# UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Review of the efficacy of low emission zones to improve urban air quality in European cities

Holman, Claire; Harrison, Roy; Querol, Xavier

DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

# Citation for published version (Harvard):

Holman, C, Harrison, R & Querol, X 2015, 'Review of the efficacy of low emission zones to improve urban air quality in European cities', *Atmospheric Environment*, vol. 111, pp. 161-169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### Publisher Rights Statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Atmospheric Environment. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Atmospheric Atmosphere, DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009.

Eligibility for repository checked April 2015

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

# Accepted Manuscript

Review of the efficacy of low emission zones to improve urban air quality in European cities

Claire Holman, Roy Harrison, Xavier Querol

PII: S1352-2310(15)30014-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009

Reference: AEA 13746

To appear in: Atmospheric Environment

Received Date: 7 January 2015

Revised Date: 26 March 2015

Accepted Date: 6 April 2015

Please cite this article as: Holman, C., Harrison, R., Querol, X., Review of the efficacy of low emission zones to improve urban air quality in European cities, *Atmospheric Environment* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
2	
3	
4	REVIEW OF THE EFFICACY OF LOW EMISSION ZONES TO IMPROVE URBAN AIR QUALITY IN
5	EUROPEAN CITIES
6	
7	
8	Claire Holman <sup>1,2</sup> , Roy Harrison <sup>1,3</sup> and Xavier Querol <sup>4</sup>
9 10	<sup>1</sup> Divisionof Environmental Health & Risk Management
11	School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences
12	University of Birmingham
13 14	Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT United Kingdom
15	
16	<sup>2</sup> Brook Cottage Consultants, Elberton, Bristol, BS35 4AQ
17 18	<sup>3</sup> Also at: Department of Environmental Sciences / Center of
19	Excellence in Environmental Studies
20 21	King Abdulaziz University PO Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia
22	
23	<sup>4</sup> Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research
24 25	(IDÆA)/Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) C/Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
26	
27	
28	
29	Corresponding author
30	Claire Holman c.d.holman@bham.ac.uk / claireholman@brookcottage.info
31	
32	

#### 33 ABSTRACT

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

34 Many cities still exceed the European Union (EU) air quality limit values for particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>, 35 particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 µm) and/or nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). In an attempt 36 to reduce emissions approximately 200 low emission zones (LEZs) have been established in 12 EU 37 countries. These restrict the entry of vehicles based on the emission standard the vehicles were 38 originally constructed to meet, but the restrictions vary considerably. This paper reviews the evidence 39 on the efficacy of LEZs to improve urban air quality in five EU countries (Denmark, Germany, 40 Netherlands, Italy and UK), and concludes that there have been mixed results. There is some 41 evidence from ambient measurements that LEZs in Germany, which restrict passenger cars as well as 42 heavy duty vehicles (HDVs), have reduced long term average PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by a few 43 percent. Elsewhere, where restrictions are limited to HDVs, the picture is much less clear. This may 44 be due to the large number of confounding factors. On the other hand there is some, albeit limited, 45 evidence that LEZs may result in larger reductions in concentrations of carbonaceous particles, due to traffic making a larger contribution to ambient concentrations of these particles than to PM<sub>10</sub> and 46 PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The effects of day to day variations in meteorology on concentrations often mask more subtle 47 effects of a LEZ. In addition, separating the direct effects of a LEZ from the effects of other policy 48 49 measures, the economy and the normal renewal of the vehicle fleet is not easy, and may give rise to 50 false results.

51

52 Key Words: Low Emissions Zone; LEZs; NO<sub>2</sub>; PM<sub>10</sub>; vehicle emissions; air quality

# 53 1. INTRODUCTION ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

A large proportion of the European population continues to be exposed to poor air quality despite the significant reduction in emissions over the last few decades. The last evaluation by the European Environmental Agency (2014) has estimated that, during 2012, 21-33% of the urban population live in areas where the  $PM_{10}$  limit value is exceeded, and 64-83 and 91-93% where the WHO  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  guidelines are exceeded. Whilst the adverse health effects of particulate matter (PM) are well documented (WHO, 2005, and WHO, 2013) there is increasing evidence of the health effects of long term exposure to  $NO_2$  (WHO, 2013).

61

The European Union (EU) air quality Directive (2008/50/EC) requires the limit values for  $PM_{10}$  and NO<sub>2</sub> to be achieved by 2005 and 2010 respectively, but also allows the compliance to be delayed until 2010 and 2015 respectively subject to the Member State submitting an acceptable air quality action plan for non-compliant agglomerations and zones. Most EU member states have sought time extensions for one or both these pollutants.

67

In an effort to comply with the air quality limit values, and to protect human health, a number of
European cities have introduced low emission zones (LEZs). In the nearly two decades since the first
one was established LEZs have become regarded as an important measure to improve urban air
quality, and there are thought to be approximately 200<sup>1</sup> currently in existence in Europe (Sadler
Consultants Ltd, 2014a).

73

Whilst there are a large number of LEZs there have been few good quality studies quantifying their impact on air quality using monitored data. As the ultimate aim for many LEZs is to contribute towards compliance with the EU limit values, which are largely assessed thorough monitoring ambient concentrations, this is perhaps surprising. Many cities have assessed the cost-effectiveness of introducing a LEZ pre-implementation using emissions modelling and, in some cases, dispersion modelling to assess their potential impact, but there have been few post-implementation studies published.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This assumes that the approximately 1550 mainly small LEZs in the Lombardi region of Italy count as one LEZ.

#### 81 The aim of this review is to describe the types of LEZ in the EU and to assess the evidence of their

efficacy, focusing largely, but not exclusively, on ambient air quality measurements. It reviews studies
undertaken in five EU countries (Denmark, Germany, Netherlands, Italy and the UK), and is based on
a literature search of peer reviewed papers using a range of relevant terms and databases. To
identify reports commissioned by city and Government agencies a Google search was also
undertaken. As the searches where undertaken mainly in the English language and it is probable that
some relevant studies were missed. In addition not all relevant studies may be available on the
internet.

89

90 It discusses the evidence from the London LEZ in more detail than other LEZs as it is probably the 91 most extensively studied and certainly Europe's largest LEZ. Both modelled and measured data has 92 been discussed, to provide an insight into the often optimistic results of modelling studies. For other 93 LEZs the evidence is limited to ambient monitoring data.

94

95 A number of other urban scale traffic measures have been introduced into European cities, such as 96 parking restrictions, road and bridge charges, and bus lanes that discriminate in favour of low 97 emission vehicles. Another measure that is favoured in some European countries is the use of short 98 term vehicle restrictions to reduce emissions during pollution events. These measures, whilst 99 mentioned in passing, have not been included in the main part of this review, as these are not strictly 100 LEZs, although there are similar or greater difficulties in assessing the success or otherwise of these 101 measures.

102

#### 103 2. LOW EMISSION ZONES

In broad terms LEZs are areas where access is restricted due to the emissions of certain road vehicles. The restriction is generally based on the emissions standard the vehicle was constructed to and may be a complete ban or there may be a charge to enter the LEZ. It may cover a few roads or a large inner city area.

108

109 European emission standards apply to passenger cars and vans (i.e. light duty vehicles; LDVs),

110 two/three wheeled vehicles and the engines used in heavy duty vehicles (HDVs). Each type of

#### 111 vehicle has different emission limits and test procedures. For LDVs there are separate requirements

for gasoline and diesel vehicles. For LDVs Arabic numbers (Euro 1, Euro 2, etc.) and HDVs Roman
numbers (Euro I, Euro II, etc.) are used to identify the emission standards. This convention has been
used in this paper.

