UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Immigration and labor shortages

Lui-Farrer, Gracia; Green, Anne; Ozgen, Ceren; Cole, Matt

DOI:

10.1177/01171968231188532

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Lui-Farrer, G, Green, A, Ozgen, C & Cole, M 2023, 'Immigration and labor shortages: learning from Japan and the United Kingdom', *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1177/01171968231188532

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 16. May. 2024



Article



Immigration and labor shortages: Learning from Japan and the United Kingdom

Asian and Pacific Migration Journal 2023, Vol. 0(0) 1–26

© Scalabrini Migration Center 2023



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/01171968231188532 journals.sagepub.com/home/amj



Gracia Liu-Farrer 🗅

Waseda University

Anne E. Green , Ceren Ozgen and Matthew A. Cole University of Birmingham

Abstract

Industrialized countries have increasingly used skill-based selective migration policies to reduce labor and skill shortages. But are these policies effective? This paper uses Japan and the United Kingdom to illustrate how immigration policy and employment, training and labor practices influence labor and skill supply. Until recently, these two countries had different migration policies and labor practices. Yet data shows similar patterns of labor and skill shortage profiles in both countries. This paper draws on empirical research to argue that such outcomes suggest that immigration policies will not alleviate labor and skill shortages unless accompanied by the transformation of employment and training practices.

Keywords

Labor shortages, skill shortages, immigration policy, training, labor market, Japan, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

Gracia Liu-Farrer, Graduate School of Asia-Pacific Studies, Waseda University, 1-21-1 Nishi-Waseda, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169-0051, Japan.

Email: glfarrer@waseda.jp

Introduction

Since the late 20th century, industrialized countries have faced deepening demographic crises as a result of aging populations and low fertility rates. The intensification of moves toward a knowledge-based economy has also driven developed economies to participate in "the race for talent" (Shachar, 2006). Governments around the world have used immigration policies to lure desirable human resources and recruit foreign workers to fill labor and skill shortages. Globally, 68 percent of governments identify meeting labor market demands as the underlying reason for their current immigration policy (United Nations, 2017). Labor immigration policies tend also to select individuals based on specific criteria, usually skill levels and labor market needs. However, is immigration a magic solution to labor shortages?

Some studies, mainly from European Union countries, have tried to scrutinize how labor migration can play a role in alleviating current and future labor shortages (e.g., Boswell et al., 2004). While researchers agree that immigration generally benefits the host country's welfare system and economic growth, they also concur that "the need for labor migration cannot be expressed in terms of precise numbers of people with specific skills for a well-defined time period" (Doudeijns and Dumont, 2003: 211). This is because, as Ruhs and Anderson (2010) note, labor shortages are complicated issues. The complication has much to do with how changes in technology reshape employers' desire for the type of skills employees should possess, how skills are defined, and how wider labor market policy, and regulatory and institutional frameworks might affect the labor immigration policy.

In this paper, we examine the experiences of two countries—Japan and the United Kingdom (UK)—whose immigration policies and labor market practices have traditionally sat at opposite ends of the spectrum, to consider what lessons can be learned about immigration's intervention regarding labor shortages. Japan and the UK present two extreme cases because of their drastically different post-war immigration histories and labor market structures and practices. At one extreme, Japan represents an ethno-cultural outlier traditionally closed to foreign workers and with a more tightly regulated labor market reliant on a strong formalized enterprise-specific training regime to meet skill needs. The share of foreign nationals in Japan was only 2.6 percent of total employed workers by October 2021, 2 considerably lower than other

¹Labor and skill shortages mean different things. In this paper, we use both terms depending on context. In Japan, the term "hitode busoku (a shortage of humans and hands)" is used in government statistics. In the UK, labor and skill shortages are both used in different contexts and some statistics specifically refer to skill shortages.

²Based on 1,727,221 employed foreign workers out of 66,590,000 total employed workers in Japan as of October 2021. The first number is from MHLW (2021), and the second is from Statistics Bureau of Japan (n.d.a).

developed countries. Conversely, the UK may be characterized as a country that has had a history of continued immigration with a largely unregulated labor market. It has a large and occupationally diverse immigrant population. Non-UK citizens comprised 12.0 percent (and people born outside the UK account for 18.5 percent) of those in employment in the UK in 2021. However, despite the history of different labor approaches and immigration policies, these two countries have shared similar industrial profiles of labor shortages. Investigating how such similar outcomes have been reached within two countries that possess significantly different cultures in terms of viewing migration as a policy tool to address labor shortages, we argue, contributes insights into the mechanisms that cause labor shortages, the role that immigration policy can play in addressing them, and how other social and political measures might help alleviate them.

In what follows, we discuss changing labor immigration policies in these two countries in the post-World War II era and use secondary data sources and administrative statistics to demonstrate the sectoral and occupational demands for skills. We then analyze how immigrant labor and their skills are utilized in the respective labor market. We show that Japan's labor shortages have resulted from a restrictive labor immigration policy. Moreover, its dualistic labor market structure makes it inefficient in retaining and utilizing both high- and lowskilled immigrant workers that have entered the country. In contrast, UK employers have helped create an insufficiently trained domestic labor force by relying on a flexible immigrant labor force to meet labor demands and neglecting investment in skills training. This has resulted in a lack of readiness among workers to fulfill emerging skill requirements. Furthermore, the inadequate job quality, characterized by relatively low wages and substandard working conditions, has led to reluctance among certain UK individuals to take low-skill jobs. Meanwhile, the immigrant workforce in the UK has been polarized between casualized and low-skilled occupations and high-skill jobs. In both countries, the COVID-19 pandemic and additionally in the UK, the post-Brexit loss of immigrants, have aggravated labor shortages and prompted the governments to reconsider labor immigration policies. However, as our paper shows, immigration policy itself does not work the magic. Labor supply, at the minimum, requires the transformation of training and employment systems in both countries.

Immigration policies and labor shortages in Japan and the UK

Japan and the UK have had very different histories of immigration in the post-World War II era. While their immigration policies have not been designed solely for the sake of addressing labor shortages, the majority of immigrants have been active in the host labor market. This section compares Japan and the UK's post-war immigration policies and immigrants' labor market positions. It shows that despite different scopes of immigrant labor market presence, their labor shortage profiles were quite similar by the end of 2021.

Japan and the UK's immigration policy compared

Japan's post-World War II immigration policy is characterized by the following three developments: Practically no labor immigration from 1945 to the 1980s; selective migration prioritizing the highly skilled and restricting low-skilled labor migration from the late 1980s onward; and the loosening of restriction on manual and service migrant workers from the 2010s.

Japan did not have an official policy for importing labor in the first three decades after World War II because it had a relatively large agricultural labor reserve to supply workers to fast developing urban industries (Strausz, 2019). It ran out of rural labor reserve when the economy boomed in the 1980s, forcing the government to consider labor import. The 1989 Immigration Control and Refugee Recognition Act (ICRRA) marked the beginning of contemporary labor immigration in Japan. Concerned with what the government deemed as undesirable social consequences of guest worker programs seen in Europe, the political elites rejected the notion of importing low-skilled labor (Strausz, 2019).

