UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Microplastics and nanoplastics in the marineatmosphere environment

Allen, Deonie; Allen, Steve; Abbasi, Sajjad; Baker, Alex; Bergmann, Melanie; Brahney, Janice; Butler, Tim; Duce, Robert A.; Eckhardt, Sabine; Evangeliou, Nikolaos; Jickells, Tim; Kanakidou, Maria; Kershaw, Peter; Laj, Paolo; Levermore, Joseph; Li, Daoji; Liss, Peter; Liu, Kai; Mahowald, Natalie; Masque, Pere

DOI: 10.1038/s43017-022-00292-x

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Allen, D, Allen, S, Abbasi, S, Baker, A, Bergmann, M, Brahney, J, Butler, T, Duce, RA, Eckhardt, S, Evangeliou, N, Jickells, T, Kanakidou, M, Kershaw, P, Laj, P, Levermore, J, Li, D, Liss, P, Liu, K, Mahowald, N, Masque, P, Materić, D, Mayes, AG, Mcginnity, P, Osvath, I, Prather, KA, Prospero, JM, Revell, LE, Sander, SG, Shim, WJ, Slade, J, Stein, A, Tarasova, O & Wright, S 2022, 'Microplastics and nanoplastics in the marine-atmosphere environment', *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 393–405. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00292-x

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00292-x

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Micro- and nano-plastics in the marine-atmosphere environment 1 2 Deonie Allen^{1*†}, Steve Allen^{2,3*}, Sajjad Abbasi^{4,5}, Alex Baker⁶, Melanie Bergmann⁷, 3 Janice Brahney⁸, Tim Butler⁹, Robert A. Duce¹⁰, Sabine Echhardt¹¹, Nikolaos 4 Evangeliou¹¹, Tim Jickells⁶, Maria Kanakidou¹², Peter Kershaw¹³, Paolo Laj^{14,15}, Joseph 5 Levermore¹⁶, Daoji Li¹⁷, Peter Liss⁶, Kai Liu¹⁷, Natalie Mahowald¹⁸, Pere Masque^{19, 20, 21}, 6 Dušan Materić²², Andrew G. Mayes²³, Paul McGinnity²¹, Iolanda Osvath²¹, Kimberly A. 7 Prather^{24,25}, Joseph M. Prospero²⁶, Laura E. Revell²⁷, Sylvia Sander^{28,29}, Won Joon 8 Shim³⁰, Jonathan Slade²⁵, Ariel Stein³¹, Oksana Tarasova³², Stephanie Wright^{16,33} 9 10 + Corresponding author: deonie.allen@strath.ac.uk 11 * Equally contributing authors 12 13 [Au: Please ensure that your names (including initials) and affiliations are listed 14 as you want them indexed (for example on Scopus, Google Scholar or WoS). We 15 cannot accept corrections after publication, so please double check. All 16 Affiliations should include Laboratory, Department, Institute, City, (State) and 17 Country.] 18 19 ¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde, 20 Glasgow, Scotland 21 ² School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, 22 Birmingham, UK 23 ³ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, 24 Canada 25 ⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, College of Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran 26 ⁵ Department of Radiochemistry and Environmental Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, 27 Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, Poland 28 ⁶ School of Environmental Sciences, Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, 29 University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK 30 ⁷ HGF-MPG Group for Deep-Sea Ecology and Technology, Alfred-Wegener-Institut 31 Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany 32 ⁸ Department of Watershed Sciences, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, USA 33 ⁹ Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies e.V. (IASS), Potsdam, Germany 34 ¹⁰ Departments of Oceanography and Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, 35 College Station, TEXAS, USA 36 ¹¹ Atmosphere and Climate Department, Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), 37 Kjeller, Norway 38 ¹² Department of Chemistry (ECPL), University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete 39 ¹³ Independent Marine Environmental Consultant, Norfolk, England 40 ¹⁴ University of Grenoble Alpes. Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS). 41 French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD), Grenoble, 42 France 43 ¹⁵ Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR), University of Helsinki, 44 Helsinki, Finland 45 ¹⁶ Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Environment and Health, Environmental 46 Research Group, Imperial College London, London, UK 47

- ⁴⁸ ¹⁷ State Key Laboratory of Estuarine & Coastal Research, East China Normal
- ⁴⁹ University, Shanghai, China
- ¹⁸ Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA
- ¹⁹ School of Science, Centre for Marine Ecosystems Research, Edith Cowan University,
- Joondalup, Western Australia, Australia
- ²⁰ Departament de Física & Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat
- ⁵⁴ Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain
- ⁵⁵ ²¹ International Atomic Energy Agency, Principality of Monaco, Monaco
- ⁵⁶ ²² Utrecht University, Institute for Marine and Atmospheric research Utrecht (IMAU),
- 57 Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ²³ School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- ⁵⁹ ²⁴ Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA
 ⁶⁰ USA
- ⁶¹ ²⁵ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La ⁶² Jolla, CA, USA
- ²⁶ Rosenstiel School, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, Miami,
 ⁴⁰ USA
- ⁶⁵ ²⁷ School of Physical and Chemical Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch,
- 66 New Zealand
- ⁶⁷ ²⁸ Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- ⁶⁸²⁹ GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
- ³⁰ Risk Assessment Research Centre, Korea Institute of Ocean Science and
- 70 Technology, Geoje, Republic of Korea
- ³¹ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Air Research Laboratory
- 72 (ARL), Maryland, USA
- ³² Atmospheric Environment Research Division, Science and Innovations Department,
- ⁷⁴ World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ³³ National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU)
- ⁷⁶ in Environmental Exposures and Health, Imperial College London, UK
- 77 78

79 Abstract

Effective management of marine micro(nano)plastic (MnP) depends on a good 80 understanding of their sources and cycling. The discovery of atmospheric MnP transport 81 and ocean-atmosphere exchange points to a highly complex marine plastic cycle. Yet, 82 observations are currently limited. In this Perspective, we quantify marine-atmospheric 83 MnP cycle processes and fluxes, with the aim of highlighting the remaining unknowns in 84 atmospheric MnP transport. Up to 25 (a range of 0.013-25) million metric tons per year 85 (Mt) of MnP are potentially being transported within the marine atmosphere and deposited 86 in the oceans. However, the high uncertainty in these marine-atmosphere fluxes is related 87 to data limitations and a lack of study inter-comparability. To address the uncertainties 88 and remaining knowledge gaps in the marine-atmospheric MnP cycle, we propose a 89 future global marine-atmospheric MnP observation strategy, incorporating novel sampling 90 methods and the creation of a comparable, harmonised and global data set. Together 91 with long-term observations and intensive investigations, this strategy will help define the 92 trends in marine-atmospheric pollution and any responses to future policy and 93 management actions. 94

- 95
- 96
- 97

98 Website summary:

Atmospheric transport of microplastic could be a major source of plastic pollution to the ocean, yet observations currently remain limited. This Perspective quantifies the known budgets of the marine-atmospheric micro(nano)plastic cycle, and proposes a future

102 global observation strategy.