115

A LEZ essentially introduces a step change in the normal fleet turnover, resulting in lower emissions than would have occurred without the LEZ. Over time the fleet emissions will become similar to those that would have occurred without the LEZ. For further benefits it is necessary to periodically tighten the scheme's criteria.

120

The LEZs are mainly aimed at reducing exhaust emissions of PM, although some also aim to reduce nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>). These emissions are greater from diesel vehicles than from conventional gasoline vehicles (assuming, for NO<sub>x</sub>, a three-way catalyst is fitted). HDVs, which are almost all diesel fuelled in Europe, have the greatest emissions per vehicle kilometre. For example, Wang et al. (2010) suggests that in an urban area in Copenhagen HDVs emit about 30 times more PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 26 times more NO<sub>x</sub> than LDVs. Therefore many LEZs restrict these vehicles.

127

### 128 2.1. Brief History of LEZs

The first LEZs in Europe were established in 1996 in Stockholm, Göteborg and Malmo in Sweden,
where they are known as Environmental Zones (Miljözon). The oldest HDVs were banned, and
middle aged HDVs had to be fitted with a certified emission control device or new engine (Göteborgs
Stad, 2006). In 2002 the entry criteria were modified to include restrictions on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. In 2006
the Swedish Government established a national LEZ scheme. The current requirements are that Euro
II and III HDVs can be driven in a LEZ for eight years from first registration, Euro IV until 2016 and
Euro V until 2020 (Göteborgs Stad et al., 2009).

136

The first LEZ outside Sweden, established in 2002, was in the Mont Blanc Tunnel between Franceand Italy. HDVs are banned from entering unless they meet at least the Euro III standard.

139

140

#### 141 **2.2.**

#### Summary of European LEZs Requirements USCRIPT

Table 1 summarises the LEZ requirements. Only HDVs are restricted in most countries, but in
Germany LDVS are included as are cars in Athens (Greece) and Lisbon (Portugal). The Italian LEZs
also restrict 2-wheeled vehicles

There are a large number of LEZs in Italy and Germany, but other countries have been less enthusiastic. In France, according to Charleux (2013), legislation was passed in 2010 to allow large urban communities to introduce LEZs, but following a change in government, the policy was abandoned. However, the Mayor of Paris (2015) has announced the establishment of a LEZ in the capital from the summer 2015.

150

According to Sadler Consultants Ltd. (2014a) most LEZs are permanent and apply 24 hours a day, 151 152 seven days a week. Some, however, only apply on weekdays (Athens and Budapest LEZs) and the Lisbon LEZ only applies for 12 daytime hours on Monday to Saturday. Some Italian LEZs only restrict 153 154 passenger cars in the winter, but restrict 2-stroke motorcycles and mopeds, and diesel public transport buses all year. Athens LEZ applies from September to July each year, with different 155 156 requirements within the city centre and the rest of Athens. Vehicles up to 2.2 t are allowed to enter the 157 city centre on alternative days depending on the last digit of the license plate. In the whole of Athens 158 vehicles over 2.2 t and first registered before 1 January 1991 are banned. The date increases by one 159 year, every year. LEZ restrictions are enforced by manual techniques or the use of automatic number 160 plate recognition technology. Most LEZs require a sticker indicating compliance to be displayed.

161

#### 162 2.3. National Frameworks

Some countries, (e.g. Germany, the Netherlands and Sweden) have national LEZ frameworks to provide a consistent approach and to increase the ease of driving across a country. However, each municipality has the option to declare a LEZ and to determine the exempt vehicles. In other countries, most notably Italy, there is no national framework and each municipality determines their own criteria. This approach has the potential advantage of tailoring the LEZ to the local air quality issues, but can make driving thorough several cities on a single journey problematic without researching the

169	requirements prior to startir	g the trip. It can also increase costs for	national transport companies, as

170 the most stringent requirement(s) would need to be met to provide a national service.

171

#### 172 2.4 Evaluating the Effectiveness of an LEZ

173 During the planning stage potential impacts can be quantified by emissions modelling, often combined

174 with an estimate of the impact on air quality using dispersion or empirical models. There have been

relatively few studies which have attempted to evaluate the impact of a LEZ using measured

176 concentrations, possibly because of the difficulty in identifying small changes in concentrations

177 following policy interventions.

178

To predict the potential LEZ impact a large amount of detailed local data is required, from the fleet structure to traffic speeds. In recent years there has been considerable uncertainty regarding the emission factors commonly used, such as those in the EU's COPERT 4 emission model (EMISIA, 2011), particularly for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>). As a consequence many of the emission inventories and forecasts have been shown to be optimistic (Beevers et al., 2012).

184

Carslaw and Rhys-Tyler (2013) show that under real world driving conditions diesel car  $NO_x$  emissions have not changed over the last 20 years, and that this has not been reflected in the emission factors. At the same time the proportion of  $NO_2$  in vehicle  $NO_x$  emissions has increased. For heavy goods vehicles (HGVs)  $NO_x$  emissions were fairly constant until Euro IV when they declined by about 30% while there has been little change in urban buses emissions from Euro 1 to Euro IV, and there is some evidence that some Euro VI buses continue to be high emitters.

191

Given the relatively high NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from diesel vehicles and the lack of improvement over time,
any LEZ targeting NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations is unlikely to be successful until NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are significantly
reduced under real world driving conditions.

195

196 Another factor that needs to be considered when assessing the impact of a LEZ is the contribution of

197 exhaust emissions from local traffic to ambient concentrations. In Berlin, for example, Lutz (2013)

198 estimated that just 4.1% of  $PM_{10}$  at kerbside sites in 2009 was due to traffic exhaust emissions, with a 7

199 larger contribution (14.9%) from non-exhaust traffic emissions. However, the regional background

dominated, contributing almost two thirds of the  $PM_{10}$ . In situations such as this, reducing local vehicle exhaust emissions can only have a very limited impact on  $PM_{10}$  concentrations and compliance with the EU limit values.

203

204 It has been argued, for example by Cyrys et al. (2014), that it may be more appropriate to assess the 205 impact of LEZs in terms of the reduction in elemental carbon (EC), black carbon (BC) or black smoke 206 (BS) rather than  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  or even  $PM_1$ . The former are considered by some to be more toxic than 207 some of the other components of ambient PM and hence a reduction in their ambient concentrations 208 may have a greater benefit for human health than a small change in  $PM_{10}$  concentrations may 209 suggest. Janssen et al. (2011) evaluated the risk of BC and concluded that they are a valuable 210 indicator of the health risks of poor air quality where there are significant combustion particles, and 211 should be an additional indicator to PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> due to other components also having health 212 effects. Black smoke (BS), BC, absorption coefficient (Abs.), and EC are different instrumentally 213 driven parameters reflecting the concentration of the graphitic component of the soot particles arising 214 from fuel combustion. The traffic contribution to urban concentrations of these indicators is generally 215 high, making it easier to detect the impact of policy interventions (Keuken et al., 2012).

216

Cyrys et al. (2014) noted that it is difficult to show a reduction in PM<sub>10</sub> annual mean concentrations 217 around 1 µg m<sup>-3</sup> as meteorology has a large impact on the year to year variation of PM mass 218 219 concentrations. Some studies have compared monitoring data from several months before and after 220 establishing a LEZ. Adequate adjustment for the meteorological conditions can only be made over 221 long periods, preferably one year or more, to remove seasonal biases, and even with annual mean 222 data there can be significant year-to-year differences due to meteorology. This also means that 223 assessing the contribution of LEZs to compliance of short term air quality standards is even more 224 challenging.

