

The functional exercise capacity and its correlates in obese treatment-seeking people with binge eating disorder

Vancampfort, Davy; De Herdt, Amber; Vanderlinden, Johan; Lannoo, Matthias; Adriaens, An; De Hert, Marc; Stubbs, Brendon; Soundy, Andrew; Probst, Michel

DOI:

[10.3109/09638288.2014.942000](https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2014.942000)

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version

Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Vancampfort, D, De Herdt, A, Vanderlinden, J, Lannoo, M, Adriaens, A, De Hert, M, Stubbs, B, Soundy, A & Probst, M 2015, 'The functional exercise capacity and its correlates in obese treatment-seeking people with binge eating disorder: An exploratory study', *Disability and Rehabilitation*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 777-782.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2014.942000>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the pre-print version of the article subsequently published as above, and online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2014.942000>

Checked July 2015

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.


Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



The functional exercise capacity and its correlates in obese treatment-seeking people with binge eating disorder: an exploratory study

Journal:	<i>Disability and Rehabilitation</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Binge Eating, Walking, Obesity, Self-Concept, Physical Activity

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Implications for rehabilitation

- The physical health should be of major concern in rehabilitation programmes for obese people with binge eating disorder.
- Physical discomfort and a reduced physical self-perception should be taken into account as potential barriers for performing daily life activities.

For Peer Review

Abstract

Purpose: The primary aim was to compare the functional exercise capacity between obese treatment-seeking people with and without binge eating disorder (BED) and non-obese controls. The secondary aim was to identify clinical variables including eating and physical activity behaviour, physical complaints, psychopathology and physical self-perception that could explain the variability in functional exercise capacity in obese people with BED.

Method: In this cross sectional study, 40 people with BED were compared with 20 age-, gender and body mass index (BMI) matched obese persons without BED and 40 age and gender matched non-obese volunteers. A 6-minute walk test (6MWT), the Baecke physical activity questionnaire, the Symptom Checklist-90, the Physical Self-Perception Profile and the Eating Disorder Inventory were administered. Physical complaints before and after the 6MWT were documented.

Results: The distance achieved on the 6MWT was significantly lower in obese people with BED (512.1±75.8m versus 682.7±98.4, $p<0.05$) compared to non-obese controls. People with BED reported significantly ($p<0.05$) more musculoskeletal pain and fatigue after the walk test than obese and non-obese controls. In people with BED, sports participation and perceived physical strength explained 41.7% of the variance on the 6MWT.

Conclusion: Physical activity participation, physical self-perception and perceived physical discomfort during walking should be considered when developing rehabilitation programs for obese patients with BED.

Key words: Binge Eating; Walking; Obesity; Self-concept; Physical Activity

Introduction

Binge eating disorder (BED) is characterised by frequent and persistent episodes of binge eating accompanied by feelings of loss of control and marked distress in the absence of regular compensatory behaviours [1]. Furthermore, binge eating episodes are characterised with 3 or more of the following: (a) eating much more rapidly than normal, (b) eating until uncomfortably full, (c) eating large amounts of foods when not feeling physically hungry, (d) eating alone because of being embarrassed by how much one is eating, and (e) feeling disgusted with oneself, depressed, or very guilty after overeating [1]. Epidemiological studies have shown BED is one of the most common of the eating disorders, with a lifetime prevalence of 3.5% among women and 2.0% among men [2]. Although obesity is not a criterion for BED, there is a strong positive association between weight and BED symptoms and more than 65% of the people with BED are obese [3, 4]. In the literature, some differences have been reported between obese binge eaters and obese non-binge eaters. Obese binge eaters often show greater psychopathology, more weight and shape concerns and body dissatisfaction, more negative self-evaluations, and lower self-esteem compared with obese non-binge eaters [5, 6]. People with BED are also likely to habitually have more sedentary lifestyles which is in itself associated with poorer health [7-9]. In addition, obese people that binge engage in just under half the amount of physical activity compared to age and weight matched controls [10].

