## UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

### **Detector bandwidth and polarisation switching rates**

Hale, S. J.; Chaplin, W. J.; Davies, G. R.; Elsworth, Y. P.; Howe, Rachel

DOI: 10.1093/rasti/rzad008

*License:* Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

#### Citation for published version (Harvard):

Hale, SJ, Chaplin, WJ, Davies, GR, Elsworth, YP & Howe, R 2023, 'Detector bandwidth and polarisation switching rates: spectrophotometric observations of the Sun by the Birmingham Solar Oscillations Network (BiSON)', RAS Techniques and Instruments, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 142–147. https://doi.org/10.1093/rasti/rzad008

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Advance Access publication 2023 March 20

# Detector bandwidth and polarization switching rates: spectrophotometric observations of the Sun by the Birmingham Solar Oscillations Network

S. J. Hale<sup>(b)</sup>, \* W. J. Chaplin<sup>(b)</sup>, G. R. Davies, Y. P. Elsworth and R. Howe<sup>(b)</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Accepted 2023 March 8. Received 2023 March 1; in original form 2022 August 30

#### ABSTRACT

The Birmingham Solar Oscillations Network (BiSON) observes acoustic oscillations of the Sun. The dominant noise source is caused by fluctuations of Earth's atmosphere, and BiSON seeks to mitigate this effect by combining multiple rapid observations in alternating polarization states. Current instrumentation uses bespoke Pockels-effect cells to select the polarization state. Here, we investigate an alternative off-the-shelf solution, a liquid crystal (LC) retarder, and discuss the potential impact of differences in performance. We show through electrical simulation of the photodiode-based detectors, and assessment of both types of polarization device, that although the switching rate is slower the off-the-shelf C retarder is a viable replacement for a bespoke Pockels-effect cell. The simplifications arising from the use of off-the-shelf components allow easier and quicker instrumentation deployment.

**Key words:** instrumentation: detectors – instrumentation: photometers – Sun: helioseismology – techniques: radial velocities – techniques: spectroscopic.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

\* E-mail: s.j.hale@bham.ac.uk

The Birmingham Solar Oscillations Network (BiSON) is a groundbased network of solar observatories, consisting of six sites measuring acoustic oscillations of the Sun (Hale et al. 2016; Hale 2019). The instrumentation makes high-precision measurements of the Doppler velocity of the solar surface by making use of spectrophotometry – photometry of a spectral line. BiSON observes the D1 transition of potassium, which has a central wavelength of 769.898 nm.

Photometry from the ground is challenging due to fluctuation of Earth's atmosphere, known as atmospheric scintillation, which typically becomes the dominant noise source. In order to limit the effect of this source of noise, it is necessary to restrict detector-exposure times to generally <10 ms (Osborn et al. 2015). Measurement of scintillation at the BiSON sites has shown that the -3-dB point typically occurs at around 5 Hz, and -10 dB occurs above 20 Hz (Hale et al. 2020b).

The BiSON spectrophotometers mitigate this problem by rapidly switching the measurement wavelength between the red and the blue wings of the solar absorption line, and then normalizing by the sum of the intensities at the two working points to form an intensity ratio, R,

$$R = \frac{I_{\rm b} - I_{\rm r}}{I_{\rm b} + I_{\rm r}},\tag{1}$$

where  $I_{\rm b}$  and  $I_{\rm r}$  are the intensities measured at the blue and red wings of the solar absorption line, respectively. It is this ratio measurement that is then integrated over an acquisition period of 4 s. The typical instrumental velocity sensitivity is 3000 m s<sup>-1</sup> per unit ratio (Elsworth et al. 1995). The level of reduction of scintillation

noise is dependent on the switching rate, where higher switching rates produce better noise suppression but are more difficult to achieve.