103 [H1] Introduction

Over 368 million metric tons of single-use plastic were created in 2019 (refs. 1,2) and is 104 projected to increase further owing to rapid and inexpensive plastic production, non-105 circular economic models and a single-use plastic culture. Plastic pollution has been 106 evidenced across all environmental compartments, including aquatic, soil and air³⁻⁶. 107 Projections indicate plastic pollution will treble by 2040 under a business as usual 108 scenario, up to ~80 million metric tons (Mt) of waste per year (based on 2016 environmental plastic pollution estimates)⁷. Of the total managed and mismanaged plastic 110 waste created, ~12% is projected to enter the aquatic environment and ~22% to enter the 111 terrestrial environment, with an estimated ~60 Mt per year lost to just aquatic and 112 terrestrial environmental compartments by 2030^{7,8}. However, there is currently limited 113 assessment of the atmospheric compartment. 114

115

The global oceanic microplastic cycle^{9,10} is currently quantified based on observational and modelled data of microplastics in marine and fresh water, biota and sediments, as these environments are frequently studied^{11–13}. Terrestrial runoff, river discharge and marine currents carry micro(nano)plastic (MnP; see Box 1 for definitions) from terrestrial sources to distal areas such as the Arctic, Antarctic and deep-sea locations over months to years¹⁴. Whilst relatively slow, this mechanism is important in transporting MnP to remote areas where they can negatively impact marine life^{15,16}. Although studied less, atmospheric transport research similarly illustrates that wind can transport MnP at transcontinental and trans-oceanic scales^{17–20}. Atmospheric transport is comparably much faster than oceanic transport, as it can convey particles from sources to remote locations over a matter of days to weeks^{18,20,21}. Long-distance transport to remote and Polar Regions could occur through a combination of atmospheric and marine conveyance (Supplementary Note 1), enabling plastic pollutants to infiltrate and influence even the most remote and uninhabited ecosystems of Earth.

130

Atmospheric MnP can also affect surface climate, and therefore ecosystem health, via 131 theorised influences on surface albedo¹⁹, cloud formation²² and radiative forcing²³ 132 (Supplementary Note 2). Although MnPs have diverse colours, they are hypothesised to 133 influence surface albedo and accelerate cryosphere melting when deposited on snow and 134 ice^{19,24}. In addition, laboratory-based experiments demonstrate that atmospheric MnP 135 particles are effective ice nucleation particles, potentially influencing cloud lifetime and 136 albedo^{22,25,26}. Similarly, MnP have been modelled to cause positive and negative radiative 137 forcing via direct effects, depending on their size and vertical distribution²³. For example, greater radiation absorption and resultant atmospheric warming occurs when MnP are 139 present throughout the troposphere²³. While these theories have been hypothesised or 140 modelled (with notable constraints and assumptions), physical monitoring and 141 observation studies are urgently needed to validate and quantify MnP atmospheric 142 influences. Critically, the only radiative forcing calculations performed to date were for 143 non-pigmented polymers²³. 144

145

Beyond ecosystem health, MnPs are also an emergent pollutant of human health concern 146 through ingestion and inhalation^{27,28}. Potentially comparable to soot or black carbon, 147 atmospheric MnP transported from proximal or distal sources can result in human 148 exposure through direct inhalation and via the human food web through deposition on 149 agricultural land and water reservoirs, inclusion or contamination during agricultural, food 150 manufacturing and preparation activities. This atmospheric MnP is in addition to other 151 sources of plastic widely used in agriculture, directly added to soils, used in food 152 packaging, or uptake by seafood^{9,29-31}. As a result, atmospheric MnP forms part of the 153 threat to global sustainability and the ability of the global community to implement all or 154 most of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals³². 155

In this Perspective, we synthesize current atmospheric MnP data and propose that the 157 atmosphere provides an important but unconstrained flux of marine MnP. While 158 atmospheric data is still limited, several studies have identified key processes that could 159 substantially promote global transport to the oceans. Modelling suggests that there is 160 considerable atmospheric transport of terrestrial MnP to marine environments^{18,19}. 161 Furthermore, the incorporation of atmospheric MnP transport processes into the marine 162 MnP cycle highlights the importance of marine MnP export to the atmosphere and 163 potential transportation to terrestrial environments. Therefore, it is important to quantify 164 the atmospheric compartment (emission, transport and deposition) to obtain an accurate 165 estimate of marine MnP fluxes. A collective effort is needed to better quantify and 166 characterise the marine atmospheric MnP cycle, so that the roles of MnP in the 167 atmosphere, ocean and land can be more fully understood. 168

170

[H1] Marine plastic cycle processes

172 Micro and nano plastic that is atmospherically transported to and deposited on the ocean 173 surfaces can originate from a multitude of sources (both marine and terrestrial)³³ and can 174 be conveyed long distances. However, quantitative assessment of atmospheric emission 175 of MnP specific to land use type or activity is limited. This lack of quantification has 176 resulted in numerous assumptions and uncertainties in global modelling and estimation 177 of atmospheric MnP budgets and flux estimates. This section discusses what is known 178 and unknown regarding the sources, transport and deposition of marine-atmospheric 179 MnP. 180

- 181
- 182 183

184 [H2] Sources

Activities that result in atmospheric MnP creation and emission can generally be 185 characterised as terrestrial or marine. Marine emission of MnP to the atmosphere is an 186 emerging field of research and formative investigation in the field and laboratory point 187 towards MnP ocean-air interface exchange. As such, the coastal zone is thought to serve 188 as a source of MnP through beach sand erosion and entrainment, sea spray and bubble 189 burst ejection along the surf zone due to wind and waves^{34–36}. In the coastal and open-190 ocean environments, MnP particles could be scavenged from the water column by 191 bubbles and ejected into the atmosphere when the bubbles burst^{37,38}. As with coastal 192 zone processes, wind and wave action could increase the rate of ocean emission of MnP, 193 for example along the ever-changing boundary between Arctic and Antarctic sea water 194 and glacial ice or sea ice edge³⁹. Aquaculture, coastal and offshore fishing have also 195 been identified as a source of marine MnP⁴⁰. 196

197

The emission and (subsequent) atmospheric entrainment (the transition from surface to 198 air followed by atmospheric transport) of agricultural soil MnPs have been quantified in 199 the field and estimated in specific soils conditions (well sorted guartz sand, poorly-sorted 200 organic soil, semi-arid soils)^{41,42}. These studies, which focused on specific processes 201 rather than the complex surface-atmosphere flux, suggest MnP emission of 0.08-1.48 mg 202 m⁻² minute⁻¹ for relatively large microplastic particles (generally 100-200µm in size)^{41,42}. It 203 is acknowledged that there might be local or immediate (re-)deposition, but this is 204 currently unguantified and requires further, focused research. However, if the values are 205 used without localised (re-)deposition considerations. Acknowledging that 11% of 206 habitable surface is agricultural (crop) land use (11 million km²)⁴³, a global emission of 207 0.0009 to 0.016 million metric tons (Mt) suspended per minute can be estimated when 208 agricultural land is exposed to erosive wind (0.5–22m s⁻¹)⁴¹. During strong wind events. 209 there is potential for atmospheric emission of agricultural MnP to extend to the region of 210 million metric tons per year. The wind erosion and emission rate of smaller MnP still needs 211 to be determined. 212

213

Tyre and brake wear become atmospherically emitted and entrained through road use and vehicle movement^{44,45}. Early estimates suggested potential tyre emissions of ~6 tons

km⁻¹ year^{-1 46}. However, published studies acknowledge the highly variable 216 concentrations of MnP in road dust due to spatial, temporal and meteorological 217 characteristics, road and vehicle per year conditions (for example country, season, 218 vehicle type and road maintenance). Current tyre and brake wear atmospheric emissions 219 are suggested to be up to ≤40% of total tyre and brake wear emissions, amounting to 0.2-220 5.5kg per capita for particles $\leq 10 \mu m^{19,45}$. Alternative emission estimations are based on 221 a constant tyre wear to CO₂ ratio (0.49 mg TWP g⁻¹ CO₂) or using the Greenhouse gas-222 Air pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS)⁴⁷ model estimations (<0.25-~32 tonnes 223 per year, based on region-specific, distance-driven and vehicle-type emission 224 information). These different estimation techniques result in a global atmospheric flux of 225 tyre and brake wear ranging from <0.15 to 4.3 million metric tons per year. It is important 226 to note that many atmospheric MnP findings (MnP per m³ or MnP per m²) do not include 227 tyre or brake wear particles due to analytical difficulties. 228