225

226 2.5 Effects of other Policy Measures

Assessments of the impact of LEZs also need to take account of other policy measures implemented at a similar time. For example, the EU requirement for zero (<10ppm by mass) sulphur diesel (Jones

#### et al., 2012), the effect of the implementation of the Euro standards and the German scrappage

scheme for vehicles more than nine years old (Cyrys et al., 2014). In some locations there may also
be a large change in traffic due to planned transport management schemes. The deep recession in
Europe from 2008 is also likely to have affected the rate of replacement of vehicles and traffic
volumes.

234

235 The difficulty in showing improvements to air quality as a result of traffic management interventions is 236 illustrated by the London congestion charging scheme (CCS). It was introduced in 2003 and resulted in a 15% reduction in traffic within the zone (Transport for London, 2007). However, in 2003 air 237 pollution concentrations were higher than in 2002 because of unusual meteorological conditions. 238 239 Emissions modelling suggested total NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the charging zone reduced by 12.0% and on 240 the inner ring road increased by 1.5%, and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions reduced by 11.9% in the charging zone 241 and 1.4% on the inner ring road (Beevers and Carslaw, 2005). However, when Atkinson et al. (2009) 242 analysed measured concentrations from a roadside monitor in the CCS zone, they could not identify 243 any changes in concentrations associated with the scheme. Kelly et al. (2011) undertook further analysis of monitoring data and showed small decreases in PM<sub>10</sub> and larger decreases in NO<sub>x</sub>, and 244 small increases in NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at background sites within the zone. However, attributing the 245 246 cause of these changes to the CCS alone was not possible. The authors suggested that the rise in NO<sub>2</sub> could plausibly be explained by the bus fleet having been fitted with regenerative diesel particle 247 248 filters as well as the increase in diesel vehicles, and the decrease in background NO could have been 249 due to an increase in ozone concentrations.

250

#### 251 **3.** LONDON LEZ

of phases as shown in Table 2.

The London LEZ commenced operation in 2008 and is the world's largest. It covers an area of more than 1,500 km<sup>2</sup>. It operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and uses cameras with automatic number plate recognition technology linked to vehicle registration data to monitor compliance. Foreign vehicle operators need to register prior to entering the LEZ. It has been introduced in a series

257

256

258 The operators of vehicles not meeting the emission criteria, or not registered, are charged a daily rate.

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

260 Barrett (2014) used automatic number plate recognition data to show that the compliance rate of 261 HGVs greater than 12 tonnes at the North Circular air quality monitoring site changed from less than 262 80% in the 12 months prior to implementation to 95% by the implementation date, and then stabilised 263 at about 98%. Transport for London (2008) found that 90% of the vehicle kilometres in Greater 264 London were driven in compliant vehicles at the start of Phase 1. Ellison et al. (2013) suggests that 265 the fleet turnover initially increased substantially but subsequently returned to the national average. 266 Although the LEZ also applied to buses the vast majority were already Euro III compliant at the start of 267 the study.

268

Transport for London (2014) found that compliance was 98.99% for Phase 3 and 95.81% for Phase 4
in the last quarter of 2013.

271

#### 272 3.1. Modelling Studies

273 Transport Research Laboratory (TRL, 2000) concluded that a LEZ covering all of Greater London 274 would be more effective than one based on a smaller area for reducing NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations because 275 traffic emissions over a large area influence background concentrations in central London. For PM<sub>10</sub> 276 concentrations the size of the LEZ would make little difference because traffic contributes only about a 277 third of the background PM<sub>10</sub> concentration in central London. Therefore the scope to influence 278 concentrations is less than for NO2. Although the whole of London would benefit, emissions would 279 reduce more in central London than in outer London, corresponding to the severity of the air quality. 280 The most effective LEZ would exclude all pre-Euro 3 / III vehicles, but this was considered to be too 281 challenging as restrictions on cars would affect too many motorists, would require major expenditure 282 both to establish and enforce the LEZ, and would be disproportionate to the benefits. Therefore the 283 study recommended that the LEZ should be restricted to taxis and medium and heavy duty vehicles.

284

Table 3 summarises the original estimates of the impact of the LEZ on annual average

concentrations.

288 Watkiss et al. (2003) identified that it would be most cost-effective to target HDVs across the whole of

Greater London. For these vehicles, due to their initial high costs, retrofitting is more cost effective
than replacement. This is often not the case for LDVs. It was also recommended that the emission
criteria should be progressively tightened in future years.

292

293 Table 4 shows the predicted reductions in emissions and the area of exceedence of the UK air quality 294 objectives. The emission benefits are significantly less than those predicted for 2005. To some extent 295 this is due to the emissions being estimated for 2007 and 2010, when the normal fleet turnover would 296 have resulted in lower emissions, and therefore the benefits are predicted to be less, but is also due to 297 a revision in the emission factors used. Watkiss et al. (2003) concluded that the proposed LEZ would 298 have relatively little impact on NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, but would be more effective at reducing the area of 299 exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> objective. For PM<sub>10</sub> the annual mean objective / EU limit values were 300 expected to be achieved at all locations in 2007 with the LEZ even at the busiest roads in London.

301

302 Carslaw and Beevers (2002) modelled the effects of a central London LEZ at five locations in 2005. 303 No adjustment was made for traffic growth. Restricting all HDVs to Euro III and banning all pre-Euro 1 304 light duty vehicles was predicted to reduce annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by 3.6 to 11.1% or by up 305 to 3.9 ppb (7.3  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>) at building façades close to busy roads. The introduction of the LEZ would not 306 result in the annual mean concentrations being below the UK annual objective of 40  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> (21ppb).

307

#### 308 3.2 Monitoring Studies

Jones at al. (2012) identified a large reduction in particle numbers from late 2007 when the HGV fleet was beginning to change in preparation for the implementation of LEZ in early 2008. The authors concluded, however, that it was more likely to be due to the introduction of zero sulphur diesel (less than 10 ppm by mass) which occurred over a similar time period than the introduction of the LEZ. However the authors did not preclude a small effect due to the introduction of the LEZ.

314

Ellison et al. (2013) compared roadside PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations within the LEZ (in Enfield, Hackney, and
Sutton) and outside the LEZ (in Sawbridge, north of London). They concluded that the Phase 1 LEZ

317

318 discernible differences were found in NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations.

319

320 Barratt (2014) also compared roadside air quality data before and after the implementation of Phase 321 1. To isolate the impact of the LEZ on air quality from confounding factors a series of filters were used 322 to remove the influence of non-local traffic pollution sources. In addition, the weekends were 323 excluded from the dataset, as the proportion of HGVs was lower, to make any impact easier to detect. 324 None of the sites showed any clear trend in the local traffic contribution (i.e. the filtered data) to 325 ambient PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. At two outer London sites where HGVs dominate the traffic 326 emissions the local traffic contribution of PM2.5, black carbon and NOx reduced with the LEZ, but not at 327 the central London sites. The reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations with no observed reduction in PM<sub>10</sub> 328 concentrations suggests that coarse PM concentrations increased over the same period. This is may 329 be due to the effect of increasing vehicle weight on non-exhaust emissions. The London LEZ was 330 specifically introduced to help achieve compliance with the EU limit values for PM<sub>10</sub>, and it was hoped 331 that it would also have a beneficial impact on NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. This study found no clear evidence 332 of a reduction in either pollutant that could be attributed to the LEZ. However the reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and particularly black carbon concentrations in outer London suggest that there may have been health 333 334 benefits.