https://doi.org/10.1093/rasti/rzad008

Wavelength selection is controlled through polarization switching. Both polarization states are multiplexed through a single detector in order to avoid differences in noise, gain, or sensitivity. The detector has both a high gain requirement, and also a relatively high bandwidth requirement (in comparison to the few mHz solar oscillations) due to the transient signal changes. The original detectors used in BiSON instrumentation in the early 1990s were designed and adjusted empirically to achieve optimization. In Section 2, we take a formal approach to performance analysis through circuit simulation using SPICE, the industry standard electrical simulation tool, to determine detector noise levels, frequency response, and slew rate. In Section 3, we look at methods of electronically controlling light polarization state. Existing BiSON instrumentation uses bespoke field-widened Pockels-effect cells. Many solar Zeeman spectropolarimetry projects have moved to using off-the-shelf nematic liquid crystal (NLC) variable retarders in order to improve both switching rate and mechanical reliability (see e.g. Zangrilli et al. 2006; Beck et al. 2010; Harrington et al. 2010; Jaeggli et al. 2010; Martínez Pillet et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2017; Parejo et al. 2019; Ren, Han & Guo 2020). However, NLCs are typically much slower to transition between states than Pockels-effect cells. A ferro-electric liquid crystal (FLC) polarization modulator (Gandorfer 1999; Roelfsema et al. 2010; Rebolledo, Kyle & Phipps 2018; Iglesias & Feller 2019) can achieve switching rates comparable to a Pockels-effect cell, but has the disadvantage of being considerably more expensive than NLCs. Here, we look at only the more affordable NLCs as potential replacements for our Pockelseffect cells. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the impact on BiSON spectrophotometer design and configuration (Hale et al. 2022), and the move from Pockels-effect cell to NLC-based polarization control.

© 2023 The Author(s).

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1 Transimpedance amplifier stage.



Figure 2 Low-pass Bessel filter stage.



Figure 3 A photodiode can be modelled from a set of discrete components.

#### **2 DETECTOR SIMULATION**

The detector schematic is shown in Fig. 1. The circuit uses a transimpedance amplifier for initial photocurrent-to-voltage conversion, followed by a second-stage voltage amplifier for additional gain. The scattering detectors receive between 0.35 and 1.4 nW of light depending on atmospheric conditions and the line-of-sight velocity offset of the spectral line (Hale 2019; Hale et al. 2022). The transimpedance amplifier requires a 100 M $\Omega$  gain resistor to convert a few hundred pA of photocurrent to an output of a few mV. The second-stage boosts this to a few hundred mV. The signal is then passed through a four-pole low-pass Bessel filter, shown in Fig. 2, which removes high-frequency noise and also provides a little more gain to boost the output dynamic range to between 0 and 10 V. The final signal is subsequently fed into a voltage-to-frequency converter, and the output pulses sent to a set of counters for acquisition and post-processing.

When simulating this circuit, the photodiode is broken down into an equivalent circuit of several discrete components (Graeme 1996), shown in Fig. 3. The model is simplified by ignoring both the leakage current and noise current, since the photodiode is operated



Figure 4 Scattering detector noise analysis. Typical and worst-case performance is shown for the OSD100-5T photodiode based on the data sheet specification, and also for comparison the typical performance of a diode with a sensor of half the diameter.

in the low-noise photovoltaic mode of amplification, and in a highgain environment the diode intrinsic noise becomes insignificant in comparison to that from the rest of the system. The series resistance is not stated by manufacturer, and so this has been assumed to be low at  $10 \Omega$ . The photodiode has a maximum junction capacitance of 2500 pF, and the shunt resistance is typically  $15 M\Omega$ . The final photodiode model is that of a signal current source, a parallel capacitance, a parallel shunt resistance, and a series resistance. We can use this model along with SPICE (Nagel & Pederson 1973; Nagel 1975) simulation to analyse the performance of the BiSON detectors, determining noise levels, frequency response, and finally slew rate.

#### 2.1 Noise performance

In a high-gain environment, the noise level is generally dominated by Johnson–Nyquist thermal noise from the gain resistance. Over a 5-kHz bandwidth at room temperature for a 100-M $\Omega$  gain resistor this produces 0.09 mV<sub>RMS</sub> of thermal noise. The detector has a combined gain of 62.4 after the initial current-to-voltage conversion, and so this means we can expect to obtain around 5.6 mV<sub>RMS</sub> of noise just from Johnson noise alone.

