UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Oxygen-Insensitive Nitroreductase E. coli NfsA, but not NfsB, is inhibited by fumarate

Day, Martin A; Jarrom, David; Rajah, Navina; Searle, Peter F; Hyde, Eva I; White, Scott A

DOI: 10.1002/prot.26451

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Day, MA, Járrom, D, Rajah, N, Searle, PF, Hyde, EI & White, SA 2022, 'Oxygen-Insensitive Nitroreductase E. coli NfsA, but not NfsB, is inhibited by fumarate', *Proteins: structure, function, and bioinformatics*, pp. 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.26451

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

SHORT COMMUNICATION



Oxygen-insensitive nitroreductase *E. coli* NfsA, but not NfsB, is inhibited by fumarate Martin A. Day^{1,2} | David Jarrom¹ | Navina Rajah¹ | Peter F. Searle² | Eva I. Hyde¹ | Scott A. White¹

¹School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²Institute for Cancer and Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence

Eva I. Hyde, School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. Email: e.i.hyde@bham.ac.uk

Funding information

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (UK); Medical Research Council (UK)

Abstract

Escherichia coli NfsA and NfsB are founding members of two flavoprotein families that catalyze the oxygen-insensitive reduction of nitroaromatics and quinones by NAD(P)H. This reduction is required for the activity of nitrofuran antibiotics and the enzymes have also been proposed for use with nitroaromatic prodrugs in cancer gene therapy and biocatalysis, but the roles of the proteins *in vivo* in bacteria are not known. NfsA is NADPH-specific whereas NfsB can also use NADH. The crystal structures of *E. coli* NfsA and NfsB and several analogs have been determined previously. In our crystal trials, we unexpectedly observed NfsA bound to fumarate. We here present the X-ray structure of the *E. coli* NfsA-fumarate complex and show that fumarate acts as a weak inhibitor of NfsA but not of NfsB. The structural basis of this differential inhibition is conserved in the two protein families and occurs at fumarate concentrations found *in vivo*, so impacting the efficacy of these proteins.

KEYWORDS

flavoprotein, FMN, fumarate, nitrofuran, Nitroreductase, prodrug

1 | INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli NfsA and NfsB (Nitrofuran sensitive A and B) are the founding members of two families of nitroreductases that occur in a large number of bacteria (reviewed in 1). They were initially discovered as mutations in these genes render *E. coli* resistant to nitrofuran antibiotics. In addition to their importance for nitrofuran antibiotics, the ability of the enzymes, particularly NfsB, to reduce nitroaromatics to cytotoxic hydroxylamines has been used for cancer gene therapy with nitroaromatic prodrugs such as CB1954 (reviewed in 2) and with nitroaromatic probes for hypoxia, in cell ablation studies^{3–5} and in bio-remediation of TNT.^{6,7} These two families of nitroreductases form part of a superfamily of proteins, with over 20 000 sequences known

to date, that catalyze a diverse range of reactions 8,9 and have been proposed for use in biochemical engineering. 9,10

NfsA and NfsB are FMN-containing proteins that use NAD(P)H to reduce quinones and nitroaromatics, with a substituted enzyme (ping-pong) mechanism.^{11,12} They react via 2-electron steps, without the formation of free radicals, and hence are classified as oxygeninsensitive, as opposed to oxygen-sensitive nitroreductases that give 1-electron radical intermediates.¹³ NfsA uses NADPH preferentially as the cofactor,^{11,14} whereas NfsB can use either NADH or NADPH with similar affinity.^{12,15} They have a similar range of known substrates,¹¹ but the *in vivo* substrates and roles of the enzymes are not known. However, despite decades of use of nitrofuran antibiotics, resistance mutants have not spread and mutants show reduced growth rates,¹⁶ suggesting that the genes are important *in vivo*. Both genes are upregulated by MarA^{17,18} and SoxS,^{19,20} while NfsA is also

© 2022 The Authors. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1

Abbreviations: NFZ, nitrofurazone; PEG, polyethylene glycol.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

regulated by OxyR. The regulation by SoxS and OxyR suggests that the proteins have roles in combating oxidative stress, while regulation by MarA indicates roles in reducing the effects of environmental pollutants.

