https://doi.org/10.17909/zsh5-wq95), [10.17909/edzq-va27](https://doi.org/10.17909/edzq-va27), and [10.17909/phhz-qv30](https://doi.org/10.17909/phhz-qv30). Gaia DR2 data can be accessed via ESA

(Gaia Collaboration 2018). The results reported herein benefited from collaborations and/or information exchange within NASA's Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS) research coordination network sponsored by NASA's Science Mission Directorate under Agreement No. 80NSSC21K0593 for the program "Alien Earths." R.Br. acknowledges support from FONDECYT Project 11200751. A.J., R.Br., F.R., and M.H. acknowledge support from ANID—Millennium Science Initiative—ICN12\_009. A.J. acknowledges additional support from FONDECYT project 1210718. T.T. acknowledges support by the DFG Research Unit FOR 2544 "Blue Planets around Red Stars" project No. KU 3625/2-1. T.T. further acknowledges support by the BNSF program "VIHREN-2021" project No. KPI-06-ДВ/5. R.Bu. acknowledges the support from DFG under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2181/1-390900948, Exploratory project EP 8.4 (the Heidelberg STRUCTURES Excellence Cluster). T.H. acknowledges support from the European Research Council under the Horizon 2020 Framework Program via the ERC Advanced grant Origins 832428. The postdoctoral fellowship of K.B. is funded by F.R.S.-FNRS grant T.0109.20 and by the Francqui Foundation. This research received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 803193/BEBOP), and from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC; grant No ST/S00193X/1).

*Facilities:* TESS, ASTEP, LCOGT, Observatoire Moana 0.6m telescope at El Sauce Observatory, and FEROS/MPG 2.2 m

*Software:* `Exo-Striker` (Trifonov 2019), `CERES` (Brahm et al. 2017a), `tesseract` (F. I. Rojas et al. 2023, in preparation.), `ZASPE` (Brahm et al. 2017b), `emcee` (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), `batman` (Kreidberg 2015), `BANZAI` (McCully et al. 2018), and `AstroImageJ` (Collins et al. 2017).

### Appendix

In this appendix, we show additional plots, including TPF plots for all TESS sectors used within this work, additional time-series plots of the photometric and RV data, as well as tables containing our FEROS RV measurements, and the full results of our analysis. Table A1 lists the RV and stellar activity data obtained with the FEROS instrument for all three targets and Tables A2, A3, and A4 list the results for all fit parameters and both tested models from the NS for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, respectively. Figures A1, A2, and A3 show TPF plots for the TESS sectors used for our analysis but not shown before. Figure A4, Figure A5, and Figure A6 show time-series plots of the photometric and RV data for TOI-2373, TOI-2416, and TOI-2524, respectively. These plots are complementary to Figures 5, 6, and 7.