Because of the severe co-morbid psychiatric and physical conditions, BED has been characterised as one of the most difficult psychiatric conditions to treat [4]. Recent research [11] has identified the importance of exercise in treatment programs for BED, showing a strong impact on the body mass index (BMI) and depressive symptoms. Previous research [12] has suggested that a reduced functional exercise capacity may impede a person with BED ability to engage in physical activity and thus inhibit their potential to attain the mental and

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 physical health benefits of an active lifestyle [11]. The assessment of the functional exercise
4
5 capacity reflects the ability to perform activities of daily living that require sustained aerobic
6
7 metabolism [13-15]. The integrated efforts and health of the pulmonary, cardiovascular, and
8
9 skeletal muscle systems dictate an individual's functional exercise capacity. Numerous
10
11 investigations have demonstrated that the assessment of functional capacity provides
12
13 important diagnostic and prognostic information related to all the systems involved and this in
14
15 a wide variety of clinical and research settings [15]. In recognition of this, several walking
16
17 tests have been developed to assess an individual's functional exercise capacity in non-
18
19 clinical and clinical populations [13-15].
20
21

22
23 To the best of our knowledge the functional exercise capacity has not been investigated in
24
25 obese persons with BED. The clinical variables associated with functional exercise capacity
26
27 are also not known and this would provide valuable information. It might be hypothesised that
28
29 obesity, physical co-morbidity and a sedentary lifestyle primarily limit the functional exercise
30
31 capacity whilst associated psychopathological co-morbidity might further contribute in people
32
33 with BED. In addition, it is not understood to what extent the additive burden of eating
34
35 disorder features and physical self-perception factors might limit people with BED functional
36
37 limitations in daily life.
38
39

40
41 The primary objective of the present study therefore was to examine differences in
42
43 functional exercise capacity between an obese sample of people with BED and an age and
44
45 weight matched control group without BED and a normal weight control group without BED .
46
47 The secondary objective was to identify clinical variables including eating and physical
48
49 activity behaviour, physical complaints, psychopathology and physical self-perception that
50
51 could explain the variability in the functional exercise capacity of obese people with BED.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Materials and methods

Participants and procedure

All obese people with BED seeking treatment in a weekly multidisciplinary program at the University Psychiatric Centre of the University of Leuven in Kortenberg, Belgium were invited to participate at their first treatment session [16]. The programme consists of cognitive behavioural therapy and physical activity counselling.

Obese patients with BED were compared with age, gender and body mass index (BMI) matched obese treatment-seeking patients without BED. This obese control group consisted of people seeking abdominal surgery at the University of Leuven, campus Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium. The obese controls were willing volunteers who were invited to participate at their hospital appointment.

Secondly, we included a second control group who were age and gender matched non-obese controls without BED. The non-obese control group were employees of the hospitals or relatives of the research assistants who volunteered to participate.

Group stratification based on BMI for the treatment-seeking obese controls, and on age and gender for both controls groups was performed on group level by an independent statistician blinded to the aims of the study and results of the physical and mental health outcomes.

Data was collected between October 2007 and October 2012. The study procedure was approved by the Scientific and Ethical Committees of the participating centres. All participants gave their informed consent. Participation in the study did not affect the pre-treatment screening and approval process. There was no remuneration for participation in the study.

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 *Eligibility criteria*

4
5 Only obese (BMI>30) participants meeting the DSM-IV criteria [1] for BED were included.
6
7 Diagnosis was made by a psychiatrist using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM
8
9 Disorders (SCID) [17]. Patients with a severe current psychiatric condition that required
10
11 residential psychiatric treatment in addition to the weekly multidisciplinary BED program
12
13 were excluded.
14

15
16 People were excluded from the obese control people if they had a DSM-IV diagnosis for
17
18 BED. Physical exclusion criteria for all participants included cardiovascular, neuromuscular
19
20 and endocrine disorders which, according to the American Thoracic Society [15] might
21
22 prevent safe participation in submaximal walk tests.
23