Another important source of noise in the circuit is produced by the operational amplifiers. The input bias current can become quite significant depending on the overall gain of the system. The input bias current is also temperature dependent, and so a low temperature coefficient is crucial for long-term stability. Fig. 4 shows the results of the noise analysis, using both typical and worst-case photodiode parameters provided by the manufacturer data sheet, and also for comparison a smaller photodiode. The noise level is Johnson-Nyquist limited, typically 8.4 mV<sub>RMS</sub>. Assuming a typical detector voltage output of 1 V, passing the noise through equation (1), and applying a velocity sensitivity of  $3000 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , this results in an electronic velocity noise level of the order of  $2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  RMS. The line-of-sight velocity component due to oscillations of the Sun is of the order of  $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and this is superimposed on the much larger, up to  $1.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , components due to Earth's rotation and the solar gravitational redshift (Elsworth et al. 1995).

#### 2.2 Frequency response

The SPICE simulation of frequency response is shown in Fig. 5, including separately for both the amplifier-stage, and the filter-stage. Due to the very high gain of the initial transimpedance amplifier



Figure 5 Scattering detector frequency response analysis. The response of the initial twin-amplifier stage, and the low-pass filter stage, are shown separately. The heavier weight black line shows the response of the whole detector. The input signal is 200 pA of alternating photocurrent. The arrows indicate the -3-dB point for each response curve.

the bandwidth is just 239 Hz. The bandwidth of the Bessel lowpass filter is actually higher at 5.6 kHz than the bandwidth of the first stage amplifier, and so the filter is doing nothing except providing additional gain. It is possible that the filter was designed before the required gain and bandwidth were known. Since we know the total noise is dominated by the bandwidth-limited first stage transimpedance amplifier, the detector design could be simplified by removing the filter completely and increasing the gain of the second stage amplifier, eliminating more than half of the components.

#### 2.3 Slew rate and transient response

A transient can be considered an ideal square wave. The response of a circuit at high frequencies affects its processing of short-time events. Johnson & Graham (1993) describe a rule of thumb for the maximum practical frequency component as,

$$f_{\rm knee} = \frac{0.5}{t_{\rm r}},\tag{2}$$

where  $t_r$  is the desired rise time of a 10 per cent to 90 per cent transition, and  $f_{knee}$  the inflection point or 'knee' in the frequency spectrum above which frequency components are insignificant in the determining the shape of the signal. If we require a 10 per cent to 90 per cent rise time of 0.5 ms, then this suggests a bandwidth requirement of 1 kHz. We know from the frequency response analysis that the bandwidth is 239 Hz, and so we can expect the rise time to be longer than 2 ms for a 10 per cent to 90 per cent transition. Fig. 6 shows the SPICE transient response analysis. The simulated photocurrent is stepped from 25 to 500 pA, resulting in a rise time of 2.5 to 4 ms depending on the acceptable per cent of completion.

In the next section, we look at two methods of electronically controlling light polarization state, and the slew rates that can be achieved.

#### **3 ELECTRO-OPTIC LIGHT MODULATION**

#### 3.1 Pockels-effect cells

Most BiSON instrumentation uses Pockels-effect cells constructed using potassium dideuterium phosphate KD<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (KD\*P), which belongs to the tetragonal crystal system (Phillips 2011). This crystal exhibits birefringence and is normally optically uniaxial; however,



Figure 6 Scattering detector transient response analysis. The transient response of the system is shown when stepping between 25 pA of photocurrent and 500 pA of photocurrent.

when placed in an electric field it becomes biaxial. The KD\*P crystal is z-cut, which means that the two faces of the crystal are normal to the crystallographic z-axis. The on-axis rays through the spectrophotometer are parallel to the crystallographic z-axis, and the beam propagates in the positive z-direction. The cell acts as a linear retarder to a beam passing through the Pockels cell parallel to the optical axis. An electric field can be applied via transparent electrodes applied to the input and output faces of the crystal. The retardance varies linearly with the voltage applied across the electrodes, and at approximately 2300 V the retardance reaches 45° and the Pockels cell behaves like a quarter-wave plate. When combined with a linear polarizer this causes one of the polarization components to be retarded by 90° in phase (a quarter of a wavelength) in relation to the other component, producing circularly polarized light. Circular polarization is considered to be left- or right-handed depending on direction of rotation of the electric field vector of the wave. If the electric field across the cell is reversed then the retardance becomes  $-45^{\circ}$  and the opposite handedness of circular polarization is produced.