229

Cities and dense urban living are considered an atmospheric MnP source due to human 230 activities (for example commerce, industry, transport, household)^{44,48,49}, plastic use and 231 waste management (landfills, recycling centres, incineration)49-53. While there is a 232 growing dataset of urban atmospheric MnP quantitative characterisation, the atmospheric 233 emission rates from specific materials, actions and environments are currently unknown. 234 Within urban environments, atmospheric MnP has been guantified from 0.9MPm⁻³ (Paris 235 outdoor air⁵⁴) to 5700 MP m⁻³ (Beijing outdoor air⁵⁵) (Supplementary Data, Figure 2). 236 However, these estimates were reported without any differentiation to indicate the 237 proportion of MnP transported to each location from a local or distal source, or the 238 proportion occurring as local emission, or the quantity lost due to atmospheric transport 239 away from the local urban source. One study has used field data extrapolation and simple 240 transport modelling to estimate the indoor microplastic fibre contribution to marine MnP 241 deposition, suggesting a contribution of 7-33 metric tons per year⁵⁶. Due to the early stage 242 in field observation and MnP source emission research, urban atmospheric MnP emission 243 rates are very uncertain and currently based primarily on theoretical estimates. 244 245

246

247 [H2] Transport and deposition

There have been numerous quantitative observations of MnPs in remote locations where 248 plastic pollution is attributed to atmospheric transport. These include the Ecuadorian 249 Andes⁵⁷, French Pyrenees¹⁷, Italian Alps⁵⁸, US conservation areas⁵⁹, snow in the 250 Arctic^{39,60}, Nunavut (Canadian Arctic)⁶¹, Isle of Helgoland (Germany)³⁹, Austrian and 251 Swiss Alps^{20,39,62}, the Iranian Plateau⁶³, and the Tibetan Plateau⁶⁴. Atmospheric transport 252 of MnP particles is extensive, reaching hundreds to potentially thousands of kilometres 253 from major emission sources (for example, cities, intensive agriculture, industry). 254 Therefore, while there is limited quantitative field observation of atmospheric MnP, the 255 observed atmospheric transport and modelling suggest the atmosphere to contain, 256 transport and deposit MnPs throughout the marine environment. 257

There is a substantial body of literature on microplastics in the environment. However, most research is focused on the aquatic or terrestrial environments (855 and 366 publications respectively in 2020)^{65,66}. In total, over 70 published scientific studies (field or laboratory research) are on atmospheric MnP, of which only 6 focus on the marine environment (Supplementary Data, Google Scholar, Web of Science and Scopus search).
 The concentration of suspended microplastic particles in urban air range up to 5700 MPm⁻
 ³ (in Beijing⁵⁵) and studies generally suggest that particle concentrations decrease with distance from city centres⁶⁷.

Marine air samples generally present lower atmospheric microplastic concentrations 266 compared to terrestrial levels. Marine atmospheric MnP concentrations of up to 0.06-1.37 267 MP m⁻³ have been reported over the North Atlantic Ocean, South China Sea, Indian 268 Ocean and Western Pacific Ocean (Figure 2). However, this marine sampling comprises 269 particles collected predominantly in the range of 20µm-5mm^{68–70} (limited focus or analysis 270 on the smaller particle size range, Supplementary Data) and is thus an underestimation. 271 Comparatively, the Beijing and other terrestrial studies extend down to 5µm (limit of 272 quantification), potentially resulting in relatively elevated particle counts given the 273 increasing particle count with decreasing particle size. However, it has been shown that 274 coastal air samples of wind in an onshore direction (blowing from the sea to the land) can 275 carry elevated microplastic concentrations of ~2.9 MP m⁻³, rising to 19 MP m⁻³ during turbulent sea conditions³⁷. Bubble and sea spray studies of ocean chemical species 277 suggest that this increase in atmospheric microplastic could be due to the bubble burst 278 ejection process and spume entrainment^{71,72}, where the bubble source (horizontally within 279 the water column and spatially such as within a gyre or coastal environment) might be 280 particularly important^{18,73}. 281

282

The deposition of airborne MnP has been measured across a range of terrestrial 283 environments, but publication of marine MnP offshore measurements of air⁶⁹ and MnP 284 deposited snow on ice floes³⁹only commenced in 2019 (Supplementary Data). MnP 285 particles collected using passive deposition sampling can present different particle counts 286 and morphology compared to active (pumped) air samples^{54,70,74–76}. This difference might 287 be due to the different transport processes in action (for example scavenging, settling, 288 convective or advective transport) or the sampling methodology (active versus passive 289 sampling, deposition versus suspended particle sampling), and is an important area of 290 future investigation. 291

To quantify the marine atmospheric MnP flux, both air and depositional field studies must consider the full atmospheric transport process and quantify marine MnP flux. The morphology and quantitative characterisation of marine atmospheric MnP deposition beyond these polar regions are unknown, and thus marine deposition assessments are primarily theoretically modelled estimates due to lack of field data. The quantitative assessment of marine aquatic MnP particle ejection to the atmosphere and transport of these particles is also in its infancy, resulting in estimations based on limited field data.

300

292

Thus, while current understanding of atmospheric MnP in the marine environment identifies the cyclic nature of MnP movement (ocean-atmosphere flux) the quantification of this flux (deposition, emission and atmospheric concentrations) require substantial further study.

[H1] Marine-atmosphere plastic flux

Atmosphere-ocean MnP interactions are important to understand so that the particle sizes 307 and quantities can be identified. The atmosphere transports predominantly small micro-308 and nano-plastics compared to fluvial processes, and is a notably faster transport 309 pathway, potentially resulting in substantial marine particle deposition and exchange 310 between the ocean and atmosphere. Smaller micro and nanoplastics are also of concern 311 to species and ecosystem health, therefore quantifying the marine atmospheric exchange 312 and transport process is necessary to monitor marine ecosystem health. Conversely, 313 quantifying the marine emission and atmospheric transport of MnPs to terrestrial 314 environments is necessary as many remote areas, distal from terrestrial micro and 315 nanoplastic sources, could be notably influenced by marine atmospheric MnP. In this 316 section, the estimates, uncertainties and future improvements in marine-atmosphere 317 fluxes are discussed (Figure 3). 318

319

321

320 [H2] Estimates

Early estimates of the atmospheric MnP within the marine environment have been 322 undertaken using simple extrapolation of continental data through to more dynamic 323 atmospheric process modelling. The 2017 IUCN report suggests 15% of marine plastic 324 pollution is wind transported (estimated primary microplastic marine pollution input of 0.8-325 2.5 million metric tons, therefore 0.12-0.38 million metric tons of atmospheric 326 deposition)⁷⁷. Acknowledging that both primary and secondary MnP particles are 327 atmospherically transported to the marine environment, simplistic extrapolation of 328 atmospheric MnP deposition onto the ocean surface has been carried out. Using the 329 reported remote area atmospheric MnP deposition quantities and the global ocean 330 surface area (3.6x10⁸ km²), microplastic deposition (particles between 1µm and 5mm in 331 size) on the marine environment has been estimated as 10 million metric tons per year⁷⁸. 332 New nanoplastic deposition analysis, considering only the <200nm particle fraction, 333 suggests that this smaller sized plastic pollution might result in up to 15 million metric tons 334 of nanoplastic deposition on the ocean surface per year²⁰. For context, 10 million metric 335 tons is equivalent to 3% of current annual global total plastic production (2018, 359 million 336 metric tons)^{78,79}, represents 11% of mismanaged plastic waste (2016, 91 million metric 337 tons year¹)⁷, is comparable to the plastic (macro and micro) entering aquatic ecosystems 338 (11-23 million metric tons per year)^{7,8} and potentially transported to the marine 339 environment (4-13 million metric tons) (2010)⁸⁰ (Figure 1). 340