The structure of E. coli NfsA has been determined in the absence of substrates by Kobori et al. (1F5V).²¹ Recently, we determined the structure of NfsA from E. coli in complex with the substrates nitrofurantoin, guinone, with the product hydroguinone and with an inhibitor bound FMN²² and, separately, with the cofactor NADP $^{+23}$. We and others have also determined the structure of free NfsB^{24,25} and of NfsB in complex with nicotinic acid²⁶ and nitrofurazone.²⁷ Several structures of NfsA and NfsB homologs^{28,29} and mutants have also been determined. In our initial attempts to crystallize NfsA, we unexpectedly found a four-carbon, dicarboxylic acid in the active site. In this work, we show the structure of the NfsA complex with fumarate and kinetic inhibition assays with both NfsA and NfsB. NfsA but not NfsB is inhibited at in vivo concentrations of fumarate, but only much higher concentrations of succinate. This inhibition could be significant for future use in biosynthesis and therapeutic applications and may help indicate the in vivo role of NfsA.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Protein expression and purification

E. coli NfsA was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 (λ DE3) without any tags, from the pET-24 derivative pPS1341A1, encoding NfsA under the control of a T7 promoter, as described in Vas et al.¹⁴ It was purified as described previously, using ammonium sulfate precipitation, hydrophobic interaction chromatography on phenyl sepharose, ion exchange chromatography on Q sepharose, followed by size exclusion chromatography on Sephacryl 200 or Superdex 75. *E. coli* NfsB was over expressed from a pET-11c plasmid derivative and purified using similar methods.²⁶

Protein concentrations were estimated by Bradford assay³⁰ or by determining the absorbance at 280 nm where both the protein and the cofactor absorb, and correcting for excess FMN by measuring the absorbance at 454 nm, where only FMN absorbs. The molar absorbances used were 12 200 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for FMN at 454 nm, 20 970 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for FMN at 280 nm, 31 190 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for NfsA at 280 nm, and 22 460 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ for NfsB, based on their amino acid composition.³¹

2.2 | X-ray crystallography

Crystals were grown by a sitting-drop method. Purified NfsA was concentrated to between 10–16 mg/ml, and then dialyzed into 100 mM imidazole, pH 7.0. The mother liquor for crystallization contained 100 mM imidazole pH 7 as a buffer and 27% PEG 3000 (Fluka Analytical, St. Gallen, Switzerland) as a precipitant, in the presence of 30 mM fumarate. Crystals appeared within 24 h and generally reached full size within 48 h. To cryo-protect the crystals, they were soaked in mother liquor containing increasing concentrations of ethylene glycol, lowering the concentration of the PEG precipitant alongside each incremental increase in cryo-protectant. The crystals were then flash cooled in liquid nitrogen.

Data were collected on a Rigaku 007HF generator with a Saturn CCD detector mounted on a 4-circle kappa goniometer.

Diffraction images were indexed, integrated, and processed using MOSFLM,³² iMOSFLM,³³ or XDS.³⁴ Datasets were combined and scaled using POINTLESS and SCALA³⁵ and data quality was assessed using XTRIAGE.³⁶ All structures were solved by molecular replacement with PHASER,³⁷ using the published NfsA structure PDB entry 1F5V for NfsA²¹ as the starting model. Structures were refined using REFMAC5³⁸ and then with PDB-Redo.³⁹ Models were built and modified using Coot.⁴⁰ Final models were validated using MOLPROBITY⁴¹ and POLYGON.⁴² The structural figures were drawn using UCSF Chimera 1.13.1.⁴³

2.3 | Steady state enzyme assays

Steady-state kinetic assays were monitored spectrophotometrically, over 1–2 min, as described previously²⁷ Experiments were performed in 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.0, at 25°C, at 50 mM total ionic strength for fumarate, assuming that is doubly charged at this pH. Succinate showed weak inhibition so the reactions with NfsA were done at higher concentrations of succinate, which required maintaining a higher ionic strength, 150 mM. Reactions were initiated by the addition of a small quantity of enzyme (~10 nM). Nitrofurazone was dissolved in 90% DMSO, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.0, and kinetic experiments included a final concentration of 4.5% DMSO.

Reactions were measured at 420 nm using molar absorbance change of 4 300 $M^{-1}\,cm^{-1}$ for the reaction.