**Table A1**  
 FEROS RV and Stellar Activity Measurements along with Their  $1\sigma$  Uncertainties

BJD	RV ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{\text{RV}}$	BIS ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{\text{BIS}}$	H $\alpha$	$\sigma_{\text{H}\alpha}$	logRHK	$\sigma_{\text{logRHK}}$	FWHM ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )	He I	$\sigma_{\text{He I}}$	Na II	$\sigma_{\text{Na II}}$
TOI-2373													
2,458,905.55535	-898.0	9.9	1	15	0.1469	0.0047	-4.8448	0.0899	9.9133	0.4994	0.0102	0.2507	0.0075
2,458,911.54656	603.9	10.2	-9	15	0.1215	0.0042	-4.9407	0.1573	9.9612	0.4950	0.0100	0.2507	0.0075
2,458,913.53698	492.4	11.1	-22	15	0.1347	0.0041	-4.9177	0.2444	10.1986	0.4983	0.0101	0.2181	0.0072
2,458,917.53471	-800.8	11.1	-22	15	0.1239	0.0046	-4.9716	0.1593	10.0249	0.5223	0.0112	0.2246	0.0077
2,458,919.52262	-812.4	13.0	-28	18	0.1473	0.0054	-4.6186	0.1356	10.0337	0.4980	0.0124	0.2221	0.0092
2,458,922.52825	-198.7	12.9	-36	18	0.1402	0.0050	-4.6925	0.1965	10.2325	0.5245	0.0124	0.2123	0.0089
2,458,927.53614	297.6	10.5	-49	15	0.1249	0.0038	-4.6071	0.1995	10.2362	0.4944	0.0093	0.2226	0.0066
2,458,931.50776	-833.7	10.7	-29	15	0.1320	0.0042	-4.7896	0.1572	10.1527	0.4797	0.0097	0.2439	0.0071
2,459,188.62842	-359.0	9.4	28	14	0.1368	0.0040	-4.9199	0.0648	10.0087	0.5253	0.0092	0.2607	0.0068
2,459,190.62923	335.4	8.8	-36	13	0.1290	0.0035	-5.0861	0.0809	9.9035	0.5046	0.0085	0.2492	0.0061
2,459,191.61001	667.0	10.1	-06	15	0.1434	0.0047	-5.0645	0.0990	9.8912	0.5054	0.0102	0.2600	0.0079
2,459,192.59836	681.3	11.3	-91	16	0.1273	0.0052	-5.0354	0.1301	9.9186	0.5048	0.0116	0.2423	0.0088
2,459,207.63706	241.4	9.9	-18	15	0.1283	0.0040	-5.0056	0.0963	9.9474	0.5201	0.0095	0.2297	0.0069
2,459,260.58094	440.9	12.6	-1	18	0.1619	0.0060	...	...	9.8985	0.5083	0.0132	0.2408	0.0098
2,459,272.56488	687.6	10.7	-06	15	0.1516	0.0050	-5.1569	0.1731	9.9847	0.5182	0.0110	0.2662	0.0080
2,459,276.55084	-545.6	11.8	-30	17	0.1437	0.0050	-5.4864	0.6791	10.1029	0.4872	0.0113	0.2166	0.0082
TOI-2416													
2,458,920.53485	274.7	11.7	-38	16	0.1318	0.0049	-5.0250	0.2500	10.1037	0.4995	0.0108	0.2129	0.0079
2,458,924.51734	-199.3	10.1	4	15	0.1111	0.0039	-4.7267	0.1015	10.1695	0.5152	0.0101	0.1961	0.0066
2,458,928.50695	170.7	14.7	26	19	0.1159	0.0064	-5.3012	0.7261	10.1817	0.5068	0.0149	0.2122	0.0112
2,458,929.55344	347.7	13.4	42	18	0.1318	0.0060	...	...	10.1324	0.5034	0.0135	0.2076	0.0099
2,459,188.60699	-261.9	9.6	2	14	0.1201	0.0035	-5.1144	0.2002	9.9472	0.5148	0.0089	0.2043	0.0059
2,459,189.62117	-268.3	8.4	-14	13	0.1018	0.0029	-4.9003	0.0747	9.9247	0.5030	0.0077	0.2131	0.0051
2,459,190.59374	-192.3	8.2	-3	13	0.1251	0.0033	-5.2681	0.1344	9.9052	0.4965	0.0080	0.1992	0.0051
2,459,192.61798	49.7	11.7	0.0	17	0.1260	0.0054	-4.7975	0.0836	9.9404	0.5009	0.0126	0.2380	0.0090
2,459,194.62382	278.1	9.6	13	14	0.1168	0.1168	-4.7863	0.0793	9.9352	0.5120	0.0097	0.2110	0.0062
2,459,207.6163	-187.6	9.5	-22	14	0.1078	0.0035	-5.3027	0.2413	9.9595	0.5119	0.0090	0.2065	0.0060
2,459,211.61738	121.7	8.4	-1	13	0.1088	0.0032	-5.0988	0.1003	9.9333	0.4938	0.0077	0.2006	0.0053
2,459,213.60256	-240.6	11.7	24	16	0.1132	0.0043	-4.9743	0.1494	9.9306	0.5145	0.0111	0.2095	0.0078
2,459,260.56028	335.5	13.2	-31	18	0.1584	0.0060	-4.6841	0.1229	9.9468	0.4982	0.0132	0.1797	0.0094
2,459,264.52873	-238.8	12.9	-29	18	0.1326	0.0055	...	...	10.0282	0.4775	0.0125	0.2039	0.0090
2,459,270.52139	-152.7	9.4	-5	14	0.1139	0.0033	-5.0822	0.1685	9.9614	0.5005	0.0086	0.2153	0.0057
2,459,276.51409	269.6	11.6	-26	16	0.1119	0.0044	-4.6902	0.1068	10.0338	0.4835	0.0108	0.2187	0.0079
2,459,278.5535	-106.3	11.5	-9	16	0.1217	0.0044	-5.5500	0.9654	10.1026	0.4950	0.0110	0.2018	0.0073
TOI-2524													
2,459,209.77377	52.6	11.0	17	14	0.1193	0.0038	-5.0542	0.1871	9.8306	0.5158	0.0091	0.2650	0.0067
2,459,212.77926	-85.4	9.5	9	13	0.1207	0.0036	-4.9892	0.0982	9.7058	0.5210	0.0085	0.2870	0.0064
2,459,216.77064	53.8	10.5	19	14	0.1277	0.0046	-5.0112	0.0925	9.8855	0.5050	0.0104	0.2672	0.0077
2,459,223.86138	49.5	9.9	-2	14	0.1210	0.0036	-4.9228	0.0840	9.8937	0.5081	0.0086	0.2657	0.0064
2,459,263.78018	-74.0	12.3	29	15	0.1354	0.0047	-4.8204	0.0888	9.9084	0.4997	0.0104	0.3056	0.0083
2,459,278.69207	-55.7	11.0	-4.3	14	0.1361	0.0039	-5.2602	0.2095	9.8581	0.5039	0.0089	0.2918	0.0071
2,459,280.68823	39.3	9.2	2	13	0.1118	0.0031	-5.1824	0.1390	9.8614	0.5040	0.0083	0.2941	0.0060
2,459,282.6783	19.6	9.7	-1	13	0.1086	0.0034	-4.9126	0.0715	9.8928	0.5022	0.0086	0.3096	0.0065