24
25
26
27 *Functional exercise capacity: the 6 minute walk test (6MWT)*

28
29 The 6MWT is a valid test for functional exercise capacity and measures the distance that a
30
31 patient can quickly walk on a flat, hard surface in a period of 6 min. It evaluates the global
32
33 and integrated responses of all the systems involved during exercise, including the pulmonary
34
35 and cardiovascular systems, systemic circulation, peripheral circulation, blood, neuromuscular
36
37 units, and muscle metabolism. It does not provide specific information on the function of each
38
39 of the different organs and systems involved in exercise or the mechanism of exercise
40
41 limitation, as is possible with maximal cardiopulmonary exercise testing. The test was
42
43 performed in all participants according to the American Thoracic Society guidelines [15] in
44
45 an indoor corridor with a minimum of external stimuli. Participants were instructed to walk
46
47 back and forth around the cones during 6 min, without running or jogging. Resting was
48
49 allowed if necessary, but walking was to be resumed as soon as the participants were able to
50
51 do so. The protocol stated that the testing was to be interrupted if threatening symptoms
52
53 appeared. Reasons for immediately stopping a 6MWT include the following: (a) chest pain,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 (b) intolerable dyspnea, (c) leg cramps, (d) staggering, (e) diaphoresis, and (f) pale or ashen
4 appearance. Standardised encouragements were provided at recommended intervals. The total
5 distance walked in 6 min was recorded to the nearest decimeter. Supervision and
6 measurement of the 6MWT was performed by one trained physiotherapist. Prior to the first
7 6MWT people were asked for conditions that might interfere with their functional exercise
8 capacity. They were asked whether they suffered intermittently from friction of the skin,
9 urinary stress incontinence, known hip problems or pain, foot or ankle static problems or pain.
10 Furthermore, they were asked to rate on a Likert scale (never, seldom, sometimes, frequently
11 or always) if they suffered from knee or low back pain. Directly after the first test physical
12 complaints or discomforts were recorded. The 6MWT has been shown to be a reliable and
13 feasible test to assess the functional exercise capacity in obese patients [13, 14].
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

Baecke Physical Activity Questionnaire

31 The 12-month recall Baecke Physical Activity Questionnaire [18] consists of 16 questions
32 organised in three sections: at work (8 items), sport during leisure time (4 items), and during
33 leisure excluding sport (4 items). Questions in each section are scored on a five point Likert
34 scale (never, seldom, sometimes, often, and always). The two most frequently reported sports
35 activities are explored in additional questions about the number of months per year and hours
36 per week of participation. The three derived indices (work, sports, and leisure), are scored in
37 units ranging from one to five. The Baecke questionnaire has previously been validated in an
38 obese sample [19].
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90)

51 The SCL-90 [20, 21] assesses several psychopathological complaints. It is composed of 90
52 items, which might be answered according to a 5-point scale, graded from 0 to 4, from “none”
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 to “extremely.” The scale evaluates, besides a total psycho neuroticism scale, 8 primary
4
5 domains of symptoms: agoraphobia, anxiety, depression, somatisation, cognitive-performance
6
7 deficits, interpersonal sensitivity and mistrust, acting-out hostility and sleep difficulties.
8
9 Higher scores indicate higher levels of psychopathology.
10

11 12 13 14 *The Physical Self-Perception Profile (PSPP)*

15
16 The PSPP consists of five sub-domain scales: (a) perceived sports competence, (b) perceived
17
18 physical fitness, (c) perceived body attractiveness, (d) perceived physical strength and (e)
19
20 physical self-worth [22]. Each scale consists of six items presented on a four-point structured-
21
22 alternative format. Each item on the scale scores from one (least positive perception) to four
23
24 (most positive perception), combining the item scores gives a sub-domain total score between
25
26 six to 24 , with higher scores representing more positive perceptions. In the Dutch version for
27
28 psychiatric patients, the subscales for perceived sports competence and perceived physical
29
30 fitness are combined resulting in a scale score ranging from 12 to 48 [23]. It has been used
31
32 previously in obese patients with severe mental illness [24].
33
34
35
36
37

38 39 *Eating Disorder Inventory (EDI)*

40
41 The EDI [25] is a widely used 64-item questionnaire aimed at assessing the psychological
42
43 characteristics, eating related attitudes and traits of eating disorders. Participants are asked to
44
45 respond to a 6- point forced-choice format by rating how much the item applied to them.
46
47 Options range from 'always' to 'never'. The most extreme eating disorder response earns a
48
49 score of 3, the intermediate response scores 2, and the next response scores 1; the other three
50
51 responses receive no score. We only included the bulimia subscale which consists of 7 items.
52
53
54 The total score ranges from 0 to 21. A higher total score indicates more severe binge
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 pathology. Previous research demonstrated that the EDI can be used reliably for those with
4
5 BED [26].
6
7

8 9 *Anthropometric measures*

10
11 Body weight was measured in light clothing to the nearest 0.1 kg using a SECA beam balance
12
13 scale, and height to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer.
14
15

16 17 *Statistical analyses*

18
19 Descriptive statistics were undertaken and included the mean \pm SD for each variable and one-
20
21 way frequency tables for the physical symptoms that might interfere with the functional
22
23 exercise capacity and for the physical complaints after the walk test. The Kolmogorov-
24
25 Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of the data.
26
27

28
29 Analyses of variances (ANOVA) with post-hoc Scheffe were applied to assess the
30
31 differences in functional exercise capacity and other demographical and clinical variables
32
33 between the BED group and obese and healthy control participants.
34
35

36
37 Differences in the presence of physical complaints were assessed using the Fisher's Exact
38
39 test.
40

41
42 Within the obese BED group, relationships with the functional exercise capacity were
43
44 calculated using Pearson correlation coefficients. In order to adjust for multiple comparisons,
45
46 we will only discuss those correlations with a Pearson r-value of at least 0.40 and $p \leq 0.01$.
47

48
49 A forward stepwise regression analysis was performed to evaluate independent variables
50
51 explaining the variance in the functional exercise capacity in people with BED. The
52
53 significance level was set at 0.05.