341

Global model estimations have been undertaken using estimated emission rates from 342 terrestrial (and marine) sources and current atmospheric MnP transport dynamics. 343 Lagrangian transport and dispersion modelling (FLEXPART) of tyre and brake wear MnPs 344 (high density polymers that form a fraction of the total atmospheric and marine plastic 345 pollution) illustrate that >30-34% of these continental MnP particles are atmospherically 346 transported and deposited on ocean surfaces (analysis of only MnPs $\leq 10 \mu m$, Figure 4)¹⁹. 347 FLEXPART modelling suggests that net tyre and brake wear MnP input into the oceans 348 via atmospheric transport and deposition could be ~0.14 million metric tons per year¹⁹. 349 This is comparable to the annual quantity of tyre wear reported to enter the oceans via 350 fluvial transport (0.064 million metric tons per year, tyres wear only)¹⁹. Gross atmospheric 351

deposition and marine microplastic flux has also been globally modelled (using the 352 Community Atmospheric Model, CAM)¹⁸. The CAM estimate incorporates land based 353 atmospheric microplastic emissions and as such has a high uncertainty due to data 354 availability and associated assumptions. The CAM model includes ocean ejection and 355 recirculation (resuspension) of microplastic particles, incorporating marine bubble burst 356 ejection and wave action into the marine microplastic cycle. Gross atmospheric deposition 357 to the ocean is estimated as 0.013 million metric tons¹⁸. It is important to note that the 358 CAM model microplastic particle size distribution is notably more coarse than the 359 FLEXPART tyre and brake wear modelling, adopting a particle size distribution generally 360 above 5µm and focused on particles 10-50µm in size. The model suggests that potentially 361 >11% of urban atmospheric deposition comes from sea spray or bubble burst ejection in 362 the marine environment and that up to 99% of the total marine microplastic ejection to the 363 atmosphere (re)deposits within the marine environment (Figure 1, Supplementary Note 364 3). 365

367 [H2] Uncertainties

These early marine flux and deposition estimates range from 0.013 to 25 million metric 368 tons per year, illustrating the uncertainty resulting from data and research limitations. 369 There is limited global representation of atmospheric MnP concentrations due to the 370 limited number of studies, limited parallel air concentration and deposition studies and the 371 limited global observation extent (Figure 2). Field data is especially scarce in the marine 372 atmospheric environment, a lack that constrains the capacity to accurately calculate and 373 validate estimated and modelled marine environment results of emission, deposition, 374 marine atmospheric burden and flux. As a result, current marine atmospheric MnP 375 understanding and flux estimations are based on available data and assumptions. 376 resulting in large uncertainties around calculated flux and transport results. 377

378

366

A primary knowledge gap is the quantitative assessment of source emissions to the 379 atmosphere, both marine and terrestrial. The quantitative characterisation of atmospheric 380 MnP primary and secondary source emission is needed across the full temporal (all 381 seasons and weather patterns) and spatial range (Arctic to Antarctic, remote to urban 382 areas). Currently, atmospheric emission rates (for example particles or mass released 383 per hour or m²) are assumed or estimated, both in models and flux calculations due to 384 the complexity of in field study assessment (specifically the disaggregation of background 385 atmospheric MnP presence from the source specific emission). To advance the 386 atmospheric flux accuracy and to understand key sources of atmospheric MnP, these 387 emission rates require field observation and validation using advanced field sampling 388 methods (for example horizontal and vertical array sampling across a prospective source 389 area to define upwind and local atmospheric MnP concentrations relative to emission 390 specific concentrations). 391

392

The understanding and experimental validation of wet removal (scavenging) of atmospheric MnP is relatively unknown. While MnPs are often considered hydrophobic, once within the environment it is unknown whether this hydrophobicity changes, for example, due to corona effects, photodegradation and weathering, or leaching of phthalates. Field and laboratory controlled studies are needed to describe changes to the microphysical behaviour of environmental MnPs as a result of environmental exposure

and therefore corresponding changes to the emission, transport and deposition behaviour 399 of these particles. Furthermore, entrainment and turbulent mixing dynamics of MnP are 400 also poorly understood; they are generally modelled using proxies (for example Saharan 401 dust, or Cesium-137) or theoretical particle motions (based on particle mass, shape and 402 density). To improve flux estimates and model outputs, laboratory and field 403 experimentation and data are needed to adequately describe the emission, (re-404)entrainment, turbulent mixing and deposition dynamics (Figure 3) of these generally 405 negatively charged^{81,82}, low density, non-uniform MnP particles. 406

407

Comparability between studies is difficult at best. The wide range of sampling methods, 408 analytical techniques and reporting standards has resulted in publication of MnP 409 observations with differing limits of detection (LOD) or quantification (LOQ), incomparable 410 size fractionation, differing particle characterisation (shape, polymer type) and sampling 411 of different processes (for example snow deposition versus pumped volume of air)^{3,83,84}. 412 Atmospheric (terrestrial and marine) MnP studies need to provide comparable results to 413 ensure data advances the understanding of source, transport, deposition and flux 414 guantification. To achieve this, inter-method comparison studies are needed to define the 415 method specific limitations and the relative uncertainties of each method, allowing 416 published findings to be directly compared. For example, a sample analysed by µRaman 417 and Nile Red fluorescence microscopy could provide similar MnP counts, but the relative 418 uncertainties for each analytical method have not been quantified to support effective 419 direct comparison. Early comparative studies have started to identify under or over 420 estimations relative to specific analytical methods but without direct comparison and 421 quantification of these uncertainties specific to particle shape, size and polymer type^{85,86}. 422 Similarly, there is an assumption that sample collection methods are accurate and 423 effective representations of the environment or medium they sample. However, the 424 respective comparable sampling efficiencies of deposition and air concentration 425 collectors, and the associated uncertainties, are unquantified. For example, deposition 426 sample collectors such as funnels connected to a collection bottle⁷⁵, petri dishes with 427 double sided tape⁸⁷, NILU deposition collectors⁸⁸, or Brahney Buckets⁸⁹ (to name a few) 428 have different blow-by (particle not collected due to turbulence at sampler opening 429 resulting from sampler design or wind conditions), entrapment and retention efficiencies, 430 resuspension and sample losses. These comparative analysis and method unknowns 431 result in unquantifiable uncertainties in flux estimates. 432

433

Tyre and brake wear can comprise an important fraction of urban MnP pollution and might 434 be an important component of marine atmospheric MnP^{19,45}. However, in practice, these 435 black particles can be difficult to characterise by spectroscopic methods because of 436 limited signal due to absorption of input wavelengths and strength of vibrational response. 437 Therefore, tyre and brake wear particle chemical characterisation is often achieved with 438 destructive thermal degradation methods. without particle morpholoav 439 characterisation^{45,90}. As a result, many atmospheric MnP studies either focus on tyre and 440 brake wear or exclude these particle types and quantify classic plastics (for example 441 polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, polyester, polyethylene terephthalate and 442 others). This has created a disjointed dataset of MnP that does not represent the total 443 (tyre and brake wear plus all other polymer types) MnP concentration, burden, emission 444

or deposition. This disjoin creates uncertainty in total MnP calculations and representation
 (both atmospheric and marine).