This table is available in machine-readable form.

**Table A2**  
Results from the NS Run for TOI-2373

Parameter	Circular Model		Eccentric Model		
	Posterior	Prior	Posterior	Prior	
$\ln \mathcal{Z}$		24,878.63		24,895.34	
$\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$			16.71		
$RV_{\text{offset}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$4632^{+36}_{-36}$	$\mathcal{U}(4500.0, 4800.0)$	$4596^{+8}_{-8}$	$\mathcal{U}(4500.0, 4700.0)$
$RV_{\text{jitter}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$149^{+27}_{-24}$	$\mathcal{U}(50.0, 200.0)$	$36^{+15}_{-9}$	$\mathcal{U}(-20.0, 100.0)$
$K$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$778^{+47}_{-47}$	$\mathcal{U}(600.0, 900.0)$	$784^{+10}_{-10}$	$\mathcal{U}(650.0, 850.0)$
$P$	days	$13.33669^{+0.00002}_{-0.00002}$	$\mathcal{U}(13.25, 13.4)$	$13.33668^{+0.00001}_{-0.00001}$	$\mathcal{U}(13.25, 13.4)$
$e$		0	fixed	$0.112^{+0.007}_{-0.009}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.22)$
$\omega$	degrees	—	undefined	$15^{+7}_{-6}$	$\mathcal{U}(-90.0, 360.0)$
$i$	degrees	$88.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(82.0, 92.0)$	$89.2^{+0.6}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(85.0, 92.0)$
$t_0$	days	$2,458,448.325^{+0.002}_{-0.002}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,448.0, 2,458,449.0)$	$2,458,448.326^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,448.0, 2,458,449.0)$
$a/R_*$		$21.9^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 30.0)$	$23.1^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 30.0)$
$r/R_*$		$0.0897^{+0.0009}_{-0.0010}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.15)$	$0.0867^{+0.0009}_{-0.0009}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.15)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T5}$	$0.00014^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00012^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T31}$	$0.00031^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00031^{+0.00002}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{LCO}-\text{SAAO}}$	$0.0000^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00006^{+0.00009}_{-0.00008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{LCO}-\text{Teid}}$	$0.00121^{+0.00008}_{-0.00008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00131^{+0.00006}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO1}}$		$0.00007^{+0.00010}_{-0.00010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$-0.00005^{+0.00007}_{-0.00007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO2}}$		$0.00026^{+0.00010}_{-0.00010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00027^{+0.00008}_{-0.00008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{OM}-\text{ES}}$		$-0.0002^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$-0.00014^{+0.00009}_{-0.00012}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T5}$		$0.000994^{+0.000005}_{-0.000009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.000988^{+0.000007}_{-0.000008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T31}$		$0.000993^{+0.000005}_{-0.000010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.000987^{+0.000009}_{-0.000015}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{LCO}-\text{SAAO}}$		$0.000993^{+0.000005}_{-0.000010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00097^{+0.00002}_{-0.00002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{LCO}-\text{Teid}}$		$0.0009989^{+0.0000008}_{-0.0000015}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.000996^{+0.000002}_{-0.000003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO1}}$		$0.000995^{+0.000003}_{-0.000007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.000990^{+0.000006}_{-0.000008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO2}}$		$0.000996^{+0.000003}_{-0.000006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.000990^{+0.000006}_{-0.000010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{OM}-\text{ES}}$		$0.0009^{+0.0001}_{-0.0003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00007^{+0.00054}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$u_{1,\text{TESS}}$		$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{TESS}}$		$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{LCO}-\text{SAAO}}$		$0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.31^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{LCO}-\text{SAAO}}$		$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{LCO}-\text{Teid}}$		$0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.28^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{LCO}-\text{Teid}}$		$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO}}$		$0.5^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.55^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{LCO}-\text{CTIO}}$		$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{OM}-\text{ES}}$		$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{OM}-\text{ES}}$		$0.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$m$	$M_{\text{jup}}$		$9.3^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$		$9.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$
$r$	$R_{\text{jup}}$		$0.96^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$		$0.93^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
$a$	au		$0.112^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$		$0.112^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$
$\rho$	$\text{g cm}^{-3}$		$13^{+1}_{-1}$		$14.4^{+0.9}_{-1.0}$
$T_{\text{eq}}$	K		$860^{+10}_{-10}$		$860^{+10}_{-10}$

