

Trends in treatment of childhood cancer and subsequent primary neoplasm risk

Cesen Mazic, Maja; Reulen, Raoul C; Jazbec, Janez; Zadavec Zaletel, Lorna

DOI:

[10.2478/raon-2022-0027](https://doi.org/10.2478/raon-2022-0027)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Cesen Mazic, M, Reulen, RC, Jazbec, J & Zadavec Zaletel, L 2022, 'Trends in treatment of childhood cancer and subsequent primary neoplasm risk', *Radiology and oncology*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 380-389.
<https://doi.org/10.2478/raon-2022-0027>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

research article

Trends in treatment of childhood cancer and subsequent primary neoplasm risk

Maja Cesen Mazic¹, Raoul C. Reulen², Janez Jazbec¹, Lorna Zadavec Zaletel³

¹ University Children's Hospital Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

² Centre for Childhood Cancer Survivor Studies, Institute of Applied Health Research, Robert Aikten Building, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

³ Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Radiol Oncol 2022; 56(3): 380-389.

Received 27 Feb 2022

Accepted 10 May 2022

Correspondence to: Maja Česen Mazić, M.D., University Children's Hospital Ljubljana, Bohoričeva ulica 20, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia.
E-mail: maja.cesenmazic@kclj.si

Disclosure: No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Background. The aim of the study was to investigate long-term risk and spectrum of subsequent neoplasm (SN) in childhood cancer survivors and to identify how trends in therapy influenced cumulative incidence of SN.

Patients and methods. The population-based cohort comprises 3271 childhood cancer patients diagnosed in Slovenia aged ≤ 18 years between 1st January 1961 and 31st December 2013 with a follow-up through 31st December 2018. Main outcome measures are standardised incidence ratios (SIRs), absolute excess risks (AERs), and cumulative incidence of SN.

Results. After median follow-up time of 21.5 years for 5-year survivors, 230 patients experienced 273 SN, including 183 subsequent malignant neoplasm (SMN), 34 meningiomas and 56 nonmelanoma skin cancers. 10.5% patients received radiotherapy only, 31% chemotherapy only, 26.9% a combination of chemotherapy and radiotherapy and 16.1% surgery only. The overall SIR was almost 3 times more than expected (SIR 2.9), with survivors still at 2-fold increased risk after attained age 50 years. The observed cumulative incidence of SMN at 30-year after diagnosis was significantly lower for those diagnosed in 1960s, compared with the 1970s and the 1980s (P heterogeneity < 0.001). Despite reduced use of radiotherapy over time, the difference in cumulative incidence for the first 15 years after diagnosis was not significant for patients treated before or after 1995 ($p = 0.11$).

Conclusions. Risks of developing a SMN in this study are similar to other European population-based cohorts. The intensity of treatment peaked later and use of radiotherapy declined slower compared to high income countries, making continuous surveillance even more important in the future.

Key words: population-based study, childhood cancer survivors, subsequent neoplasm

Introduction

Currently, $\sim 80\%$ of children with cancer are long-term survivors with possible late sequelae.^{1,2} Treatment of childhood cancer depends on surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy despite their potential toxicity. Late effects of cancer treatment are important causes of morbidity and mortality in survivors of childhood cancer.³ The

burden of therapy was reduced, through clinical trials, in childhood cancers with good or excellent survival.⁴ However, for many children with cancer relapse of primary disease is still the leading cause of death.⁴ Death due to subsequent neoplasm (SN) is the most common non-relapse related event.⁵

Large population-based studies in childhood cancer survivors have been conducted in the Nordic countries and Britain with long and almost

complete follow-up.^{6,7} Cancer registries generally have limited or no information on treatment variables. Multicentre studies conducted in Netherlands and US collect data through questionnaires or hospital registries, with up to one third of patients lost to follow up.⁸⁻¹⁴ However, detailed treatment data extracted from hospital registries provided important information about the risk factors for SN.⁸⁻¹⁴

Population based analysis of SN after treatment of childhood cancer in Slovenia was first published in 2004.¹⁵ The aims of present analysis were to assess long-term risk and spectrum of SN in Slovenia; identify how trends in therapy influenced cumulative incidence of SN.

Patients and methods

Cohort ascertainment and subsequent neoplasm ascertainment

The study cohort comprises patients in Slovenia aged ≤ 18 years with childhood cancer diagnosis between 1st January 1961 and 31st December 2013 and a follow-up through 31st December 2018.

The cohort was ascertained through the population-based Cancer Registry of Slovenia (CRS). The registry combines data from University Children Hospital Ljubljana and Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, representing all institutions where childhood cancer patients are treated and subjected to follow-up.¹⁶ Data coverage is estimated to be close to complete. CRS is linked to the Central population registry for information on vital status and causes of death.

Childhood cancers were coded according to International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD, 3rd version).¹⁷ For every patient basic treatment information (use of surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation) and outcome (recurrence of primary cancer, subsequent neoplasms, cause of death) were reported.

Subsequent neoplasms (SNs) for the entire cohort were defined as a neoplasm on new location, which is not a direct spread or metastasis of the primary neoplasm, or neoplasm on the same location with a different histological type (18.). SNs were validated through pathology reports or in some cases with other means through a clinical diagnosis (e.g., meningioma). SN were classified as subsequent malignant neoplasm (SMN), having ICD-O behaviour code of 3, meningioma, non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC).

As registration of neoplasms with ICD-O behaviour code 2 is close to complete in CRS, these

were included in SMN (*in situ* cervical carcinoma, *in situ* carcinoma of bladder, ductal *in situ* carcinoma of breast and *in situ* melanoma). As registration of meningioma and NMSC is incomplete for general population, they were reported for our cohort but excluded from further statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Time at risk for SN was set at diagnosis of childhood cancer (at latest 31st December 2013) and ended at the earliest occurrence of loss of follow up, death or study exit date (31st December 2018). During this period 3350 children were diagnosed with cancer, 79 patients were excluded from analysis after reviewing diagnosis (histology missing, benign tumours or Langerhans cell histiocytosis).

Standardized incidence ratio (SIR) was calculated as the observed divided by expected number of SMN. The expected number of SMNs were calculated by multiplying the number of person-years at risk in the cohort within specific sex, five-year age strata and single calendar year interval by corresponding neoplasm incidence rates in Slovenian population extracted from CRS. Absolute excess risk (AER) was calculated as observed minus expected number of SMN divided by person-years at risk and multiplied by 1000, unless otherwise specified. AER is the number of extra SMN observed beyond that expected per 1000 persons per year. Meningioma and NMSC were excluded from SIR and AER calculations since their ascertainment is not complete in CRS.

SIRs and AERs were stratified by sex, age at diagnosis of primary cancer, attained age (age of the subjects at the study exit date, death or lost of follow up), primary neoplasm type, treatment period of childhood cancer, years from diagnosis of childhood cancer and childhood cancer therapy. A multivariable Poisson regression model was used to calculate relative risk (RR) and relative excess risk (RER) and analyse the potential simultaneous effect of this explanatory factors on the SIR and AER. Relative risk represents ratio of SIRs adjusted for explanatory factors and RER as ratio of AERs adjusted for explanatory factors (19.). Results relating to overall SIRs and AERs were only reported in text whenever there were at least 3 observed SMNs. For SIR, AER, RR and RER 95% confidence intervals were estimated (95% CI).

The cumulative incidence for the first occurrence of SN, SMN, NMSC and meningioma was computed as a function of time from childhood cancer diagnosis with death due to any other cause




FIGURE 1. Cumulative incidence of all subsequent neoplasms and subsequent malignant neoplasms.




FIGURE 2. Cumulative incidence of subsequent malignant neoplasm by treatment modality of childhood cancer.




FIGURE 3. Cumulative incidence of subsequent malignant neoplasm by decade of diagnosis of childhood cancer.

prior to developing SN considered as a competing event. Expected cumulative incidence for SMNs was calculated using the Ederer II method.²⁰

Five-year relative survival following an SN was estimated using the Stata command `strs`.²¹ All statistical analysis were conducted using Stata statistical software, version 17.0. All tests were 2-sided, with p value < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

Cohort characteristics

In this retrospective cohort study 3,271 childhood cancer patients accrued a total of 46,464 person-years of follow-up, with median follow-up time of 21.5 years (range, 5.25–57.8 years) for 5-year survivors. The most common types of childhood cancer were leukaemia (26.6%), CNS tumours (19.1%), Hodgkin's lymphoma (9.6%) and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (8.5%) (Table 1).

In total, 230 patients experienced 273 SN, including 183 SMN, 34 meningiomas and 56 NMSC. Of all individuals with an SN, 192 had one, 33 two and 5 three SNs. At the study exit date 53% ($n = 1744$) of patients were alive (Table 2). A total of 10.5% patients received radiotherapy only, 31% chemotherapy only, 26.9% a combination of chemotherapy and radiotherapy and 16.1% surgery only. The proportion of patients treated with radiotherapy was highest for those diagnosed from 1970 to 1989 ($> 50\%$) and decreased over time (29.7% > 2000). Simultaneously, the number of patients treated with chemotherapy increased from 49.1% in 1970s to 75.2% after year 2000. In the cohort 16% ($n = 527$) patients had no therapy, of whom 75% were diagnosed before 1970 and majority died of childhood cancer. After 1980 there is approximately 4% of children with cancer undergoing observation only (e.g., low grade glioma, low risk neuroblastoma) (Table 3).

The overall risk of developing an SNs and SMNs

The estimated cumulative incidence of developing an SMN in the cohort was 2.8% at 20 years and increased to 5.7% at 30 years after childhood cancer diagnosis. The cumulative incidence of SNs and SMNs increased with attained age without plateauing (Figure 1).

Cumulative incidence of developing an SMN at 40 years after childhood cancer diagnosis was significantly lower for patients having surgery only (P

TABLE 1. Characteristics of all individuals in study and number of subsequent neoplasms

		Number (%)	Any subsequent malignant neoplasm	Non-melanoma skin cancer	Benign meningioma	
All survivors		3271 (100%)	183 (100%)	56 (100%)	34 (100%)	
Gender	Male	1830 (55.9%)	77 (42.1%)	30 (54%)	14 (41%)	
	Female	1441 (44.1%)	106 (57.9%)	26 (46%)	20 (59%)	
Childhood cancer type	Leukaemia	870 (26.6%)	23 (12.6%)	11 (20%)	14 (41%)	
	Hodgkin's lymphoma	315 (9.6%)	51 (27.9%)	17 (30%)	2 (6%)	
	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	277 (8.5%)	16 (8.7%)	4 (7%)	2 (6%)	
	Central nervous system tumour	625 (19.1%)	25 (13.7%)	12 (21%)	15 (44%)	
	Neuroblastoma	124 (3.8%)	6 (3.3%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
	Retinoblastoma	60 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Wilms' tumour	143 (4.4%)	9 (4.9%)	1 (2%)	1 (3%)	
	Bone tumour	199 (6.1%)	13 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Soft-tissue sarcoma	224 (6.8%)	14 (7.7%)	4 (7%)	0 (0%)	
	Germ cell	168 (5.1%)	8 (4.4%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	
	Liver	27 (0.8%)	1 (0.5%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
	Thyroid	86 (2.6%)	8 (4.4%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	13 (0.4%)	4 (2.2%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
	Melanoma	75 (2.3%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Carcinoma	59 (1.8%)	3 (1.6%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Other	6 (0.2%)	0 (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Age at childhood cancer diagnosis (years)	Mean	9.4 (6.0)	11.2 (5.7)	11.3(6.0)	7.0 (4.1)
		0–4	1065 (32.6%)	39 (21.3%)	13 (23%)	12 (35%)
5–9		656 (20.1%)	34 (18.6%)	9 (16%)	15 (44%)	
10–14		690 (21.1%)	49 (26.8%)	13 (23%)	5 (15%)	
15–19		860 (26.3%)	61 (33.3%)	21 (38%)	2 (6%)	
Decade of diagnosis of childhood cancer	< 1970	528 (16.1%)	22 (12.0%)	4 (7%)	3 (9%)	
	1970–79	560 (17.1%)	50 (27.3%)	18 (32%)	11 (32%)	
	1980–89	651 (19.9%)	63 (34.4%)	22 (39%)	16 (47%)	
	1990–2000	679 (20.8%)	32 (17.5%)	9 (16%)	3 (9%)	
	2000–2018	853 (26.1%)	16 (8.7%)	3 (5%)	1 (3%)	
Attained age (years)	0–19	1643 (50.2%)	31 (16.9%)	4 (7%)	2 (6%)	
	20–29	550 (16.8%)	33 (18.0%)	4 (7%)	7 (21%)	
	30–39	494 (15.1%)	59 (32.2%)	20 (36%)	19 (56%)	
	40–49	353 (10.8%)	33 (18.0%)	19 (34%)	5 (15%)	
	50–59	151 (4.6%)	19 (10.4%)	7 (12%)	0 (0%)	
	60+	80 (2.4%)	8 (4.4%)	2 (4%)	1 (3%)	
Treatment of childhood cancer	No therapy	527 (16.1%)	4 (2.2%)	9 (16%)	2 (6%)	
	Surgery only	506 (15.5%)	24 (13.1%)	3 (5%)	0 (0%)	
	Chemotherapy	1014 (31.0%)	40 (21.9%)	17 (30%)	2 (6%)	
	Radiotherapy	345 (10.5%)	44 (24.0%)	27 (48%)	11 (32%)	
	Radiotherapy and chemotherapy	879 (26.9%)	71 (38.8%)	9 (16%)	19 (56%)	

TABLE 2. Vital status by decade of childhood cancer diagnosis

Decade of diagnosis	All survivors	
	Dead	Alive
< 1970	447	81
1970–1979	394	166
1980–1989	309	342
1990–2000	222	457
2000–2013	155	698
Total	1527	1744

heterogeneity < 0.001) (Figure 2). The observed cumulative incidence of SMN at 30 years after childhood cancer diagnosis was significantly lower for those diagnosed in 1960s (P heterogeneity < 0.001) (Figure 3). Despite reduced use of radiotherapy after 1995 difference in cumulative incidence of SMN for the first 15 years after diagnosis was not significant (Pepe Mori's test for difference, $p = 0.11$).

The risk of developing any SMN was almost 3-fold (SIR 2.9; 95% CI: 2.5–3.3) in the cohort compared with the general population, corresponding to an absolute excess risk of 2.6 per 1000 person-years (95% CI: 2.1–3.2.). Males appeared to be at higher risk than females in terms of the SIR (P heterogeneity < 0.001). With increasing attained age, the SIR gradually decreased, and AER increased (Table 4), with survivors still at 2-fold increased risk after age 50 years (SIR = 2.0; 95% CI: 1.3–3.1). The risk of an SMN was highest among patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma (SIR 7.5; 95% CI: 2.8–20.0), neuroblastoma (SIR 5.1; 95% CI: 2.3–11.3) and Hodgkin's lymphoma (SIR 5.0; 95% CI: 3.8–6.6) (Table 4).

Elevated SIRs and AERs were evident for all childhood cancers, except for retinoblastomas, melanomas, and carcinomas. Not a single retinoblastoma patient in cohort developed SN.

Five-year overall survival was estimated for children with different solid tumours through decades to enable interpretation of results. Survival for patients with retinoblastoma was 50%, 56%, 88% and 100% for those diagnosed in 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and after 2000, respectively. Patients with central nervous system (CNS) tumours, sarcomas and Wilms tumours diagnosed in 1970s and 1990s experienced increase of five-year overall survival from 44% to 65%, 46% to 62% and 58% to 76%, respectively.

Risk of specific subsequent primary neoplasms

The most frequent SMNs were those of the thyroid ($n = 37$), genitourinary ($n = 36$; 15 cervical carcinoma *in situ*) and breast ($n = 26$) carcinoma. The majority of breast ($n = 13$) and thyroid ($n = 19$) carcinoma occurred in Hodgkin's lymphoma. Most genitourinary cancers occurred among bone and soft tissue sarcoma survivors ($n = 12$). Seventy per cent of SN occurred in patients with CNS tumours, leukaemia, and lymphoma (Table 5).

The greatest risk for SMN was observed for thyroid, (SIR 21.6; 95% CI: 15.2–29.7), CNS (SIR 13.4; 95% CI: 7.9–21.2), soft tissue sarcoma (SIR 9.5; 95% CI: 3.1–22.2) and head and neck carcinoma (SIR 6.4; 95% CI: 2.9–12.1). SMNs of the thyroid (AER 76), breast (AER 41) and CNS (AER 36) contributed together almost 60% to the total AER. The distribution of observed excess SMN changed with attained age. In patients up to 40 years of age thyroid (AER 71), breast (AER 35), CNS tumours (AER 30) and leukaemia (AER 17) represent the majority of SMNs. After 40 years of age thyroid (AER 109), genitourinary (AER 87), breast (AER 84), CNS (AER 78) and respiratory (AER 75) tumours were responsible for 80% of the total AER (Table 6).

Because follow up commenced at the time of childhood cancer diagnosis all subsequent leukaemia

TABLE 3. Treatment modality by decade of childhood cancer diagnosis

Treatment	< 1970	1970–79	1980–89	1990–99	2000–2013
No therapy	399 (75.6%)	38 (6.8%)	27 (4.2%)	30 (4.4%)	33 (3.9%)
Surgery only	41 (7.8%)	102 (18.2%)	85 (13.1%)	116 (17.1%)	162 (19.0%)
Chemotherapy only	30 (5.7%)	135 (24.1%)	174 (26.7%)	270 (39.8%)	405 (47.5%)
Radiotherapy only	49 (9.3%)	145 (25.9%)	88 (13.5%)	46 (6.8%)	17 (2.0%)
Radiotherapy and chemotherapy	9 (1.7%)	140 (25.0%)	277 (42.6%)	217 (32.0%)	236 (27.7%)
Total	528 (100%)	560 (100%)	651 (100%)	679 (100%)	853 (100%)

TABLE 4. Standardized incidence ratios (SIR), absolute excess risks (AER), relative risk (RR) and relative excess risk (RER) for any subsequent malignant neoplasm (SMN)

Factor	Level	any SMN			AER (95%CI)	RER (95%CI)
		O	SIR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)		
Overall	All combined	183	2.9 (2.5,3.3)	–	2.6 (2.1,3.2)	--
Sex	Male	77	4.0 (3.2,5.0)	1.0 (ref.)	2.3 (1.7,3.1)	1.0 (ref.)
	Female	106	2.4 (2.0,2.9)	0.7 (0.5-1.0)	2.9 (2.1,4.0)	1.4 (0.9-2.1)
	P _{heterogeneity*}		<0.001	0.03	0.30	0.16
Age at diagnosis of childhood cancer (years)	0–4	39	3.9 (2.8,5.3)	1.0 (ref.)	2.0 (1.3,3.1)	1.0 (ref.)
	5–9	34	3.3 (2.3,4.6)	0.9 (0.6-1.6)	2.4 (1.5,4.0)	0.8 (0.4-1.6)
	10–14	49	3.1 (2.3,4.1)	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	3.2 (2.1,4.9)	0.7 (0.4-1.5)
	15–19	61	2.3 (1.8,2.9)	0.8 (0.5-1.3)	2.7 (1.7,4.3)	0.6 (0.3-1.2)
	P _{Itrend*}		0.01	0.3	0.23	0.13
Decade of diagnosis of childhood cancer	< 1970	22	1.4 (1.0,2.2)	1.0 (ref.)	1.2 (0.3,4.8)	1.0 (ref.)
	1970–1979	50	3.4 (2.6,4.5)	1.7 (1.0-3.0)	4.1 (2.8,6.1)	3.4 (1.0-11.9)
	1980–1989	63	4.0 (3.1,5.1)	1.7 (0.9-3.0)	3.8 (2.7,5.3)	3.5 (1.0-12.5)
	1990–2000	32	2.7 (1.9,3.8)	1.1 (0.5-2.1)	1.8 (1.0,3.1)	2.6 (0.7-9.7)
	2000–2018	16	2.7 (1.7,4.4)	0.9 (0.4-2.0)	1.2 (0.5,2.5)	2.5 (0.6-10.4)
	P _{Itrend*}		0.07	0.3	0.02	0.61
Era diagnosis	< 1995	151	2.9 (2.5,3.4)	1.0 (ref.)	3.1 (2.4,3.9)	1.0 (ref.)
	> = 1995	32	2.8 (2.0,4.0)	0.7 (0.5-1.1)	1.4 (0.8,2.5)	1.0 (0.6-1.8)
	P _{heterogeneity*}		0.88	0.15	0.01	0.9
Attained Age (yrs)	< 20	31	10.6 (7.4,15.0)	1.0 (ref.)	1.5 (1.0,2.2)	1.0 (ref.)
	20–29	33	2.2 (1.6,3.1)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	1.4 (0.7,2.5)	1.0 (0.5-2.0)
	30–39	59	3.5 (2.7,4.5)	0.3 (0.2-0.5)	5.1 (3.6,7.3)	3.4 (1.9-6.1)
	40–49	33	2.7 (1.9,3.8)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	5.2 (3.0,9.0)	3.4 (1.5-7.4)
	50–59	19	2.0 (1.3,3.1)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	6.6 (2.7,16.3)	7.5 (2.8-20.4)
	60+	8	1.3 (0.6,2.6)	0.1 (0.1-0.4)	3.7 (0.2,90.4)	10.8 (1.6-74.0)
	P _{Itrend*}		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Time since diagnosis of childhood cancer (years)	0–9	38	6.0 (4.3,8.2)	1.0 (ref.)	1.6 (1.1,2.3)	1.0 (ref.)
	10–19	37	2.6 (1.9,3.6)	0.4 (0.3-0.7)	1.7 (1.0,2.9)	1.1 (0.6-2.0)
	20–29	51	3.1 (2.4,4.1)	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	4.3 (2.9,6.5)	2.5 (1.4-4.4)
	20–39	36	2.6 (1.9,3.6)	0.3 (0.2-0.6)	5.7 (3.4,9.6)	3.4 (1.7-6.9)
	40+	21	1.7 (1.1,2.5)	0.2 (0.1-0.4)	5.3 (1.8,15.5)	5.2 (2.1-12.4)
	P _{Itrend*}		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Type of childhood cancer	Leukaemia	23	2.7 (1.8,4.0)	1.0 (ref.)	1.6 (0.8,3.0)	1.0 (ref.)
	Hodgkin's lymphoma	51	5.0 (3.8,6.6)	2.5 (1.4-4.2)	6.5 (4.6,9.1)	2.8 (1.4-5.7)
	non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	16	4.3 (2.7,7.1)	1.7 (0.9-3.3)	3.3 (1.7,6.2)	1.3 (0.5-3.6)
	Central nervous system tumour	25	2.8 (1.9,4.2)	1.2 (0.7-2.2)	2.1 (1.1,3.8)	1.1 (0.5-2.4)
	Neuroblastoma	6	5.1 (2.3,11.3)	1.8 (0.7-4.5)	3.2 (1.2,8.6)	1.8 (0.6-5.5)
	Retinoblastoma	0	0	–	0	–
	Wilms Tumour	9	3.8 (2.0,7.3)	1.4 (0.6-3.1)	2.6 (1.1,6.3)	1.0 (0.3-3.3)
	Bone sarcoma	13	2.7 (1.6,4.6)	1.6 (0.8-3.3)	3.3 (1.4,7.8)	1.8 (0.6-5.0)
	Soft-tissue sarcoma	14	2.6 (1.5,4.4)	1.2 (0.6-2.3)	2.3 (1.0,5.4)	1.1 (0.4-2.9)
	Germ-cell	8	1.6 (0.8,3.1)	0.9 (0.4-2.1)	1.0 (0.1,6.7)	0.6 (0.1-3.0)
	Liver	1	7.9 (1.1,56.1)	2.2 (0.3-16.3)	3.2 (0.3,30.4)	2.1 (0.2-19.2)
	Thyroid	8	2.0 (1.0,4.0)	1.2 (0.5-2.7)	2.3 (0.6,8.9)	0.9 (0.2-4.0)
	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	4	7.5 (2.8,20.0)	4.2 (1.4-12.8)	12.6 (4.1,39.1)	6.9 (1.9-24.6)
	Melanoma	2	0.4 (0.1,1.8)	0.3 (0.1-1.4)	0.1	0
	Carcinoma	3	1.1 (0.4,3.4)	0.8 (0.2-2.6)	0.2	0
	P _{heterogeneity*}		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Treatment of childhood cancer	No therapy treatment of childhood	4	0.4 (0.2,1.1)	0.3 (0.1-0.8)	0	–
	Surgery only	24	1.7 (1.1,2.5)	1.0 (ref.)	1.0 (0.4,2.7)	1.0 (ref.)
	Chemotherapy	40	3.3 (2.4,4.4)	1.8 (1.1-3.1)	2.2 (1.4,3.4)	4.6 (1.0-20.9)
	Radiotherapy	44	4.4 (3.3,5.9)	2.6 (1.6-4.3)	5.7 (3.9,8.4)	7.3 (1.6-33.5)
	Radio and chemotherapy	71	4.3 (3.4,5.4)	2.4 (1.5-3.9)	3.8 (2.8,5.2)	7.0 (1.6-30.8)
	P _{heterogeneity*}		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

* = observed

TABLE 5. Number and type of subsequent neoplasms (SN) by childhood cancer type

Childhood cancer type / SN	ALL AML	HL	NHL	CNS	Neuroblastoma	Retinoblastoma	Wilms	Bone sarcoma	Soft tissue sarcoma	Germ cell	Liver	Thyroid	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma	Melanoma	Carcinoma	Total
Meningioma	14	2	2	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
NMSC	11	17	4	12	1	0	1	0	4	3	1	1	1	0	0	56
Breast (C50-D05)	1	14	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	1	1	2	26
CNS (C70-C72)	6	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Digestive (C15-C26)	1	3	4	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13
Genitourinary (C51-C68, D09, D06)	3	3	2	4	0	0	2	5	7	3	1	5	0	1	0	36
Leukaemia (C90-C93)	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Lymphoma (C81-C85)	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Melanoma (C43, D03)	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
Bone (C40-C41)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Head&Neck (C00-C14)	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	9
Other	1	3	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
Respiratory (C30-C39)	0	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	12
Soft-tissue (C49)	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
Thyroid (C73)	2	19	3	6	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	37
Total	48	70	22	52	7	0	11	13	18	11	2	9	5	2	3	273

ALL/AML = acute lymphoblastic/myeloid leukaemia; CNS = central nervous system; HL = Hodgkin's lymphoma; NHL = non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; NMSC = non-melanoma skin cancer

mias (SL) were reported. There were 9 cases of subsequent leukaemia. Compared to general population, childhood cancer survivors had a 6-fold overall increased risk of leukaemia and an 8-fold (95% CI: 3.5–15.8) increased risk before age 40 (Table 6). Six patients developed SL within first 5 years of childhood cancer diagnosis. Only two out of nine patients survived the disease.

Mortality and survival following SMN

Fifty-nine patients out of 183 with SMN died within study period (1961 – 2018); 52 due to SMN and 7 of other causes. Five-year relative survival for patients with a SMN was 69 % (95% CI: 61–76). Most deaths were attributed to CNS tumours, SL, gastrointestinal, respiratory, head and neck carcinomas. Six patients developed lethal SMNs outside the radiotherapy field or without radiotherapy, two of them were with a known cancer predisposition syndrome.

Discussion

Main findings

Our study reports almost 3-fold increase in SMN among survivors of childhood cancer compared

with general population. The SIRs reported by attained age are similar to other population-based studies, but somewhat lower than in non-population-based studies, particularly for those after age 40 years.²²

For the first time we provided treatment data for our cohort. Intensive radiotherapy and chemotherapy started in 1970s, with highest proportion of patients having radiotherapy in 1980s. Low intensity treatment in 1960s consequently resulted in only sporadic survival. Childhood cancer patients diagnosed in 1980s had the most intensive cancer treatment (56% radiotherapy and 70% chemotherapy). In high income countries radiotherapy for childhood cancer was already declining from 75% before 1980 to 43% after 1980 and chemotherapy was given to more than 80% of patients after 1980.^{11,13,23} The maximum proportion of patients treated with radiotherapy and chemotherapy at any time was lower in our cohort and became comparable only recently, with approximately 30% of children with cancer having radiotherapy and 75% chemotherapy.^{11,13} The previous study on our cohort reported 48 SNs compared to 273 in current study, emphasizing need for continuous follow up despite lower risk.¹⁵ This is even more important since use of radiotherapy declined later. Namely,

TABLE 6. Standardized incidence ratios and absolute excess risks for specific subsequent malignant neoplasm overall and by attained age (0-39, 40+ years). Absolute excess risks are per 100,000 person-years

SMN (ICD10)	All ages				0-39 years				40+ years			
	Obs	Exp	SIR (95%CI)	AER (95%CI)	Obs	Exp	SIR (95%CI)	AER (95%CI)	Obs	Exp	SIR (95%CI)	AER (95%CI)
All sites	183	63.2	2.9 (2.5,3.3)	257 (213,307)	123	34.9	3.5 (2.9,4.2)	216 (173,266)	60	28.2	2.1 (1.6,2.7)	545 (372,770)
Head & Neck (C00-C14)	9	1.4	6.4 (2.9,12.1)	16 (7,33)	5	0.3	17.8 (5.8,41.5)	12 (4,28)	4	1.1	3.5 (1.0,9.0)	49 (10,147)
Digestive organs (C15-C26)	13	5.5	2.4 (1.3,4.1)	16 (7,32)	7	1.0	6.8 (2.8,14.1)	15 (5,32)	6	4.4	1.4 (0.5,2.9)	27 (2,112)
Respiratory organs (C30-C39)	12	2.9	4.2 (2.2,7.4)	20 (9,37)	5	0.2	23.3 (7.6,54.5)	12 (4,28)	7	2.6	2.7 (1.1,5.5)	75 (22,185)
Bone (C40-C41)	2	0.4	5.1 (0.6,18.3)	3 (0,14)	2	0.3	5.8 (0.7,21.0)	4 (0,16)	0	0.0	0.0 (-,73.9)	0
Melanoma of skin (C43, D03)	4	4.2	1.0 (0.3,2.4)	0	3	2.2	1.4 (0.3,4.0)	2 (0,13)	1	2.0	0.5 (0.0,2.8)	0
Soft tissue (C49)	5	0.5	9.5 (3.1,22.2)	10 (3,23)	4	0.4	11.2 (3.1,28.7)	9 (2,24)	1	0.2	5.9 (0.1,32.9)	14 (0,90)
Breast (C50, D05)	26	6.6	3.9 (2.6,5.7)	41 (25,65)	16	1.6	10.3 (5.9,16.7)	35 (20,59)	10	5.1	2.0 (0.9,3.6)	84 (27,198)
Genitourinary (C51-C68, D09, D06)	36	32.2	1.1 (0.8,1.5)	8 (2,21)	22	23.3	0.9 (0.6,1.4)	0	14	8.9	1.6 (0.9,2.6)	87 (28,201)
Central nervous system (C70-C72)	18	1.3	13.4 (7.9,21.2)	36 (21,57)	13	0.9	14.3 (7.6,24.4)	30 (15,52)	5	0.4	11.6 (3.8,27.0)	78 (24,190)
Thyroid gland (C73)	37	1.7	21.6 (15.2,29.7)	76 (53,105)	30	1.1	27.3 (18.5,39.0)	71 (47,102)	7	0.6	11.3 (4.6,23.4)	109 (42,233)
Lymphoma (C81-C85)	3	2.8	1.1 (0.2,3.2)	0 (0,9)	3	2.0	1.5 (0.3,4.4)	3 (0,14)	0	0.8	0.0 (-,4.6)	0
Leukemia (C90-C93)	9	1.5	6.0 (2.8,11.4)	16 (7,32)	8	1.0	8.0 (3.5,15.8)	17 (7,35)	1	0.5	2.0 (0.1,11.2)	9 (0,80)

AER = absolute excess risks; Exp = expected; Obs = observed; SIR - Standardized incidence ratios; SMN - subsequent malignant neoplasm

prophylactic cranial radiotherapy (CRT) in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) was gradually omitted in Slovenia after 1995 and for majority of patients after year 2002. Systematic review of randomized trials addressing prophylactic CRT in ALL patients conducted between the 1970s and 1990s showed that radiotherapy can generally be replaced by intrathecal therapy.²⁴ There is substantial variation in percentage of irradiated patients between different childhood ALL treatment groups, however children from high income countries included in randomized trials had prophylactic CRT omitted a decade earlier than our patients.²⁵ How different trends in treatment will correlate with cumulative incidence of SN in our cohort needs longer observation time.

Risk of SMNs in retinoblastoma survivors

In our cohort, no SNs were observed among retinoblastoma patients, which is likely related to the fact that less than 20% had external beam radiotherapy. In countries using external beam radiotherapy, five-year overall survival of retinoblastoma patients diagnosed in 1966–1970 and 1996–2000 increased from 86% to 96%.²⁶ In Slovenia only half of patients with retinoblastoma survived the disease in the 1960s and 1970s. With the use of chemother-

apy and modern local therapies, survival increased to 88% in the 1980s and is 100% nowadays.²⁷ The risk for SMN in nonhereditary retinoblastoma patients treated with surgery only, is comparable to general population and only hereditary retinoblastoma patients treated with radiotherapy have higher risk for SMN.²⁸ In our study only four long term survivors with probable hereditary retinoblastoma had radiotherapy.