Initial reaction rates, v_i , were measured for a range of concentrations of one substrate in the presence of a fixed concentration of the other substrate, with and without the inhibitor (*I*) at a series of inhibitor concentrations. All data was analyzed using Sigmaplot 14.5 with equal weighting of points. The type of inhibition, and the inhibition constants were initially evaluated by fitting the data for each substrate separately to the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain values for the apparent k_{cat} , apparent K_m , and inhibition constants. For NfsA, the global k_{cat} , K_m , and inhibition constants K_i were then determined by fitting all data for both substrates to Equation 1, describing inhibition of both halves of the ping-pong reaction, or for inhibition of only one half of the reaction; that is, competition with only substrate A or only substrate B.

$$\frac{v_{i}}{[E]} = \frac{k_{cat}[A][B]}{K_{mA}[B](1 + \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{K}_{iA}}) + K_{mB}[A](1 + \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{K}_{iB}}) + [A][B]}$$
(1)

where (A) is the concentration of Nitrofurazone, (B) is the concentration of NADPH and (I) is the concentration of inhibitor. **TABLE 1**X-ray crystal data collection and refinement statisticsfor NfsA bound to fumarate.

PDB code				
Data collection	8AJX			
Wavelength (Å)	1.54			
Resolution range (Å)	46.59-1.25 (1.28-1.25)			
Space group	C 1 2 1			
Unit cell	92.06 Å, 52.24 Å, 64.96 Å 90°, 134.2°, 90°			
Total reflections	512 709			
Unique reflections	57 570 (2 763)			
Multiplicity	8.69			
Completeness (%)	93.5 (61.2)			
<l dl=""></l>	26.1 (3.7) 1.18 (at 1.25 Å)			
Mosaicity	0.193			
Wilson B-factor (Å ²)	9.2			
Anisotropy	0.883			
R _{sym} ^a (%)	4.3 (40.0)			
Refinement and quality				
Reflections used in refinement	54 566 (2 626)			
Reflections used for R-free	2 903 (137) (5.04%)			
R _{work} ^b	0.106			
R _{free} ^c	0.132			
Number of non-hydrogen atoms	2 419			
macromolecules	2 048			
ligands	43			
solvent	328			
Protein residues	240			
RMSD bond length (Å)	0.008			
RMSD bond angles (°)	1.28			
RMSD chirality	0.079			
RMSD planarity	0.007			
Fo-Fc, Fo-Fc free	0.983, 0.977			
Ramachandran plot				
Ramachandran favored (%)	97			
Ramachandran allowed (%)	3			
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0			
Rotamer outliers (%)	1			
All atom clashscore	1			
RSRZ outliers	2.9%			
Average B-factors (Å ²)	16.0			
macromolecules	12.8			
ligands	9.4			
solvent	29.4			

Note: The numbers in parentheses represent statistics in the highest resolution shell.

^a $R_{sym} = \Sigma |l_i - \langle | \rangle | \Sigma |l_i|$ where l_i is the intensity of the *i*th measurement, and $\langle | \rangle$ is the mean intensity for that reflection.

 ${}^{b}R_{work} = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c| / \sum |F_o|$, where F_o and F_c are the observed and calculated structure factors for data used for refinement, respectively.

 $^cR_{free}=\sum||F_o|-|F_c|/\sum|F_o|$ for 5% of the data not used at any stage of structural refinement.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Crystallography

E. coli NfsA was purified from an over-expressing strain of *E.coli*, as described previously¹⁴ and crystallized in the absence of ligands. Unexpectedly, our initial crystals of NfsA showed a four-carbon, dicarboxylic acid bound in the active site; the resolution was insufficient to distinguish whether this was the saturated succinate (butanedioate), or fumarate which contains a central, trans, double bond ([E] but-2-ene dioate). Addition of succinate or fumarate to the crystallization solution improved the quality of the crystals. The ligand was not seen in the structure when a different source of PEG was used to crystallize the protein, and so is likely to have come from impurities in the



FIGURE 1 X-ray structure of fumarate bound to NfsA. (A) Ribbon diagram of NfsA dimer, with bound fumarate. One subunit is in gray and the other is in rainbow colors blue to red from N- to C- terminus. The FMN cofactor is shown as ball and stick, with C atoms in yellow, N blue, oxygen red, and phosphorus orange. The side chains that interact with the bound fumarate are shown as sticks, with carbon atoms colored as the ribbon backbone, and heteroatoms as for FMN. The ligand is shown in tan, with oxygen atoms in red. (B,C) Two orientations of fumarate bound to NfsA. The FMN and fumarate are shown in ball and stick, colored as in A. The side chains that interact with fumarate are shown as sticks, labeled and colored as in A, with Ser 41, from the opposite subunit to the other interacting residues, given a prime notation. Cyan lines show the hydrogen bonding to the ligand. The mesh shows the electron density within a radius of 2 Å from the fumarate (level 0.53 e) at 1 sigma.