54
55 The statistical package SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was employed for the
56
57 data analyses.
58
59
60

Results

Participants

A total of 47 people seeking treatment for BED were initially recruited. However, several participants were subsequently excluded (n=7). Two persons were diagnosed with bulimia nervosa and did not meet DSM-IV criteria for BED. Two individuals with BED were excluded as a consequence of a locomotor disorder and one because of cardiovascular disorder that could prevent safe participation in the 6MWT. Two participants were excluded as they required residential treatment (one for depression and one for psychotic features). Of the 40 eligible persons, none declined to participate.

Twenty obese people without BED and 40 non-obese participants were included as controls. Demographical characteristics of all included participants are presented in table 1.

Insert table 1 about here

Differences in functional exercise capacity and clinical and demographical variables between obese people with and without binge eating and non-obese participants

Scores on 6MWT and the questionnaires for the 3 groups are shown in table 2.

The distance achieved on the 6MWT was significantly lower ($p<0.05$) in obese people with BED (main difference=-170.6m) and obese people (main difference=-147.0m) compared with the distance achieved on the 6MWT by non-obese controls. No significant differences were found between obese people with and without BED.

As can be noticed in table 2, people with BED scored significantly lower than the control groups on the sports and leisure time physical activity but not in work related physical activity. In comparison with the control groups, PSPP subscale scores were lower in the BED group (except for perceived physical strength compared with obese controls). The total and subscale scores on the SCL-90 and the EDI bulimia score were significantly higher in the

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

BED group compared with the obese and non-obese controls indicating more general psychopathology and eating disorder complaints.

Insert table 2 about here

An overview of the physical conditions that might interfere with the 6MWT is given in table 3. Significantly more obese people with BED reported to suffer intermittently from friction of the skin, foot and ankle problems, back pain and knee pain than non-obese persons. No significant differences between BED and either control group was found. Physical complaints following the 6MWT are also presented in table 3. Obese people with BED experienced significantly more musculoskeletal pain, dyspnoea and pain localised at the ventral surface of the tibia than obese and non-obese controls.

Insert table 3 about here

Within the BED group, those suffering from feet and ankle problems walked significantly less than those without feet and ankle problems (465.4m versus 558.9m, $p < 0.001$).

Associations of the functional exercise capacity with clinical and demographical variables in people with BED

In people with BED, the distance achieved on the 6MWT was positively associated with the level of sports participation ($r = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$) and perceived physical strength ($r = 0.50$, $p = 0.001$), and negatively with BMI ($r = -0.51$, $p = 0.01$) and the SCL-90 depression score ($r = -0.43$, $p = 0.009$).

Predictors of the functional exercise capacity in BED

All the significant correlates with the functional exercise capacity were included a forward stepwise regression analysis. In this forward stepwise regression analysis only Baecke sports participation and perceived physical strength were identified as independent predictors of the

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

functional exercise capacity. The model explained 41.7% of the variability. Parameter estimates, standardised and unstandardised coefficients, standard error of measurements and significance of the model are shown in table 4.

Insert table 4 about here

Discussion

The present study is to our knowledge the first to demonstrate that the functional exercise capacity is greatly reduced in obese people with BED compared to obese and non-obese controls. In general, the distance walked on the 6MWT was 170.6 m shorter compared to the non-obese control group. Furthermore, we found that in obese persons with BED the functional exercise capacity was limited by lower levels of physical activity, lower physical self-perception and greater physical discomfort. We also found that muscular fatigue and pain after the 6MWT was significantly more pronounced in obese people with BED compared to obese and non-obese controls with 60% experiencing dyspnoea as the most common complaint. Musculoskeletal problems located at the knee and back were already highly prevalent before the test in the BED group. The present study therefore indicates that the physical health of obese people with BED should be of major concern in multidisciplinary treatment protocols and significantly contributes to a reduced functional exercise capacity. Considering the functional exercise capacity might in particular be of interest since it has been demonstrated in other clinical populations [27, 28] that a reduced functional exercise capacity is a significant predictor for future mortality. Data on the prognostic value of the 6MWT in people with BED are however currently lacking.

Our study also found that a reduced physical self-perception is a potential barrier to functional exercise capacity and this has implications for the initiation and maintenance of physical activity programmes aiming to improve performance of daily life activities in people

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 with BED in clinical practice. One model that links physical self-perception to physical
4
5 activity performance is the exercise and self-esteem model (EXSEM) [29, 30]. The EXSEM
6
7 states that changes in self-perception (and in particular the belief in one's capabilities to
8
9 become or remain physically active) have an integral influence on changes in physical activity
10
11 participation. In clinical practice, health care professionals developing physical activity
12
13 programmes to increase the functional exercise capacity in people with BED should therefore
14
15 be sensitive of the detrimental effects of a low physical self-perception. This is particularly
16
17 important since our study indicates that obese people with BED perceive themselves as less
18
19 competent in undertaking physical activity than obese people without BED. Various studies
20
21 based on the EXEM model [29, 30] suggest that a positive experience of physical activity
22
23 performance can enhance physical activity self-efficacy, which in turn may benefit one's
24
25 physical self-perceptions and ultimately even lead to changes in self-esteem. Future research
26
27 should therefore investigate which techniques can stimulate such positive experiences and
28
29 consequently support an enhanced sense of personal control over the body and its
30
31 functioning in people with BED. Clearly, there is a great need to encourage obese people
32
33 with BED to engage in more physical activity. In the wider literature, the following
34
35 recommendations [31] have been proven useful in stimulating positive experiences and
36
37 consequently physical self-perceptions in persons with anxiety and depression and should be
38
39 investigated in people with BED: (a) anticipating the barriers for participation by an
40
41 acquaintance conversation, (b) giving information about mental and physical health benefits
42
43 of physical activity, (c) helping people with BED to find a form of physical activity that suits
44
45 them, (d) drawing up an individual plan with the patient taking into account emotional,
46
47 cognitive and physiological and physical components of BED, (e) creating physical activity
48
49 programs based on initial functional exercise capacity assessment and measurement of
50
51 perceived exertion and physical discomfort during physical activity, (f) formulating realistic
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 objectives improving physical activity compliance and motivation, (g) adapting the moderate
4 physical activity stimulus to the individual's physical abilities, functional exercise capacity,
5 expectations and goals, (h) following the programme with exercise cards and provide regular
6 progress feedback to the participants, (i) focusing on perceived functional exercise capacity
7 gains, reductions in physical discomfort, achievement of personal goals, mastery experiences
8 and sense of control over the body and its functioning, (j) involving significant others and
9 family members in the rehabilitation programmes.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 The findings of the present study must however be interpreted with caution because of
19 some methodological limitations. First, the small sample and the inclusion of almost
20 exclusively female participants limits the generalisability of our data. Nevertheless, there was
21 a high response rate which should prevent serious distortion of the results in these patients
22 because of selection bias. Present findings need however to be replicated in a larger study
23 including more male participants. A second limitation was the reliance on self-reported
24 physical activity, a method that is prone to both systematic and random errors [32]. Thirdly,
25 no data on smoking behaviour were collected and it is known to be a sensitive measure to
26 detect differences in functional exercise capacity [33, 34] and smoking is common in BED
27 [35]. Finally, the present study was cross-sectional which precludes any speculation regarding
28 the direction of the relationships between the variables of interest. More longitudinal research
29 is needed to better understand the potential impact of both sports activities and leisure time
30 physical activity on weight, functional exercise capacity and physical comfort for obese
31 people with BED.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 In summary we conclude that, compared to obese and non-obese control samples, people
50 with BED report important physical limitations when performing daily activities such a
51 walking. They are also less physically active and have a lower physical self-perception.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Health care professionals should take into account such barriers when designing rehabilitation
4
5 programs for people with BED.
6
7

8 9 **Acknowledgements**

10
11 The authors would like to thank Tilly Hauman (KU Leuven Department of Neurosciences,
12
13 UPC KU Leuven, campus Kortenberg, Kortenberg, Belgium) and Mieke De Vadder (KU
14
15 Leuven Department of Abdominal Surgery, campus Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium) for their
16
17 assistance in the data collection.
18
19

20 21 22 **Declaration of Interest**

23
24 XX is funded by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO - Vlaanderen). The authors have
25
26 no conflicts of interest to declare related to this study. We also certify that none of the authors
27
28 have a direct interest in the results of the research supporting this article.
29
30
31