Japan's migration policy has been based on skill and ethnic descent. The 1989 ICRRA created 14 employment-based visa categories, 13 of which are designated to promote the immigration of technical, professional and business migrants (Akashi, 2010). In 2012, Japan introduced a point system and the category of "highly skilled professionals," incentivizing qualified foreign workers to stay. Ethnic descent-based selection is reflected in the creation of a separate visa category—long-term resident—through the 1989 ICRRA. This visa is granted to the descendants of Japanese nationals (up to the third generation) and their families, legal guardians of children of Japanese nationals, or other individuals considered eligible by the Ministry of Justice (MOJ, 2020). Given a lack of official labor immigration channels, low-skilled labor entered Japan through the so-called "side doors," that is, channels that are not designed for employment but have been used as such. These include the long-term resident visa, the technical internship and training program (TITP) and international student visas. The long-term resident visa, intended to allow coethnic individuals to resettle in Japan, proved attractive to both Japanese businesses and the ethnic Japanese in South America. The early 1990s saw the rapid increase of ethnic Japanese-Brazilian and Japanese-Peruvian migrants working in the manufacturing sector (Yamanaka, 1995). TITP, a designated technological transfer program for developing countries, has also been used as a major channel for importing cheap labor into Japan's manufacturing, fishing and agricultural sectors (Kamibayashi, 2015). Finally, since 1983, the student visa has been one of the most accessible entry categories. Because a student visa permits off-campus work and can be changed to a work visa when the student

finds professional employment, it became a "side door" for labor import (Liu-Farrer and Tran, 2019).

In the 2010s, depopulation and labor shortages have threatened the survival of enterprises and rural towns. Facing this demographic crisis, the Japanese government has redefined skills and created special economic zones to recruit a broader range of migrant workers while maintaining the consistency of its skillbased immigration policies (Oishi, 2020). In 2018, a new visa category "specified skilled worker (SSW)" was created to admit 14 categories³ of "workready foreign workers who possess certain expertise and techniques" (Cabinet Office, 2018). SSW has two tiers. SSW1 represents "a middle-skilled category" which requires a minimum of three years of work experience and equivalent skills, and SSW2 is considered equivalent to high-skilled workers. By doing so, the Japanese government has broadened the definition of skilled migrants to include manual and service workers. Although this policy marks a break from Japan's previous immigration stance that shuns the import of manual labor, SSW visas remain rigid and restrictive. First, the quota for each category, which totals 345,150 in five years, was fixed at a 2018 calculation of labor shortages in different industrial sectors. For example, the category of manufacturing workers has a total quota of 5,250 for five years. By February 2022, Japan had received 5,400 workers in this category. The Ministry of Justice (MOJ), therefore, terminated new overseas recruits in this category, allowing only resident status transfer from TITP (ISA, 2022a). Second, the government places strict control over foreign workers. Employers are obligated to report labor conditions on a quarterly basis. The workers are not allowed to bring in family or to extend their stay beyond five years, unless they succeed in attaining SSW2 status. However, SSW2 visa is open to only two of the 14 categories of workers. As of December 2022, only eight people in the category of construction were granted this status (ISA, 2022b).

In contrast to Japan's rejection of immigration, the UK, although not a settler country, has been a country of immigration. The UK's post-World War II immigration policy is marked by four main historical developments. The first three developments contributed to the increase of foreign migrant labor in the UK's workforce, even if they were not motivated primarily by labor market considerations. The first is the absorption of former colonial subjects into the labor market. Until the early 1960s, the UK established a work permit system and special schemes to facilitate migration from countries which were previously part of the British Empire and the Commonwealth (Salt and Bauer, 2020). The British Nationality Act 1948 afforded citizenship to those coming from UK colonies, giving them the right to live and work in the UK. The automatic right of people of the British Commonwealth and colonies to settle in

 $^{^{3}}$ In 2022, three categories were collapsed into one; currently, there are 12 occupational categories in total.

the UK ended with the Commonwealth Immigrants Act 1962 which permitted only those with UK government-issued employment vouchers to work in the UK, with an overall annual cap on the numbers. The Immigration Act 1971 replaced employment vouchers with work permits that impose restrictions on job types, tenure and qualifications. Hence, from the 1960s to the 1990s, the UK's immigration policy was marked by increasing restriction and immigration control. After 1973, except for citizens from the European Economic Area (EEA), immigration to the UK was more regulated (Green and Skeldon, 2013).

By the 1990s, it was clear that the UK faced increasing international competition for migrant labor, particularly the highly skilled. This prompted a reversal to a more open immigration policy for non-EEA workers. However, immigration policy became increasingly complex as the UK implemented different schemes and adjusted rules in the issuance of work permits to highly skilled and skilled migrants from outside the EEA. A second development was the introduction of a points-based system (PBS) in 2008. This was aimed at managing migrant flows from outside the EEA and meeting the UK's needs for highly skilled and skilled labor, guided by evidence-based information from the independent, non-statutory, non-departmental body Migration Advisory Committee (MAC). The MAC is a non-statutory, non-departmental government body sponsored by the Home Office that advises the UK government on migration issues. It comprises independent experts in migration (mainly from academia) who are appointed under rules relating to public appointments laid down by the Office of the Commissioner for Public Appointments.

A third concurrent development was more regional when, in 1973, the UK became a member of the then European Economic Community (EEC) until Brexit, when the UK formally left the European Union (EU) at the end of December 2020. During this period, the UK allowed EEC (and subsequently EU) citizens to freely access the UK labor market. Under the freedom of movement principle, EU citizens seeking work in the UK were eligible to take up employment in any job. There was an underlying assumption in the UK immigration policy that migrant workers from the EEA could help address labor and skill shortages in the UK and provide flexible labor in low-skilled jobs as needed by the UK domestic labor market (Gower, 2018).

A fourth development from 2021 is the post-Brexit UK immigration policy, which may be characterized as exerting control on immigration, reducing the number of arrivals and maintaining economic value. In practice, the key principles are ending free movement, equal treatment of EU and non-EU citizens, and the implementation of a revised points-based system. For skilled workers, a new tradeable points test was introduced to determine eligibility for a visa, minimum salary and skill thresholds were reduced (to cover middle-and higher level skills), and restrictions on visa numbers were lifted (McKinney et al., 2022). Post-Brexit immigration policy is also designed to encourage the immigration of the highly skilled by easing some requirements. An example is

the introduction of the High Potential Individual (HPI) visa, which gives individuals who have been awarded a degree by an eligible university in the last five years permission to stay in the UK for at least two years (and three years if the qualification is at a doctoral level). A further key plank of post-Brexit immigration policy is the creation of the EU Settlement Scheme, which grants permanent residence to EU citizens living in the UK. More than six million people applied (including eligible citizens who left the UK). This policy development is the last of several changes toward selective immigration policy spanning several decades. Note that there is no formal route for low-skilled labor post-Brexit, except for a scheme for seasonal agricultural workers and specific short-term temporary visas that have been issued since 2021 to workers such as poultry workers, butchers and heavy goods vehicle (HGV) food drivers to meet labor market demand in these sectors and occupations.