The switching rate of a Pockels cell was tested by monitoring the change in light intensity between crossed polarizers. In industry, it is typical to quote the slew-rate of a device as the time taken to transition from 10 per cent to 90 per cent of the final value. Here, we quote time taken from the instant the change is commanded to when the output reaches 90 per cent and 99 per cent of the final light intensity. The switching rate for transitions in both polarization directions is shown in Fig. 7. Power is supplied via a bespoke high-voltage supply. The driver has a 'shape' control that smooths the applied squarewave voltage transitions and so helps to relieve mechanical stress on the crystal, at the expense of a slightly longer transition period. At minimum smoothing the switching time to 99 per cent of the final intensity is approximately 0.2 ms. With maximum smoothing the switching time is approximately 0.8 ms, and this is broadly symmetric in both directions. The instrumentation is typically operated with maximum smoothing to extend the life of the crystal, and so the switching time is considered to be nominally 1 ms.

Both the field-widened Pockels cell and the required high-voltage computer-controlled driver are bespoke devices that are difficult to manufacture. Whilst commercial Pockels cells are available, their intended use is with highly collimated beams and so they have small apertures and very limited field of view. We will now look at a commercial alternative that is a potential off-the-shelf direct replacement.



**Figure 7** Pockels-effect cell switching rate. The driver has a 'shape' control that smooths the transition and helps to relieve mechanical stress on the crystal at the expense of a longer transition period. The arrows indicate the time at which the stated percentage of the final value is reached. The dashed vertical lines indicate the switching command trigger point.

#### 3.2 Liquid crystal retarder

Many nematic crystal organic molecules are uniaxial with one long axis and two others of equal length, similar to a cylinder. In the nematic phase, the cylinders have no preferential order, but they selfalign with their long axes approximately parallel and this creates an optical anisotropy. When an electric field is applied the molecules tilt and align to the field, and so changes in the field strength cause changes in the effective retardance. The driving signal is typically between 0 and  $\pm 25$  V, considerably lower, more convenient, and safer than the  $\pm 2300$  V required by a Pockels-effect cell.

However, LCD retarders are typically slower to switch between states than a Pockels-effect cell. Switching rate is affected by both the size of the difference between applied voltages, and the crystal temperature. When switching from a low voltage to a high voltage, the liquid crystal becomes under tension and the change occurs rapidly. In the reverse direction, the rate is limited by how long it takes the cell to 'relax' back to its natural state and this is determined by the liquid viscosity, and so by the temperature. The low-to-high voltage transition is much faster than the high-to-low voltage transition. Higher temperatures and larger voltage differences allow for faster switching. The overall change in polarization varies slightly with temperature, and so it is important to calibrate the control voltages at the intended operating temperature, and then ensure stability at that temperature.

Fig. 8 shows the time for both  $\pm \lambda/4$  and a  $\pm \lambda/2$  transition at room temperature with times to 90 per cent and 99 per cent indicated. When considering  $\pm \lambda/4$  switching, the fast direction (low-to-high voltage) takes between 15 and 26 ms. Switching in the slow direction (high-to-low voltage) takes between 67 and 120 ms. The transition between  $3\lambda/4$  and  $\lambda/4$  requires control voltages of 1.78 and 4.03 V, respectively.

The switching time can be reduced by adding a fixed quarterwave plate and instead operating the LCD between zero- and halfwave retardance, which requires control voltages of 25.0 and 2.32 V, respectively. This configuration reduces the fast transition to just 1 ms and the slow transition to between 41 and 71 ms. However, this remains much slower than a Pockels-effect cell.

The slower transition rate can be improved by heating the liquid crystal. Fig. 9 shows the high-to-low voltage switching time from zero- to half-wave for a range of temperatures. Table 1 shows the switching time for both the 90 per cent and 99 per cent transition completion points. At  $50^{\circ}$ C, the switching time is between 16 and 27



Figure 8 Liquid-crystal switching rate at room temperature. Top panel: Highto-low voltage transitions. Bottom panel: Low-to-high voltage transitions. The arrows indicate the time at which the stated percentage of the final value is reached. The dashed vertical lines indicate the switching command trigger point.



**Figure 9** Temperature dependence of the LCD switching rate. The black dashed vertical line indicates the switching command trigger point. The dashed horizontal line indicates the 90-per cent complete point.

ms. There is little improvement above  $50^{\circ}$ C and so this is the ideal operating temperature with respect to switching rate and maximising the life expectancy of the crystal.

A transition time of 27 ms is longer than that achieved with a Pockels-effect cell. We discuss the potential impact of moving to LCD-based polarization control in the next section.

#### **4 DISCUSSION**

The noise characteristics of resonant scattering spectrophotometers, such as those deployed by BiSON, have been investigated by several authors in terms of time-dependent effects on the solar oscillation modes extracted from the observations – see e.g. Grec, Fossat & Vernin (1976), Brookes, Isaak & van der Raay (1978), Appourchaux (1989), Hoyng (1989, 1991), and Chaplin et al. (2005). Here, we have considered electronic and atmospheric effects on the whole frequency envelope.

It is known that atmospheric scintillation is the dominant noise source in BiSON raw data (Hale 2019). The BiSON spectrophotometers mitigate noise from atmospheric scintillation by rapidly switching the measurement wavelength between the red and the blue wings of the solar D1 transition of potassium, with polarization switching rates targeting 100 Hz. The data acquisition system applies a 5-ms exposure time for each polarization state, and a 0.5-ms stabilization delay between transitions, producing a switching rate of 90.9 Hz. This is repeated for 340 cycles giving a total acquisition period of 3740 ms, of which 3400 ms is exposure time. The values are then read out and the cycle repeated with a 4-s cadence. There is 15-per cent dead-time due to stabilization delays and data readout within

Table 1. LCD switching rates at a range of temperatures.

Temperature	Switching rate (ms) at temperature (°C)										
	21	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70
90 per cent	42	34	28	24	22	18	16	15	15	14	14
99 per cent	74	57	48	42	43	31	27	26	27	27	25

each 4-s integration period. We found here that the scattering detector amplifier rise time is longer than expected, and requires up to 4 ms of stabilization time resulting in some polarization state mixing between data channels which is reducing the instrumental sensitivity.

When using NLC-based polarization switching, the much longer rise time of up to 27 ms requires a slower switching rate to avoid unacceptably high dead-time. If we accept a 20-ms stabilization period, then a switching rate of 5 Hz can be achieved with 20-per cent dead-time. This can be raised to 10 Hz with 25-ms stabilization and 50-per cent dead-time. Measurement of scintillation noise at the BiSON sites has shown that the -10-dB point typically occurs above 20 Hz (Hale et al. 2020b), and so it would be acceptable to reduce the switching rate a little if necessary. Whilst <10 ms is ideal, up to 50 ms is acceptable. However, the NLC performance remains slower than ideal switching rates. An FLC would be able to achieve performance equivalent to a Pockels-effect cell, but at the requirement of a much larger budget than NLC.

A prototype fibre-fed spectrophotometer based largely on off-theshelf components, and using NLC-based polarization switching, has been tested at the BiSON site in the Canary Islands (Hale et al. 2022). Whilst noise levels are, as expected, slightly higher than the best BiSON sites, the results are in-line with average network performance. The off-the-shelf nematic LCD retarder is a viable replacement for a bespoke Pockels-effect cell. The simplifications arising from the use of off-the-shelf components allow easier and lower cost instrumentation deployment, as utilized by BiSON:NG, the next generation observing platform for BiSON (Hale 2019; Hale et al. 2020a). Increasing the number of contemporaneous observations from many sites allows noise to be beaten down by combining many incoherent measurements of the observed noise level (Lund et al. 2017), and this produces an overall improvement in noise level for the network as a whole.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all those who have been associated with BiSON over the years. We particularly acknowledge the invaluable technical assistance at all our remote network sites. BiSON is funded by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) grant ST/V000500/1.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

All data are freely available from the BiSON Open Data Portal – http://bison.ph.bham.ac.uk/opendata. Data products are in the form of calibrated velocity residuals, concatenated into a single time series from all BiSON sites. Individual days of raw or calibrated data, and also bespoke products produced from requested time periods and sites, are available by contacting the authors. Oscillation mode frequencies and amplitudes are available from Broomhall et al. (2009) and Davies et al. (2014).