PEG. Crystallization of the protein in the new PEG in the presence of fumarate allowed us to confirm the structure and interactions of the acid and the protein (Table 1).

The structure of the protein in the presence of fumarate is fully symmetrical, with only one subunit in the asymmetric unit, and shows the characteristic dimeric, alpha/beta fold of NfsA (Figure 1A). Each monomer has a core domain of four beta strands surrounded by alpha helices, and a two-helix excursion domain that crosses the dimer interface. The two monomers form extensive contacts, with FMN cofactors lying on either side of the dimer interface, making contacts with both subunits. The fumarate ligands lie in the active sites, parallel to the central aromatic ring of FMN. There is no change in the backbone of the protein from the free structure.^{21,22} with an RMSD of 0.22 Å between the structures. One of the carboxylate groups of fumarate forms salt bridges with the guanidinium group of Arg 225 and is close to Arg 133 and Gly 130. The other carboxylate group forms hydrogen bonds to the O2' hydroxyl of the FMN ribityl group and the backbone amide of Ser 41' (where the prime sign denotes that Ser 41 comes from the other NfsA subunit to the other residues that co-ordinate with the ligand) (Figure 1B,C). Hydrophobic interactions or π stacking can occur between the FMN and the central atoms of

NfsA with fumarate



FIGURE 2 Steady-state kinetics of NfsA with fumarate. Left: Steady-state kinetics of NfsA with 100 uM NADPH. varying nitrofurazone, in the presence and absence of fumarate. Right: Steadystate kinetics of NfsA with nitrofurazone at 75 µM, varying NADPH concentration in the presence or absence of fumarate. The reaction was done in a 10 mM Tris pH 7 buffer, 4.5% DMSO, with total ionic strength 50 mM, at 25°C. The symbols show the data, the lines show the simulated curves to Equation 1, mixed inhibition, with k_{cat} 25 s⁻¹, K_m NADPH 29 μM, K_m NFZ 27 μM, K_i NADPH 145 μM, K_i NFZ 960 μM.

Steady-state kinetic data for NfsA with nitrofurazone and NADPH in the presence of fumarate or succinate. TABLE 2

Inhibitor	lonic strength	k _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	р	<i>K</i> _m (μM)	р	k_{cat}/K_{m} (s ⁻¹ μ M ⁻¹)	p	<i>Κ</i> i (μM)	p
Fumarate	50	25 ± 2	<.0001	27 ± 6 (NFZ)	<.0001	0.93 ± 0.1	<.0001	960 ± 390 (NFZ)	.017
				29 ± 6 (NADPH)	<.0001	0.86 ± 0.1	<.0001	145 ± 28 (NADPH)	<.0001
Succinate	150	51 ± 6	<.0001	58 ± 10 (NFZ)	<.0001	0.88 ± 0.04	<.0001	-	
				69 ± 16 (NADPH)	<.001	0.74 ± 0.07	<.0001	4300 ± 600 (NADPH)	<.0001

Note: Steady-state kinetic data for NfsA with nitrofurazone and NADPH in the presence of fumarate or succinate at 10 mM Tris, pH 7.0, 4.5% DMSO at 25°C and the ionic strength shown. A series of kinetic experiments were done at different inhibitor concentrations, either varying NADPH concentration at constant nitrofurazone, or varying nitrofurazone concentration at 100 μ M NADPH. The rates of all the reactions were fitted simultaneously to Equation 1, using non-linear regression in Sigmaplot 14.5, with equal weighting of points, giving the statistics shown.

the acid, which are at 3.2 and 3.6 Å to the N5 of the FMN, a suitable distance for a hydrogen transfer reaction.