**Note.** Listed are the likelihood parameters, priors, posteriors, and derived parameters for both the circular and eccentric models.  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$  is defined as  $\ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ecc}} - \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{circ}}$ . TESS sector numbers are denoted as “TX” for the transit offset and jitter parameters.

**Table A3**  
Results from the NS Run for TOI-2416

Parameter	Circular Model		Eccentric Model		
		Posterior	Prior	Posterior	Prior
$\ln \mathcal{Z}$			326,957.01		326,984.85
$\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$				27.84	
$RV_{\text{offset}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$22190^{+18}_{-17}$	$\mathcal{U}(22,100.0, 22,250.0)$	$22,145^{+6}_{-6}$	$\mathcal{U}(22,100.0, 22,250.0)$
$RV_{\text{jitter}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$99^{+9}_{-9}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 120.0)$	$21^{+7}_{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(-20.0, 100.0)$
$K$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$285^{+27}_{-27}$	$\mathcal{U}(150.0, 400.0)$	$296^{+8}_{-8}$	$\mathcal{U}(150.0, 400.0)$
$P$	days	$8.275478^{+0.000010}_{-0.000010}$	$\mathcal{U}(8.24, 8.3)$	$8.275479^{+0.000009}_{-0.000009}$	$\mathcal{U}(8.24, 8.3)$
$e$		0	fixed	$0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.5)$
$\omega$	degrees	—	undefined	$30^{+5}_{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(-90.0, 360.0)$
$i$	degrees	$89.8^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$\mathcal{U}(80.0, 90.0)$	$90.0^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$	$\mathcal{U}(80.0, 92.0)$
$t_0$	days	$2,458,359.4885^{+0.0010}_{-0.0008}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,359.0, 2,458,360.0)$	$2,458,359.4884^{+0.0009}_{-0.0009}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,359.0, 2,458,360.0)$
$a/R_*$		$17.5^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 45.0)$	$14.4^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 45.0)$
$r/R_*$		$0.0734^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.25)$	$0.0732^{+0.0008}_{-0.0008}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.25)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T2}$		$0.00025^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00023^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T3}$		$0.00027^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00026^{+0.00005}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T4}$		$0.00025^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00026^{+0.00004}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T8}$		$0.00030^{+0.00008}_{-0.00007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00030^{+0.00007}_{-0.00007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T28}$		$0.00029^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T29}$		$0.00028^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00027^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T30}$		$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T31}$		$0.00032^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00033^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},T38}$		$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00031^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{ASTEP}}$		$0.0011^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.0011^{+0.0002}_{-0.0003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{CDK24ND}}$		$0.0020^{+0.0002}_{-0.0003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.0020^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{OM-SSO}}$		$-0.0034^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$-0.0034^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T2}$		$-0.00088^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.00086^{+0.00174}_{-0.00006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T3}$		$-0.00097^{+0.00194}_{-0.00006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.00098^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T4}$		$0.00109^{+0.00006}_{-0.00217}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00112^{+0.00004}_{-0.00004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T8}$		$-0.0002^{+0.0009}_{-0.0005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.0003^{+0.0009}_{-0.0005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T28}$		$0.00000^{+0.00007}_{-0.00007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00001^{+0.00010}_{-0.00009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T29}$		$-0.00001^{+0.00009}_{-0.00008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.00000^{+0.00010}_{-0.00010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T30}$		$-0.00000^{+0.00009}_{-0.00009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00000^{+0.00009}_{-0.00010}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T31}$		$-0.00002^{+0.00010}_{-0.00009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.00001^{+0.00010}_{-0.00001}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},T38}$		$0.00005^{+0.00009}_{-0.00008}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.00001^{+0.00009}_{-0.00009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{ASTEP}}$		$-0.0018^{+0.0003}_{-0.0003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.0018^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{CDK24ND}}$		$0.0022^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$0.0022^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{OM-SSO}}$		$0.0030^{+0.0002}_{-0.0059}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$	$-0.0030^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.01, 0.01)$
$u_{1,\text{TESS}}$		$0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.27^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{TESS}}$		$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{ASTEP}}$		$0.4^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{ASTEP}}$		$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{CDK24ND}}$		$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{CDK24ND}}$		$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{OM-SSO}}$		$0.2^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.14^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{OM-SSO}}$		$0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$m$	$M_{\text{jup}}$		$3.1^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$		$3.00^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$
$r$	$R_{\text{jup}}$		$0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$		$0.88^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
$a$	au		$0.0831^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$		$0.0831^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$
$\rho$	$\text{g cm}^{-3}$		$5.5^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$		$5.4^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$
$T_{\text{eq}}$	K		$1080^{+10}_{-10}$		$1080^{+10}_{-10}$

**Note.** Listed are the likelihood parameters, priors, posteriors, and derived parameters for both the circular and eccentric models.  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$  is defined as  $\ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ecc}} - \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{circ}}$ . TESS sector numbers are denoted as “TX” for the transit offset and jitter parameters.

**Table A4**  
Results from the NS Run for TOI-2524

Parameter	Circular Model		Eccentric Model		Prior
		Posterior	Prior	Posterior	
$\ln \mathcal{Z}$			148,587.37		148,585.14
$\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$				-2.23	
$RV_{\text{offset}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$9434^{+3}_{-3}$	$\mathcal{U}(9400.0, 9450.0)$	$9436^{+6}_{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(9400.0, 9450.0)$
$RV_{\text{jitter}}$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$-0^{+5}_{-5}$	$\mathcal{U}(-10.0, 30.0)$	$8^{+7}_{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(-10.0, 30.0)$
$K$	$\text{m s}^{-1}$	$67^{+4}_{-4}$	$\mathcal{U}(45.0, 90.0)$	$67^{+4}_{-6}$	$\mathcal{U}(45.0, 90.0)$
$P$	days	$7.18585^{+0.00001}_{-0.00001}$	$\mathcal{U}(7.185, 7.187)$	$7.18585^{+0.00002}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(7.185, 7.187)$
$e$		0	fixed	$0.06^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.5)$
$\omega$	degrees	—	undefined	$101^{+130}_{-92}$	$\mathcal{U}(-90.0, 360.0)$
$i$	degrees	$89.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$\mathcal{U}(85.0, 92.0)$	$90.2^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$	$\mathcal{U}(85.0, 92.0)$
$t_0$	days	$2,458,550.155^{+0.002}_{-0.001}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,550.0, 2,458,550.3)$	$2,458,550.156^{+0.003}_{-0.002}$	$\mathcal{U}(2,458,550.0, 2,458,550.3)$
$a/R_*$		$14.3^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$\mathcal{U}(5.0, 30.0)$	$14.5^{+0.9}_{-0.4}$	$\mathcal{U}(5.0, 30.0)$
$r/R_*$		$0.092^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.15)$	$0.0928^{+0.0011}_{-0.0007}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 0.15)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{T9}}$		$0.0002^{+0.00006}_{-0.00006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00021^{+0.00006}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{T35}}$		$0.0004^{+0.00004}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00042^{+0.00004}_{-0.00005}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{T45}}$		$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00003}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00028^{+0.00002}_{-0.00002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{T46}}$		$0.00030^{+0.00003}_{-0.00002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.00029^{+0.00003}_{-0.00002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{El-Sauce}}$		$0.0008^{+0.0003}_{-0.0004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.0011^{+0.0003}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{offset},\text{OM-ES}}$		$0.0014^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$	$0.0014^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.001, 0.001)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{T9}}$		$-0.00131^{+0.00006}_{-0.00006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$-0.00130^{+0.00007}_{-0.00006}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{T35}}$		$0.00254^{+0.00008}_{-0.00012}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$-0.0025^{+0.00051}_{-0.0001}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{T45}}$		$0.00002^{+0.00010}_{-0.00009}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$0.0000^{+0.0001}_{-0.0001}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{T46}}$		$0.0000^{+0.0001}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$0.00002^{+0.00008}_{-0.00011}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{El-Sauce}}$		$0.0037^{+0.0004}_{-0.0007}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$0.0038^{+0.0002}_{-0.0002}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$\text{transit}_{\text{jitter},\text{OM-ES}}$		$0.0019^{+0.0003}_{-0.0039}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$	$0.0020^{+0.0003}_{-0.0004}$	$\mathcal{U}(-0.02, 0.02)$
$u_{1,\text{TESS}}$		$0.13^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.15^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{TESS}}$		$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{El-Sauce}}$		$0.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.44^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{El-Sauce}}$		$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{1,\text{OM-ES}}$		$0.5^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$u_{2,\text{OM-ES}}$		$0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$	$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$\mathcal{U}(0.0, 1.0)$
$m$	$M_{\text{jup}}$		$0.64^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$		$0.64^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$
$r$	$R_{\text{jup}}$		$1.00^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$		$1.01^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
$a$	au		$0.0730^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$		$0.0730^{+0.0007}_{-0.0007}$
$\rho$	$\text{g cm}^{-3}$		$0.79^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$		$0.76^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$
$T_{\text{eq}}$	K		$1100^{+20}_{-20}$		$1100^{+20}_{-20}$