335

In summary, the modelling studies undertaken during the decision making phase suggest much larger benefits than have been observed. This is likely to be due to a number of factors including optimist assumptions regarding the  $NO_x$  emissions from diesel vehicles, their higher proportion of direct  $NO_2$ emissions and the increase in the proportion of diesel LDVs. In addition, the large contribution from outside London to measured concentrations, particularly for  $PM_{10}$ , means that there is a limit to the emission reduction potential of any traffic related measure.

342

Because of the limitations of using modelled data, particularly the failure of the emissions modelling to
reflect real world emissions, the rest of this paper has focused upon evidence of an impact from
ambient air quality monitoring data.

#### 347 **4**.

**GERMAN LEZs** 

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

348 Germany has a national LEZ framework which came into force in March 2007. To enter a LEZ 349 (Umweltzone) a vehicle must have an appropriate sticker displayed on the windscreen or face a fine. 350 There is manual enforcement of the LEZ by the police. There are three emission stickers: green, red 351 and yellow. The green sticker indicates the vehicle is either diesel fuelled and meets at least Euro 4 352 or IV standards, is Euro 3 or III with a diesel particle filter (DPF), or is a gasoline vehicle meeting Euro 353 1 standards. All diesel vehicles constructed prior to 2000 are banned. A yellow sticker is for diesel vehicles meeting at least Euro 3 or III, or Euro 2 or II with a DPF, and built in 1996 or later, and a red 354 355 one is for diesel vehicles meeting at least Euro 2 or II or Euro 1 plus DPF and built in 1992 or later. 356 Vehicles not meeting any of these requirements are in pollution class 1.

357

Cyrys et al. (2014) noted that in 2009 and 2010 the German Government provided a subsidy of
€2,500 to car owners replacing cars older than 9 years with a new model. The scrappage scheme led
a more rapid update of the car fleet across Germany than would otherwise have occurred, and this
may have interfered with LEZ impact studies.

362

According to Morfeld et al. (2014) German cities started requiring the green sticker from 2011; and Cyrys et al. (2014) states that most cities now require it. Two-wheeled vehicles, vintage cars, and offroad, police, fire brigade and emergency vehicles are exempt from the scheme.

366

367 Cyrys et al. (2014) reviewed German studies on the impact of LEZs on PM<sub>10</sub> and diesel soot 368 concentrations. Three studies showed no effect on monitored annual average PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations, 369 although one did show a reduction during the summer months. Other studies reported a reduction in  $PM_{10}$  concentrations in the range 5 to 15%, but these studies generally were undertaken over short 370 371 periods or used simple statistical approaches. Studies of annual mean BS or EC concentrations 372 tended to show a larger effect, up to 16%, reduction. This is thought to be due to vehicle exhaust 373 emissions contributing a larger proportion to the total ambient concentration, and therefore there is 374 greater potential for traffic measures to reduce concentrations.

# 376 Morfeld et al. (2014) investigated the effect of German LEZs on NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations using 377 matched quadruplets i.e. two pairs of 15 minute average concentrations from a street and reference 378 station, before and after the introduction of 17 LEZs in Germany. They also used monthly passive 379 diffusion tube data. The study showed a statistically significant but small impact of LEZs on NO<sub>2</sub> 380 concentrations of less than 2 $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

381

#### 382 **4.1. Berlin**

The first German LEZ was established in Berlin. It is covers 88 km<sup>2</sup> of the central area of the city and approximately 10% of the total area of Berlin. About 1 million people live within the LEZ. Stage 1 (red, yellow or green sticker required) was introduced in January 2008 and Stage 2 (green sticker required). two years later on 1 January 2010.

387

According to Lutz (2009) during the planning phase it was anticipated that Stage 1 would result in a 388 389 3% decrease in annual mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations and five fewer days with concentrations greater than 50  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, and Stage 2 would reduce PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations by 5 to 10% and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations 390 by about 4%. There would also be 10 to 15 fewer days with PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations above 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup> and 391 392 approximately 10,000 fewer residents living along main roads in the LEZ in non-compliance with the PM<sub>10</sub> standards. However, attempts to identify the direct effects of the LEZ on ambient PM<sub>10</sub> 393 394 concentrations failed as there was too much variation due to the weather and other unknown factors. 395 In the first year of operation of the LEZ (red, yellow or green sticker) the EC concentrations, after 396 accounting for the lower traffic volumes, decreased by 14 to 16% and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations decreased 397 by 8%.

398

#### 399 4.2. Munch

The City of Munich established a LEZ (red, yellow and green sticker) covering 44 km<sup>2</sup>, 14% of the city area, in 2008, eight months after a ban on HDVs driving through the city. Almost one third of the city population live within the LEZ. In 2010 Stage 2 (yellow and green sticker) was implemented, and in 2012 the final stage (green sticker) was implemented.

#### 405 Cyrys et al. (2009) (cited in Cyrys et al., 2014) compared PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations measured in the LEZ

with those at a regional background site close to the city. PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in the LEZ reduced by
5 to 12% at almost all the monitoring sites. However, Morfeld et al. (2013) (in German, cited in Cyrys
et al., 2014) analysed the same data set using regression analyses of matched pairs of concentration
data and found no significant effect.

410

411 Fensterer et al. (2014) used a sophisticated semi-parametric regression model over four years and 412 showed statistically significant reductions in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations at a traffic monitoring site (13% average reduction, p-value <0.001) as a result of the Stage 1 (red, yellow and green sticker) LEZ. The 413 414 PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations were adjusted using concentrations at a reference station, wind direction, season, time of day, and public holidays. When the same statistical analysis was applied to the 415 416 shorter period of data used by the earlier work of Cyrys et al. (2009), the authors found only negligible 417 and statistically insignificant changes in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations. This study and Morfield et al (2013) 418 illustrates the influence of the monitoring period and the statistical methods used on the results. 419 Qadir et al. (2013) analysed PM<sub>25</sub> samples collected before and after the implementation of the stage 420 1 (red, yellow and green sticker) LEZ. The contribution of traffic particulate organic compounds was

found to decrease by about 60% with the LEZ and the average concentration of EC from traffic alsodecreased by a similar proportion.

423

#### 424 **5.** ITALIAN LEZs

425 Italy has a very large number of LEZs (Zona a Traffico Limitato), mainly in the north of the country. 426 There is no national scheme, and many Italian LEZs have complex requirements. Many are 427 operational only during the winter and some only in the rush hour. There are regional LEZs which 428 may have different entry criteria to the local LEZs within them. There are also extensive exemptions 429 and the restrictions often apply only to very old vehicles. A vehicle's emission category is not indicated by use of a windscreen sticker as in many other countries, and according to Sadler, (2010) 430 little is known regarding enforcement. There is little published data on their efficacy in the English 431 432 language, except for the Milan LEZ, which is described below.

433

434 **5.1 Milan** 

#### In 2008 the Municipality of Milan restricted certain vehicles entering an 8.2 km<sup>2</sup> area in the historic city 435 436 centre, known as the Ecopass zone. Drivers of pre-Euro 4 / IV diesel vehicles had to pay a charge to enter the zone between 08:00 and 20:00. At the end of 2011 the scheme was replaced by a 437 438 combined LEZ and urban road charging scheme known as Area C. There is also a LEZ covering the 439 whole of the Lombardi region and another covering the Greater Milan area. The Lombardi LEZ is a permanent restriction on pre Euro 1 2-stroke motorcycles and mopeds and pre- Euro III diesel fuelled 440 441 public buses. In addition, from October to April the Greater Milan LEZ restricts pre Euro 1 gasoline, 442 and pre Euro 3 / III diesel vehicles from 7:30 to 19:30 on weekdays. Diesel vehicles fitted with a DPF 443 to meet Euro 3 / III standards are allowed in the LEZ.