3.2 Steady-state kinetics with NfsA

Steady-state kinetics studies showed that neither succinate nor fumarate are substrates for NfsA but both act as inhibitors of NfsA, largely competitive with respect to NADPH. In order to ensure that the effects were not due to changes in ionic strength, the reactions were done at the same ionic strength with and without an inhibitor. At 50 mM ionic strength, fumarate gave an inhibition constant of 145 \pm 28 μ M with respect to NADPH and, six-fold weaker, 960 \pm 390 μ M, inhibition with respect to nitrofurazone (Figure 2A,B, Table 2). Succinate showed much weaker inhibition than fumarate, so reactions were repeated with higher concentrations of succinate, up to 30 mM. The reactions with succinate were therefore done at higher total ionic strength, 150 mM. These showed that succinate has a K_i of 4.3 ± 0.6 mM with respect to NADPH, but no inhibition with respect to nitrofurazone was detected (Figure 3A,B, Table 2). The stronger binding of fumarate compared to succinate is likely to be due

PROTEINS WILFY

to π stacking of the central double bond of fumarate with the FMN ring; all known nitroreductase substrates are aromatic. The weak binding of succinate explains why NfsA does not act as a succinate dehydrogenase.

3.3 | No effect with NfsB

Kinetic assays of NfsB showed no effect of either fumarate or succinate at 1 mM concentration (Figure 4, Table S1). Comparison of the structure of NfsB²⁶ with that of NfsA (Figure 5) shows that, despite limited sequence homology, they have a similar core structure of ~180 amino acids containing five helices and four beta strands, intertwined in a stable dimer. The FMN cofactor packs on the core of the protein, interacting with both subunits, and has similar interactions in NfsA and NfsB. However, while the known range of substrates for both enzymes are similar and these stack upon the FMN ring in a similar way, they interact differently with the two proteins. NfsB contains a 2- helix insertion (residues 95–132, colored gold and magenta for the two different subunits in Figure 5) before the long, central helix of

NfsA with Succinate



35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0 \$

Rate v/[E] s⁻¹



FIGURE 4 Steady-state kinetics of NfsB with fumarate and succinate. Left: Steady-state kinetics of NfsB with NADH at 100 μ M, varying the nitrofurazone concentration in the presence or absence of 1 mM succinate or 1 mM fumarate. The line shows the Michaelis–Menten curve with k_{cat} 16.7 s⁻¹ and K_m NADH 32 μ M. Right: Steady state kinetics of NfsB with 300 μ M nitrofurazone, varying the concentration of NADH, in the presence and absence of 1 mM succinate or 1 mM fumarate. The line shows the Michaelis–Menten curve with k_{cat} 36 s⁻¹ and K_m NFZ 20 μ M. The reactions were done in a 10 mM Tris pH 7 buffer, 4.5% DMSO, with total ionic strength 50 mM, at 25°C. The symbols show the data, black circles – no inhibitor, white circles-1 mM fumarate, inverted triangles-1 mM succinate.



FIGURE 5 Comparison of the X-ray structures of NfsB and NfsA. (A) Ribbon diagram of NfsB dimer with bound nicotinate, from 1ICR,²⁶ in the same orientation as NfsA in Figure 1. The core residues of the two subunits are in gray and blue, with residues 95-132, not found in NfsA, in in gold and magenta, respectively. The FMN cofactor is shown as ball and stick, with C atoms in yellow, N blue, O red, and P orange. The side chains that interact with the bound nicotinate are labeled and shown as sticks, with carbon atoms colored as the ribbon backbone, and heteroatoms as for FMN. The nicotinate ligand is shown in ball and stick with C atoms in gray and others in CPK colors. (B) Ribbon diagram of NfsA dimer with bound fumarate, in the same orientation as NfsB. One subunit is in tan and the other in cyan, with residues 180-240, not found in NfsB, in gold and magenta, respectively. The side chains that interact with the bound fumarate are labeled and shown as sticks, with carbon atoms colored as the ribbon backbone, and heteroatoms as for FMN. The FMN is colored as in A with the fumarate in ball and stick with C atoms in gray and oxygen in red. (C,D) Coulombic surface representation of NfsB, and NfsA respectively, in the same orientation as in A and B. The FMN and ligands are shown as ball and stick, colored as in A and B. The surface is colored red through white to blue corresponding to negative, through uncharged to positive charge.