In the wake of COVID-19, in 2020, the UK experienced a short-term pause in international migration and travel. The concurrence of COVID-19 and the introduction of the new post-Brexit immigration system render it difficult to assess the impact of COVID-19 and how the new immigration system will unfold in the longer term. However, in-depth qualitative interviews with employers undertaken between November 2021 and May 2022 reveal that ending the freedom of movement is seen as a greater threat for the future than COVID-19 (MAC, 2022).

The two countries have clearly moved from the opposite ends of the immigration policy spectrum and are now showing signs of policy convergence. The UK is moving toward selective immigration, with a focus on skilled workers, while Japan is now allowing certain types of manual workers to enter the country. At the same time, both countries offer selective official immigration routes for migrant workers who are not necessarily tertiary educated but who can address labor and skill shortages in middle-skilled occupations.

The persistent gap: Labor shortages and the utility of immigrant labor

Despite the different histories of labor immigration policies, the reality is that both Japan and the UK suffer labor and skill shortages. Below, we present the shortage profiles and immigrants' positions in the national labor markets in these two countries.

Japan

With more than 28 percent of the population older than 65 and fewer than 60 percent aged between 15 and 64 years, Japan is the oldest country in the world

and faces a rapidly shrinking domestic labor force (Cabinet Office, 2019). Japan's unemployment rate dropped to 2.4 percent by the end of 2019 (Statistics Bureau of Japan, n.d.b.), and the job vacancy ratio reached 1.57—that is, for each person who was seeking a job, 1.57 jobs were available (MHLW, 2020). The situation is particularly challenging in sectors such as agriculture and construction. In 2020, the average age of agricultural workers was 67.8, and of the 1.36 million people in agriculture, 70 percent are older than 65 (MAFF, 2020). In construction, 35.2 percent of the workforce were older than 55 by 2019, with 16.4 percent older than 65 (MLIT, 2020). While the COVID-19 pandemic had negative impacts on Japan's economy, it did not bring much relief to Japan's labor crunch. By June 2022, the total unemployment rate remained at a very low 2.6 percent. On the other hand, 80.1 percent of the population between ages 20 and 69 were employed, leaving very little labor reserve (Statistics Bureau of Japan, 2022).

According to the labor shortages survey conducted annually by Teikoku Data Bank (TDB), a leading marketing research firm, among the more than 10,000 enterprises across industrial sectors and of different sizes who responded to their survey in January 2020, 49.5 percent of them reported a shortage of regular workers (employees who hold permanent full time jobs), while 29.2 percent reported a shortage of non-regular workers (employees who are on contracts, dispatched temporary workers, or parttime workers). Although the numbers dropped drastically in the spring of 2020, when the government implemented strict measures to control COVID-19 pandemic, they rose again in 2021 and 2022. In the same survey carried out in April 2022, 45.9 percent of firms reported a shortage of regular workers and 27.3 percent reported a shortage of non-regular workers, which were similar to pre-pandemic levels. Table 1 presents the industrial sectors that have reported the largest shortages in regular and non-regular workers. Industries that have demonstrated consistent labor crunches are information services, construction, primary industries and various service sectors.

Through the "front doors" (i.e., official channels established specifically for the labor import of skilled workers) and the "side doors" (as outlined above), employers around Japan reported hiring over 1.7 million migrant workers. Table 2 presents the distribution of foreign workers across sectors in 2021. Foreign workers are concentrated in several industries—manufacturing (27.0 percent of the total foreign labor force), hotel, food and beverage services (11.8 percent), wholesale and retail services (13.3 percent), and other unspecified services (16.3 percent). This distribution corresponds to sectors reporting labor shortages (see Table 1). However, in sectors such as information services, construction and agriculture, the presence of immigrant workers is far from meeting the demand for workers.

Table 1. Top 10 industries reporting labor shortages of employees in 2020 and 2022, Japan.

Employment type and industry	January 2020	Employment type and industry	April 2022
Employment type and industry	2020	Employment type and industry	2022
Regular employee		Regular employee	
Broadcasting	76.9	Information service	64.6
Information service	74.6	Maintenance, security and inspection	60.1
Construction	68.5	Construction	59.4
Transportation and warehouse	66.0	Retail of automobile and parts	58.4
Maintenance, security and inspection	65.2	Temporary staffing and recruiting	58.0
Retail of automobile and parts	64.6	Restaurant	56.9
Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	64.3	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	55.7
Medical, welfare, health and hygiene	61.9	Broadcasting	55.6
Hotel	61.5	Hotel	52.4
Retail of home electric appliances etc.	60.6	Transportation and warehouse	52.2
Non-regular employee		Non-regular employee	
Restaurant	76.9	Restaurant	77.3
Retail of various products	60.0	Hotel	56.1
Hotel	60.0	Temporary staffing and recruiting	53.6
Maintenance, security and inspection	53.5	Retail of various products	52.3
Retail of food and beverage	51.6	Retail of food and beverage	48.7
Temporary staffing and recruiting	50.0	Maintenance, security and inspection	43.9
Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	48.0	Agriculture, forestry and fisheries	43.3
Retail of medicine and convenience goods	47.1	Retail of fabric and clothing items	42.9
Medical, welfare, health and hygiene	46.3	Entertainment services	42.6
Finance	45.5	Education services	41.7

Note: The percentages in the columns indicate the percentages of the surveyed firms that reported labor shortages.

Source: Teikoku Data Bank (2020, 2022).

Sectors	All foreign workers (percent)
Manufacturing	27.0
Wholesale/retail	13.3
Other unspecified services	16.3
Hotel, food and beverage	11.8
Construction	6.4
Education	4.3
Information/communications	4.1
Health and medical services	3.3
Others	13.6

Table 2. Distribution of foreign workers across sectors in Japan in 2021.

Note: Total number of foreign workers: 1,727,221.

Source: MHLW (2021).

UK

At the end of 2019, before the COVID-19 pandemic impacted on the domestic and global economy, the UK's employment rate was at a record high of 76.5 percent while the unemployment rate was 3.8 percent, which is the same level as in Summer 2022 according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2022a). In October-December 2019, there were 805,000 unfilled jobs in the UK. Although it was 50,000 fewer than in the previous year, this figure represents a high level of vacancies in historical terms. By April 2022, there were 1.3 million unfilled vacancies according to the ONS (2022b). Evidence from the most recent Employer Skills Survey reveals that skill deficiencies are an important issue in the UK. The 2019 Employer Skills Survey recorded 214,000 skill-shortage vacancies, representing 24 percent of all vacancies in the UK (excluding Scotland)⁴ in 2019, more than double from 91,000 or 16 percent of total vacancies in 2011 (Winterbotham et al., 2018, 2020). The density of skill-shortage vacancies in 2019 was highest in the construction and manufacturing sectors at 36 percent. The density of skill-shortage vacancies in these sectors has been persistently high since 2013. The next highest densities of skill-shortage vacancies were in the primary sector and utilities, business services, and transport and storage. It is worth noting that many of these sectors, which report substantial labor shortages in Japan, continue to face this issue despite the significant presence of immigrants in their workforce. Table 3 displays the sector-wise distribution of foreign-born workers in the UK in 2021.

⁴The 2019 Employer Skills Survey covered England, Wales and Northern Ireland only.

Table 3. Share of foreign-born workers by industry in the UK, 2021.