447

449

[H2] Methods to advance the flux estimate

To advance the accuracy in the marine atmospheric MnP flux, greater understanding of 450 atmospheric concentrations, deposition, emission and entrainment mechanisms and 451 rates are needed across the global spatial and temporal range. There are numerous 452 atmospheric processes that have not yet been quantitatively characterised or 453 parameterised (orange processes highlighted in Figure 3) which need to be assessed to 454 close the marine air mass balance, advance the particle flux estimation, and limit the 455 uncertainty in flux and transport estimations. These include the vertical distribution of 456 MnPs both on the inshore and offshore, ocean ejection of MnPs offshore, and coastal 457 and offshore deposition. 458

459 460

It is a challenging task to properly sample atmospheric fluxes of MnP in any environment, 461 but it is particularly difficult in remote marine environments. Marine atmospheric sampling 462 (for dust and particulates, not plastic) has been undertaken using Modified Wilson and 463 Cook samplers (MWAC), which typically collect particles >50µm (losing the smaller 464 particle fraction)^{21,91}. In addition, pump sampling devices have been mounted on buoys 465 and ships^{38,68,69}. Modified versions of these methods can be included in the array of 466 sampling methods effective for MnP marine atmospheric research on ocean or coastal 467 platforms⁹², but field testing is needed to ensure these methods provide appropriate MnP 468 data across the full particle size range and function in the complex marine climate 469 (inclement weather). Method advances and innovation are needed to sample the <50µm 470 MnP particles, especially in open-ocean and remote locations, and to provide sample 471 methods close to the water surface. 472

473

While the study of marine MnP emission to the atmosphere via bubble-burst ejection and 474 sea spray processes is in its infancy^{35–37,73}, since the 2000's there has been extensive 475 research on the mechanism of sea-salt aerosol production and other materials involved 476 with ocean-atmosphere exchange^{72,93,94}. These provide a foundation on which to base 477 future research of ocean ejection of MnP to the atmosphere. To quantify ocean MnP 478 emissions via bubble-burst ejection, it might be possible to use sampling methods such 479 as the Bubble Interface Microlayer Sampler (BIMS)⁹⁵. The BIMS was originally designed 480 for sea salt aerosol studies, however its use is limited to calm seas. When used in 481 conjunction with deposition measurements and pumped air sampling campaigns, a BIMS-482 type device could effectively advance the quantification of ocean-atmosphere MnP 483 exchange in the field. In the laboratory, wave flumes and marine aerosol reference tanks, 484 extensively used in sea-spray aerosol research, could provide a tool to observe and 485 quantify the MnP wave and bubble ejection processes^{96,97}. 486

487

Atmospheric MnPs generally fall within the lower range of microplastics (<500µm) down to nanoplastics, a complex particle size to analyse^{98,99} and within the range of concern for environmental and human health. The majority of atmospheric MnP studies are constrained by their particle counts, polymer type and shape, and limit of quantification

(published down to 11µm using an FTIR or 2µm using a µRaman, but with pixel size 492 limitations and in LOD of 10µm for FTIR, 1µm for Raman under standard analytical 493 setup)^{100,101}. Polymer identification analysis, across the full particle size range, is a vital 494 requirement for MnP analysis and reporting^{3,102,103}. Analysis of individual particles below 495 1µm can be achieved (for example using equipment such as Raman tweezers, AFM-496 IR)^{98,104,105} but is resource heavy and difficult to analyse a representative proportion of a 497 field sample. To advance the understanding and flux assessment of atmospheric marine 498 MnPs, new techniques and advancements in technology are needed to enable submicron 499 particle polymer analysis that provides comparable results to the micron particle studies 500 published to date. 501

502

There is limited testing or parallel analysis of mass and particle counts to date^{84,85}. 503 resulting in mass based results being mathematically converted to particle counts and 504 vice versa, and the uncertainty associated with this mathematical estimation. Mass 505 analysis of MnP using destructive methods (thermal degradation) is now possible for very 506 low concentrations of nanoplastics in environmental samples^{20,106}. While thermal 507 degradation methods do not have a theoretical size limit, these methods are constrained 508 by the minimum concentration (total mass) required to achieve detection. However, the 509 uncertainty associated with comparative mass to particle count and particle 510 characterisation analysis is unquantified for nano and micro plastic studies. To ensure 511 accurate conversion of mass-particle count ^{37,59} and the comparability of analytical results 512 using these different methods, comparative experimental analysis of spectroscopic and 513 thermal degrading methods is necessary for atmospheric MnP samples. 514

515

Within the research community, it is acknowledged that reporting must be prescriptive 516 and standardised. While it might not be possible to standardise the collection or analytical 517 methods across individual studies and institutions, future studies need to present the 518 following to ensure a comparable and consistent knowledge base and database of MnPs: 519 the limits of detection and quantification of studies (LOD and LOQ); a clear description of 520 analytical methods to support inter-study comparison; quality assurance and control (use 521 of field blanks and spiked sample recovery, positive and negative controls); 522 documentation of contamination controls (clean room use, field and laboratory 523 contamination prevention actions); method and calculations for blank correction of sample 524 results; sample replication and individual replicate results^{102,103,107,108}. While visual or 525 graphical representation of MnP findings can be done in coarse particle increments, it is 526 necessary for inter-study comparability that findings are presented in the smallest, 527 consistent particle size increments possible (for example, a table of 5 µm size increments 528 provided in a data repository or supplementary dataset). Similarly, MnP particle sizes 529 need to be presented as physical particle sizes for ecotoxicology assessment and also 530 as aerodynamic diameters for transport modelling and inhalation studies^{109,110}. Analytical 531 methods have advanced beyond visual identification (effective to ~500µm)^{111–113} and 532 while polymer identification by thermal degradation or spectroscopy (chemical 533 fingerprinting) methods for all particles is not always possible due to resource constraints, 534 a minimum of 10% (ideally 30%+) of reported particles must be validated using (at least 535 one) of these methods. 536

538 Ocean-atmosphere flux estimations using current information hold large uncertainties due 539 to data availability, sampling methods and study inter-comparability. To advance ocean-540 atmosphere flux understanding a global quantitative characterisation of MnP that provides 541 more standardised and comparable data is needed.

542

[H1] A global strategy

The oceans comprise over 70% of the Earth's surface, highlighting the global importance 544 of understanding the marine atmospheric MnP cycle, transport and exchange processes. 545 Knowledge of these processes is a prerequisite to assessing the risk posed by the 546 atmospheric transport of MnP on species, ecosystems, and human health¹¹⁴. Individual 547 MnP studies undertaken suggest that MnP are omnipresent over the oceans and that 548 long-distance transport of atmospheric MnP could be a critical factor in supplying these 549 particles to the oceans. In order to quantify these processes, a comprehensive, formalised 550 global program is needed that follows a harmonised protocol of sampling and analysis. A 551 key objective is to provide comparable datasets that enable detailed characterisation of 552 MnP concentrations and properties over the ocean, their temporal and spatial variability, 553 as well as the importance of the atmospheric compartment to marine plastic pollution. 554