the core structure, with one helix stacking against the side of the substrate. In contrast, NfsA has an approximately 60 aa C-terminal extension (residues 180–240), after the chain has crossed the dimer interface.⁹ This region forms the phosphate-binding pocket for the NADPH cofactor and then extends back across the dimer interface, over the substrate, so that a different subunit is over the substrate from that in NfsB. The extensions make the shape of the active site, the channels into it, and the interactions with the substrates very different between the two enzymes.⁴⁴ The active site cavity of NfsB is smaller and much less positively charged than that of NfsA, (Figure 5C,D). In both enzymes the substrates interact with a hydroxyl group at position 41[′], Ser 41[′] in NfsA, and Thr 41[′] in NfsB. In NfsB, substrates interact with Phe 124[′] in the same subunit as Thr 41^{′26,27} whereas in NfsA substrates interact with Arg 225 of the other subunit,^{22,23} neither of which has a counterpart in the other enzyme (Figure 5A,B). The absence of the charged residues Arg 225 and Arg 133 in NfsB, means that it does not bind fumarate.

3.4 | In vivo effect of fumarate

While the dissociation constant for fumarate from NfsA is high, as shown in the Ki measurements above, it is within the cellular concentration in E. coli (\sim 0.1 mM cf succinate 0.5 mM)⁴⁵ and so it would be a regulator in vivo. One possible role for this may be in anaerobic respiration, when fermentation is not used and fumarate acts as the terminal electron acceptor. Under these conditions, NADH dehydrogenase I reduces menaquinone to menaquinol using NADH, translocating 4 protons in this reaction, so allowing ATP generation. Fumarate reductase reoxidises the menaquinol so the process can continue. Inhibition of the reduction of guinones by NfsA would prevent a futile cycle, without proton translocation. In the longer term, nfsA gene expression is repressed in the stationary phase by a small RNA, sdsN⁴⁶ as is the upstream gene in the operon, *ybjC*, which is thought to be a membrane-bound oxidase. NfsB, which also reduces guinones, has a higher $K_{\rm m}$ for most substrates (for example $K_{\rm m}$ NFZ of NfsB in Table S1 cf K_m NFZ of NfsA in Table 2) and so repression of this enzyme may be less important.

The structural extensions of *E. coli* NfsA and NfsB are characteristic of the two large subfamilies of nitroreductase proteins. Arg 225 is conserved in 61% of the NfsA subgroup,⁹ thus fumarate repression is likely to be observed in most of the NfsA subfamily of proteins. The presence and differences in concentrations of such inhibitors, as well as of intrinsic substrates, have been suggested to explain some of the differences observed in the relative efficacy of cell killing with mutant enzymes in bacteria compared to that in eukaryotic cells.^{10,47} The identification of natural inhibitors of NfsA and NfsB *in vivo* is thus important in designing enzymes for use with prodrugs or theranostic reagents and for biosynthetic or detoxifying reactions as well as for elucidating the possible roles of these enzymes in their host bacteria.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Martin A. Day, and David Jarrom, supervised by Eva I. Hyde and Peter F. Searle, did kinetics experiments. Crystallography was done by Martin A. Day and David Jarrom, supervised by Scott A. White. The paper was written by Eva I. Hyde.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Martin A. Day was supported by an MRC PhD studentship; David Jarrom was supported by a BBSRC CASE studentship. Molecular graphics and analyses were performed with UCSF Chimera developed, with support from NIH P41-GM103311, by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No commercial interest.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons. com/publon/10.1002/prot.26451.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The crystallographic data has been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession code 8AJX.