	Cour	ntry of birth (in p	percent)
Industry	UK	EU (excl. UK)	Non-EU (excl. UK)
Agriculture, forestry and fishing	93.5	3.6	2.9
Mining and quarrying	84.2	9.2	6.5
Manufacturing	82.5	9.5	8.1
Electricity, gas and air conditioner supply	86.4	2.8	10.8
Water supply, sewerage and waste	86.7	7.3	5.9
Construction	86.4	6.7	6.9
Wholesale, retail and repair of vehicles	84.0	7.2	8.8
Transport and storage	74.3	10.2	15.5
Accommodation and food services	75.2	10.0	14.7
Information and communication	72.7	8.5	18.8
Financial and insurance activities	79.1	7.0	13.9
Real estate activities	89.3	4.5	6.2
Prof, scientific and technical activities	80.1	7.7	12.2
Admin and support services	79.4	8.2	12.4
Public admin and defense	88.3	4.4	7.3
Education	83.2	6.4	10.4
Health and social work	78.0	6.8	15.2
Arts, entertainment and recreation	89.4	4.6	6.0
Other service activities	85.5	4.9	9.5
Households as employers	67.0	18.0	15.0
Extraterritorial organizations	65.9	7.0	27.0
Total	81.6	7.2	11.2

Note: Authors' own calculation based on data from the UK Labour Force Survey for 2021 (ONS, n.d.).

Analyses of the occupations with the highest shares of non-EU-born and EU-born workers highlight the bi-modal concentration of the migrant labor (see Table 4). Overall, the particularly "migrant dense" occupations are in health (for non-EU-born workers) and STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) sectors (for both non-EU and EU-born workers). This suggests the importance of non-UK-born workers in both high-skilled jobs and low-skilled occupations in factories, operative roles and food preparation (for both non-EU and EU-born workers) and other skilled trades (notably construction) (especially for both EU-born workers).

·			
Non-EU-born workers	Percent of total workers in occupation	EU-born workers	Percent of total workers in occupation
Health professionals	18	Factory and machine operators	15
Food preparation and other skilled trades	17	Food preparation and other skilled trades	14
Drivers and mobile machine operators	16	Low-skilled factory and construction occupations	13
STEM professionals	15	Low-skilled cleaning, warehousing and other services	11
Caring personal service	14	STEM professionals	10
Low-skilled cleaning, warehousing and other services	13	Drivers and mobile machine operators	9
Business, media and public service professionals	12	Leisure, travel and related personal service	8
Other managers and proprietors	12	Skilled construction and building trades	8
Corporate managers and directors	11	Health professionals	8
STEM associate professionals	10	Culture, media and sports	7

Table 4. Top 10 occupations with the highest shares of non-EU-born and EU-born workers in the UK, 2020.

Source: Annual Population Survey 2020, adapted from Figure 9, Fernández-Reino and Rienzo (2022: 13).

Understanding labor and skill shortages in Japan and the UK

While a direct comparison of the magnitude of labor and skill shortages in Japan and the UK is hampered by data limitations and definitional differences, available data indicates that, in both countries, the sectors suffering most from labor and skill shortages include construction, primary sector (e.g., agriculture and fishery), information services, transport and storage, and service industries including food and beverage. They also need both manual and technical workers. In this section, we explore labor and skill shortages in these two countries by looking more closely at the mutual influences between labor practices and immigration policy.

Japan: The double obstacles of restrictive migration policy and a rigid employment system

Generally, a country having a rapidly declining labor force resorts to labor import to supplement its labor force. However, as we have shown, Japan's postwar immigration policy has been selective and restrictive. In this section, we argue that, on the top of such restrictive immigration policies, the rigidity of Japan's employment and training system also prevents it from attracting and retaining foreign workers.

Japan has a dualistic labor market structure which distinguishes between regular employment and non-regular employment (Nishikawa and Dejima, 1994). Regularly employed workers are selected from new graduates from high school and universities annually. Once employed, they receive substantial enterprise-specific training, have incremental salary increases, enjoy job security, and attain upward career mobility in the firm. Non-regular workers, usually employed in positions requiring less training and more routine jobs, are traditionally used either as a buffer against economic volatility or to reduce labor costs. On average, non-regular workers earn less than half of what a regular employee does and are the first to be dismissed (Tsuzaki, 2018). Moreover, workers in non-regular employment have limited opportunity to move to regular employment. In 2007, "only 5 percent of 3.65 million non-regular male workers changed to regular jobs; a mere 2.5 percent of all 8.9 million non-regular women workers switched to regular work" (Gordon, 2017: 32). These labor market and employment characteristics, compounded with the restrictive and selective immigration policy, make meeting labor demands in both manual labor and high-tech occupations impossible.

Japan's selective immigration policy was specifically designed to allow only skilled professionals into regular employment, and to keep the stay of manual and service workers in the country temporary. As noted above, until 2019, the employment of the latter was realized by appropriating non-employment schemes as "side doors" for labor import. In most cases, workers entering through such channels are used as temporary buffers and stop-gap solutions to labor shortages because their legal and occupational mobilities are severely restricted (Nagayoshi, 2020). The technical interns and trainees cannot change their visa status and can at most stay for three or five years, depending on the industry. The students are not eligible for full-time employment while they are enrolled. In other words, employers cannot employ these migrants as regular employees because they either have a restricted work permit, as in the case of students, or limited residence and geographic mobility, as in the case of technical interns. While it is the government's intention to keep manual foreign workers' stay in Japan temporary, it also does nothing to alleviate labor shortages in sectors such as construction, health and care work, and agriculture where employers need regular workers that could be trained and work in the long term.

The "Specified Skilled Worker" visa categories implemented in 2019 allow foreign workers to enter in manual and service occupations. While a meaningful step toward loosening immigration restriction, it remains an insufficient temporary guest worker program. Not only does the quota fall short of meeting the labor demand of various industries, the SSW1 visa is also fixed at five years. Except for SSW1 workers in construction and ship building, workers in the rest of the 12 industrial sectors cannot apply and test for the SSW2 visa, which allows continuing residence, but does not allow family reunification. Since most of the SSW1 workers were former technical interns who managed to convert their visa status, in effect, these workers could have been separated from their families for eight years. The language and skill tests and restriction on family reunion might discourage many potential applicants. By March 2022, three years after the start of this program, only 64,730 workers were holding SSW1 visas in Japan, which is less than 20 percent of the target number. Moreover, the sectors that have the most demand for regular laborconstruction, agriculture/fisheries, medical services and hotel-only have a small portion of the quota filled: 6,360 of 40,000 (15.9 percent) in construction, 8,873 of 45,500 (19.5 percent) in agriculture and fisheries combined, 7,019 of 60,000 (11.7 percent) in care work, and 124 of 22,000 (0.6 percent) in hotel services (ISA, 2022b).