- 555
- 556
- 557

558 [H2] Global long-term observation network

Multi-year measurements at selected long-term observation sites will identify current state 559 and trends in atmospheric MnP concentrations. Such long-term observation activities are 560 usually a part of a globally coordinated research or monitoring network(s) due to cost and 561 to ensure data uniformity. We propose an organizational approach to address these 562 research needs (Box 2). These activities are broadly compartmentalized under 563 Measurement Studies and Modelling Studies. The objective of this research organization 564 is to ensure the identified data limitations, inter-study comparability issues and process 565 knowledge gaps are fully addressed with specific objectives in mind. However, there must 566 be cooperation and integration across all activities. 567

568

Early modelling of atmospheric MnP gross deposition shows considerable atmospheric 569 deposition to the oceans, especially the Mediterranean Sea, and the North Pacific and 570 North Atlantic Oceans (Supplementary Figure 4)¹⁸. However, these estimates must be 571 used with caution because much of the deposition theoretically represents both MnP 572 ejected from the ocean surface and transported from the terrestrial environment^{18,37}. 573 Studies looking only at tyre and brake wear show substantial net atmospheric MnP 574 deposition in the mid-and high-latitude North Atlantic, North Pacific and the northern 575 Indian Ocean (Figure 4)¹⁹. These early findings, although limited to a subset of 576 microplastic types, provide guidance in establishing location priorities in studies of the 577 global MnP cycle. 578

579

To expedite these studies, it is recommend that the existing stations (Figure 4) in the 581 World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 582 program^{115,116} be used as the initial long-term monitoring platform network^{115,116}. The 583 proposed sites are non-prescriptive but form an effective basis for a long-term observation 584 network for atmospheric MnPs. GAW coordinates activities in a global array of fixed 585 platforms and follows a fully developed protocol of high-quality measurements of a wide 586 range of atmospheric composition variables, including aerosol properties¹¹⁷ and of 587 atmospheric deposition¹¹⁸. It is recommended that as part of the international effort all 588 observational sites adopt common measurement and quality assurance protocols and 589 centralized data reporting. At least two GAW stations have tentatively undertaken 590 microplastics measurements. As such, the WMO/GAW program presents an ideal and 591 cost-effective global monitoring network to commence long-term observation of 592 atmospheric MnP. 593

594

The sites (Figure 4) are suggested based on their capacity to create multi-year time series 595 for extended sets of variables, ranging from atmospheric constituents to atmospheric 596 dynamics, key to MnP variability analysis. Sites located on isolated coasts or islands are 597 ideal in that they minimize the impact from local and regional sources of MnP. The 598 network configuration includes the most intense deposition areas as identified through 599 early modelling effort and published field data (Supplementary Note 4). A selection of 600 coastal and marine locations would ensure good coverage on a global scale (Figure 4), 601 including regions where transport is potentially weak. Atmospheric MnP modelling 602 suggests transport and deposition plumes downwind of North and South America, Africa, 603 Australia and Asia¹⁹. Long-term observation stations are scarce in these regions and 604 additional stations need to be added to the network (future network expansion) to 605 represent these areas. 606

607 [H2] Observation and sampling campaigns

Long-term observations and monitoring activities are designed to provide multi-year to 608 decadal datasets that can illustrate long-term and event specific trends and fluxes^{119–123}. 609 Past and currently active global monitoring networks studying non-plastic atmospheric 610 substances have used a variety of sampling platforms, sampling methods, observation 611 and monitoring campaigns. Building on this wealth of marine and atmospheric research 612 experience, the proposed coordinated research strategy incorporates a unified and 613 standardized long-term monitoring campaign. It is recommended weekly sampling (to 614 yield monthly mean MnP particle quantitative particle characterisation and mass 615 analyses), which could initially suffice for the gross characterisation of transport quantities 616 (although it is acknowledged this for such a novel global study, adjustments will be made 617 after initial datasets are created). 618

In addition to the long-term observations, complementary exploration and process studies would occur within the network. These studies would create high resolution datasets (minute, hour, daily sampling dependent on the research focus) undertaken through shorter-term intensive research campaigns using specialized equipment and platforms (for example, UAVs, BIMS). It is important that these exploration and process campaigns create data comparable with the global long-term observation dataset, therefore following (at an overview level) the basic observation outputs of the long-term dataset. The ⁶²⁶ intensive research campaigns will link detailed process and event specific data and ⁶²⁷ findings to specific source regions, synoptic conditions or transport processes.

The global observation network may take several years to develop a full description of 628 the atmospheric MnP burden, flux and trends due to annual and inter-annual variability of 629 conditions that affect entrainment, transport and deposition of atmospheric particles¹²⁴. A 630 fundamental aspect of such a monitoring network is that MnP measurements must be co-631 located with other observations, in particular aerosol chemical and physical properties 632 and meteorological conditions. In the long run, fixed-point observatories in the ocean 633 should become part of the observation network. As a part of the international efforts¹¹⁶, 634 the proposed observational sites will adopt centralized data reporting (similar to the World 635 Meteorology Organisation dataset management). 636

637

638 [H2] Proposed sampling platforms

Sampling strategies to achieve long-term observations are initially proposed for fixed
 stations (Figure 4) using both passive deposition and active (pumped air, such as Tisch
 HiVol) sampling methods. These sites could include sampling towers similar to those
 used in the SEAREX and AEROCE networks (17-20m walk-up scaffold sampling towers
 equipped with elevated atmospheric samplers supported by temporary or permanent field
 laboratories located on both continental coast and islands at the terrestrial-marine
 interface)^{119–122}.

646

It is proposed that the fixed (coastal and island) long-term observations will be augmented 647 by offshore long-term observations attained from repetitive research vessel campaigns. 648 Research vessels often carry out repeat transits and cruises to the Arctic, Atlantic, Pacific 649 and Antarctic waters (any sea or ocean)^{123,125,126}. Such campaigns are typically 20-40 650 days' duration and entail frequent location changes, which enable offshore sampling over 651 a wide spatial and temporal range (Supplementary Note 4). Offshore atmospheric 652 microplastic sampling has been limited to air filter sample collection^{38,68,69}. Future 653 campaign protocols must be extended to include deposition and nanoplastic sampling. 654 Intensive studies to quantitatively characterise the under-studied processes and 655 environmental conditions (Figure 3) will need to use novel and innovative sampling 656 methods, redesigned and validated specifically for MnP observation. It is expected these 657 will include platforms and methods based on research vessels, aircraft, UAVs, buoys, or 658 temporary sampling towers. Intensive offshore and coastal water interface sampling is 659 novel, and initially it is recommended that methodology such as the Bubble Interface 660 Microlayer Sampler (BIMS) (with advancements specific to MnP analysis) is used. 661

Low latitude air sampling, vertical and horizontal array sampling over coastal and offshore 662 environments, can be achieved through use of unmanned aerial vehicles. Unmanned 663 aerial vehicles (UAVs) have limitations on flight duration but can sample over extensive 664 vertical and spatial distances provided sampling payloads are kept minimal^{127,128}. UAVs 665 are cost-effective, they sample at low airspeed and can maintain a selected altitude and 666 location (for minutes to hours) to allow sampling of specific air masses. Furthermore, 667 UAVs can fly close to high-risk surfaces and locations (for example, sea surface and 668 urban areas, potentially high-emission activities) with fewer constraints. This level of 669 control in flight path and, therefore, sample precision could be very useful for intensive air 670

and emission source sampling in the marine environment (Supplementary Note 4). UAVs will enable sampling in locations where access is limited. Use of UAV could improve measurements of the overall marine atmospheric MnP burden and help to quantify oceanatmosphere exchange.

675

The proposed global observation network and sampling strategy would provide a comprehensive assessment of marine atmospheric MnP and the ocean-atmosphere MnP flux. Combined with intensive process, environment or meteorologically specific focused studies, the global strategy will enable more accurate marine atmospheric MnP flux estimations, highlight hot spots and key exchange or transport processes that will support improved policy, management and mitigation measures tackling MnP.