ORCID

Eva I. Hyde 🕩 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3440-2799

REFERENCES

- Roldan MD, Perez-Reinado E, Castillo F, Moreno-Vivian C. Reduction of polynitroaromatic compounds: the bacterial nitroreductases. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2008;32(3):474-500.
- Williams EM, Little RF, Mowday AM, et al. Nitroreductase genedirected enzyme prodrug therapy: insights and advances toward clinical utility. *Biochem J.* 2015;471:131-153.
- Clark AJ, Iwobi M, Cui W, et al. Selective cell ablation in transgenic mice expressing *E. coli* nitroreductase. *Gene Ther.* 1997;4:101-110.
- Kaya F, Mannioui A, Chesneau A, et al. Live imaging of targeted cell ablation in xenopus: a new model to study demyelination and repair. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(37):12885-12895.
- Curado S, Anderson RM, Jungblut B, Mumm J, Schroeter E, Stainier DYR. Conditional targeted cell ablation in zebrafish: a new tool for regeneration studies. *Dev Dyn.* 2007;236(4):1025-1035.
- Hannink N, Rosser SJ, French CE, et al. Phytodetoxification of TNT by transgenic plants expressing a bacterial nitroreductase. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2001;19(12):1168-1172.
- Panz K, Miksch K. Phytoremediation of explosives (TNT, RDX, HMX) by wild-type and transgenic plants. J Environ Manage. 2012;113:85-92.
- Copp JN, Akiva E, Babbitt PC, Tokuriki N. Revealing unexplored sequence-function space using sequence similarity networks. *Biochemistry*. 2018;57(31):4651-4662.
- Akiva E, Copp JN, Tokuriki N, Babbitt PC. Evolutionary and molecular foundations of multiple contemporary functions of the nitroreductase superfamily. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2017;114(45):E9549-E9558.
- Copp JN, Mowday AM, Williams EM, et al. Engineering a multifunctional Nitroreductase for improved activation of prodrugs and PET probes for cancer gene therapy. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 2017;24(3):391-403.
- Zenno S, Koike H, Kumar AN, Jayaraman R, Tanokura M, Saigo K. Biochemical characterization of NfsA, the *Escherichia coli* major nitroreductase exhibiting a high amino acid sequence homology to Frp, a Vibrio harveyi flavin oxidoreductase. *J Bacteriol.* 1996;178(15):4508-4514.
- Zenno S, Koike H, Tanokura M, Saigo K. Gene cloning, purification, and characterization of NfsB, a minor oxygen-insensitive nitroreductase from Escherichia coli, similar in biochemical properties to FRase I, the major flavin reductase in Vibrio fischeri. J Biochem (Tokyo). 1996;120(4):736-744.
- Peterson FJ, Mason RP, Hovsepian J, Holtzman JL. Oxygen-sensitive and -insensitive nitroreduction by *Escherichia coli* and rat hepatic microsomes. J Biol Chem. 1979;254(10):4009-4014.
- Vass SO, Jarrom D, Wilson WR, Hyde EI, Searle PF. E. coli NfsA: an alternative nitroreductase for prodrug activation gene therapy in combination with CB1954. Br J Cancer. 2009;100(12):1903-1911.
- Anlezark GM, Melton RG, Sherwood RF, Coles B, Friedlos F, Knox RJ. The bioactivation of 5-(aziridin-1-yl)-2,4-dinitrobenzamide (CB1954)--I. purification and properties of a nitroreductase enzyme from Escherichia coli--a potential enzyme for antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (ADEPT). *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1992;44(12):2289-2295.