Although the Japanese government welcomes the so-called "global talent," Japan's rigid employment systems and ethno-centric cultural expectations, as indicated below, create difficulties for foreign professionals to enter and stay in Japanese firms as regular workers. First, Japanese firms' recruitment standards for regular employees, especially proficiency in Japanese language and cultural competency, bar foreign workers from successfully entering Japan's corporate labor market. Job applicants in Japan are typically required to take written tests that evaluate their language and other problem-solving skills to qualify for interviews. The cultural criteria are so high even international students who graduate from Japanese universities often have difficulty (Liu-Farrer and Shire, 2020). In addition, because Japanese firms have had little experience with cultural diversity, many employers lack confidence in dealing with foreign workers and therefore avoid recruiting them (JILPT, 2013). Secondly, after entering Japanese firms as regular employees, foreign workers are expected to behave like Japanese employees and are expected to adhere to corporate Japan's system of in-house careers (Hof and Tseng, 2020). Some feel deskilled because even though Japanese firms provide on-the-job training, the skills training offered is specific to the firms and is not necessarily transferrable to other

⁵The SSW1 visa requires at least three years of experience in the industry and allows technical interns who have been working in Japan for three years to apply for this visa category.

enterprises, thereby limiting career mobility beyond the firm of employment (Tseng, 2020).

In short, Japan's labor shortages result from the compounding effects of a neoliberal nationalist immigration policy that courts the highly skilled and guards against the less educated and low-skilled workers (Joppke, 2021), and an inflexible employment and training system that is organizationally and culturally ill-prepared to deal with immigrant workers. Although Japanese firms need regular workers in both blue-collar and professional service sectors, the former, until recently, have been brought in either through "side doors" or mostly on a temporary basis and are mostly non-regular and disposable workers while the latter are turned away by corporate Japan's domestically oriented employment and training system.

The UK: Using what's available rather than training what's needed

UK employers are among the least likely employers in the EU to train workers. According to Eurostat data on continuing vocational training, over 70 percent of UK establishments would recruit workers with the required skills rather than train workers, in comparison with an EU average of around 50 percent. A comparison of selected international skills systems reveals that relative to others, the UK has been characterized traditionally by limited employer involvement in the skill development system (Taylor, 2020). Moreover, the UK has one of the lowest levels of government investment in adult training among the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries (Lyons et al., 2020). The availability of an enlarged EU labor pool encouraged this tendency. Since 2005, training spending per employee has fallen 28 percent in real terms, from GBP 2,139 to 1,530 per year, which is less than half the EU average. Over the same period, the proportion of people getting training at work has fallen by 14 percent (Evans, 2022).

A ready supply of migrant labor and the UK's labor market characteristics before Brexit meant that UK employers had been able to adopt such a recruitment strategy. Key features of the UK labor market include its voluntarism and loose regulation enabling hiring and firing of labor, the corrective rather than directive nature of government intervention, and relatively low tax levels. These features are indicative of a liberal labor market regime, characteristic of the "Anglo-Saxon" welfare model, vis-à-vis the more regulated "Continental" European model characterized by greater collective organization and action (Hyman, 2008). As a result, it is relatively easy for migrants to enter employment in the UK. The status of English as a global language also contributes to the UK's attractiveness as a destination for migrants. Hence, immigration policy, the labor market regime and other public policies have acted together to attract migrants and enable them to participate in the labor market relatively easily, and subsequently contributed to a growing demand for them

(Anderson and Ruhs, 2012). Moreover, because the UK labor market has a more fluid structure, unlike the rigid demarcation between regular and non-regular employment that characterizes the Japanese labor market, migrants have been able to play an important role in the less-skilled as well as the more-skilled parts of the UK labor market. However, the liberal labor market regime coupled with the relative openness of the UK to immigration also means that there has been potential for labor abuse—with sectors such as car washes, agriculture, care, construction, hospitality, and warehousing and distribution centers identified as having high risk of labor exploitation (HM Government, 2019). In 2016, the UK Government appointed a Director of Labor Market Enforcement to strengthen efforts to tackle non-compliance in the labor market (e.g., not paying the national minimum wage and deliberate crimes such as modern slavery).

Research focusing on lower skilled jobs in construction and service sectors suggests that employers tended not to target migrant workers explicitly but rather to recruit them because they are available (Migration Advisory Committee, 2014). Free movement enabled the development of a business model in some firms in the UK in which EU migrant workers were used to plug vacancies in low-skilled jobs requiring limited training. Such a model may be characterized as a "low road" one from an economic development perspective, since the jobs involved are often low-paying and underutilizing migrant workers' skills, especially where highly qualified workers fill such jobs (Anderson et al., 2006). As noted in the introduction section, the availability of a large pool of migrant workers ready to work in conditions that may be less desirable to UK workers seeking permanent jobs enabled employers to recruit them and to structure their working practices in a way that utilizes this willingness. As long as a supply of willing migrant workers is available, employers do not have to reconsider their employment practices to redesign jobs (Green, 2019). Indeed, McCollum and Findlay (2015) argue that the ready availability of a cohort of migrant labor, seen as desirable by employers, has extended flexible labor market structures toward the bottom of the labor market.

The availability of migrants to perform low-skilled roles can create path dependencies, leading to employers' reliance on migrant workers becoming entrenched (Ruhs and Anderson, 2010). Once a workforce includes a substantial share of migrant workers, it may be difficult and/or costly for employers to alter the profile, and certain jobs within the labor market may become regarded as "migrant jobs" (Tannock, 2015). However, migration has been insufficient to meet all labor and skills needs, and continuing underinvestment in training by employers over the long-term contributes to ongoing skill shortages. Furthermore, record high levels of unfilled vacancies in low-and middle-skilled occupations in the post-Brexit UK, combined with the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, is another indication that relying solely

on migration, without any concern for retention has been a risky choice. This is particularly true when considering the fluctuations in economic cycles and the varying willingness of foreign workers to immigrate. Therefore, greater investment in training and inculcation of a lifelong learning culture is a policy imperative going forward.

On the other hand, as noted, the UK is reliant on highly skilled and skilled migrant labor to fill labor requirements in the health sector and certain STEM occupations, in particular. In the health sector, a reliance on migrant labor can be traced back to the immediate post-World War II years when the UK encouraged doctors from ex-British colonies and nurses to feed the demand for labor in the newly established National Health Service (NHS). These immigration streams continued in subsequent decades as nursing declined as a career choice among UK school leavers, leading to the active recruitment of nurses in the Philippines and India. In recent years, there has been a stronger emphasis on "home grown" recruitment through greater investment in training, including establishing new medical schools and nurse training places, and a focus on developing pathways for career progression within the healthcare sector. Despite endeavoring to decrease the reliance on migrant labor, in 2018, nurses and doctors together accounted for 52 percent of the usage by employers of the shortage occupation list (SOL). The occupational group accounting for the next single largest share of the SOL following nurses and doctors was design and development engineers, highlighting the importance of highly skilled migration to the UK in the engineering sector and STEM occupations more generally.

In summary, two aspects of the UK labor market regime and immigration policy are of particular relevance in understanding the UK experience of skill shortages. The first is employers' predisposition to recruit rather than to invest in training encouraged by a loosely regulated labor market coupled with the availability of migrant workers until 2020. The second is the ongoing challenge of recruiting and retaining workers in jobs associated with lower level skills and an over reliance on flexible immigrant labor that is less available since Brexit.

Immigration and labor practices: Lessons from two extremes

The paper compared Japan and the UK, two countries with divergent immigration history and labor practices. Despite differences in approaches to immigration and training, both countries are faced with skill shortages. Table 5 summarizes the main characteristics of migration policy development, labor shortage situations and employment and training practices in Japan and the UK.