32 33 **References**

- 34
35
36 [1] American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders
37
38 (4th ed.). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
39
40 [2] Hudson JI, Hiripi E, Pope HG Jr, Kessler RC. The prevalence and correlates of eating
41
42 disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Biol Psychiatr* 2007;61:348-58.
43
44 [3] Bulik CM, Reichborn-Kjennerud T. Medical morbidity in binge eating disorder.
45
46 *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;34:39-46.
47
48 [4] Yager J. Binge eating disorder: The search for better treatments. *Am J Psychiatry* 2008;
49
50 165:4-6.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 [5] Javaras KN, Pope HG, Lalonde JK, Roberts JL, Nillni YI, Laird NM, et al. Co-occurrence
4 of binge eating disorder with psychiatric and medical disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*
5 2008;69:266-73.
6
7

8
9 [6] Grilo CM, White MA, Barnes RD, Masheb RM. Psychiatric disorder co-morbidity and
10 correlates in an ethnically diverse sample of obese patients with binge eating disorder in
11 primary care settings. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* 2013; 54: 209-16.
12
13

14 [7] Levine MD, Marcus MD, Moulton P. Exercise in the treatment of binge eating disorder.
15 *Int J Eat Disord* 1996;19:171-7.
16
17

18 [8] Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW, Wing RR. Binge status as a predictor of weight loss treatment
19 outcome. *Int J Obesity* 1999;23:485–93.
20
21

22 [9] Hrabosky JI, White MA, Masheb RM, Grilo CM. Physical activity and its correlates in
23 treatment-seeking obese patients with binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord* 2007;40:72-6.
24
25

26 [10] Ainsworth BE, Leon AS, Richardson MT, et al. Accuracy of the College Alumnus
27 Physical Activity Questionnaire. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;46:1403-11.
28
29

30 [11] Vancampfort D, Vanderlinden J, De Hert M, Adámkova M, Skjaerven LH, Matamoros
31 DC, et al. A systematic review on physical therapy interventions for patients with binge eating
32 disorder. *Disabil Rehabil* 2013;DOI: 10.3109/09638288.2013.771707.
33
34

35 [12] Vancampfort D, Vanderlinden J, Stubbs B, Soundy A, Pieters G, De Hert M, et al.
36 Physical activity correlates in persons with binge eating disorder: a systematic review. *Eur Eat*
37 *Disord Rev* 2014;22(1):1-8.
38
39

40 [13] Larsson UE, Reynisdottir S. The six-minute walk test in outpatients with obesity:
41 reproducibility and known group validity. *Physiother Res Internat* 2008;13:84-93.
42
43

44 [14] Beriault K, Carpentier AC, Gagnon C, Menard J, Baillargeon JP, Ardilouze JL, et al.
45 (2009). Reproducibility of the 6-minute walk test in obese adults. *Int J Sports Med* 2009;
46 30:725-7.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [15] American Thoracic Society. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test.
4
5 Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002;166:111-7.
6
7 [16] Vanderlinden JL, Adriaensen A, Vancampfort D, et al. A cognitivebehavioral therapeutic
8 program for patients with obesity and binge eating disorder: short- and long-term follow-up
9 data of a prospective study. Behav Modif 2012;36:670-86.
10
11 [17] First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for
12 DSM-IV Axis I Disorders—Patient Edition (SCID-I/P, Version 2.0) New York: New York
13 State Psychiatric Institute; 1996.
14
15 [18] Baecke J, Burema J, Frijters J. A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual
16 physical activity in epidemiological studies. Am J Clin Nutr 1982;36:936-42.
17
18 [19] Westerterp KR. Assessment of physical activity level in relation to obesity: current
19 evidence and research issues. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1999;31:522-5.
20
21 [20] Derogatis LR. SCL-90-R. Administration, scoring and procedures manual, II.
22 Clinical Psychometric Research: Towson MD; 1983.
23
24 [21] Arrindell WA, Ettema JHM. SCL-90: Handleiding bij een multidimensionele
25 psychopathologie-indicator. Swets & Zeitlinger: Lisse; 1986.
26
27 [22] Fox KR The Physical Self-Perception Profile manual. Office for Health Promotion,
28 Northern Illinois University: DeKalb IL; 1990.
29
30 [23] Van de Vliet P, Knapen J, Onghena P, Fox KR, Van Coppenolle H, David A, et al.
31 Assesment of physical self-perceptions in normal Flemish adults versus depressed psychiatric
32 persons. Personal Indiv Diff, 2002;32:855-63.
33
34 [24] Vancampfort D, Probst M, Sweers K, Maurissen K, Knapen J, De Hert M. Relationships
35 between obesity, functional exercise capacity, physical activity participation and physical self
36 perception in people with schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 2011;123:423-30.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 [25] Garner DM, Olmsted MP, Polivy J. Development and validation of a multidimensional
4 eating disorder inventory for anorexia nervosa and bulimia. *Int J Eat Disord* 1993;2:15-34.

5
6 [26] Tasca GA, Illing V, Lybanon-Daigle V, Bissada H, Balfour L. Psychometric properties
7 of the Eating Disorders Inventory - 2 among women seeking treatment for Binge Eating
8 Disorder. *Assessment* 2003;10:228-36.

9
10 [27] Rovere MT, Pinna GD, Maestri R, Olmetti F, Paganini V, Riccardi G, et al. The 6-
11 minute walking test and all-cause mortality in patients undergoing a post-cardiac surgery
12 rehabilitation program. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2013; Epub ahead of print.

13
14 [28] Cacciatore F, Abete P, Mazzella F, Furgi G, Nicolino A, Longobardi G, Six-minute
15 walking test but not ejection fraction predicts mortality in elderly patients undergoing cardiac
16 rehabilitation following coronary artery bypass grafting. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2012;19(6):1401-
17 9.

18
19 [29] Sonstroem RJ, Morgan WP. Exercise and self-esteem: Rationale and model.
20 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1989;21:329-37.

21
22 [30] Sonstroem RJ, Harlow LL, Gemma LM, Osborne S. Test of structural relationships
23 within a proposed exercise and self-esteem model. *J Pers Assess* 1991;56:348-64.

24
25 [31] Knapen J, Vancampfort D, Schoubs B, Probst M, Sienaert P, Haake P, Peuskens j,
26 Pieters G. Exercise for the treatment of depression. *The Open Complementary Medicine*
27 *Journal* 2009; 1: 78-83.

28
29 [32] Soundy A, Taylor A, Faulkner G, Rowlands A. The psychometric properties of
30 the seven-day physical activity recall questionnaire in individuals with severe mental illness.
31 *Archiv Psychiatr Nurs* 2007;21:309-16.

32
33 [33] Cahan MA, Montgomery P, Otis RB, Clancy R, Flinn W, Gardner A. The effect of
34 cigarette smoking status on six-minute walk distance in patients with intermittent
35 claudication. *Angiology* 1999;50:537-46.

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

1
2
3 [34] Vancampfort D, Probst M, Maurissen K, Sweers K, Knapen J, De Hert M. Reliability,
4 minimal detectable changes, practice effects and correlates of the six-minute walk test in
5 patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Res* 2011;187:62-7.
6
7

8
9 [35] Anzengruber D, Klump KL, Thornton L, Brandt H, Crawford S, Fichter MM, et al.
10 Smoking in eating disorders. *Eat Behav* 2006;7:291-9.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

Table 1. Differences in demographical characteristics between treatment-seeking obese persons with and without BED and non-obese controls

	Obese persons with BED (n=40)	Obese persons without BED (n=20)	Non-obese controls (n=40)
Gender (M/F)	5/35	3/17	5/35
Age	41.0±10.9	41.4±10.0	40.4±11.0
BMI (kg/m ²)	39.2±6.0 ^a	38.2±7.2 ^b	23.8±2.9 ^{a,b}

Fisher's Exact tests (gender) ($p < 0.05$) or ANOVA with post hoc Sheffe test ($p < 0.05$): a=obese BED versus non-obese controls; b=obese non-BED versus non-obese controls; BED= binge eating disorder; BMI= body mass index.

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

Table 2. Differences in functional exercise capacity, eating and physical activity behaviour, physical self-perception and psychopathology between treatment-seeking obese persons with and without BED and non-obese controls