- Sandegren L, Lindqvist A, Kahlmeter G, Andersson DI. Nitrofurantoin resistance mechanism and fitness cost in Escherichia coli. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2008;62(3):495-503.
- Barbosa TM, Levy SB. Activation of the *Escherichia coli* nfnB gene by MarA through a highly divergent marbox in a class II promoter. *Mol Microbiol*. 2002;45(1):191-202.
- Barbosa TM, Levy SB. Differential expression of over 60 chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* by constitutive expression of MarA. *J Bacteriol*. 2000;182(12):3467-3474.
- 19. Paterson ES, Boucher SE, Lambert IB. Regulation of the nfsA gene in *Escherichia coli* by SoxS. *J Bacteriol*. 2002;184(1):51-58.
- Liochev SI, Hausladen A, Fridovich I. Nitroreductase a is regulated as a member of the soxRS regulon of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* U S A. 1999;96(7):3537-3539.
- 21. Kobori T, Sasaki H, Lee WC, et al. Structure and site-directed mutagenesis of a flavoprotein from *Escherichia coli* that reduces nitrocompounds - alteration of pyridine nucleotide binding by a single amino acid substitution. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276(4):2816-2823.
- 22. Day MA, Jarrom D, Christofferson AJ, et al. The structures of *E. coli* NfsA bound to the antibiotic nitrofurantoin; to 1,4-benzoquinone and to FMN. *Biochem J.* 2021;478(13):2601-2617.
- White SA, Christofferson AJ, Grainger AI, et al. The 3D-structure, kinetics and dynamics of the *E. coli* nitroreductase NfsA with NADP (+) provide glimpses of its catalytic mechanism. *FEBS Lett.* 2022;596: 2425-2440.
- Parkinson GN, Skelly JV, Neidle S. Crystal structure of FMNdependent Nitroreductase from *Escherichia coli* B: a prodrugactivating enzyme. J Med Chem. 2000;43(20):3624-3631.
- Skelly JV, Parkinson GN, Suter DA, Neidle S. Crystal structure of the E. Coli nitroreductase protein. Br J Cancer. 1999;80:P96.
- Lovering AL, Hyde EI, Searle PF, Scott AW. The structure of *Escherichia coli* nitroreductase complexed with nicotinic acid: three crystal forms at 1.7 Å, 1.8 Å and 2.4 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol.* 2001;309(1): 203-213.
- Race PR, Lovering AL, Green RM, et al. Structural and mechanistic studies of *Escherichia coli* nitroreductase with the antibiotic nitrofurazone. Reversed binding orientations in different redox states of the enzyme. *J Biol Chem.* 2005;280(14):13256-13264.
- Haynes CA, Koder RL, Miller AF, Rodgers DW. Structures of nitroreductase in three states - effects of inhibitor binding and reduction. *J Biol Chem*. 2002;277(13):11513-11520.
- Pitsawong W, Haynes CA, Koder RL, Rodgers DW, Miller AF. Mechanism-informed refinement reveals altered substrate-binding mode for catalytically competent Nitroreductase. *Structure*. 2017;25(7): 978-987.
- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem*. 1976;72:248-254.
- 31. Pace CN, Vajdos F, Fee L, Grimsley G, Gray T. How to measure and predict the molar absorption-coefficient of a protein. *Protein Sci.* 1995;4(11):2411-2423.
- Leslie AGW, Powell HR. Processing diffraction data with MOSFLM. In: Read RJ, Sussman JL, eds. Evolving Methods for Macromolecular Crystallography. Vol 245. Springer; 2007:41-51.
- Battye TGG, Kontogiannis L, Johnson O, Powell HR, Leslie AGW. iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. Acta Crystallogr D-Biol. Crystallogr. 2011;67:271-281.
- 34. Kabsch W. XDS. Acta Crystallogr D-Biol Crystallogr. 2010;66:125-132.
- Evans PR. An introduction to data reduction: space-group determination, scaling and intensity statistics. Acta Crystallogr. D-Biol. Crystallogr. 2011;67:282-292.
- Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D-Biol. Crystallogr. 2010;66:213-221.

8

- McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Cryst. 2007;40: 658-674.
- Murshudov GN, Skubak P, Lebedev AA, et al. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr D-Biol Crystallogr. 2011;67:355-367.
- Joosten RP, Long F, Murshudov GN, Perrakis A. The PDB_REDO server for macromolecular structure model optimization. *IUCrJ*. 2014; 1(Pt 4):213-220.
- 40. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D-Biol. Crystallogr. 2004;60:2126-2132.
- Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D-Biol. Crystallogr.* 2010;66:12-21.
- Urzhumtseva L, Afonine PV, Adams PD, Urzhumtsev A. Crystallographic model quality at a glance. Acta Crystallogr. D: Struct. Biol. 2009;65:297-300.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, et al. UCSF chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem. 2004;25(13):1605-1612.
- Miller A-F, Park JT, Ferguson KL, Pitsawong W, Bommarius AS. Informing efforts to develop Nitroreductase for amine production. *Molecules*. 2018;23(2):211. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020211

- Bennett BD, Kimball EH, Gao M, Osterhout R, Van Dien SJ, Rabinowitz JD. Absolute metabolite concentrations and implied enzyme active site occupancy in Escherichia coli. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2009;5(8):593-599.
- 46. Hao Y, Updegrove TB, Livingston NN, Storz G. Protection against deleterious nitrogen compounds: role of σS-dependent small RNAs encoded adjacent to sdiA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(14):6935-6948.
- Jaberipour M, Vass SO, Guise CP, et al. Testing double mutants of the enzyme nitroreductase for enhanced cell sensitisation to prodrugs: effects of combining beneficial single mutations. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2010;79(2):102-111.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Day MA, Jarrom D, Rajah N, Searle PF, Hyde EI, White SA. Oxygen-insensitive nitroreductase *E. coli* NfsA, but not NfsB, is inhibited by fumarate. *Proteins*. 2022;1-8. doi:10.1002/prot.26451