The key lesson that can be gained from these two extreme cases is that in an age of technological and demographic transformation, a country needs both measures, that is, expanding immigration and reforming training regimes, to

	comparison.	
١	/	•
ļ	$\overline{}$	
Ŀ	_)
	ع)
7	ì	
	1	
	Ξ	į
	Ğ	
	Ξ	
۰	w	
		1
·	_	
	С	
	TV OT	
	Vard	1
	Jarv	
	Vard	1
	Vard	
	Vard	1
	Vard	
	Vard	
	Vard	
•	. A summary	(
•	. A summary	1
•	. A summary	(

	Japan	The UK
Migration policy development	Extremely limited labor migration until the 1980s. The 1980s to 2018: Allowing and encouraging high-skilled labor migration while restricting lowskilled manual and service labor, and the latter entered through the "side doors"—nonemployment categories From 2019, limited and mostly temporary import of manual and service labor; selected categories have prospects of long-term residence	Liberal immigration policy until the 1960s, replaced by a work permit system and special schemes. The 1960s to the 1990s: Increasing restriction and immigration control, followed by greater liberalization and subsequent introduction to the points-based system—rationalizing previous schemes. 1973–2020: Free movement of EEC/EU citizens. Post-Brexit UK immigration policy: Exerting control on immigration, reducing the number of arrivals and maintaining economic value.
Main industries of labor shortages	Intensifying labor shortages in a wide range of industries Regular workers in technical fields, construction, medical/health care and agriculture Non-regular workers in hospitality services, retail, health care and agriculture	Longstanding labor and skill shortage in manufacturing High-skilled labor—especially in the health sector and in science, technology and engineering Low-skilled service and manual workers—especially in food preparation and in factories
Employment system and training regimes	Ū Ä	Liberal labor market regime Under-investment by employers in training and trend toward decreasing investment in training
Reasons for labor and skill shortages	of manual and service ng-term supply of ectors isilled immigrant stem discouraging skill	Over-dependence on a flexible immigrant labor force Employers' under-investment in needed firm-specific skills Poor job quality (in some instances) which discourages some jobseekers to take low-skill jobs Post-Brexit ended the free movement of EU citizens, thereby restricting a key source of labor supply

counter skill shortages. Japan's problem lies in its restrictive immigration policy coupled with a rigid employment and training system. Until recently, Japan allowed only skilled and credentialed professional migrants to enter on renewable employment visas. Blue-collar and service workers were channeled in through non-employment categories—the "side doors" with legal restrictions. Given Japanese firms' employment and training practices, only the former had the chance to enter regular employment and the latter are limited to temporary employment. Although the 2018 ICRRA revision started to allow regular bluecollar workers to enter specific industries in Japan, given its recency and the small number of foreign workers that have managed to enter, the effects of this policy in addressing labor shortages are not yet palpable. On the other hand, although the skilled professionals have access to Japanese firms' on-the-job training, the expectation to assimilate and the firm-specific orientation discourage foreign professionals from participating or remaining in corporate Japan. Changes in the demographic structure as well as labor market skills needed in a globalized economy mean that an enterprise-based training system is not suitable for alleviating skill shortages.

In contrast, the UK's difficulties illustrate the pitfalls of a relative neglect of skills building of the labor force and dependency on flexible immigrant labor. With a relatively ready supply of migrants in the past, employers faced little pressure to redesign jobs and reform working conditions to make them more attractive to native workers. Moreover, utilizing migrant labor to fill shortages allows employers to focus less on training its workforce. When it comes to immigrant workers, relatively liberal approaches to migration in the UK in the past resulted in immigrants being employed in either low- or high-skilled occupations and sectors. Though this polarized distribution is partly due to labor market demand and technological changes, traditional policies based on "recruit rather than train" mentality and the emphasis on flexibility in the lessskilled sectors of the labor market have created a pool of largely untrained, relatively deskilled immigrant workers. Also, the restructuring of the labor force in the context of neoliberalism has resulted in a compartmentalized labor market, where some jobs became undesirable or not acceptable to some UK workers but were acceptable for some groups, such as migrant workers and students. Employers seeking to fill low-skilled jobs are amongst those most impacted by the post-Brexit immigration policy (Green et al., 2016).

Neither country's migration policy nor employment practices had proven sustainable. The past migration policy of the UK created a backlash among the native population and culminated in Brexit, while Japan has been witnessing the worst post-war labor shortages. Consequently, recently Japan and the UK show signs of convergence in their immigration and labor policies. Japan's "Specialized Skilled Worker (SSW)" visas delink skill from education and professional credentials, acknowledging that work in sectors formerly categorized as unskilled in fact requires skills. However, as had been noted, the

scheme remains insufficient and restrictive. Under the current policy, most of the workers, even when they are employed full time and gain skills, will not be able to continue working in Japan after five years. In short, the SSW scheme is still mostly a temporary guest worker scheme. While there is discussion about expanding the SSW2 categories, the policy has not changed at the time of writing.

On the other hand, with the end of the free movement of EU nationals, the UK has implemented more selective and, to some extent, more global policies toward bringing in foreign workers. Post-Brexit immigration policy is intended "to create a high wage, high-skill, high productivity economy" through delivering "a system that works in the interests of the whole of the UK and prioritizes the skills a person has to offer, not where they come from" (HM Government, 2020). The policy, thus, does not distinguish between EU citizens and third-country nationals. By managing the overall volume of immigration, the UK government aims to encourage employers to consider investing in human capital development to attract and retain workers at all skill levels. Moreover, there is increasing recognition of the need for greater investment in training by employers, and in lifelong learning more generally, to meet future skills needs (Lyons et al., 2020). While this represents an important shift, this new policy does not address immediate labor shortages vacated by immigrant workers. With the opening of the economy in 2021, following the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and the impact of Brexit, the UK labor market tightened considerably. Among others, this was driven by record numbers of people changing jobs, the shift toward higher skilled work (Wilson et al., 2020) and, most importantly, lower labor force participation. By the summer of 2022, there were nearly 900,000 fewer people in the UK workforce than if pre-pandemic trends had continued (Institute for Employment Studies, 2022). These trends, coupled with the end of free movement of workers post-Brexit, mean that firms cannot meet labor needs through more supply as was the case previously.

Conclusion

Many developed economies are facing labor and skill shortages brought by technological innovations and demographic transformation. Countries have sought varying strategies to meet these shortages and increasingly see labor migration as a solution. This paper argues that while immigration is necessary in societies with an aging and/or shrinking labor force, immigration is not enough. The labor market structure and training regimes affect immigration's capacity to intervene labor shortages. Japan and the UK, two cases previously polar opposites in terms of immigration and labor practices, shed light on the interactive impacts of both immigration policy and labor practices on labor shortages.