[H1] Summary and future directions

There is consensus that microplastic and nanoplastic pollution can harm the environment 683 and, potentially, human health. However, despite the growing body of evidence of the 684 importance of atmospheric MnP, there is limited marine atmospheric MnP information. 685 MnP particles are emitted from primary and secondary sources and transported to the 686 marine atmosphere, but the atmospheric MnP burden is also comprised of resuspended 687 particles. Limited source emission and resuspension studies, alongside transport and 688 deposition studies, have resulted in high uncertainty in global-scale and marine MnP 689 burden and flux estimations. 690

691

Reviewing the current state-of-the-art sampling and analysis methods makes it evident 692 that both sampling and analytical methodologies need to be advanced to incorporate the 693 marine atmosphere in the plastic pollution cycle. Terrestrial atmospheric MnP sample 694 collection methods could be implemented to effectively collect coastal and high-altitude 695 samples but have limitations for deployment in the marine environment. Adaption and 696 advancement of marine and terrestrial sampling methods used in aerosol and 697 atmospheric chemistry research could provide an inroad to marine atmospheric MnP 698 collection but require field experimentation and transport process focused studies to test 699 their capabilities and effectiveness. Furthermore, research vessel studies currently 700 provide low altitude air MnP concentrations but have the potential to observe a greater 701 air column sample and ocean-atmosphere exchange if a wider range of sampling 702 methodologies are employed (for example, UAV, BIMS, deposition collectors). Future 703 sampling campaigns should incorporate a range of open-ocean sampling platforms and 704 sampling methods to help address the marine atmospheric MnP research gap. 705

706

In conjunction with the complexity of marine atmospheric MnP sampling, there is a need 707 to advance analytical methods to help quantify the marine MnP flux. Current analytical 708 methods have advanced to the point where these measurements can be reliably made, 709 however, a harmonised approach is fundamental. Despite an increasing particle count 710 with decreasing particle size, to date the majority of analysis has focused on larger 711 microplastic particles (>10µm), and there is limited nanoplastic analysis and unquantified 712 uncertainties surrounding the comparison of different analytical methods. Analytical 713 advances to enable both mass and particle characterisation of marine atmospheric MnP 714 are necessary, complemented by detailed studies to create an easy comparison between 715 different analytical results. These studies will enable future studies using particle 716

characterisation to be directly comparable to mass concentration studies and include the
 nano-sized particle range.

719

Early estimates suggest that the atmospheric MnP influx to the oceans are comparable 720 to that from rivers⁷⁸. However, early model estimates show a huge range of 721 uncertainty^{18,19,78}. An expanded and coordinated global-scale research effort must be 722 undertaken to constrain the uncertainties and provide a clear representation of the marine 723 MnP flux. We propose a global observation network built upon existing long-term 724 monitoring platforms to create a baseline and trend analysis dataset, augmented with 725 intensive, short-term monitoring and experimentation research focused on specific 726 processes, events or locations. Looking forward, we recommend the global monitoring 727 effort expands to include research vessels and open-ocean observations, which will 728 complement existing monitoring in inland water bodies and estuary sites. 729

730

After several years of network operations, we expect that researchers will be able to 731 identify the key locations, processes, and sources of MnP that impact the marine 732 environment. Conversely, this research will also demonstrate the influence and relative 733 importance of emissions from the marine environment influencing the terrestrial 734 atmospheric MnP burden. This improved understanding of MnP flux and the global plastic 735 cycle will be vital for evaluating the success of urgently needed mitigation strategies 736 against plastic pollution. The information is also vital to inform risk assessments for 737 humans and the biosphere, which need to be based on realistic environmental micro- and 738 nanoplastic concentrations. 739

| 1 | References | |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | 1. | Association of Plastic Manufacturers. Plastics – the Facts 2020. PlasticEurope |
| 3 | | https://plasticseurope.org/knowledge-hub/plastics-the-facts-2020/ (2020). |
| 4 | 2. | Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R. & Law, K. L. Production, uses, and fate of all plastics ever |
| 5 | | made. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 3 , 5 (2017). |
| 6 | 3. | Zhang, Y. et al. Atmospheric microplastics: A review on current status and |
| 7 | | perspectives. <i>Earth Sci. Rev.</i> 203 , 103118 (2020). |
| 8 | 4. | Li, J., Liu, H. & Paul Chen, J. Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on |
| 9 | | occurrence, environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. Water |
| 10 | | Res. 137, 362–374 (2018). |
| 11 | 5. | Campos da Rocha, F. O., Martinez, S. T., Campos, V. P., da Rocha, G. O. & de Andrade, |
| 12 | | J. B. Microplastic pollution in Southern Atlantic marine waters: Review of current |
| 13 | | trends, sources, and perspectives. Sci. Total Environ. 782, 146541 (2021). |
| 14 | 6. | Zhou, Y. et al. Microplastics in soils: A review of methods, occurrence, fate, transport, |
| 15 | | ecological and environmental risks. Sci. Total Environ. 748, 141368 (2020). |
| 16 | 7. | Lau, W. W. Y. et al. Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. Science (80). |
| 17 | | 369 , 1455–1461 (2020). |
| 18 | 8. | Borrelle, S. B. <i>et al.</i> Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate |
| 19 | | plastic pollution. Science (80). 369, 1515–1518 (2020). |
| 20 | 9. | GESAMP. Sources, Fate and Effects of Microplastics in the Marine Environment: Part 2 |
| 21 | | of a Global Assessment. (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/ |
| 22 | | UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental |
| 23 | | Protection, 2016). |
| 24 | 10. | GESAMP. Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: a |
| 25 | | global assessment. Rep. Stud. GESAMP vol. No. 90 (International Maritime |
| 26 | | Organization, 2015). |
| 27 | 11. | Bergmann, M., Tekman, M. & Gutow, L. Sea change for plastic pollution. Nature 544, |
| 28 | | 297 (2017). |
| 29 | 12. | Karbalaei, S., Hanachi, P., Walker, T. R. & Cole, M. Occurrence, sources, human health |
| 30 | | impacts and mitigation of microplastic pollution. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 25, 36046– |
| 31 | | 36063 (2018). |
| 32 | 13. | Karbalaei, S. et al. Abundance and characteristics of microplastics in commercial |
| 33 | | marine fish from Malaysia. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> 148 , 5–15 (2019). |
| 34 | 14. | Wichmann, D., Delandmeter, P. & van Sebille, E. Influence of near-surface currents on |
| 35 | | the global dispersal of marine microplastic. JGR Ocean. 1–18 (2018) |
| 36 | | doi:10.1029/2019JC015328. |
| 37 | 15. | Rodrigues, M. O. et al. Impacts of plastic products used in daily life on the |
| 38 | | environment and human health: What is known? Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 72, |
| 39 | | 103239 (2019). |
| 40 | 16. | Sebille, E. van et al. The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine |
| 41 | | debris. Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 023003 (2020). |
| 42 | 17. | Allen, S. et al. Evidence of free tropospheric and long-range transport of microplastic |
| 43 | | at Pic du Midi Observatory. Nat. Commun. (2021) doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27454-7. |
| 44 | 18. | Brahney, J. et al. Constraining the atmospheric limb of the plastic cycle. PNAS 118, |
| 45 | | e2020719118 (2021). |
| 46 | 19. | Evangeliou, N. et al. Atmospheric transport, a major pathway of microplastics to |
| 47 | | remote regions. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 11 , 3381 (2020). |