444

According to Invernizzi et al. (2011) the Ecopass zone was originally predicted by the municipality to 445 446 reduce PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations by 30%, but a study undertaken in 2009 failed to demonstrate any 447 difference in PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> or PM<sub>1</sub> concentrations inside and outside of the Ecopass area, despite a 448 reduction in the number of vehicles entering the zone. The failure to find air quality improvements 449 may be due to the small area of the Ecopass zone or due to that fact that PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations are 450 relatively homogeneous across Milan, due to the large regional component. The authors suggested that black carbon, from combustion of carbonaceous fuels, may be a more suitable indicator of the 451 452 beneficial impact of LEZs and undertook a short term study of BC, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>1</sub> 453 concentrations in a pedestrian zone, the Ecopass zone and outside the Ecopass zone. The three day 454 mean concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>25</sub>, and PM<sub>1</sub> were not significantly different at the three locations. However, the ratio of black carbon to PM<sub>10</sub> in the three locations showed a decrease from outside the 455 456 Ecopass zone > Ecopass Zone > pedestrian zone. The mean ratios were 22.6%, 11.8% and 8.5% 457 respectively. On average the BC concentration was 47% and 62% in the Ecopass Zone and the pedestrian zone respectively of that measured outside the Ecopass zone. 458

459

#### 460 **6. DUTCH LEZs**

According to Sadler Consultants Ltd (2014a) the Netherlands has a national LEZ framework which originally covered HGVs but was extended from 2011 to include LDVs. Entry was first restricted for pre-Euro III HDVs, and then, from 2013, tightened to pre-Euro IV vehicles. LDVs should be first registered after 1 January 2001. The national agreement defines a number of exempt vehicles, and

#### 465 allows for additional local exemptions. Up to 12 entries into the LEZ per year are permitted for non-

466 compliant vehicles. Six Dutch LEZs (*Milieuzone*) were established in 2007and by April 2014 there
467 were 13 LEZs.

Boogaard et al. (2012), in a study in five Dutch cities (Amsterdam, The Hague, Den Bosch, Tilburg
and Utrecht), concluded that the LEZs did not substantially change concentrations of traffic-related
pollutants at street monitoring sites more than at suburban background sites outside the LEZs, even
though concentrations were lower in 2010 (post-implementation year) than in 2008 (preimplementation year).

473

#### 474 6.1 Amsterdam

Amsterdam introduced a LEZ in October 2008 covering an area of approximately 20 km<sup>2</sup>. Initially it was a trial with no penalties or enforcement, but from January 2009 pre Euro III HDVs were prohibited from entering the LEZ. From 1 January 2010 the criteria was tightened to also prohibit Euro III vehicles without a DPF. Automatic number plate recognition is used to identify vehicles and penalties are issued automatically. The restrictions apply all the time and there is a fine for non-compliance (Milieuzones, 2014).

481

Panteliadis et al. (2014) found a statistically significant decrease in concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, EC and Abs. measured at a roadside location in the Amsterdam LEZ. However data for EC and Abs. were not collected every day. When the limited data was compared to the full NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> dataset, there was no noticeable difference in concentrations in the post LEZ implementation period. The authors suggested that the limited dataset may have biased the result, and over-estimated the impact on the LEZ by chance due to the selection of the sampling days for EC and Abs.

488

#### 489 7. DANISH LEZs

490 Denmark also has national legislation defining LEZs. From 2008 HDVs in a LEZ had to meet the Euro
491 II emission standards and from July 2010 the Euro III standards.

#### 493 Jensen et al. (2011) investigated the effects of the Copenhagen LEZ using long term monitoring data

494 from H.C. Andersens Boulevard, one of the busiest streets in the city. The authors concluded that the 495 LEZ reduced average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations by about 5%, equivalent to 0.7 µg m<sup>-3</sup>. This was 12% of 496 the traffic contribution. However, the authors noted the difficulty of identifying small changes in 497 concentrations when there is a continuous renewal of the car fleet and associated reduction in 498 emissions.

499

#### 500 8. DISCUSSION

Approximately 200 LEZs have been declared in the EU, but there have been relatively few peer reviewed studies reported in the scientific literature demonstrating their impact using monitoring data. Table S1 in the supplementary information summarises the results of the available studies including those undertaken by municipalities. Modelling data has not been considered due to the uncertainty over the emission factors used, particularly for NO<sub>x</sub>.

506

507 LEZs can only impact on the traffic component, which for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  is relatively small as the 508 regional background often dominates. Also they do not impact on non-exhaust PM emissions from 509 traffic which may be an equally or more important emission source, but is currently uncontrolled 510 (Harrison et al., 2012).

511

512 Determining the impact on air quality is difficult due to a range of confounding factors, particularly 513 meteorological influences, but also the traffic contribution, the changing nature of vehicle fleets, 514 policies such as the introduction of vehicle scrappage schemes, the composition of traffic close to the 515 monitoring stations and changes in vehicle flows. Economic factors such as recession and oil prices 516 can also play an important role in determining the rate of new car purchase and use of vehicles.

517

The statistical method and period of data used to isolate the LEZ effect are also important. Some studies have used very simple statistics while other used detailed pairing or filtering of the data to identify an impact. Where comparisons are made between sites within and outside a LEZ over time it is important that traffic flow data is available as any improvement in air quality may be due to changes in traffic flows rather than the influence of the LEZ.

523

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The data presents a mixed picture. This is not surprising as LEZs differ hugely in terms of the area covered and the vehicles restricted. Many of the studies of the efficacy of LEZs have been undertaken on early phases due to the need for long term monitoring data, and it may be that later phases are more effective.

528

529 Reductions in annual mean PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations up to 7% have been reported in German LEZs, but in many LEZs no effect has been observed. In Munich the combination of a LEZ and a ban on HGVs 530 531 travelling through the city centre has been shown to reduce PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations by up to 13%. There 532 seems to be a greater effect in the summer months, presumably because the traffic contribution is 533 relatively large compared to the winter when other sources become more important. The German 534 LEZs restrict diesel cars as well as pre-Euro 1 gasoline cars, and therefore a greater impact may be 535 expected in these LEZ than in other countries where the LEZs typically only restrict heavy duty 536 vehicles.

537

538 The impact of LEZs on the following PM metrics has also been evaluated: PM2.5, PM1, and carbonaceous particles. LEZs have been found to reduce  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations in London and 539 540 Copenhagen, but not in Dutch cities or Milan. The only study investigating the impact on PM<sub>1</sub> 541 concentrations found no effect, but it was a very short term study. A larger impact has generally been 542 found on carbonaceous particles (BC, EC and Abs.). The traffic contribution to BC concentrations has 543 been reduced by 15 to 17% in London, while the total EC concentration has been reduced by 13-16% 544 in Amsterdam, Berlin and Leipzig, with the traffic contribution reduced by 56% in Berlin. However, 545 there may have been a bias in the Amsterdam study, acknowledged by the authors, due to the 546 sampling days. In addition, the results of the short term study in Milan suggested that the LEZ had a 547 beneficial impact on BC concentrations. On the other hand, no impact on Abs. was found in several 548 Dutch cities.

549

No impact of LEZs on  $NO_2$  concentrations has been found, except in a multi-city study in Germany. Given the evidence that has emerged in recent years that real world diesel  $NO_x$  emissions have remained essentially unchanged per vehicle kilometre since the introduction of the Euro emission

553 standards, with the probable exception of late Euro V and Euro VI HDVs, it is perhaps surprising that

any benefit of LEZs on NO<sub>x</sub> or NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations have been observed. It may be that other factors

555 have contributed to the observed changes in NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

556 None of the studies reviewed have explicitly stated whether LEZs have contributed to compliance with

the EU limit value for either  $PM_{10}$  or  $NO_2$ . Given the many confounding factors identifying the

558 contribution would be challenging.

559

#### 560 9. CONCLUSIONS

The original aim of many LEZs was to reduce ambient concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ , and to a lesser extent NO<sub>2</sub>, to help achieve compliance with the EU limit values. In German cities reductions in annual mean PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations up to 7% and 4% respectively due to the implementation of an LEZ have been reported.

565

These LEZs may have helped achieve compliance with the annual mean limits but no data is available from air quality monitoring studies on whether LEZs have contributed towards the achievement of the short term limit values. To demonstrate compliance with these limit values would be challenging due to the large influence of meteorological conditions on the daily and hourly concentrations.

570

In other countries the picture is much more mixed with no effects generally being observed. This may be explained by the German LEZs restricting passenger cars, particularly diesel cars as well as HDVs. Many of the studies, however, have used simple statistical methods that have not taken sufficient account of the confounding factors that affect urban air quality. Studies that have used more sophisticated statistical analyses to remove the confounding factors, particularly the effects of meteorology, suggest that the German LEZs may have resulted in a small, possibly a few percent, reduction in long term average PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.

578

579 On the other hand there is some, albeit limited, evidence that LEZs may result in larger reductions in 580 the concentration of carbonaceous particles, which may be beneficial for public health (WHO, 2012).

581 This must imply that PM<sub>10</sub> mass concentrations have also diminished by a small amount.

582		ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
583		
000		
584		
585	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	

- 586 This work is part of the AIRUSE project funded jointly by the EU LIFE+ programme (LIFE 11
- 587 ENV/ES/000584) and the University of Birmingham.

589	REFERENCES ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT	
590 591 592 593 594	Atkinson, R.W., Barratt, B., Armstrong, B., Anderson, H.R., Beevers, S.D., Mudway, I.S., Green, D., Derwent, R.G., Wilkinson, P., Tonne, C., Kelly, F.J., 2009. The impact of the congestion charging scheme on ambient concentrations in London. Atmos. Environ. 43, 5493-5500.	1
595 596	Barratt, B., 2014. Personnel communication.	
590 597 598 599	Beevers, S.D., Carslaw, D.C., 2005. The impact of congestion charging on vehicle emissions in London. Atmos. Environ. 39, 1-5.	
600 601 602	Beevers, S.D., Westmoreland, E., de Jong, M.C., Williams, M.L., Carslaw, D.C., 2012. Trends in Ne and NO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road traffic in Great Britain. Atmos. Environ. 54, 107-116.	З <sub>х</sub>
603 604 605 606 607	Boogaard, H., Janssen, N.A.H., Fischer, P.H., Kos, G.P.A., Weijers, E.P., Cassee, F.R., van der Ze S.C., de Hartog, J.J., Meliefste, K., Wang, M., Brunekreff, B., Hoek, G., 2012. Impact of low emissic zones and local traffic policies on ambient air pollution concentrations. Sci. Tot. Environ. 435-436, 132-140.	
608 609 610	Carslaw, D.C., Beevers, S.D., 2002. The efficacy of low emission zones in central London as an means of reducing nitrogen dioxide concentrations. Transport. Res. Part D, 7, 49-64.	
611 612 613 614	Carslaw, D.C., Rhys-Tyler, G., 2013. New insights from comprehensive on-road measurements of $NO_x$ , $NO_2$ and $NH_3$ from vehicle emission remote sensing in London, UK. Atmos. Environ. 81, 339-347.	
615 616 617	Charleux L, 2013. Contingencies of environmental justice: the case of individual mobility and Grenoble's low-emission zone. Urban Geography.	
618 619 620	Cyrys, J., Peters, A., Soentgen, J., Wichmann, HE., 2014. Low emission zones reduce PM <sub>10</sub> mass concentrations and diesel soot in German cities. JAWMA 64, 4, 481-487.	5
621 622 623	Cyrys, J., A. Peters, and H.E. Wichmann. 2009. Umweltzone München—Eine erste Bilanz. Umweltmed. Forsch. Praxis 14:127–32.	
624 625 626	Ellison, R.B., Greaves, S.P., Hensher, D.A., 2013. Five years of London's low emission zone: Effect on vehicle fleet composition and air quality. Transport. Res. Part D, 23, 25-33.	ts:
627 628 629	EMISIA, 2011. COPERT 4, Computer programme to calculate emissions from road transport, <u>http://www.emisia.com/copert</u> , accessed 11 April 2014.	
630 631 632	European Environment Agency, 2014. Air quality in Europe-2014, EEA Report No 5/2014, 80 pp. http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/air-quality-in-europe-2014.	
633 634 635 636 637	Fensterer, V., Küchenhoff, H., Maier, V., Wichmann, HE., Breitner, S., Peters, A., Gu, J., Cyrys, J. 2014. Evaluation of the impact of low emission zone and heavy traffic ban in Munich (Germany) on the reduction on $PM_{10}$ in ambient air. Intl. J. Environ. Res. Pub. Heath 11, 5094-5112; doi:10.3390/ijerph110505094	
638 639 640	Göteborgs, Stad., 2006. Assessment of environmental zone in Göteborg. A report for the Traffic an Public Transport Authority of the City of Göteborg, Göteborg, Sweden.	۱d
641 642	Göteborgs Stad, Lund Stad, Malmö Stad, Stockholm Stad, Mölndals Stad, Helsingborg Stad, 2009, Environmental zones, heavy vehicles – trucks and buses in Sweden, Regulations.	

Harrison, R.M, Jones, A.M., Gietl, J., Yin, J., Green, D.C., 2012. Estimation of the contributions of
brake dust, tire wear, and resuspension to nonexhaust traffic particles derived from atmospheric
measurements. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 6523-6529.

22

Invernizzi G., Ruprecht A., Mazza R., De Marco C., Mocnik G., Sioutas C., Westerdahl D., 2011. 648 649 Measurement of black carbon concentration as an indicator of air guality benefits of traffic restriction 650 policies within the ecopass zone in Milan, Italy. Atmos. Environ. 45, 3522-352. 651 Janssen, N.A.H., Hoek, G., Simic-Lawson, M., Fischer, P., van Bree, L., ten Brink, H., Keuken, M., 652 653 Atkinson, R.W., Anderson, H.R., Brunekreef, B., Cassee, F.C., 2011. Black carbon as an additional 654 indicator of the adverse health effects of airborne particles compared with PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Environ. 655 Health Perspect. 119, 1691-1699. 656 657 Jensen, S.S., Ketzel, M., Nøjgaard, J.K., Becker, T., 2011. What are the impacts on air quality of low 658 emission zones in Demark? Proceedings from the Annual Transport Conference at Aalborg 659 University, ISSN 1603-9696 (www.trafikdage.dk/artikelarkiv). 660 661 Jones, A., Harrison, R.M., Barratt, B., Fuller, G., 2012. A large reduction in airborne particle number 662 concentrations at the time of the introduction of "sulphur free" diesel and the London low emission 663 zone. Atmos. Environ. 50, 129-138. 664 665 Kelly, F., Anderson, R., Armstrong, B., Atkinson, R., Barratt, B., Beevers, S., Derwent, D., Green, D., 666 Mudway, I., Wilkinson, P., 2011. The impact of the congestion charging scheme on air quality in 667 London, Part 1, Emissions modelling and analysis of air pollution measurements. Health Effects 668 Institute, Report No 155, Boston. 669 670 Keuken, M.P., Jonkers, S., Zandveld, P., Voogt, M., van den Elshout, S., 2012. Elemental carbon as 671 an indicator for evaluating the impact of traffic measures on air quality and health. Atmos. Environ. 672 61, 1-8. 673 674 Lutz, M., 2009. The low emission zone in Berlin - Results of a first impact assessment, workshop on 675 "NO<sub>x</sub>: Time for Compliance", Birmingham, November 2009. 676 677 Lutz, M., 2013. Low emission zones & air quality in German cities, Clean Air Workshop, Berlin, 678 September 2013. 679 680 Mayor of Paris, 2015. http://www.paris.fr/politiques/conseil-de-paris-debats-deliberations/lutte-contre-681 la-pollution-de-l-air-priorite-absolue-de-la-ville-de-paris/rub\_6769\_actu\_153008\_port\_24625. 682 Accessed 11 Feb 2015. 683 684 Milieuzones, 2014, www.milieuzones.nl/english assessed 15 April 2014. 685 686 Morfeld, P., Groneberg, D.A., Spallek, M.F., 2014. Effectiveness of low emission zones: Large scale 687 analysis of changes in environmental NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations in 17 German cities. PLoS 688 ONE 9(8), e102999 doi:10.1371/jornal.pone.0102999. 689 690 Panteliadis, P., Strak, M., Hoek, G., Weijers, R., van der Zee, S., Dijkema, M., 2014. Implementation 691 of a low emission zone and evaluation of effects on air quality by long-term monitoring. Atmos. 692 Environ. 86, 113-119. 693 694 Qadir, R.M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Chow, J.C., Zimmermann, R., 2013. Concentrations 695 and source contributions of particulate organic matter before and after implementation of a low 696 emission zone in Munich, Germany. Environ. Pollut. 175,158-167. 697 698 Sadler Consultants Ltd, 2014a. www.lowemissionzones.eu accessed 3 March 2014. 699 700 Sadler Consultants Ltd, 2014b. www.urbanaccessregulations.eu accessed 20 November 2014. 701 702 Sadler, L., 2010. Low emission zones in Europe. presentation to the 15th Meeting of the European 703 Topic Centre on Air Pollution and Climate Change, 14 October 2010, Dessau. 704 705 Transport for London, 2007. Central London congestion charging: impacts monitoring, fifth annual 706 report, July 2007, London. 707 708 Transport for London, 2008. London low emission zone, impacts monitoring, baseline report, July 709 2008, London.

710	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
711	Transport for London, 2014. About the LEZ, http://www.tfl.gov.uk/modes/driving/low-emission-
712 713	zone/about-the-lez, accessed 3 April 2014.
714 715 716	Transport Research Laboratory (TRL), 2000. A low emissions zone for London, TLR Report 431, Crowthorne.
717 718 719 720	Wang, F., Ketzel, M., Ellermann, T., Wåhlin, P., Jensen, S.S., Fang, D., Massling, A., 2010. Particle number, particle mass and $NO_2$ emission factors at a highway and an urban street in Copenhagen. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 2745-2764.
721 722 723 724	Watkiss, P., Allen, J., Anderson, S., Beevers, S., Browne, M., Carslaw, D., Emerson, P., Fairclough, P., Francsics, J., Freeman, D., Haydock, H., Hidri, S., Hitchcock, G., Parker, T., Pye, S., Smith, A., Ye R., Young, T., 2003. London low emission zone feasibility study; A summary of the Phase 2 report to the London low emission zone steering group. AEA Technology, July 2003, Abingdon.
725 726 727 728	WHO, 2005. Air Quality Guidelines Global update 2005: particulate matter, ozone, nitrogen dioxide and sulphur dioxide, Copenhagen.
729 730 731	WHO, 2012. Health Effects of Black Carbon, Bonn (http://www.euro.who.int/data/assets/pdf_file/0004/162535/e96541.pdf?ua=1)
732 733 734	WHO, 2013. Review of the evidence on health aspects of air pollution – REVIHAAP project: technical report, Copenhagen.

735	TABLE LEG	GENDS ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
736 737 738	Table 1:	Summary of European Low Emission Zones
739 740	Table 2:	Evolution of the Emissions Criteria for the London LEZ
741 742	Table 3:	Estimated Impact of London LEZ in 2005 (TRL, 2000)
743 744 745	Table 4:	Predicted Air Quality Benefits of the Recommended London LEZ in 2007 and 2010 (Watkiss et al., 2003)

<text><text><text><text>

#### Table 1: Summary of European Low Emission Zones NUSCRIPT 746

Country	Number of LEZs	Applicable vehicles	National Framework/ legislation
Austria	3	Heavy goods vehicles (HGVs)	Yes
Czech Republic	1	HGVs	No
Denmark	6	HDVs	Yes
Finland	1	Buses and refuse trucks	No
France	1	HGVs	No
Germany	~70	All vehicles except motorcycles	Yes
Greece	1	All vehicles in inner LEZ, vehicles > 2.2 tonnes outer LEZ.	No
Italy	~92**	Various	No
Netherlands	13	HGVs	Yes
Portugal	1	Cars & HGVs	No
Sweden	8	All vehicles > 3.5 t	Yes
UK	3	HDVs and in London also large commercial LDVs.	No
EU	~200	-	No

Notes:

\*\*\*The Lombardi Regional LEZ has been counted as one. Table only includes those in existence in 2014. LEZs are planned for Belgium and Czech Republic.

<u>.atio</u>. Source: (http://urbanaccessregulations.eu) / Sadler Consultants Ltd, 2014b

747

# 749 Table 2: Evolution of the Emissions Criteria for the London LEZ IPT

Phase	Date Introduced	Vehicles Restricted	Gross vehicle weight (GVW) (tonnes)	Minimum Emission standard*
1	4 Feb 2008	Heavy goods vehicles (HGVs)	> 12 t	Euro III for PM
2	7 July 2008	HGVs	> 3.5 t	
3	3 Jan 2012	Large vans 4x4 light utility vehicles Motorised horseboxes Pickups Ambulances	1.205 (unladen) -3.5 t (GWV) 2.5 - 3.5 t	Euro III
		Motor caravans	2.5 - 3.5 t	
		Minibuses (>8 passengers)	<u>&lt;</u> 5 t	
4	3 Jan 2012	HGVs	> 3.5 t	Euro III
4	3 Jan 2012	Buses, coaches	>5 t	
5	Dec 2015	Buses operated by Transport for London	5	Euro IV
6	Planned for 2020	All vehicles. This ultra-low emissions zone is currently under development, and may apply just within the 22 km <sup>2</sup> of the London Congestion Charging Zone in Central London, and for restricted hours.	All vehicles	Euro 6/VI (?)

# Notes:

\* Or fitted with a diesel particle filter with a Reduced Pollution Certificate. Euro III and Euro IV standards were mandated for all vehicles first registered after October 2001 and 2005 respectively.

750

# 752 Table 3: Estimated Impact of London LEZ in 2005 (TRL, 2000) RTPT

Location	Estimated emissions to a 'do scer	compared nothing' hario	Average Ba Concentr (µg n	rations	Average Urban Centre Concentrations (μg m <sup>-3</sup> )	
	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NOx	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	
Central London	-55%	-20%	20.7	34.2	35.7	
Inner London	-48%	-19%	19.5	31.8	38.5	
Outer London	-46%	-18%	19.2	27.3	30.3	
All London	-47%	-18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Notes:

The original paper used ppb for NO<sub>2</sub>, the conversion to  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> used a factor of 1.88 to be consistent with other data in this report.

753

# 755 Table 4: Predicted Air Quality Benefits of the Recommended London LEZ in 2007 and 2010 (Watkiss

756 et al., 2003)

Scenario:								
	Year	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>					
Reduction in emissions (relative to	2007	1.5%	9.0%					
baseline)	2010 (A)	2.7%	19%					
	2010 (B)	3.8%	23%					
	Year	NO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>					
Reduction in area exceeding air	2007	4.7%	0%*					
quality targets (relative to baseline)	2010 (A)	12.0%	32.6%**					
	2010 (B)	18.9%	42.9%**					

Notes:

\*London should meet the relevant air quality objectives for  $PM_{10}$  in an average meteorological year. \*\* Exceedence of the provisional annual mean  $PM_{10}$  objective of 23 µg m<sup>-3</sup> (40 µg m<sup>-3</sup> applicable in 2007). This objective was removed in the 2007 Air Quality Strategy. 2010 (A) HDVs

2010 (B) HDVs, vans and taxis

# Highlights

- Most studies of LEZs have not taken confounding factors into account adequately
- German LEZs may have reduced PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by a few percent
- Elsewhere no clear effects on PM<sub>10</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> observed
- Carbonaceous particle concentrations may be reduced significantly

Chertin Marine

# Supplementary Information

# Table S1: Summary of the Air Quality Benefits of LEZs Identified From Monitoring Data

0.1			Natas							
City	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM₁	вс	EC	Abs.	NOx	NO <sub>2</sub>	- Notes	Reference
Berlin, Manheim, Stuttgart, Tubingen, Ludwigsburg	No effect					5	5		Comparison of cities with and without LEZs	Nierderemaier, 2009, cited in Cyrys et al., 2014
17 German cities with LEZs					The second se	212		Up to 4%	Matched quadruplets for before and after LEZ and within LEZ and at reference stations. LEZ Stage1.	Morfeld et al., 2014
Berlin, Cologne	5-7%			k					Comparison of annual average concentrations	Bruckmann and Lutz, 2010, cited in Cyrys et al., 2014
Berlin	3%				14-16%				Comparison of BS concentrations within and outside LEZ. Adjusted for the changes in traffic intensity. 2008 (with LEZ) compared to 2007	Lutz, 2009
			ý		42% (traffic contribution)			7-10%	Comparison between 2007 (no LEZ) and 2012	Lutz, 2013
Bremen	6%							6%	No details provided	Reported in Sadler, 2011

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

•										
City	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	<b>PM</b> ₁	BC	EC	Abs.	NOx	NO <sub>2</sub>	Notes	Reference
Cologne	7%						A.S.	1.5%	Early estimate from monitoring data. PM <sub>10</sub> affected by construction works	Reported in Sadler, 2011
Hanover	1-2%					Ċ		5%	No details provided	Reported in Sadler, 2011
Leipzig	No effect (6-15% in summer)				6-14% (14-29% in summer)	AV AV			Comparison of annual/summer average concentrations, adjusted wrt reference station	Löschau et al., 2013, cited in Cyrys et al., 2014
Ruhr Area	4%				04			1.2%	Comparison of average concentrations in and out of LEZ	Reported in Sadler, 2011
Munich	5-12%								Ban in through HDV traffic introduced 8 months before LEZ. Analysis based on 4 months monitoring data, adjusted wrt reference station	Cyrys et al., 2009, cited in Cyrys et al., 2014
	No effect		~						Comparison before and after LEZ, adjustment using reference station data	Morfeld et al., 2013, cited in Cyrys et al., 2014

# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

City		R								
	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>1</sub>	вс	EC	Abs.	NOx	NO <sub>2</sub>	Notes	Reference
	13% (19.6% in summer; 6.8% in winter)						S.B		Data for traffic site; 4.5% reduction in annual mean at urban background. Analysis took account of multiple factors using semi- parametric regression model. HDV ban as well as LEZ	Fensterer et al., 2014
					55% (traffic contribution)				Positive matrix factorization of PM <sub>2.5</sub> samples collected before and after LEZ.	Qadir et al., 2013
Milan	No effect	No effect	No effect						Very short term data. Ratio of BC to $PM_{10}$ lower in LEZ than outside.	Invernizzi et al., 2011
Amsterdam, The Hague, Den Bosch, Tilburg, Utrecht	No effect	No effect	K			No effect	No effect	No effect	Comparison before and after LEZ (and in some cases other traffic measures), four suburban stations used as reference stations.	Boogaard et al., 2012
Amsterdam	No effect				12.9% (limited data)	7.7% (limited	No effect	No effect	Linear regression. Traffic	Panteliadis et al., 2014

City	Reduction in Long Term Concentrations Due to LEZ (%)								Neter	Defense
	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>1</sub>	BC	EC	Abs.	NOx	NO <sub>2</sub>	Notes	Reference
						data)	3.B		contribution estimated by subtracting data from urban background monitoring site in LEZ.	
Copenhagen		5%				S	No effect		Comparison of data from traffic site before and after LEZ.	Jensen et al., 2011
London	No effect	5-11% (per year) (traffic contribution)		15-17% (per year) (Traffic contribution)	ON O		3-7% (Traffic contribution)	No effect	Detailed filtering of data to remove confounding factors. Data from sites most likely to be affected by LEZ	Barrett, 2014
	1-2%						No effect		Simple comparison of data from sites in and outside LEZ.	Ellison et al., 2013

Notes: Sadler (2011) provides a review of the efficacy of LEZs, and is more optimistic than Cyrys et al (2014). Little detail of the methodology used to identify the LEZ effect is given. In this Table data from Sadler (2011) has only been included for those LEZs that there is no other source is readily available. Data is derived from measurements not modelling.

## Additional References

Bruckmann, P., and M. Lutz. 2010. Verbessern Umweltzonen die Luftqualität? In Tagungsband zum 12. Technischen Kongress des Verbandes der Automobilindustrie (VDA), 24–25 March 2010, 299–311. Ludwigsburg, Germany: Henrich Druck + Medien GmbH.

Löschau, G., Wiedensohler A., Birmili, W., Rasch, F., Spindler G., Müller K., , Wolf, U., Hausmann, A., Böttger, M., Anhalt A., Herrmann, H. 2013. Umweltzone Leipzig, Teil 2: Immissionssituation 2011. Landesamt für Umwelt, Landwirtschaft und Geologie, Dresden, Germany

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Morfeld, P., Stern R., Builtjes P., Groneberg D.A., and Spallek M. 2013. Einrichtung einer Umweltzone und ihre Wirksamkeit auf die PM<sub>10</sub> Feinstaubkonzentration—eine Pilotanalyse am Beispiel München. Zentralbl. Arbeitsmed. 63:104–15.

Niedermaier, M. 2009. Wirksamkeit von Umweltzonen. ADAC-Untersuchung. ADAC e.V., Interessenvertretung Verkehr. http://www.adac.de/\_mmm/pdf/ umweltzonen\_wirksamkeit\_bericht\_0609\_43574.pdf (accessed August 8, 2013).

Sadler Consultants Ltd, 2011. Low Emission Zone in Europe, Report for ADEME, Emmendingen, Germany.