Risk of subsequent sarcomas

In our study the risk of subsequent soft tissue (SIR 9.5, 95% CI: 3.1–22.2 *vs.* 15.7 95% CI: 14–17.6) and bone sarcomas (SIR 5.1, 95% CI: 0.6–18.3 *vs.* 21.65, 95% CI: 18.97–24.6) was significantly lower than in PanCareSurFup cohort, that comprises data from 12 European countries.^{29,30} The risk of subsequent soft tissue (SIR 12.1, 95% CI: 9.1–16) and bone sarcoma (SIR 10.1, 95% CI: 7.2–14) is more comparable to Nordic population-based cohort study than British, where highest overall SIR for any specific subsequent neoplasm was observed for subsequent bone neoplasms (SIR, 30.5; 95% CI, 24.9–37.3).^{6,7} Again, the greatest risk for subsequent primary sarcomas was observed in survivors of hereditary retinoblastoma treated with radiotherapy, but there are only few of such patients in our cohort.^{29,30} Similar

trends in survival are seen for other childhood cancers contributing to subsequent sarcomas, namely patients with CNS tumours, sarcomas, and Wilms tumours.^{7,29,30} Survival of children diagnosed with CNS tumours, sarcomas, and Wilms tumours in 1970s and 1990s increased from 44% to 65%, 46% to 62% and 58% to 76%, respectively. As radiotherapy and chemotherapy are known risk factors for subsequent sarcomas, we might never see such an increase as in British and PanCareSurFup studies, since less patients were exposed to high-dose, high-volume radiotherapy and chemotherapy at any time.^{31,32} As the most intensive treatment in our cohort was implemented later, we might expect increased risk with continued follow up.

Risk of subsequent leukaemia (SL)

In our cohort risk of SL is somewhat higher (SIR 6.0, 95% CI 2.8–11.4) compared to PanCareSurFup cohort (SIR 3.7, 95% CI 3.1–4.5).³³ The risk of SL is estimated for five-year survivors in published studies, making comparison difficult.^{6,33,34} By studying five-year survivors two thirds of SL in our cohort would be lost, with majority of patients dead due to high mortality of SL. Determining risk of SL before patients became 5-year survivors may have implications for other studies despite low numbers in our cohort.

Mortality and causes of death following SMNs

Recurrence of primary cancer is still the leading cause of death in childhood cancer patients up to 15 years after diagnosis, afterwards death due to SMN takes the lead.^{5,35} Ten percent of patients that died of SMN had either no radiotherapy or SMN outside radiotherapy field. One third had known genetic cancer predisposition syndrome. Even these small numbers could stress the importance of surveillance for patients after radiotherapy or with known genetic predisposition syndromes.²²

Clinical implications

The fact that the risks of developing an SMN in this study are similar to other European population-based cohorts is important knowledge as it shows that follow-up guidelines for potential surveillance of SMNs developed for European survivors are relevant to the Slovenian childhood cancer survivor population. Follow up provided by a dedicated physician applying current guidelines, as

in Slovenia, is probably the best care possible for long-term survivors.

Study limitations

Strength of our study is almost complete follow up in population-based setting with little heterogeneity in data collection and patient's management. Potential limitations are the relatively small number of SPNs and unavailable detailed treatment information not allowing for investigations into the risks by specific cumulative radiotherapy and chemotherapy doses.

Conclusions

Within this population-based study with nearly complete follow we observed almost 3-fold increased risk for SMN among childhood cancer survivors. What is new, are treatment data for our cohort, showing that most intensive treatment with radiotherapy and chemotherapy was implemented later in practice and radiotherapy also declined slower compared to high income countries. The evidence assembled in this study stresses the importance of continuous surveillance according to European guidelines and further studies to assess whether risk of SMNs in childhood cancers survivors in Slovenia will be different in the future.

References

1. Steliarova-Foucher E, Stiller C, Kaatsch P, Berrino F, Coebergh JW, Lacour B, et al. Geographical patterns and time trends of cancer incidence and survival among children and adolescents in Europe since the 1970s (the ACCIS project): an epidemiological study. *Lancet* 2004; **364**: 2097-105. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17550-8
2. Gatta G, Botta L, Rossi S, Aareleid T, Bielska-Lasota M, Clavel J, et al. EURO CARE Working Group. Childhood cancer survival in Europe 1999-2007: results of EURO CARE-5-a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2013; **15**: 35-47. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70548-5
3. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, et al; Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**: 1572-82. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa060185
4. Vassal G, Schrappe M, Pritchard-Jones K, Arnold F, Basset L, Biondi A, et al. The SIOPE strategic plan: A European cancer plan for children and adolescents. *J Cancer Policy* 2016; **8**: 17-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jcpcp.2016.03.007
5. Reulen RC, Winter DL, Frobisher C, Lancashire ER, Stiller CA, Jenney ME, et al; British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Steering Group. Long-term cause-specific mortality among survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA* 2010; **304**: 172-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.923
6. Olsen JH, Möller T, Anderson H, Langmark F, Sankila R, Tryggvadóttir L, et al. Lifelong cancer incidence in 47,697 patients treated for childhood cancer in the Nordic countries. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2009; **101**: 806-13. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djp104
7. Reulen RC, Frobisher C, Winter DL, Kelly J, Lancashire ER, Stiller CA, et al; British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study Steering Group. Long-term risks of subsequent primary neoplasms among survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA* 2011; **305**: 2311-19. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.747