Learning from the experience of Japan and the UK, what does an optimal immigration and employment policy to address labor market needs look like? The UK experience highlights the importance of investing in skills building of both the domestic and immigrant labor force rather than placing undue reliance on expansive use of migrant workers. The Japanese experience highlights the need for a more flexible immigration policy to provide labor in the face of demographic aging and to adjust to labor market needs by opening up formal channels explicitly for labor migrants. Both the Japanese and UK experiences highlight the importance for employers to enhance job quality for all—making jobs attractive to the domestic as well as imported labor force—and to focus on developing pathways for progression in employment at all skill levels, for both the domestic workforce and labor migrants, to retain the skills they need.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The open access to this paper is made possible by a publishing support grant provided by the Waseda University Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies.

ORCID iDs

References

Akashi J (2010) 移民研究と入国管理: 『入国管理政策: 「1990年体制」の成立と展開』 [Japan's Immigration Control Policy: Foundation and Transition]. Kyoto: Nakanishia Shuppan [In Japanese].

Anderson B and Ruhs M (2012) Reliance on migrant labor: Inevitability or policy choice. *Journal of Poverty and Social Justice* 20(1): 23–30. DOI: 10.1332/175982712X626743

Anderson B, Ruhs M, Rogaly B et al. (2006) Fair Enough? Central and East European Migrants in Low-Wage Employment in the UK. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

Boswell C, Stiller S and Straubhaar T (2004) Forecasting labor and skills shortages: How can projections better inform labor migration policies? Paper for the European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment and Social Affairs and Inclusion, July 2004. Available at: http://hdl.voced.edu.au/10707/147428

- Cabinet Office (2018) Basic policy on economic and fiscal management and reform 2018, 15 June. Available at: https://www5.cao.go.jp/keizai-shimon/kaigi/cabinet/honebuto/2018/decision0615.html
- Cabinet Office (2019) Annual Report on the Declining Birthrate 2019. Report, June 2019. Tokyo: Cabinet Office, Government of Japan. Available at: https://www8.cao.go.jp/shoushi/shoushika/whitepaper/measures/english/w-2019/pdf/gaiyoh.pdf
- Doudeijns M and Dumont J (2003) Immigration and labor shortages: Evaluation of needs and limits of selection policies in the recruitment of foreign labor. In: *The economic and social aspects of migration* (eds The European Commission and OECD), Brussels, 21-22 January 2003, pp. 3–31. Available at: http://www.oecd.org/migration/mig/15474016.pdf
- Evans S (2022) Raising the Bar: Increasing Employer Investment in Skills. Report, 02 May. London: Learning and Work Institute. Available at: https://learningandwork.org.uk/resources/research-and-reports/raising-the-bar-increasing-employer-investment-in-skills/
- Fernández-Reino M and Rienzo C (2022) Migrants in the UK labor market: An overview, 06 January. The Migration Observatory. Available at: https://migrationobservatory.ox.ac.uk/resources/briefings/migrants-in-the-uk-labour-market-an-overview/
- Gordon A (2017) New and enduring dual structures of employment in Japan: The rise of non-regular labor, 1980s–2010s. *Social Science Japan Journal* 20(1): 9–36. DOI: 10.1093/ssjj/jyw042.
- Gower M (2018) The UK's points-based system for immigration. Briefing Paper 8911, House of Commons Library. Available at: https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-7662/CBP-7662.pdf
- Green A (2019) Low-skilled employment in a new immigration regime: Challenges and opportunities for business transitions. *National Institute Economic Review* 248: R17–R27.
- Green A, Atfield G and Purcell K (2016) Fuelling displacement and labor market segmentation in low-skilled jobs? Insights from a local study of migrant and student employment. *Environment and Planning A* 48(3): 577–593. DOI: 10.1177/0308518X15614327
- Green A and Skeldon R (2013) Changing definitions of migration, labor market access and experience: A perspective from the UK on "shifting boundaries of belonging." In: Pries L (ed.) Shifting Boundaries of Belonging New Migration Dynamics in Europe and China. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 107–135.
- Hof H and Tseng YF (2020) When "global talents" struggle to become local workers: The new face of skilled migration to corporate Japan. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 29(4): 511–531. DOI: 10.1177/0117196820984088
- HM Government (2019) *United Kingdom Labor Market Enforcement Strategy* 2019/20 *Director of Labor Market Enforcement*. Report, July. London: APS Group. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/819014/UK_Labour_Market_Enforcement_Strategy_2019_to_2020-full_report.pdf

HM Government (2020) The UK's points-based immigration system: Policy statement, 19 February. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-uks-points-based-immigration-system-policy-statement/the-uks-points-based-immigration-system-policy-statement

- Hyman R (2008) Britain and the European Social Model: Capitalism against Capitalism? Working Paper for Institute of Employment Studies, Working paper no. WP19, November 2008. Available at: https://www.employment-studies.co.uk/system/files/resources/files/wp19.pdf
- Immigration Service Agency of Japan (ISA) (2022a) 特定技能「産業機械製造業分野」における在留資格認定証明書交付の一時停止措置等について [Regarding the temporary suspension of the issuance of the certificate of eligibility for the Specified Skilled Worker in the "Industrial machinery manufacturing" category], 02 April. Available at: https://www.moj.go.jp/isa/policies/ssw/03_00017.html [In Japanese].
- Immigration Service Agency of Japan (ISA) (2022b) 特定技能在留外国人数の公表 [Statistics on foreign residents with Specified Skilled Worker status]. Available at: https://www.moj.go.jp/isa/policies/ssw/nyuukokukanri07_00215.html [In Japanese].
- Institute for Employment Studies (2022) Labor market statistics, July 2022. Briefing Note, 19 July. Available at: https://www.employment-studies.co.uk/system/files/resources/files/IESbriefing-LabourMarketStatisticsJuly2022.pdf
- Japan Institute for Labor Policy and Training (JILPT) (2013) JILPT 調査シリーズNo. 110 (JILPT Survey Series No. 110. Survey Series, May. Tokyo: Japan Institute for Labor Policy and Training. Available at: https://www.jil.go.jp/institute/research/2013/documents/0110.pdf [In Japanese].
- Joppke C (2021) *Neoliberal Nationalism: Immigration and the Rise of the Populist Right.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kamibayashi C (2015) 外国人労働者受け入れと日本社会: 技能実習制度の展開とジレンマ [Accepting foreign workers and Japanese society: The dilemma of the technical intern system]. Tokyo: Tōkyō Daigaku Shuppankai [In Japanese].
- Liu-Farrer G and Shire K (2020) Who are the fittest? The question of skills in national employment systems in an age of global labor mobility. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 47(17):1–18. DOI: 10.1080/1369183X.2020.1731987
- Liu-Farrer G and Tran AH (2019) Bridging the institutional gaps: International education as a migration industry. *International Migration* 57(3): 235–249. DOI: 10.1111/imig.12543
- Lyons H, Taylor A and Green A (2020) Rising to the UK's Skills Challenges. Research paper, June. London: Industrial Strategy Council. Available at: https://industrialstrategycouncil.org/sites/default/files/attachments/RisingtotheUK% 27sskillschallenges.pdf
- McCollum D and Findlay A (2015) "Flexible" workers for "flexible" jobs? The labor market function of A8 migrant labor in the UK. Work, Employment and Society 29(3): 427–443.
- McKinney CJ, Gower M and Sturge G (2022) The UK's New Points-Based System for Immigration. Research Briefing 8911, 27 September. London: House of Commons