#### REFERENCES

- Appourchaux T., 1989, A&A, 222, 361
- Beck C., Bellot Rubio L. R., Kentischer T. J., Tritschler A., Del Toro Iniesta J. C., 2010, A&A, 520, A115
- Brookes J. R., Isaak G. R., van der Raay H. B., 1978, MNRAS, 185, 1
- Broomhall A. M., Chaplin W. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y., Fletcher S. T., Hale S. J., Miller B., New R., 2009, MNRAS, 396, L100
- Chaplin W. J., Elsworth Y., Isaak G. R., Miller B. A., New R., Pintér B., 2005, MNRAS, 359, 607
- Davies G. R., Broomhall A. M., Chaplin W. J., Elsworth Y., Hale S. J., 2014, MNRAS, 439, 2025
- Elsworth Y., Howe R., Isaak G. R., McLeod C. P., Miller B. A., New R., Wheeler S. J., 1995, A&AS, 113, 379
- Gandorfer A. M., 1999, Opt. Eng., 38, 1402
- Graeme J., 1996, Photodiode Amplifiers: OP AMP Solutions, Gain Technology. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Grec G., Fossat E., Vernin J., 1976, A&A, 50, 221
- Guo J., Ren D.-Q., Liu C.-C., Zhu Y.-T., Dou J.-P., Zhang X., Beck C., 2017, Res. Astron. Astrophys., 17, 8
- Hale S. J., 2019, PhD thesis, School of Physics and Astronomy, Univ. Birmingham, UK
- Hale S. J., Howe R., Chaplin W. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y. P., 2016, Sol. Phys., 291, 1
- Hale S. J., Chaplin W. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y. P., 2020a, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 11452, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy VI. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 424
- Hale S. J., Chaplin W. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y. P., Howe R., Pallé P. L., 2020b, PASP, 132, 034501
- Hale S. J., Chaplin W. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y. P., Howe R., 2022, RAS Tech. Instrum., 1, 58
- Harrington D. M., Kuhn J. R., Sennhauser C., Messersmith E. J., Thornton R. J., 2010, PASP, 122, 420
- Hoyng P., 1989, ApJ, 345, 1088
- Hoyng P., 1991, Sol. Phys., 133, 43
- Iglesias F. A., Feller A. J., 2019, Opt. Eng., 58, 082417
- Jaeggli S. A., Lin H., Mickey D. L., Kuhn J. R., Hegwer S. L., Rimmele T. R., Penn M. J., 2010, Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital., 81, 763
- Johnson H., Graham M., 1993, High-speed Digital Design: A Handbook of Black Magic (Prentice Hall Modern Semicondutor Design). Prentice Hall
- Lund M. N., Chaplin W. J., Hale S. J., Davies G. R., Elsworth Y. P., Howe R., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 3256
- Martínez Pillet V. et al., 2011, Sol. Phys., 268, 57
- Nagel L. W., 1975, PhD thesis, EECS Department, Univ. California, Berkeley
- Nagel L. W., Pederson D., 1973, Technical Report UCB/ERL M382, SPICE (Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis), EECS Department, Univ. California, Berkeley
- Osborn J., Föhring D., Dhillon V. S., Wilson R. W., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 1707
- Parejo P. G., Álvarez-Herrero A., Capobianco G., Fineschi S., 2019, J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst., 5, 034002
- Phillips F., 2011, An Introduction to Crystallography. Read Books
- Rebolledo N. A., Kyle D. C., Phipps D. P., 2018, in Chenault D. B., Goldstein D. H., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 10655, Polarization: Measurement, Analysis, and Remote Sensing XIII. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 1065506
- Ren D., Han Z., Guo J., 2020, Sol. Phys., 295, 109

- Roelfsema R. et al., 2010, in McLean I. S., Ramsay S. K., Takami H., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 7735, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy III. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 77354B
- Zangrilli L., Fineschi S., Massone G., Capobianco G., Porcu F., Calcidese P., 2006, in Ramelli R., Shalabiea O., Saleh I., Stenflo J. O., eds, Proc. Solar Physics and Solar Eclipses (SPSE 2006), EKPol: Liquid

Crystal Polarimeter for Eclipse Observations of the K-Corona. IRSOL Observatory, Switzerland, p. 37

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.