	Obese persons with BED (n=40)	Obese persons without BED (n=20)	Non-obese controls (n=40)
6MWT (m)	512.1±75.8 ^a	535.7±69.0 ^c	682.7±98.4 ^{a,c}
Baecke Physical Activity			
Total score	6.8±1.5 ^{a,b}	8.0±1.3 ^b	8.8±1.0 ^a
Work	2.8±0.7	2.9±0.6	2.7±0.6
Leisure time	2.2±0.8 ^{a,b}	2.7±0.6 ^b	3.0±0.5 ^c
Sports participation	1.8±0.6 ^{a,b}	2.4±0.6 ^{b,c}	3.0±0.6 ^{a,c}
PSPP			
Sports competence/ Physical fitness	18.4±4.9 ^{a,b}	22.6±4.7 ^{b,c}	30.1±6.8 ^{a,c}
Body attractiveness	7.9±2.1 ^{a,b}	11.1±2.8 ^{b,c}	14.4±3.3 ^{a,c}
Physical strength	11.9±3.7 ^a	13.0±2.9	14.2±3.6 ^a
Physical self-worth	8.8±2.5 ^{a,b}	12.5±3.3 ^{b,c}	15.5±2.8 ^{a,c}
SCL-90			
Total score	194.6±43.5 ^{a,b}	134.3±30.2 ^{b,c}	100.2±10.2 ^{a,c}
Anxiety	20.4±7.5 ^{a,b}	13.4±4.9 ^b	10.6±0.9 ^a
Depression	39.1±12.2 ^{a,b}	26.2±8.8 ^b	17.3±2.2 ^a
Somatisation	23.1±7.0 ^{a,b}	19.3±6.2 ^{b,c}	13.6±2.6 ^{a,c}
Cognitive-performance deficits	21.9±5.9 ^{a,b}	14.7±4.3 ^b	10.5±1.4 ^a
Interpersonal sensitivity	39.8±12.7 ^{a,b}	26.8±8.1 ^b	20.8±4.1 ^a
Acting-out hostility	10.3±2.6 ^{a,b}	8.2±2.3 ^b	6.4±0.7 ^{a,c}
Agoraphobia	11.4±4.2 ^{a,b}	7.7±1.0 ^b	7.2±0.6 ^a
Sleep difficulties	7.7±3.6 ^{a,b}	5.8±2.4 ^b	4.0±1.6 ^a
EDI Bulimia score	9.0±4.7 ^{a,b}	1.9±2.2 ^b	0.33±1.2 ^a

BED= binge eating disorder; PSPP= physical self perception profile; SCL-90= symptom checklist-90; EDI= eating disorders inventory; ANOVA with post hoc Sheffe test (p<0.05):a=obese BED versus non-obese controls; b= obese BED versus obese non-BED; c=obese non-BED versus non-obese controls.

Functional exercise capacity in binge eating

Table 3. Differences between treatment-seeking obese persons with and without BED and non-obese controls in the presence of physical complaints and discomforts before and after the walk test

	Obese persons with BED (n=40)	Obese persons without BED (n=20)	Non-obese controls (n=40)
Friction of the skin pre	12 (30.0%) ^a	5 (25.0%) ^c	0 (0%) ^{a,c}
Urinary stress incontinence pre	7 (17.5%) ^a	4 (20.0%) ^c	1 (2.5%) ^{a,c}
Hip pain pre	4 (10.0%) ^a	3 (15.0%) ^c	1 (2.5%) ^{a,c}
Foot static problems or pain pre	20 (50.0%) ^a	11 (55.0%) ^c	6 (15.0%) ^{a,c}
Back pain pre	34 (85.0%) ^a	19 (95.0%) ^c	27 (67.5%) ^{a,c}
Knee pain pre	34 (85.0%) ^a	19 (95.0%) ^c	6 (15.0%) ^{a,c}
Musculoskeletal pain post	19 (47.5%) ^{a,b}	5 (25.0%) ^{b,c}	2 (5.0%) ^{a,c}
Dyspnoea post	24 (60.0%) ^a	10 (50.0%) ^c	2 (5.0%) ^{a,c}
Muscular fatigue post	16 (40.0%) ^{a,b}	3 (15.0%) ^{b,c}	2 (5.0%) ^{a,c}
Tibia pain post	18 (45.0%) ^{a,b}	3 (15.0%) ^b	8 (20.0%) ^a

BED= binge eating disorder; Fisher's Exact tests ($p < 0.05$): a=obese BED versus non-obese controls; b= obese BED versus obese non-BED; c=obese non-BED versus non-obese controls.

Table 4. Forward stepwise regression analysis with the functional exercise capacity as the dependent variable

Variables ^o	Adjusted r ²	B	SE	β	<i>P</i>
Baecke sports	0.337	49.1	18.1	0.421	0.011
PSPP physical strength	0.417	6.6	3.1	0.325	0.044

^oOnly significant correlates were included in the model, B=unstandardized coefficient, SE= standard error, β = standardized coefficient, PSPP= Physical Self Perception Profile.