**Note.** Listed are the likelihood parameters, priors, posteriors, and derived parameters for both the circular and eccentric models.  $\Delta \ln \mathcal{Z}$  is defined as  $\ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{ecc}} - \ln \mathcal{Z}_{\text{circ}}$ . TESS sector numbers are denoted as “TX” for the transit offset and jitter parameters.






Figure A1. TPF plot for Sector 31 for TOI-2373.




Figure A2. TPF plots for Sectors 3, 4, 8, 28–31, and 38 for TOI-2416.







Figure A3. TPF plots for Sectors 35, 45, and 46 for TOI-2524.



**Figure A4.** Time series of TOI-2373. Top: photometric time series. Bottom: RV time series.















**Figure A5.** Time series for TOI-2416. Top: photometric time series. Bottom: RV time series.



**Figure A6.** Time series for TOI-2524. Top: photometric time series. Bottom: RV time series.

## ORCID iDs

Jan Eberhardt  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3130-2768>  
 Melissa J. Hobson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5945-7975>  
 Thomas Henning  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1493-300X>  
 Trifon Trifonov  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0236-775X>  
 Rafael Brahm  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9158-7315>  
 Nestor Espinoza  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9513-1449>  
 Andrés Jordán  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5389-3944>  
 Daniel Thorngren  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5113-8558>  
 Remo Burn  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9020-7309>  
 Felipe I. Rojas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3047-6272>  
 Paula Sarkis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8128-3126>  
 Martin Schlecker  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8355-2107>  
 Khalid Barkaoui  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1464-9276>  
 Richard P. Schwarz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8227-1020>  
 Olga Suarez  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3503-3617>  
 Tristan Guillot  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7188-8428>  
 Amaury H. M. J. Triaud  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5510-8751>  
 Maximilian N. Günther  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3164-9086>  
 Lyu Abe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0856-4527>  
 Gavin Boyle  <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-2966-7507>  
 Rodrigo Leiva  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6477-1360>  
 Vincent Suc  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7070-3842>  
 Phil Evans  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5674-2404>  
 William Fong  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0241-2757>  
 Avi Shporer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1836-3120>  
 Stephanie Striegel  <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5145-0446>  
 David Watanabe  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3555-8464>  
 Jon M. Jenkins  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4715-9460>  
 Sara Seager  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6892-6948>  
 Joshua N. Winn  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4265-047X>

## References

- Aller, A., Lillo-Box, J., Jones, D., Miranda, L. F., & Barceló Forteza, S. 2020, *A&A*, **635**, A128
- Angelo, L., Naoz, S., Petigura, E., et al. 2022, *AJ*, **163**, 227
- Attia, O., Bourrier, V., Delisle, J. B., & Eggenberger, P. 2023, *A&A*, **674**, 15
- Baluev, R. V. 2009, *MNRAS*, **395**, 1541
- Borsato, L., Piotto, G., Gandolfi, D., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, **506**, 3810
- Bozhilov, V., Antonova, D., Hobson, M. J., et al. 2023, *ApJL*, **946**, L36
- Brady, M. T., Petigura, E. A., Knutson, H. A., et al. 2018, *AJ*, **156**, 147
- Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., Jordán, A., et al. 2019, *AJ*, **158**, 45
- Brahm, R., Jordán, A., & Espinoza, N. 2017a, *PASP*, **129**, 034002
- Brahm, R., Jordán, A., Hartman, J., & Bakos, G. 2017b, *MNRAS*, **467**, 971
- Brahm, R., Nielsen, L. D., Wittenmyer, R. A., et al. 2020, *AJ*, **160**, 235
- Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, **427**, 127
- Brown, T. M., Baliber, N., Bianco, F. B., et al. 2013, *PASP*, **125**, 1031
- Cabrera, J., Bruntt, H., Ollivier, M., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **522**, A110
- Castelli, F., & Kurucz, R. L. 2003, in IAU Symp. 210, Modelling of Stellar Atmospheres, ed. N. Piskunov, W. W. Weiss, & D. F. Gray (San Francisco, CA: ASP)
- Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G., & Hessman, F. V. 2017, *AJ*, **153**, 77
- Dawson, R. I., & Johnson, J. A. 2018, *ARA&A*, **56**, 175
- Demory, B. O., & Seager, S. 2011, *ApJS*, **197**, 12
- Dransfield, G., Mékarnia, D., Triaud, A. H. M. J., et al. 2022, Proc. SPIE, **12186**, 121861F
- Emsenhuber, A., Mordasini, C., Burn, R., et al. 2021a, *A&A*, **656**, A69
- Emsenhuber, A., Mordasini, C., Burn, R., et al. 2021b, *A&A*, **656**, A70
- Espinoza, N., Brahm, R., Henning, T., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, **491**, 2982
- Espinoza, N., Kossakowski, D., & Brahm, R. 2019, *MNRAS*, **490**, 2262
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, *PASP*, **125**, 306
- Gaia Collaboration 2018, Gaia Data Release 2, Version 1.0, European Space Agency, doi:10.5270/esa-yycsawu7
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **616**, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, *A&A*, **595**, A1
- Ginzburg, S., & Chiang, E. 2020, *MNRAS*, **498**, 680
- Guillot, T., Abe, L., Agabi, A., et al. 2015, *AN*, **336**, 638
- Hébrard, G., Bonomo, A. S., Díaz, R. F., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **623**, A104
- Hipke, M., David, T. J., Mulders, G. D., & Heller, R. 2019, *AJ*, **158**, 143
- Hipke, M., & Heller, R. 2019, *A&A*, **623**, A39
- Hobson, M. J., Brahm, R., Jordán, A., et al. 2021, *AJ*, **161**, 235
- Huang, C., Wu, Y., & Triaud, A. H. M. J. 2016, *ApJ*, **825**, 98
- Huang, C. X., Vanderburg, A., Pál, A., et al. 2020a, *RNAAS*, **4**, 204
- Huang, C. X., Vanderburg, A., Pál, A., et al. 2020b, *RNAAS*, **4**, 206
- Ikoma, M., Guillot, T., Genda, H., Tanigawa, T., & Ida, S. 2006, *ApJ*, **650**, 1150
- Jenkins, J. M. 2002, *ApJ*, **575**, 493
- Jenkins, J. M., Chandrasekaran, H., McCauliff, S. D., et al. 2010, Proc. SPIE, **7740**, 77400D
- Jenkins, J. M., Tenenbaum, P., Seader, S., et al. 2020, Kepler Science Document *KSCI-19081-003*
- Jenkins, J. M., Twicken, J. D., McCauliff, S., et al. 2016, *Proc. SPIE*, **9913**, 99133E
- Jordán, A., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2019, *AJ*, **157**, 100
- Jordán, A., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2020, *AJ*, **159**, 145
- Kaufer, A., Stahl, O., Tubbesing, S., et al. 1999, *Msngr*, **95**, 8
- Kossakowski, D., Espinoza, N., Brahm, R., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, **490**, 1094
- Kreidberg, L. 2015, *PASP*, **127**, 1161
- Laughlin, G., Crismani, M., & Adams, F. C. 2011, *ApJL*, **729**, L7
- Leconte, J., Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Barman, T., & Levrard, B. 2009, *A&A*, **506**, 385
- Lee, M. H., & Peale, S. J. 2003, *ApJ*, **592**, 1201
- Lehmann, C., Murphy, M. T., Liu, F., Flynn, C., & Berke, D. A. 2022, *MNRAS*, **512**, 11
- Li, J., Tenenbaum, P., Twicken, J. D., et al. 2019, *PASP*, **131**, 024506
- Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, *Natur*, **378**, 355
- McCully, C., Volgenau, N. H., Harbeck, D. R., et al. 2018, *Proc. SPIE*, **10707**, 107070K
- McLaughlin, D. B. 1924, *ApJ*, **60**, 22
- Mékarnia, D., Guillot, T., Rivet, J. P., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **463**, 45
- Miller, N., & Fortney, J. J. 2011, *ApJL*, **736**, L29
- Morbideilli, A., Batygin, K., & Lega, E. 2023, *A&A*, **675**, A75
- Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., Georgy, C., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **547**, A112
- Parviainen, H., Gandolfi, D., Deleuil, M., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **562**, A140
- Ratz, S., Heras, A. M., Fernández, M., Casanova, V., & Marka, C. 2019, *MNRAS*, **483**, 824
- Rey, J., Bouchy, F., Stalport, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **619**, A115
- Rice, M., Wang, S., Wang, X. Y., et al. 2022, *AJ*, **164**, 104
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2014, *JATIS*, **1**, 014003
- Rossiter, R. A. 1924, *ApJ*, **60**, 15
- Sarkis, P., Mordasini, C., Henning, T., Marleau, G. D., & Mollière, P. 2021, *A&A*, **645**, A79
- Schlaufman, K. C. 2018, *ApJ*, **853**, 37
- Schlecker, M., Kossakowski, D., Brahm, R., et al. 2020, *AJ*, **160**, 275
- Schneider, A. D., Carone, L., Decin, L., Jørgensen, U. G., & Helling, C. 2022, *A&A*, **666**, L11
- Shibata, S., Helled, R., & Ikoma, M. 2022, *A&A*, **659**, A28
- Skilling, J. 2004, in AIP Conf. Ser. 735, American Institute of Physics Conference Series, ed. R. Fischer & U. V. Preuss (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 395
- Smith, J. C., Stumpe, M. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, *PASP*, **124**, 1000
- Southworth, J. 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 2166
- Speagle, J. S. 2020, *MNRAS*, **493**, 3132
- Stumpe, M. C., Smith, J. C., Catanzarite, J. H., et al. 2014, *PASP*, **126**, 100
- Stumpe, M. C., Smith, J. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, *PASP*, **124**, 985
- Tayar, J., Claytor, Z. R., Huber, D., & van Saders, J. 2022, *ApJ*, **927**, 31
- Thorngren, D., & Fortney, J. J. 2019, *ApJL*, **874**, L31
- Thorngren, D. P., & Fortney, J. J. 2018, *AJ*, **155**, 214
- Thorngren, D. P., Fortney, J. J., Murray-Clay, R. A., & Lopez, E. D. 2016, *ApJ*, **831**, 64
- Tokovinin, A. 2018, *PASP*, **130**, 035002
- Trifonov, T., 2019 The Exo-Striker: Transit and radial velocity interactive fitting tool for orbital analysis and N-body simulations, Astrophysics Source Code Library, ascl:1906.004
- Trifonov, T., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2021, *AJ*, **162**, 283
- Trifonov, T., Brahm, R., Jordán, A., et al. 2023, *AJ*, **165**, 23 pp.
- Trotta, R. 2008, *ConPh*, **49**, 71
- Twicken, J. D., Catanzarite, J. H., Clarke, B. D., et al. 2018, *PASP*, **130**, 064502
- Zechmeister, M., Dreizler, S., Ribas, I., et al. 2019, *A&A*, **627**, A49
- Zeng, L., Jacobsen, S. B., Sasselov, D. D., et al. 2019, *PNAS*, **116**, 9723
- Ziegler, C., Tokovinin, A., Briceño, C., et al. 2019, *AJ*, **159**, 19