- Library. Available at: https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-8911/CBP-8911.pdf
- Migration Advisory Committee (MAC) (2014) Migrants in Low-Skilled Work: The Growth of EU and Non-EU Labor in Low-Skilled Jobs and its Impact on the UK. Report, July. London: Migratoin Advisory Committee. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/333083/MAC-Migrants_in_low-skilled_work__Full_report_2014.pdf
- Migration Advisory Committee (MAC) (2022) Migration Advisory Committee Research Paper: Skills Shortages and Employers of Migrant Workers. Research Paper, March. London: Migration Advisory Committee. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1058320/Employer_Research_paper_pre-publication.pdf
- Ministry of Health Labor and Welfare (MHLW) (2020) 一般職業紹介状況 (令和元年12 月分及び令和元年分) [Situations regarding general job introduction (Data on December, 2019 and the year 2019)]. Available at https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/houdou/0000192005_00004.html# [In Japanese].
- Ministry of Health Labor and Welfare (MHLW) (2021) 「外国人雇用状況」の届出状況 まとめ (令和3 年 10 月末現在 [Summary of notification status of "Foreign Employment Status" (as of October 31, 2021)]. Available at: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/11655000/000887554.pdf [In Japanese].
- Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) (2020) 農業労働力に関する統計 [Statistics on agricultural workforce]. Available at: https://www.maff.go.jp/j/tokei/sihyo/data/08.html [In Japanese].
- Ministry of Justice (MOJ) (2020) Immigration control and refugee recognition act. Available at: https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/3624/en
- Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT) (2020) 建設産業の現状と課題 [The current situations of Construction Industry]. Available at: https://www.mlit.go.jp/common/001188729.pdf
- Nagayoshi K (2020) 移民と日本社会 [Immigration and Japanese Society]. Tokyo: Chūkō Shinsho [In Japanese].
- Nishikawa T and Dejima T (1994) 労働市場の2 重構造 [The Dual Structure of Labor Market]. In: Nishikawa T (ed.) 日本の所得と富の分配 [Income and Wealth Distribution in Japan]. Tokyo: Tōkyō Daigaku Shuppankai [In Japanese].
- Oishi N (2020) Skilled or unskilled?: The reconfiguration of migration policies in Japan. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 47(10): 2252–2269. DOI: 10.1080/1369183X. 2020.1731984.
- Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2022a) Labour market overview, UK: June 2022. Available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/bulletins/uklabourmarket/june2022
- Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2022b) Vacancies and jobs in the UK: June 2022. Available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/bulletins/jobsandvacanciesintheuk/june2022

Office for National Statistics (ONS) (n.d.) Labour force survey 2021. The information about the data set is available at: https://www.ons.gov.uk/employmentandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/methodologies/labourforcesurveyperformanceandqualitymonitoringreports/labourforcesurveyperformanceandqualitymonitoringreportapriltojune2021#executive-summary-april-to-june-2021

- Ruhs M and Anderson B (2010) Who Needs Migrant Workers: Labor Shortages, Immigration and Public Policy. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Salt J and Bauer V (2020) Managing Foreign Labor Immigration to the UK: Government Policy and Outcomes since 1945. Occasional Paper, 29 June. London: Migration Research Unit, UCL Department of Geography. Available at: https://www.geog.ucl.ac.uk/research/research-centres/migration-research-unit/pdfs/managing-foreign-labour-immigration-to-the-uk-government-policy-and-outcomes-since-1945
- Shachar A (2006) The race for talent: Highly skilled migrants and competitive immigration regimes. *New York University Law Review* 81(1): 148–206. Available at: https://www.nyulawreview.org/issues/volume-81-number-1/the-race-for-talent-highly-skilled-migrants-and-competitive-immigration-regimes
- Statistics Bureau of Japan (n.d.a) Historical data, a-1 Major items (Labour force, Employed person, Employee, Unemployed person, Not in labour force, Unemployment rate) (since Jan.1953). Available at: https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/roudou/lngindex.html
- Statistics Bureau of Japan (n.d.b) Historical data, a-6 Unemployed person [by age group] (Excel:255KB) (since Jan.1968). Available at: https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/roudou/lngindex.html
- Statistics Bureau of Japan (2022) 労働力調査 (基本集計) 2021年 (令和3 年) 10月分結果 [Labor force survey (basic total) October 2021 (Reiwa year 3) results], 30 November. Available at: https://www.stat.go.jp/data/roudou/rireki/tsuki/pdf/202110.pdf [In Japanese].
- Strausz M (2019) *Help (Not) Wanted: Immigration Politics in Japan.* New York: SUNY Press. Tannock S (2015) Bad attitude? migrant workers, meat processing work and the local unemployed in a peripheral region of the UK. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 22(4): 416–430. DOI: 10.1177/0969776413481986
- Taylor A (2020) *Skills System International Case Studies*. Report, April. Birmingham: City-REDI, University of Birmingham. Available at: https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/documents/college-social-sciences/business/research/city-redi/projects-docs/international-case-study-report-final-version.pdf
- Teikoku Databank (2020) 人手不足に対する企業の動向調査 (2020年4月) [Survey of Corporate Trends for Labor Shortage (January 2020)], 25 May. Available at: https://www.tdb.co.jp/report/watching/press/pdf/p200510.pdf [In Japanese].
- Teikoku Databank (2022) 人手不足に対する企業の動向調査 (2022年4月) [Survey of corporate trends for labor shortage (April 2020)], 26 May. Available at: https://www.tdb.co.jp/report/watching/press/pdf/p220509.pdf [In Japanese].

- Tseng Y (2020) Becoming global talent? Taiwanese white-collar migrants in Japan. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 47(10): 2288–2304. DOI: 10.1080/1369183X. 2020.1731986.
- Tsuzaki K (2018) 現代日本における産業構造の変化と外国人労働者 [Changes in Industrial Structure and Contemporary Foreign Workers in Japan]. In: Tsuzaki K (ed.) 産業構造の変化と外国人労働者: 労働現場実態と歴史的視点 [Changes in Industrial Structure and Foreign Workers: The Actual Situation and Historical Perspective of the Workplace]. Tokyo: Akashi Shoten [In Japanese].
- United Nations (2017) International Migration Policies. Data Booklet, Data Booklet no. ST/ ESA/SER.A/395. New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. Available at: https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/policy/international_migration_policies_data_booklet.pdf
- Wilson R, Barnes SA, May-Gillings M et al. (2020) Working Futures 2017-2027: Long-Run Labor Market and Skills Projections for the UK. Report, February. London: Department for Education. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/863506/Working_Futures_Main_Report.pdf
- Winterbotham M, Kik G, Selner S et al. (2020) *Employer Skills Survey 2019*. Research report, October. London: Department for Education. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/955172/Employer_skills_survey_2019_research_report.pdf
- Winterbotham M, Vivian D, Kik G et al. (2018) Employer Skills Survey 2017. Report for the Department of Education. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/746493/ESS_2017_UK_Report_Controlled_v06.00.pdf
- Yamanaka K (1995) Return migration of Japanese-Brazilians to Japan: The Nikkeijin as ethnic minority and political construct. *Diaspora: A Journal of Transnational Studies* 5(1): 65–97. DOI: 10.1353/dsp.1996.0002