| 48 | 20. | Materić, D., Ludewig, E., Brunner, D., Rochmann, T. & Holzinger, R. Nanoplastics |
|----|-----|--|
| 49 | | transport to the remote, high-altitude Alps. Environ. Pollut. 288, (2021). |
| 50 | 21. | van der Does, M., Knippertz, P., Zschenderlein, P., Giles Harrison, R. & Stuut, JB. W. |
| 51 | | The mysterious long-range transport of giant mineral dust particles. Sci. Adv. 4, |
| 52 | | (2018). |
| 53 | 22. | Ganguly, M. & Ariya, P. A. Ice Nucleation of Model Nano-Micro Plastics: A Novel |
| 54 | | Synthetic Protocol and the Influence of Particle Capping at Diverse Atmospheric |
| 55 | | Environments. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. (2019) doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00132. |
| 56 | 23. | Revell, L., Kuma, P., Ru, E. C. Le, Somerville, W. R. C. & Gaw, S. K. Direct radiative |
| 57 | | effects of airborne microplastics. Nature 598, 462–467 (2021). |
| 58 | 24. | Zhang, Y. et al. Current status and future perspectives of microplastic pollution in |
| 59 | | typical cryospheric regions. <i>Earth Sci. Rev.</i> (2022). |
| 60 | 25. | Lebedev, A. T. et al. Detection of semi-volatile compounds in cloud waters by GC×GC- |
| 61 | | TOF-MS. Evidence of phenols and phthalates as priority pollutants. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> |
| 62 | | 241 , 616–625 (2018). |
| 63 | 26. | Vergara-Temprado, J. et al. Strong control of Southern Ocean cloud reflectivity by ice- |
| 64 | | nucleating particles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 2687–2692 (2018). |
| 65 | 27. | Wright, S. L. & Kelly, F. J. Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue? Environ. Sci. |
| 66 | | Technol. 51 , 6634–6647 (2017). |
| 67 | 28. | Galloway, T. S. Micro- and Nano- plastics and Human Health. in Marine |
| 68 | | Anthropogenic Litter (eds. Bergmann, M., Gutow, L. & Klages, M.) 343–366 (2015). |
| 69 | | doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3. |
| 70 | 29. | Huerta Lwanga, E. et al. Field evidence for transfer of plastic debris along a terrestrial |
| 71 | | food chain. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 7 , 14071 (2017). |
| 72 | 30. | Weithmann, N. et al. Organic fertilizer as a vehicle for the entry of microplastic into |
| 73 | | the environment. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 4 , 1–7 (2018). |
| 74 | 31. | Amato-Lourenço, L. F. et al. An emerging class of air pollutants: Potential effects of |
| 75 | | microplastics to respiratory human health? Sci. Total Environ. 749, 141676 (2020). |
| 76 | 32. | Walker, T. R. (Micro)plastics and the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Curr. Opin. |
| 77 | | Green Sustain. Chem. 30 , 100497 (2021). |
| 78 | 33. | Hann, S. et al. Investigating options for reducing releases in the aquatic environment |
| 79 | | of microplastics emitted by (but not intentionally added in) products. Report for DG |
| 80 | | Environment of the European Commission (2018). |
| 81 | 34. | Lots, F. A. E., Behrens, P., Vijver, M. G., Horton, A. A. & Bosker, T. A large-scale |
| 82 | | investigation of microplastic contamination: Abundance and characteristics of |
| 83 | | microplastics in European beach sediment. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> 123 , 219–226 (2017). |
| 84 | 35. | Lehmann, M., Oehlschlägel, L. M., Häusl, F. P., Held, A. & Gekle, S. Ejection of marine |
| 85 | | microplastics by raindrops : a computational and experimental study. 8, 1–19 (2021). |
| 86 | 36. | Ferrero, L. et al. Airborne and marine microplastics from an oceanographic survey at |
| 87 | | the Baltic Sea: an emerging role of air-sea interaction. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 153709 |
| 88 | | (2022) doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153709. |
| 89 | 37. | Allen, S. <i>et al.</i> Examination of the ocean as a source for atmospheric microplastics. |
| 90 | | <i>PLoS One</i> 15 , e0232746 (2020). |
| 91 | 38. | Trainic, M. et al. Airborne microplastic particles detected in the remote marine |
| 92 | •• | atmosphere. <i>Commun. Earth Environ.</i> 1 , 1–9 (2020). |
| 93 | 39. | Bergmann, M. et al. White and wonderful? Microplastics prevail in snow from the |
| 94 | | Alps to the Arctic. Sci. Adv. 5, eaax1157 (2019). |

| 95 | 40. | Lusher, A. L., Hollman, P. C. H. & Mendoza-Hill, J. J. Microplastics in fisheries and |
|-----|-----|---|
| 96 | | aquaculture: status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic |
| 97 | | organisms and food safety. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper (2017). |
| 98 | | doi:978-92-5-109882-0. |
| 99 | 41. | Rezaei, M., Riksen, M. J. P. M., Sirjani, E., Sameni, A. & Geissen, V. Wind erosion as a |
| 100 | | driver for transport of light density microplastics. Sci. Total Environ. 669, 273–281 |
| 101 | | (2019). |
| 102 | 42. | Bullard, J. E., Ockelford, A., O'Brien, P. & McKenna Neuman, C. Preferential transport |
| 103 | | of microplastics by wind. Atmos. Environ. 245, 118038 (2021). |
| 104 | 43. | Richie, H. & Roser, M. Land Use. Our World in Data https://ourworldindata.org/land- |
| 105 | | use (2013). |
| 106 | 44. | Vogelsang, C. et al. Microplastics in road dust – characteristics, pathways and |
| 107 | | measures. (2019). |
| 108 | 45. | Baensch-Baltruschat, B., Kocher, B., Stock, F. & Reifferscheid, G. Tyre and road wear |
| 109 | | particles (TRWP) - A review of generation, properties, emissions, human health risk, |
| 110 | | ecotoxicity, and fate in the environment. Sci. Total Environ. 733, 137823 (2020). |
| 111 | 46. | Verschoor, A., de Poorter, L., Dröge, R., Kuenen, J. & de Valk, E. Emission of |
| 112 | | microplastics and potential mitigation measures. Rep RIVM Rep. 2016-0026 76 |
| 113 | | (2016). |
| 114 | 47. | Klimont, Z. et al. Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black |
| 115 | | carbon. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17, 8681–8723 (2017). |
| 116 | 48. | Kapp, K. J. & Miller, R. Z. Electric clothes dryers : An underestimated source of |
| 117 | | microfiber pollution. <i>PLoS One</i> 1–17 (2020) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239165. |
| 118 | 49. | O'Brien, S. et al. Airborne emissions of microplastic fibres from domestic laundry |
| 119 | | dryers. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 747 , 141175 (2020). |
| 120 | 50. | Vega, G. C., Gross, A. & Birkved, M. The impacts of plastic products on air pollution - a |
| 121 | | simulation study for advanced life cycle inventories of plastics covering secondary |
| 122 | | microplastic production. Sustain. Prod. Consum. 28, 848–865 (2021). |
| 123 | 51. | He, D. & Luo, Y. Microplastics in Terrestrial Environments: Emerging Contaminants |
| 124 | | and Major Challenges. (Springer, 2020). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-56271-7. |
| 125 | 52. | Xu, C. et al. Are we underestimating the sources of microplastic pollution in terrestrial |
| 126 | | environment? <i>J. Hazard. Mater.</i> 400 , 123228 (2020). |
| 127 | 53. | Thinh, T. Q., