UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Triggered Polymersome Fusion

Fielden, Stephen; Derry, Matthew; Miller, Alisha; Topham, Paul; O'Reilly, Rachel

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.2c13049

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard): Fielden, S, Derry, M, Miller, A, Topham, P & O'Reilly, R 2023, 'Triggered Polymersome Fusion', Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 145, no. 10, pp. 5824-5833. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c13049

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research. •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Triggered Polymersome Fusion

Stephen D. P. Fielden,* Matthew J. Derry, Alisha J. Miller, Paul D. Topham, and Rachel K. O'Reilly*

Cite This: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 5824–5833





trafficking. Here, we demonstrate triggered polymersome fusion. Out-of-equilibrium polymersomes were formed by ring-opening metathesis polymerization-induced self-assembly and persist until a specific chemical signal (pH change) triggers their fusion. Characterization of polymersomes was performed by a variety of techniques, including dynamic light scattering, dry-state/cryogenic-transmission electron microscopy, and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). The fusion process was followed by time-resolved SAXS analysis. Developing elementary methods of communication between polymersomes, such as fusion, will prove essential for emulating life-like behaviors in synthetic nanotechnology.

INTRODUCTION

Phospholipid membrane-delineated compartments are present in biological cells to control the diffusion of material and allow incompatible processes to occur simultaneously.^{1,2} The fusion of phospholipid membranes results in the merging of compartments and is therefore a fundamental mechanism for controlling the movement of material within and between cells.^{3–7} Many regulated biological signaling processes, such as immune responses,⁸ hormone release,⁹ and nerve propagation,¹⁰ are coordinated by phospholipid membrane fusion. This is possible because the fusion of phospholipid membranes is not normally spontaneous; it carries a large energetic barrier due to the need to increase phospholipid membrane curvature/tension and overcome charge repulsion from approaching lipid headgroups.¹¹ This means that fusion only occurs when additional biological machinery is employed, permitting regulation of the process. Specifically, phospholipid membrane fusion is catalyzed over a two-step process by a family of membrane-bound proteins, situated on the two phospholipid membranes undergoing fusion.¹²⁻¹⁶ These proteins, termed SNAREs (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors), first form complementary interactions with each other that tether two phospholipid membranes in a metastable state. While this also provides the driving force required to overcome the energetic barrier (Figure 1a), a further stimulus is then often required to trigger the collapse of this intermediate and thus promote phospholipid membrane fusion. Directing membrane fusion

through a two-step process permits rapid and coordinated fusion in response to triggering.^{17,18}

The need for a trigger also allows membrane fusion to occur in response to environmental changes, thus allowing it to be coupled to other cellular processes. Such regulated fusion therefore provides an important mechanism for mediating cellular communication. For example, when a nerve signal reaches a synapse, an influx of Ca^{2+} triggers the exocytosis (i.e., fusion with the outer cell membrane) of synaptic vesicles, resulting in the secretion of neurotransmitters and signal propagation.^{19–21} The Ca^{2+} trigger functions by lowering the activation energy to fusion. Scientists have applied this biological machinery to direct the fusion of liposomes, artificial vesicles formed of phospholipids.²² It has also been possible to use synthetic chemistry to direct liposome fusion via molecular recognition as a driving force.^{23–26}

While phospholipid membrane fusion is a key process found in biological cells, the fusion of polymersomes remains an underexplored process.^{27–31} Of the limited number of examples of polymersome fusion,^{32–45} none occur via a triggered two-step mechanism as seen in biological signaling. The generation of a metastable intermediate that remains

Received: December 7, 2022 Published: March 6, 2023





Figure 1. (a) Triggered biological membrane fusion proceeds over two steps. First, membranes are brought into close contact by the complementary interactions of SNARE proteins to generate a metastable state (with respect to the final fused product). Next, an influx of Ca^{2+} promotes protein rearrangement, which triggers fusion of the two membranes. (b) In this work, polymersomes are produced in a persistent metastable state. They then undergo rapid fusion on application of a trigger. Relative thicknesses of layers in polymersome cartoons are not to scale.

kinetically inert until a trigger is present is essential for developing responsive and/or stepwise fusion sequences. This biomimetic approach to polymer membrane fusion would find a wide array of applications, such as sequence control over reagent trafficking and catalysis. These could not be effectively coordinated using previously reported methods of spontaneous polymersome fusion.

Here, we demonstrate a polymersome system that undergoes fusion due to the action of a trigger (Figure 1b). This method exploits the ability to form polymer nanoparticles with high membrane curvature in an out-of-equilibrium state. This is achieved using ring-opening metathesis polymerizationinduced self-assembly (ROMPISA) of substituted norbornene monomers.^{39,46–54} ROMP has previously been used^{55,56} to access a variety of nanoscopic assemblies, such as bottlebrushes,⁵⁷ dendrimers,⁵⁸ porous materials,⁵⁹ and complex phase separated networks.⁶⁰ In aqueous ROMPISA, rapid polymerization and self-assembly occur simultaneously.^{61,62} As a hydrophilic macroinitiator, derived of P(NB-PEG) and G3, is chain extended using hydrophobic NB-MEG, the resulting amphiphilic diblock copolymer self-assembles in aqueous solution.

Initially, self-assembly results in the formation of small spherical polymersomes. The hydrophobic portions of the constituent polymer chains adopt a rod-like conformation to give a glassy membrane.^{63,64} Continued polymerization of **NB-MEG** after polymersome self-assembly initially serves to drive the system away from thermodynamic equilibrium. This is because the polymersomes are unable to undergo further morphological transformation to accommodate the growing polymer chains, meaning that the free energy released from polymerization becomes stored as membrane tension rather

than being dissipated.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ The release of this tension provides a driving force for fusion, circumventing the need for additional machinery to impart local membrane deformations.⁶⁸ In contrast, lipid-based membranes, as found in biological systems, behave with fluid-like behavior. This is because the constituent lipid chains have a low molecular weight that precludes chain entanglement, permitting the resultant membranes to rapidly rearrange to minimize curvature or in response to a shear force. This means that lipid membranes cannot store free energy as tension or persist in a out-of-equilibrium anisotropic morphology. This necessitates the presence of SNARE proteins to induce the unfavorable membrane geometries required for fusion. Conversely, polymersomes generally possess a significantly greater bending rigidity and lysis tension than lipid vesicles, meaning that they can be subjected to stretching forces without membrane rupture.¹¹

It was previously found that as **NB-MEG** polymerization proceeds further, the membrane tension reaches a critical value that causes the isotropic polymersomes to spontaneously fuse together to produce linear tube-like particles (tubesomes).^{69–71} This results in uncontrolled release of stored free energy over the course of several minutes, until polymerization is complete.³⁹ The fusion process displays step-growth kinetics; short tubesomes initially form that increase in length as the **NB-MEG** degree of polymerization (DP) increases, and further fusion occurs. Here, we show that the incorporation of stimuli-responsive monomers into ROMPISA polymers permits temporal control over fusion. The on-demand release of free energy stored in the polymersomes is triggered by a pH change, which alters the chemical structure of the membrane corona and consequently

pubs.acs.org/JACS



Figure 2. TEM analysis of $P1_x$ and $P2_x$ nano-object products when NB-MEG DP = 200 (unfused) or 300 (fused). $P1_x$ particles contain a corona formed of a diblock copolymer (blue and green layers in cartoon). $P2_x$ particles contain a corona formed of a random copolymer (aquamarine layer in cartoon). Hydrophobic layers in cartoons are red. Dry-state TEM samples were stained with 1% uranyl acetate solution prior to imaging.

lowers the energetic barrier to fusion. This process is rapid (the onset of anisotropy occurs within 5 s), meaning that the fusion of particles is coordinated rather than stochastic. The development of synthetic analogues to triggered biological membrane fusion permits temporal control over the dynamics between polymer nanoparticles. ROMPISA provides the ideal platform for this as it occurs under mild reaction conditions (room temperature, air atmosphere, and aqueous solution).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previous work has shown that the fusion of ROMPISA polymersomes is inhibited at pH 2 when the corona is formed of $P(NB-amine \cdot H)^{+,39}$ Such a corona adopts a coil-like conformation in an aqueous environment and provides a barrier to fusion through a combination of charge repulsion and steric hindrance. 64,72 We therefore reasoned that forming a corona from both NB-PEG and NB-amine would produce polymersomes that do not fuse at pH 2 but would fuse at higher pH when NB-amine becomes deprotonated. This is because NB-amine is not hydrophilic at high pH, causing a reduction in corona bulk and removing charge repulsion. The change in hydrophilicity of a short P(**NB-amine**)₅ block upon deprotonation can be determined in silico using our reported method that normalizes the partition coefficient, Log Poct, of an oligomer by the solvent-accessible surface area, SA (Log P_{oct} / SA).^{50,73} We previously determined the Log P/SA of protonated P(NB-amine H)⁺₅ to be approximately -0.003 $Å^{-2}$ (i.e., hydrophilic). The calculated value for deprotonated $P(NB-amine)_5$ is +0.004 (see the Supporting Information, Section S2 for details). This indicates that such a polymer block would indeed be corona forming at low pH and core forming at high pH. If a change in pH occurred quickly, then a "frozen" glassy membrane core could not rearrange into a more stable state before inelastic collisions between particles promotes the loss of membrane tension via fusion.

To explore whether triggered polymersome fusion was possible, the pH-responsive amphiphilic block copolymer, $P1_{x}$, $P(NB-PEG)_{11}$ -b- $P(NB-amine)_5$ -b- $P(NB-MEG)_{x'}$ containing a corona formed from discrete blocks of NB-PEG with NBamine and a core of NB-MEG (DP = x) was accessed via ROMPISA (Supporting Information, Section S4). This was performed analogously to a previously reported method of polymersome synthesis.³⁹ A second polymer, $P2_{x'}$ P(NB-PEG)₁₁-r-P(NB-amine)₅-b-P(NB-MEG)_{x'} containing a corona

formed of a random copolymer of NB-amine and NB-MEG was also investigated (Supporting Information, Section S5) to determine whether the corona microstructure had an effect on the fusion process (Figure 2). This is the first investigation of the effect on the ROMPISA process of altering the microstructure of a corona block containing multiple monomers. To form nano-objects by ROMPISA, the corona was first synthesized by reacting the G3 initiator with the hydrophilic monomers (sequentially, first, NB-PEG and then NB-amine, for $P1_x$ or simultaneously for $P2_x$) in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The resulting water-soluble macroinitiator was then chainextended upon addition to a solution of NB-MEG dissolved in 100 mM phosphate buffer adjusted to pH 2 (PB2), to give a final solvent composition of THF/PB2 of 1:9 v/v. Consumption of NB-MEG was complete within 30 min, as judged by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) to give a 1 wt % polymersome dispersion. When a **NB-MEG** DP of 200 (x= 200) was targeted, analysis by gel permeation chromatography (GPC; Supporting Information, Figures S2 and S9) indicated controlled polymerization to give both P1200 and **P2**₂₀₀ with low dispersity ($D \le 1.1$). These polymers both selfassembled to give narrowly disperse (polydispersity, PD < 0.10) spherical nano-objects, as determined by dry state/cryo-TEM (Figure 2) and DLS (Supporting Information, Figures S3 and S10). P1₂₀₀ and P2₂₀₀ particles had number-average diameters of 36 and 37 nm, respectively, as determined by drystate TEM (Supporting Information, Figures S4 and S11). DLS measurement gave a Z_{avg} of 49 nm for P1₂₀₀ and 47 nm for P2₂₀₀.

Lengthening the core block further increased membrane tension to a level that overcame the threshold for spontaneous fusion to occur.³⁹ When a **NB-MEG** DP of 300 was targeted, control over polymerization was retained ($D \leq 1.1$), but the corresponding **P1**₃₀₀ and **P2**₃₀₀ particles spontaneously fused to give short tubes (Figure 2) with number-average lengths (as determined by dry-state TEM; Supporting Information, Figures S6 and S12) of 97 and 81 nm, respectively ($Z_{avg} = 98$ and 80 nm, respectively, as measured by DLS; Supporting Information, Figures S3 and S10) As both **P1**₃₀₀ and **P2**₃₀₀ particles underwent spontaneous fusion at a similar core DP, it was concluded that the nature of the corona microstructure did not strongly influence the outcome of uncontrolled fusion at pH 2.

Triggered fusion was therefore optimized using particles formed from P1200 or P2200 because these did not undergo spontaneous fusion at pH 2 but should still possess significant membrane tension (Supporting Information, Section S6). In other words, P1200 or P2200 particles formed at pH 2 are metastable structures, similar to an untriggered but tethered SNARE complex. Addition of three volume equivalents of an aqueous NaOH solution (100 mM + 10 vol % THF) to P1₂₀₀ and P2₂₀₀ particles (suspended in 100 mM PB2 + 10 vol % THF) switched the pH from 2 to 12 (Figure 3). Triggered fusion was observed only to occur at pH 12 or above due to the high basicity of the amine side chains. For both particles, switching to pH 12 resulted in a rapid increase (<5 s) in turbidity. Analysis of the resultant particles by DLS (Supporting Information, Figure S15) showed both an increase in particle size ($Z_{avg} = 166 \text{ nm}$ for $P1_{200}$ particles and 303 nm



Figure 3. Triggered polymersome fusion by a pH switch. Continuous phase at pH 2: 90 vol % 100 mM PB2 + 10 vol % THF. Continuous phase at pH 12: 90 vol % (25 mM PB2 + 75 mM NaOH) + 10 vol % THF. TEM analysis (dry-state and cryo-) of (a) fused P1₂₀₀ particles and (b) fused and aggregated P2₂₀₀ particles. (c) Structure and attempted fusion of P3₂₀₀, which contains pH unresponsive P(NB-NR₄) rather than P(NB-amine). Dry-state TEM samples were stained with 1% uranyl acetate solution prior to imaging.

for P2₂₀₀ particles) and polydispersity (PD = 0.18 for P1₂₀₀ particles and 0.24 for P2₂₀₀ particles), indicating an increase in particle size and size distribution, as expected for fusion.³⁹ Analysis by dry-state and cryo-TEM showed morphological changes for both samples—discrete, tube-like fused particles (mean length = 99 nm by dry-state TEM; Supporting Information, Figure S16) were formed with P1₂₀₀ (Figure 3a), and large (>0.5 μ m diameter) aggregates of fused particles (Supporting Information, Figure S17) were observed for P2₂₀₀ (Figure 3b). Maintaining 10 vol % THF upon addition of NaOH was crucial for fusion to occur; if aqueous NaOH containing no THF was added, then no change in morphology of P1₂₀₀ occurred. THF presumably acts as a plasticizer and facilitates chain rearrangement upon fusion.⁷⁴

We attribute the varying fusion behavior between P1200 and $P2_{200}$ to the difference in the corona microstructure after deprotonation of P(NB-amine ·H). For P1200, the hydrophobic $P(NB-amine)_5$ block can become buried within the membrane core at pH 12. However, this is not possible for $P2_{200}$ because the **NB-amine** units are randomly distributed throughout the corona block. Therefore, upon deprotonation, the corona of P2200 contains interspersed hydrophobic patches, which presumably promote higher order aggregation during the fusion process. Similar "patchy" behavior has been previously observed to control aggregation of both synthetic nanoparticles^{75,76} and proteins.⁷⁷ Analysis of dry P1₂₀₀ and P2₂₀₀ by differential scanning calorimetry (Supporting Information, Figure S20) allowed T_g values to be determined for each polymer. The $T_{\rm g}$ of P1₂₀₀ (83 °C) is 8 °C higher than for P2₂₀₀ (75 °C), suggesting that the latter has greater chain mobility. This greater mobility may also allow fused P2₂₀₀ particles to form extended aggregates upon pH triggering. Further studies therefore focused on P1200 particles as these fused to give discrete structures, simplifying the analysis of the fusion process.

To demonstrate that triggered fusion is an irreversible process, fused $P1_{200}$ particles at pH 12 were reacidified back to pH 2. No change in morphology, including fission to reform isotropic particles, was observed by TEM (Supporting Information, Figure S22). This indicates that fusion is irreversible, and fused particles are more thermodynamically stable than unfused particles at both pH values. The pH trigger controls the kinetics of fusion (i.e., the energy maximum), rather than altering the favored morphology (i.e., the energy minimum). If these transformations were instead occurring under thermodynamic control, then reversible morphological changes would be expected on pH toggling.⁴¹

Despite residing in a metastable state, no change in the morphology of unfused $P1_{200}$ particles was observed by TEM for at least 3 months at pH 2 (Supporting Information, Figure S5). We propose that the glassy dynamics of the membrane core hinder chain rearrangement; instead, the tension within the membrane can be harnessed as an energy source to drive fusion when a trigger is applied. No significant change is observed by GPC analysis of $P1_{200}$ before and after fusion (Supporting Information, Figures S2 and S14), further evidencing that the driving force for fusion is the release of tension, rather than a change in the polymer backbone structure.

It was also important to prove that the pH trigger acted as a specific signal for particle fusion by deprotonating the $P(NB-amine \cdot H)^+$ units. It was also possible that a pH switch may instead trigger fusion due to an extrinsic change in reaction



Figure 4. Mechanistic analysis of fusion of $P1_{200}$ particles by SAXS. (a) Static SAXS data of unfused $P1_{200}$ particles fitted to a spherical micelle model (red line). (b) Static SAXS data of fused $P1_{200}$ particles fitted to a cylindrical micelle model (red line). (c) Evolution of cylinder length over time during *in situ* SAXS analysis. The dashed gray line corresponds to the cylinder length from modeling of the static measurement in part (b). Line added to guide the eye. (d) Evolution of cylinder width over time during *in situ* SAXS analysis. The dashed gray line corresponds to the cylinder length from modeling of the static measurement in part (b). Line added to guide the eye. (e) Evolution in volume fraction of spheres [unfused particles] and cylinders [fused particles] during *in situ* SAXS analysis. Values obtained from weighting of models to give the best fit. (f) Proposed two-step fusion mechanism with dry-state TEM images of particles quenched after 5 s and 5 min at pH 12. Dry-state TEM samples were stained with 1% uranyl acetate solution prior to imaging.

conditions (e.g., due to a change in salt composition, on dilution, or upon agitation). To rule this out, a polymer analogous to P1200 that was formed using quaternary ammonium monomer NB-NR4, rather than NB-amine, was synthesized by ROMPISA at pH 2 (Supporting Information, Section S7). This polymer, P3₂₀₀, P(NB-PEG)₁₁-b-P(NB- NR_4)₅-b-P(NB-MEG)₂₀₀, self-assembled to give particles similar to those formed from P1₂₀₀, as judged by DLS (Z_{avg} = 57 nm; Supporting Information, Figure S24) and dry-state TEM (number average diameter = 42 nm; Supporting Information, Figure S25). Upon switching the pH from 2 to 12, only a small change in mean particle length (to 46 nm; Supporting Information, Figure S27) was observed by dry-state TEM, with a narrow distribution (from 42 ± 9 nm at pH 2 to 46 ± 10 nm at pH 12) of particle lengths being retained. This confirms that a specific pH-responsive functional group is indeed required to facilitate triggering of fusion. This is reminiscent of fusion catalyzed by SNARE proteins, where programmed interactions between SNARE pairs direct the fusion of two specified membranes.¹⁶ This therefore opens the possibility of using a variety of orthogonal stimuli (e.g., redox switching and host-guest recognition) to choose which polymersomes fuse together within a mixture.

Further complementary analysis of fused and unfused $P1_{200}$ particles was obtained by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS; Supporting Information, Section S10). As for previous SAXS analysis of polymersomes obtained by ROMPISA, data obtained for unfused particles at pH 2 were best fitted using a spherical micelle model⁷⁸ (i.e., with a solid polymer core)

rather than a vesicle model⁷⁹ (Figure 4a). This is due to the thick membrane being of the order of the overall particle radius, and thus, the model is insensitive to such a small lumen (a few nanometers in diameter).⁵⁰ The overall volume-weighted mean diameter of these particles was determined by SAXS to be 46 nm, which lies between the number-weighted diameter measured by TEM (36 nm) and intensity-weighted diameter determined by DLS analysis (49 nm). The mean aggregation number, N_{agg} , was found to be 306.⁸⁰

The SAXS data of fused $\mathbf{P1}_{200}^{\sim}$ particles at pH 12 fitted best to a cylindrical micelle model (Figure 4b). The mean length of particles was 132 nm, and the mean width was 46.6 nm. The mean aggregation number, N_{agg} , was found to be 1900, implying that, on average, a tube is formed of six fused particles.

Due to the fast onset of fusion (solution turbidity increased within seconds of application of the pH trigger), the process could not be followed by conventional light scattering techniques, such as DLS analysis. Fusion of $P1_{200}$ was instead probed by time-resolved SAXS analysis using a stopped-flow apparatus to initiate fusion while monitoring using a synchrotron light source.^{80–84} This is the first example of studying particles formed by ROMPISA using time-resolved SAXS. Pre-formed $P1_{200}$ in PB2 was rapidly mixed with NaOH/THF solution and flowed into the measurement capillary within 10 ms. Scattering data from the resultant solution was acquired at 1 s intervals (500 ms exposure) for 10 min. No significant change in scattering was observed after this time. The first scattering pattern (taken 1 s after mixing) and

the pattern taken at 5 min matched well with static SAXS patterns of unfused and fused $P1_{200}$ particles, respectively (Supporting Information, Figures S37 and S38), where fusion had been initiated by standard pipette mixing. This confirms that the *in situ* SAXS experiment proceeded analogously to conventional laboratory experiments and thus provides an accurate picture of the fusion process.

Time-resolved SAXS data between 1 and 300 s were fitted to a linear combination of the spherical micelle and a cylindrical micelle model previously employed for the static data (see the Supporting Information). Parameters for the unfused spherical particles present at pH 2 were not modified when accounting for their presence on fitting data from the time-resolved experiment: the dimensions of these initial particles remained unchanged throughout the experiment, in line with TEM analysis. Satisfactory fits were obtained for all SAXS patterns using this approach, and it was deduced that the cylinders formed increased in both length (Figure 4c) and width over time (Figure 4d). The volume fraction of cylinders also increased with time (Figure 4e), reflecting the progression of the fusion process. Importantly, the final dimensions of the cylindrical particles (mean length = 133 nm, mean width = 46.8 nm) are in close agreement with those obtained from the static measurement (mean length = 132 nm, mean width = 46.6 nm).

The cylinder length evolved more quickly than cylinder width, which implies a two-step mechanism for fusion: (i) spherical polymersomes rapidly combine to give elongated particles; (ii) these then undergo a slower structural rearrangement to give the final fused product. Presumably, the second step occurs as polymer chains rearrange to minimize the particle surface area.⁶⁴

To further probe the fusion mechanism, two aliquots of $P1_{200}$ particles undergoing fusion at pH 12 were quenched back to pH 2 after 5 s and 5 min (Supporting Information, Section S8). The aliquots exhibited near-identical DLS data (Supporting Information, Figure S28). Smooth tubes were observed by TEM imaging of particles quenched after 5 min, suggesting that fusion was complete (Figure 4f). However, segmented tubes were observed by TEM imaging of particles quenched after 5 s, suggesting incomplete fusion. This provides further evidence that fusion occurs via rapid adhesion of spherical polymersomes followed by a slower structural rearrangement.

Finally, the fusion of polymers containing two different pHresponsive monomers was studied. Using a combination of monomers with differing pK_a values should allow fusion to be controlled over a series of triggers, giving greater temporal control of the process. A tetrablock copolymer P4₂₀₀, P(NB-PEG)₁₁-*b*-P(NB-amine)_{2.5}-*b*-P(NB-Py)_{2.5}-*b*-P(NB-MEG)₂₀₀ (Figure 5 and Supporting Information, Section S9), for which half of the NB-amine content of P1₂₀₀ had been replaced with pyridine containing monomer NB-Py, was synthesized via ROMPISA at pH 2. Protonated P(NB-Py·H)⁺ should exhibit a pK_a several units lower than P(NB-amine·H)⁺, meaning that it will be deprotonated at a lower pH value.⁸⁵

Particles formed from $P4_{200}$ had similar dimensions to $P1_{200}$ particles—with an average length of 43 nm, as judged by drystate TEM (Supporting Information, Figure S33). A small degree of spontaneous fusion occurred at pH 2, showing that $P(NB-Py \cdot H)^+$ provided a lower barrier to fusion than $P(NB-amine \cdot H)^+$. The first trigger for fusion was adjusting the pH from 2 to 7, which resulted in an increased degree of particle



Figure 5. Multistep triggered fusion with tetrablock copolymer $P4_{200}$ containing two pH-responsive blocks. The first trigger (switching pH from 2 to 7) deprotonates the P(NB-Py) block, resulting in partial fusion. The second trigger deprotonates the P(NB-amine) block, resulting in complete fusion. Dry-state TEM samples were stained with 1% uranyl acetate solution prior to imaging.

fusion, causing the average particle length to increase to 55 nm (Supporting Information, Figure S35). The second trigger further increased the pH to 12, which prompted complete fusion to give particles with an average length of 127 nm (Supporting Information, Figure S36). Thus, the release of membrane tension could be achieved over multiple steps. By incorporating a broader range of responsive monomers, it should be possible to trigger a series of polymersome fusion using combinations of different stimuli.

CONCLUSIONS

The triggered fusion of polymersomes synthesized by ROMPISA has been demonstrated. By identifying suitable polymer structures, it was possible to synthesize unfused polymersomes in an out-of-equilibrium state. The free energy contained as membrane tension in these particles was used to drive particle fusion when triggered by a pH change. The microstructure of the corona was crucial for determining fusion outcome and allowed release of membrane tension to occur over time in a stepwise manner. The process described herein mimics protein-assisted triggering of phospholipid-based membranes found in biological cells, where two membranes are held in an out-of-equilibrium state until a trigger promotes their fusion. This process is essential for intercellular communication and the regulation of many biological phenomena. The trigger mechanism permits temporal control over the fusion process. This in turn allows membrane fusion to regulate and be integrated with metabolic sequences. This work provides an important advance in the development of such temporally controlled processes in non-biological media.

The use of kinetic control to generate out-of-equilibrium states is being increasingly exploited to endow chemical systems with emergent functions, such as directional motion, $^{86-88}$ transient assembly, $^{89-92}$ and concentration gradient formation.⁹³⁻⁹⁶ However, the application of this approach to nanoscale systems derived from covalent polymers remains largely unexplored.^{66,67,97–103} Here, we demonstrate that assembled glassy polymers can form an out-of-equilibrium assembly that can be used as a transient store of energy. This would not be possible if polymer assembly occurred under thermodynamic control. Controlled dissipation of excess free energy permitted temporal control over polymersome morphology. The wide variety of reported assemblies derived from covalent polymers suggests that there is much to be gained by further investigating how they can be used to produce functional nanotechnology that is formed in the outof-equilibrium regime. Future work will focus on controlling the selectivity of fusion. Release of free energy could be used to overcome the entropic penalty that arises when fusion is targeted between two polymersome populations (i.e., crossed fusion).^{104,105} The work presented here therefore lays the foundation for developing networks of out-of-equilibrium polymersomes capable of communicating via fundamental processes such as particle fusion.^{106,107} It is clear that developing new mechanisms for temporally controlling the dynamics of polymersomes is essential to advance this area.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

3 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c13049.

Experimental procedures, synthesis, and characterization data of monomers, polymers, and nanoparticles (NMR, GPC, DLS, TEM, DSC and SAXS) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Stephen D. P. Fielden – School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK;
orcid.org/0000-0001-7883-8135; Email: s.fielden@bham.ac.uk

Rachel K. O'Reilly – School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK; orcid.org/0000-0002-1043-7172; Email: r.oreilly@ bham.ac.uk

Authors

Matthew J. Derry – Aston Advanced Materials Research Centre, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, UK; orcid.org/0000-0001-5010-6725

Alisha J. Miller – School of Chemistry, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

 Paul D. Topham – Aston Advanced Materials Research Centre, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, UK;
 orcid.org/0000-0003-4152-6976

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c13049

Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

We thank the University of Birmingham and ERC (grant 615142) for funding. S.D.P.F. thanks the Leverhulme Trust for an Early Career Fellowship (ECF-2021-240).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out with the support of Diamond Light Source, instrument I22 (proposal sm28511), and we would like to specifically acknowledge the support received by Prof. Nick Terrill, Dr. Andy Smith, and Dr. Paul Wady during our experiment. We thank Dr. Sam J. Parkinson for useful discussions, Irem Akar for performing the hydrophobicity calculation, and Dr. Saskia Bakker for assistance with cryo-TEM analysis.

ABBREVIATIONS

b	block copolymer	
DLS	dynamic light scattering	
DP	degree of polymerization	
GPC	gel permeation chromatography	
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy	
SAXS	small-angle X-ray scattering	
PB	phosphate-buffered solution	
r	random copolymer	
ROMPISA	ring-opening metathesis polymerization-induced	
	self-assembly	
rt.	room temperature	
(cryo-)TEM	(cryogenic-) transmission electron microscopy	
THF	tetrahydrofuran	

REFERENCES

(1) Diekmann, Y.; Pereira-Leal, J. B. Evolution of intracellular compartmentalization. *Biochem. J.* **2013**, *449*, 319–331.

(2) Marguet, M.; Bonduelle, C.; Lecommandoux, S. Multicompartmentalized polymeric systems: towards biomimetic cellular structure and function. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 512–529.

(3) Howell, G. J.; Holloway, Z. G.; Cobbold, C.; Monaco, A. P.; Ponnambalam, S. Cell Biology of Membrane Trafficking in Human Disease. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **2006**, 252, 1–69.

(4) Yarwood, R.; Hellicar, J.; Woodman, P. G.; Lowe, M. Membrane trafficking in health and disease. *Dis. Model Mech.* 2020, 13, dmm043448.

(5) Lu, T.; Guo, H. How the Membranes Fuse: From Spontaneous to Induced. *Adv. Theory Simul.* **2019**, *2*, 1900032.

(6) Martens, S.; McMahon, H. T. Mechanisms of membrane fusion: disparate players and common principles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2008**, *9*, 543–556.

(7) Wickner, W.; Schekman, R. Membrane fusion. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *15*, 658–664.

(8) Lorentz, A.; Baumann, A.; Vitte, J.; Blank, U. The SNARE machinery in mast cell secretion. *Front. Immunol.* **2012**, *3*, 143.

(9) Gaisano, H. Y. Recent new insights into the role of SNARE and associated proteins in insulin granule exocytosis. *Diabetes. Obes. Metab.* **2017**, *19*, 115–123.

(10) Sauvola, C. W.; Littleton, J. T. SNARE Regulatory Proteins in Synaptic Vesicle Fusion and Recycling. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **2021**, *14*, No. 733138.

(11) Grafmüller, A.; Shillcock, J.; Lipowsky, R. The fusion of membranes and vesicles: Pathway and energy barriers from dissipative particle dynamics. *Biophys. J.* **2009**, *96*, 2658–2675.

(12) Jahn, R.; Scheller, R. H. SNARES — engines for membrane fusion. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2006, 7, 631–643.

(13) Martens, S.; Kozlov, M. M.; McMahon, H. T. How synaptotagmin promotes membrane fusion. *Science* 2007, *316*, 1205–1208.

(14) Schwartz, M. L.; Merz, A. J. Capture and release of partially zipped trans-SNARE complexes on intact organelles. *J. Cell Biol.* **2009**, 185, 535–549.

(15) Rothman, J. E. The Principle of Membrane Fusion in the Cell (Nobel Lecture). *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12676–12694.

(16) Han, J.; Pluhackova, K.; Böckmann, R. A. The multifaceted role of SNARE proteins in membrane fusion. *Front. Physiol.* **201**7, *8*, 5.

(17) Südhof, T. C. Neurotransmitter Release: The Last Millisecond in the Life of a Synaptic Vesicle. *Neuron* **2013**, *80*, 675–690.

(18) Diao, J.; Grob, P.; Cipriano, D. J.; Kyoung, M.; Zhang, Y.; Shah, S.; Nguyen, A.; Padolina, M.; Srivastava, A.; Vrljic, M.; Shah, A.; Nogales, E.; Chu, S.; Brunger, A. T. Synaptic proteins promote calcium-triggered fast transition from point contact to full fusion. *eLife* **2012**, *1*, No. e00109.

(19) Geppert, M.; Goda, Y.; Hammer, R. E.; Li, C.; Rosahl, T. W.; Stevens, C. F.; Südhof, T. C. Synaptotagmin I: A major Ca^{2+} sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse. *Cell* **1994**, *79*, 717–727.

(20) Brose, N.; Petrenko, A.; Sudhof, T.; Jahn, R. Synaptotagmin: A calcium sensor on the synaptic vesicle surface. *Science* **1992**, *256*, 1021–1025.

(21) Südhof, T. C. A molecular machine for neurotransmitter release: synaptotagmin and beyond. *Nat. Med.* **2013**, *19*, 1227–1231. (22) Schuette, C. G.; Hatsuzawa, K.; Margittai, M.; Stein, A.; Riedel,

D.; Küster, P.; König, M.; Seidel, C.; Jahn, R. Molecular recognition induced aggregation and fusion between vesicles containing lipids bearing complementary hydrogen bonding head-groups. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2004**, *101*, 2858–2863.

(23) Marchi-Artzner, V.; Jullien, L.; Gulik-Krzywicki, T.; Jean-Marie Lehn, J.-M. Molecular recognition induced aggregation and fusion between vesicles containing lipids bearing complementary hydrogen bonding head-groups. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 117–118.

(24) Marchi-Artzner, V.; Gulik-Krzywicki, T.; Guedeau-Boudeville, M.-A.; Gosse, C.; Sanderson, J. M.; Dedieu, J.-C.; Lehn, J.-M. Selective Adhesion, Lipid Exchange and Membrane-Fusion Processes between Vesicles of Various Sizes Bearing Complementary Molecular Recognition Groups. *ChemPhysChem* **2001**, *2*, 367–376.

(25) Gong, Y.; Luo, Y.; Bong, D. Membrane Activation: Selective Vesicle Fusion via Small Molecule Recognition. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 14430–14431.

(26) Whitehead, S. A.; McNitt, C. D.; Mattern-Schain, S. I.; Carr, A. J.; Alam, S.; Popik, V. V.; Best, M. D. Artificial Membrane Fusion Triggered by Strain-Promoted Alkyne–Azide Cycloaddition. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2017**, *28*, 923–932.

(27) Chang, H.-Y.; Sheng, Y.-J.; Tsao, H.-K. Structural and mechanical characteristics of polymersomes. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 6373–6381.

(28) Ivanov, I.; Lira, R. B.; Tang, T.-Y. D.; Franzmann, T.; Klosin, A.; Caire Da Silva, L.; Hyman, A.; Landfester, K.; Lipowsky, R.; Sundmacher, K.; Dimova, R. Directed Growth of Biomimetic Microcompartments. *Adv. Biosyst.* **2019**, *3*, 1800314.

(29) Zhang, X.; Tanner, P.; Graff, A.; Palivan, C. G.; Meier, W. Mimicking the cell membrane with block copolymer membranes. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2012**, *50*, 2293–2318.

(30) Rideau, E.; Dimova, R.; Schwille, P.; Wurm, F. R.; Landfester, K. Liposomes and polymersomes: a comparative review towards cell mimicking. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 8572–8610.

(31) Le Meins, J.-F.; Sandre, O.; Lecommandoux, S. Recent trends in the tuning of polymersomes' membrane properties. *Eur. Phys. J. E: Soft Matter Biol. Phys.* **2011**, 34, 14.

(32) Discher, B. M.; Won, Y. Y.; Ege, D. S.; Lee, J. C. M.; Bates, F. S.; Discher, D. E.; Hammer, D. A. Polymersomes: Tough vesicles made from diblock copolymers. *Science* **1999**, *284*, 1143–1146.

(33) Luo, L.; Eisenberg, A. Thermodynamic Size Control of Block Copolymer Vesicles in Solution. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17*, 6804–6811. (34) Berquand, A.; Mazeran, P. E.; Pantigny, J.; Proux-Delrouyre, V.; Laval, J. M.; Bourdillon, C. Two-step formation of streptavidinsupported lipid bilayers by PEG-triggered vesicle fusion. Fluorescence and atomic force microscopy characterization. *Langmuir* **2003**, *19*, 1700–1707.

(35) Zhou, Y.; Yan, D. Real-Time Membrane Fusion of Giant Polymer Vesicles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10468–10469.

(36) Su, W.; Luo, Y.; Yan, Q.; Wu, S.; Han, K.; Zhang, Q.; Gu, Y.; Li, Y. Photoinduced Fusion of Micro-Vesicles Self-Assembled from Azobenzene-Containing Amphiphilic Diblock Copolymers. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2007**, *28*, 1251–1256.

(37) Smart, T. P.; Fernyhough, C.; Ryan, A. J.; Battaglia, G. Controlling Fusion and Aggregation in Polymersome Dispersions. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2008**, *29*, 1855–1860.

(38) Henderson, I. M.; Paxton, W. F. Salt, Shake, Fuse-Giant Hybrid Polymer/Lipid Vesicles through Mechanically Activated Fusion. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 3372–3376.

(39) Varlas, S.; Keogh, R.; Xie, Y.; Horswell, S. L.; Foster, J. C.; O'Reilly, R. K. Polymerization-Induced Polymersome Fusion. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 20234–20248.

(40) Zhang, Q.; Zeng, R.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, L.; Tan, J. Two polymersome evolution pathways in one polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) system. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53*, 8982–8991.

(41) Li, J.; Peng, K.; Li, Y.; Wang, J.; Huang, J.; Yan, Y.; Wang, D.; Tang, B. Z. Exosome-Mimetic Supramolecular Vesicles with Reversible and Controllable Fusion and Fission. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 21510–21514.

(42) Xiao, J.; Du, J. Tetrapod Polymersomes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 6569-6577.

(43) Otrin, L.; Witkowska, A.; Marušič, N.; Zhao, Z.; Lira, R. B.; Kyrilis, F. L.; Hamdi, F.; Ivanov, I.; Lipowsky, R.; Kastritis, P. L.; Dimova, R.; Sundmacher, K.; Jahn, R.; Vidaković-Koch, T. En route to dynamic life processes by SNARE-mediated fusion of polymer and hybrid membranes. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 4972.

(44) Li, Y.; Lu, Q.; Chen, Q.; Wu, X.; Shen, J.; Shen, L. Directional effect on the fusion of ellipsoidal morphologies into nanorods and nanotubes. *RSC Adv.* **2021**, *11*, 1729–1735.

(45) Marušič, N.; Zhao, Z.; Otrin, L.; Dimova, R.; Ivanov, I.; Sundmacher, K. Fusion-Induced Growth of Biomimetic Polymersomes: Behavior of Poly(dimethylsiloxane)-Poly(ethylene oxide) Vesicles in Saline Solutions Under High Agitation. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2022**, 43, 2100712.

(46) Zhang, L.; Song, C.; Yu, J.; Yang, D.; Xie, M. J. One-pot synthesis of polymeric nanoparticle by ring-opening metathesis polymerization. *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2010**, *48*, 5231–5238.

(47) Yoon, K.-Y.; Lee, I.-H.; Kim, K. O.; Jang, J.; Lee, E.; Choi, T.-L. One-Pot in Situ Fabrication of Stable Nanocaterpillars Directly from Polyacetylene Diblock Copolymers Synthesized by Mild Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14291–14294.

(48) Wright, D. B.; Touve, M. A.; Adamiak, L.; Gianneschi, N. C. ROMPISA: Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2017**, *6*, 925–929.

(49) Foster, J. C.; Varlas, S.; Blackman, L. D.; Arkinstall, L. A.; O'Reilly, R. K. Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization in Aqueous Media Using a Macroinitiator Approach. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10672–10676.

(50) Varlas, S.; Foster, J. C.; Arkinstall, L. A.; Jones, J. R.; Keogh, R.; Mathers, R. T.; O'Reilly, R. K. Predicting Monomers for Use in Aqueous Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 466–472.

(51) Varlas, S.; Foster, J. C.; O'Reilly, R. K. Ring-opening metathesis polymerization-induced self-assembly (ROMPISA). *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 9066–9071.

(52) Wright, D. B.; Thompson, M. P.; Touve, M. A.; Carlini, A. S.; Gianneschi, N. C. Enzyme-Responsive Polymer Nanoparticles via Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2019**, *40*, 1800467.

(53) Wright, D. B.; Proetto, M. T.; Touve, M. A.; Gianneschi, N. C. Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly (ROMPISA) of a Cisplatin Analogue for High Drug-Loaded Nanoparticles. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10*, 2996–3000.

(54) Torres-Rocha, O. L.; Wu, X.; Zhu, C.; Crudden, C. M.; Cunningham, M. F. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly (PISA) of 1,5-Cyclooctadiene Using Ring Opening Metathesis Polymerization. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2019**, *40*, 1800326.

(55) Varlas, S.; Lawrenson, S. B.; Arkinstall, L. A.; O'Reilly, R. K.; Foster, J. C. Self-assembled nanostructures from amphiphilic block copolymers prepared via ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP). *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2020**, *107*, No. 101278.

(56) Blosch, S. E.; Scannelli, S. J.; Alaboalirat, M.; Matson, J. B. Complex Polymer Architectures Using Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization: Synthesis, Applications, and Practical Considerations. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 4200–4227.

(57) Li, Z.; Ma, J.; Cheng, C.; Zhang, K.; Wooley, K. L. Synthesis of Hetero-Grafted Amphiphilic Diblock Molecular Brushes and Their Self-Assembly in Aqueous Medium. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 1182–1184.

(58) Rajaram, S.; Choi, T.-L.; Rolandi, M.; Frechet, J. M. J. Synthesis of Dendronized Diblock Copolymers via Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization and Their Visualization Using Atomic Force Microscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **200**7, *129*, 9619–9621.

(59) Fei, H.-F.; Li, W.; Bhardwaj, A.; Nuguri, S.; Ribbe, A.; Watkins, J. J. Ordered Nanoporous Carbons with Broadly Tunable Pore Size Using Bottlebrush Block Copolymer Templates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 17006–17014.

(60) Rahman, M. A.; Lokupitiya, H. N.; Ganewatta, M. S.; Yuan, L.; Stefik, M.; Tang, C. Designing Block Copolymer Architectures toward Tough Bioplastics from Natural Rosin. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 2069–2077.

(61) Pearce, S.; Perez-Mercader, J. PISA: construction of self-organized and self-assembled functional vesicular structures. *Polym. Chem.* **2021**, *12*, 29–49.

(62) Penfold, N. J. W.; Yeow, J.; Boyer, C.; Armes, S. P. Emerging Trends in Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 1029–1054.

(63) Boyle, B. M.; Heinz, O.; Miyake, G. M.; Ding, Y. Impact of the Pendant Group on the Chain Conformation and Bulk Properties of Norbornene Imide-Based Polymers. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 3426– 3434.

(64) Lin, Y.-L.; Chang, H.-Y.; Sheng, Y.-J.; Tsao, H.-K. The fusion mechanism of small polymersomes formed by rod-coil diblock copolymers. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 1500–1511.

(65) Kliesch, T.-T.; Dietz, J.; Turco, L.; Halder, P.; Polo, E.; Tarantola, M.; Jahn, R.; Janshoff, A. Membrane tension increases fusion efficiency of model membranes in the presence of SNAREs. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 12070.

(66) Rikken, R. S. M.; Engelkamp, H.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Maan, J. C.; van Hest, J. C. M.; Wilson, D. A.; Christianen, P. C. M. Shaping polymersomes into predictable morphologies via out-of-equilibrium self-assembly. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12606.

(67) Men, Y.; Li, W.; Tu, Y.; Peng, F.; Janssen, G. J. A.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Wilson, D. A. Nonequilibrium Reshaping of Polymersomes via Polymer Addition. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 12767–12773.

(68) Sanson, C.; Le Meins, J.-F.; Schatz, C.; Soum, A.; Lecommandoux, S. Temperature responsive poly(trimethylene carbonate)-block-poly(l-glutamic acid) copolymer: polymersomes fusion and fission. *Soft Matter* **2010**, *6*, 1722–1730.

(69) Pearce, A. K.; Wilks, T. R.; Arno, M. C.; O'Reilly, R. K. Synthesis and applications of anisotropic nanoparticles with precisely defined dimensions. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2021**, *5*, 21–45.

(70) Chidanguro, T.; Simon, Y. C. Bent out of shape: towards nonspherical polymersome morphologies. *Polym. Int.* **2021**, *70*, 951–957. (71) Zhang, J.; Jiang, J.; Lin, S.; Cornel, E. J.; Li, C.; Du, J.; Chem, C.

(71) Zhang, J.; Jiang, J.; Lin, S.; Cornel, E. J.; Li, C.; Du, J.; Chem, C. J. Polymersomes: From Macromolecular Self-Assembly to Particle Assembly. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2022**, *40*, 1842–1855.

(72) Cheng, G.; Hua, F.; Melnichenko, Y. B.; Hong, K.; Mays, J. W.; Hammouda, B.; Wignall, G. D. Conformation of oligo(ethylene glycol) grafted poly(norbornene) in solutions: A small angle neutron scattering study. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2008**, *44*, 2859–2864.

(73) Foster, J. C.; Varlas, S.; Couturaud, B.; Jones, J. R.; Keogh, R.; Mathers, R. T.; O'Reilly, R. K. Predicting Monomers for Use in Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 15733–15737.

(74) Vriezema, D. M.; Hoogboom, J.; Velonia, K.; Takazawa, K.; Christianen, P. C. M.; Maan, J. C.; Rowan, A. E.; Nolte, R. J. M. Vesicles and Polymerized Vesicles from Thiophene-Containing Rod– Coil Block Copolymers. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 772–776.

(75) Nghiem, T. L.; Löbling, T. I.; Gröschel, A. H. Supracolloidal chains of patchy micelles in water. *Polym. Chem.* **2018**, *9*, 1583–1592.

(76) Ahn, N. Y.; Kwon, S.; Cho, S.; Kang, C.; Jeon, J.; Lee, B.; Lee, E.; Kim, Y.; Seo, M. *In Situ* Supramolecular Polymerization of Micellar Nanoobjects Induced by Polymerization. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2022**, *11*, 149–155.

(77) Rego, N. B.; Xi, E.; Patel, A. J. Identifying hydrophobic protein patches to inform protein interaction interfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2021**, *118*, No. e2018234118.

(78) Pedersen, J. S. Form factors of block copolymer micelles with spherical, ellipsoidal and cylindrical cores. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2000**, 33, 637–640.

(79) Bang, J.; Jain, S.; Li, Z.; Lodge, T. P.; Pedersen, J. S.; Kesselman, E.; Talmon, Y. Sphere, Cylinder, and Vesicle Nano-aggregates in Poly(styrene-b-isoprene) Diblock Copolymer Solutions. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 1199–1208.

(80) Patterson, J. P.; Robin, M. P.; Chassenieux, C.; Colombani, O.; O'Reilly, R. K. The analysis of solution self-assembled polymeric nanomaterials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 2412–2425.

(81) Derry, M. J.; Fielding, L. A.; Warren, N. J.; Mable, C. J.; Smith, A. J.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. In situ small-angle X-ray scattering studies of sterically-stabilized diblock copolymer nanoparticles formed during polymerization-induced self-assembly in nonpolar media. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 5078–5090.

(82) Brotherton, E. E.; Hatton, F. L.; Cockram, A. A.; Derry, M. J.; Czajka, A.; Cornel, E. J.; Topham, P. D.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. In Situ Small-Angle X-ray Scattering Studies during Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer Aqueous Emulsion Polymerization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, *141*, 13664–13675.

(83) Smith, A. J.; Alcock, S. G.; Davidson, L. S.; Emmins, J. H.; Hiller Bardsley, J. C.; Holloway, P.; Malfois, M.; Marshall, A. R.; Pizzey, C. L.; Rogers, S. E.; et al. 122: SAXS/WAXS beamline at Diamond Light Source – an overview of 10 years operation. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **2021**, *28*, 939–947.

(84) Takahashi, R.; Narayanan, T.; Yusa, S. I.; Sato, T. Formation Kinetics of Polymer Vesicles from Spherical and Cylindrical Micelles Bearing the Polyelectrolyte Complex Core Studied by Time-Resolved USAXS and SAXS. *Macromolecules* **2022**, *55*, 684–695.

(85) Luo, L.; Eisenberg, A. One-Step Preparation of Block Copolymer Vesicles with Preferentially Segregated Acidic and Basic Corona Chains. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1001–1004.

(86) Erbas-Cakmak, S.; Fielden, S. D. P.; Karaca, U.; Leigh, D. A.; McTernan, C. T.; Tetlow, D. J.; Wilson, M. R. Rotary and linear molecular motors driven by pulses of a chemical fuel. *Science* **2017**, 358, 340–343.

(87) Borsley, S.; Kreidt, E.; Leigh, D. A.; Roberts, B. M. W. Autonomous fuelled directional rotation about a covalent single bond. *Nature* **2022**, *604*, 80–85.

(88) Borsley, S.; Leigh, D. A.; Roberts, B. M. W. Chemical fuels for molecular machinery. *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 728–738.

(89) Boekhoven, J.; Hendriksen, W. E.; Koper, G. J. M.; Eelkema, R.; van Esch, J. H. Transient assembly of active materials fueled by a chemical reaction. *Science* **2015**, *349*, 1075–1079.

(90) Ragazzon, G.; Prins, L. J. Energy consumption in chemical fueldriven self-assembly. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13*, 882–889. (91) Rieß, B.; Grö, R. K.; Boekhoven, J. The Design of Dissipative Molecular Assemblies Driven by Chemical Reaction Cycles. *Chem* **2019**, *6*, 552–578.

(92) Zhao, H.; Ibarboure, E.; Ibrahimova, V.; Xiao, Y.; Garanger, E.; Lecommandoux, S. Spatiotemporal Dynamic Assembly/Disassembly of Organelle-Mimics Based on Intrinsically Disordered Protein-Polymer Conjugates. *Adv. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 2102508.

(93) Qiu, Y.; Feng, Y.; Guo, Q. H.; Astumian, R. D.; Stoddart, J. F. Pumps through the Ages. *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 1952–1977.

(94) Qiu, Y.; Song, B.; Pezzato, C.; Shen, D.; Liu, W.; Zhang, L.; Feng, Y.; Guo, Q. H.; Cai, K.; Li, W.; Chen, H.; Nguyen, M. T.; Shi, Y.; Cheng, C.; Astumian, R. D.; Li, X.; Stoddart, J. F. A precise polyrotaxane synthesizer. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 1247–1253.

(95) Amano, S.; Fielden, S. D. P.; Leigh, D. A. A catalysis-driven artificial molecular pump. *Nature* 2021, *594*, 529-534.

(96) Feng, L.; Qiu, Y.; Guo, Q. H.; Chen, Z.; Seale, J. S. W.; He, K.; Wu, H.; Feng, Y.; Farha, O. K.; Astumian, R. D.; Stoddart, J. F. Active mechanisorption driven by pumping cassettes. *Science* **2021**, *374*, 1215–1221.

(97) Guo, J.; Poros-Tarcali, E.; Perez-Mercader, J. Evolving polymersomes autonomously generated in and regulated by a semibatch pH oscillator. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 9383–9386.

(98) Pearce, S.; Perez-Mercader, J. Chemoadaptive Polymeric Assemblies by Integrated Chemical Feedback in Self-Assembled Synthetic Protocells. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 1543–1550.

(99) Lin, C.; Katla, S. K.; Pérez-Mercader, J. Photochemically induced cyclic morphological dynamics via degradation of autonomously produced, self-assembled polymer vesicles. *Commun. Chem.* **2021**, *4*, 25.

(100) Cheng, G.; Lin, C.; Perez-Mercader, J. Self-Organizing Microdroplet Protocells Displaying Light-Driven Oscillatory and Morphological Evolution. *Small* **2021**, *17*, 2101162.

(101) Würbser, M. A.; Schwarz, P. S.; Heckel, J.; Bergmann, A. M.; Walther, A.; Boekhoven, J. Chemically Fueled Block Copolymer Self-Assembly into Transient Nanoreactors. *ChemSystemsChem* **2021**, *3*, No. e2100015.

(102) Chittari, S. S.; Obermeyer, A. C.; Knight, A. S. Temperature sensitive copolymers as a model system for understanding the physical basis of nonequilibrium assembly. *ChemRxiv* 2022 Oct 28, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2022-77cdd-v2 (accessed 2022-11-28).

(103) Pan, C.; Xu, J.; Wang, L.; Jia, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, G.; Zhu, S.; Yang, B.; Li, Y. Biocompatible Chemically Fueled Transient Polymer Nanoparticles for Temporally Programmable in Vivo Imaging. *CCS Chem.* **2022**, 1.

(104) Faist, P.; Dupuis, F.; Oppenheim, J.; Renner, R. The minimal work cost of information processing. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7669.

(105) Li, H.; Zhang, A.; Li, K.; Huang, W.; Mai, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Yan, D. Janus quantum dot vesicles generated through membrane fusion. *Mater. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *2*, 1040–1045.

(106) de Luis, B.; Llopis-Lorente, A.; Sancenón, F.; Martinez-Máñez, R. Engineering chemical communication between micro/nanosystems. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2021**, *50*, 8829–8856.

(107) Rifaie-Graham, O.; Yeow, J.; Najer, A.; Wang, R.; Sun, R.; Zhou, K.; Dell, T. N.; Adrianus, C.; Thanapongpibul, C.; Chami, M.; Mann, S.; Read de Alaniz, J.; Stevens, M. M. Photoswitchable gating of non-equilibrium enzymatic feedback in chemically communicating polymersome nanoreactors. *Nat. Chem.* **2023**, *15*, 110–118.

Recommended by ACS

Architecture-Controllable Single-Crystal Helical Selfassembly of Small-Molecule Disulfides with Dynamic Chirality

Qi Zhang, Ben L. Feringa, *et al.* MARCH 05, 2023 JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

Molecular Engineering of the Kinetic Barrier in Seeded Supramolecular Polymerization

Qin Huang, Tibor Kudernac, et al. FEBRUARY 24, 2023 JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

Chemically Fueled Reinforcement of Polymer Hydrogels

Chamoni W. H. Rajawasam, Dominik Konkolewicz, et al. FEBRUARY 27, 2023 JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

NAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY	READ 🗹

Controlled Synthesis of a Homopolymer Network Using a Well-Defined Single-Component Diels-Alder Cyclopentadiene Monomer

 Thi M. Tran and Javier Read de Alaniz

 FEBRUARY 01, 2023

 JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

 READ Z

Get More Suggestions >