8. Neglia JP, Friedman DL, Yasui Y, Mertens AC, Hammond S, Stovall M, et al. Second malignant neoplasms in five-year survivors of childhood cancer: childhood cancer survivor study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; **93**: 618-29. doi: 10.1093/jnci/93.8.618
9. Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Neglia JP, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Stovall M, et al. Second neoplasms in survivors of childhood cancer: findings from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; **27**: 2356-62. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.21.1920
10. Friedman DL, Whitton J, Leisenring W, Mertens AC, Hammond S, Stovall M, et al. Subsequent neoplasms in 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010; **102**: 1083-95. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djq238
11. Turcotte LM, Liu Q, Yasui Y, Arnold MA, Hammond S, Howell RM, et al. Temporal trends in treatment and subsequent neoplasm risk among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer, 1970-2015. *JAMA* 2017; **317**: 814-24. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.0693
12. Turcotte LM, Whitton JA, Friedman DL, Hammond S, Armstrong GT, Leisenring W, et al. Risk of subsequent neoplasms during the fifth and sixth decades of life in the childhood cancer survivor study cohort. *J Clin Oncol* 2015; **33**: 3568-75. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.60.9487
13. Teepeen JC, van Leeuwen FE, Tissing WJ, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, van der Pal HJ, et al. DCOG LATER Study Group. Long-term risk of subsequent malignant neoplasms after treatment of childhood cancer in the DCOG LATER Study Cohort: role of chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2017; **35**: 2288-98. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.71.6902
14. Teepeen JC, Kremer LC, van der Heiden-van der Loo M, Tissing WJ, van der Pal HJ, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, et al. DCOG-LATER Study Group. Clinical characteristics and survival patterns of subsequent sarcoma, breast cancer, and melanoma after childhood cancer in the DCOG-LATER cohort. *Cancer Causes Control* 2019; **30**: 909-22. doi: 10.1007/s10552-019-01204-z
15. Jazbec J, Ećimović P, Jereb B. Second neoplasms after treatment of childhood cancer in Slovenia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2004; **42**: 574-81. doi: 10.1002/xbc.20025
16. Jereb B. Model for long-term follow-up of survivors of childhood cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2000; **34**: 256-8. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-911x(200004)34:4<256::aid-mpo5>3.0.co;2-8
17. *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology*. Third Edition, First Revision. Fritz A, Percy C, Jack A, Shanmugaratnam K, Sobin L, Parkin DM, et al, editors. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
18. *Cancer registration: principles and methods*. Jensen OM, Parkin DM, MacLennan R, Muir C, Skeet RG, editors. Lyon, France: World Health Organization, IARC Sci Publ; 1991. p. 95.
19. dos Santos Silva I. *Cancer epidemiology: principles and methods*. First edition. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization; 1999.
20. Thernau TM, Grambsch PM. *Modelling survival data: extending the Cox model*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag; 2000. doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-3294-8
21. Dickman PW, Coviello E. "Estimating and modeling relative survival." *Stata J* 2015; **15**: 186-215. doi: 10.1177/1536867X1501500112
22. Turcotte LM, Neglia JP, Reulen RC, Ronckers CM, van Leeuwen FE, Morton LM, et al. Risk, risk factors, and surveillance of subsequent malignant neoplasms in survivors of childhood cancer: a review. *J Clin Oncol* 2018; **36**: 2145-52. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.76.7764
23. Jairam V, Roberts KB, Yu JB. Historical trends in the use of radiation therapy for pediatric cancers: 1973-2008. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2013; **85**: e151-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2012.10.007
24. Richards S, Pui CH, Gayon P; Childhood Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Collaborative Group (CALLCG). Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials of central nervous system directed therapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013; **60**: 185-95. doi: 10.1002/xbc.24228
25. Vora A, Andreano A, Pui CH, Hunger SP, Schrappe M, Moericke A, et al. Influence of cranial radiotherapy on outcome in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with contemporary therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2016; **34**: 919-26. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.64.2850
26. Pritchard-Jones K. Childhood cancer in Britain: incidence, survival, and mortality. *Br J Cancer* 2007; **96**: 1927. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603800
27. Abramson DH. Retinoblastoma in the 20th Century: past success and future challenges the Weisenfeld lecture. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005; **46**: 2684-91. doi: 10.1167/iovs.04-1462
28. Schonfeld SJ, Kleinerman RA, Abramson DH, Seddon JM, Tucker MA, Morton LM. Long-term risk of subsequent cancer incidence among hereditary and nonhereditary retinoblastoma survivors. *Br J Cancer* 2021; **124**: 1312-19. doi: 10.1038/s41416-020-01248-y
29. Bright CJ, Hawkins MM, Winter DL, Alessi D, Allodji RS, Bagnasco F, et al. PanCareSurFup Consortium. Risk of soft-tissue sarcoma among 69,460 five-year survivors of childhood cancer in Europe. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2018; **110**: 649-66. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx235
30. Fidler MM, Reulen RC, Winter DL, Allodji RS, Bagnasco F, Bárdi E, et al. Risk of subsequent bone cancers among 69,460 five-year survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer in Europe. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2018; **110**: 183-94. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx165. PMID: 28954302
31. Henderson TO, Whitton J, Stovall M, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Friedman D, et al. Secondary sarcomas in childhood cancer survivors: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007; **99**: 300-8. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djk052
32. Garwicz S, Anderson H, Olsen JH, Døllner H, Hertz H, Jonmundsson G, et al. Second malignant neoplasms after cancer in childhood and adolescence: a population-based case-control study in the 5 Nordic countries. *Int J Cancer* 2000; **88**: 672-8. doi: 10.1002/1097-0215(20001115)88:4<672::aid-ijc24>3.0.co;2-n
33. Allodji RS, Hawkins MM, Bright CJ, Fidler-Benaoudia MM, Winter DL, Alessi D, et al. Risk of subsequent primary leukaemias among 69,460 five-year survivors of childhood cancer diagnosed from 1940 to 2008 in Europe: a cohort study within PanCareSurFup. *Eur J Cancer* 2019; **117**: 71-83. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.05.013
34. Nottage K, Lancot J, Li Z, Neglia JP, Bhatia S, Hammond S, et al. Long-term risk for subsequent leukemia after treatment for childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 6315-8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-02-335158
35. Bagnasco F, Caruso S, Andreano A, Valsecchi MG, Jankovic M, Biondi A, et al. OTR-AIEOP Registry. Late mortality and causes of death among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer diagnosed in the period 1960-1999 and registered in the Italian Off-Therapy Registry. *Eur J Cancer* 2019; **110**: 86-97. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.12.021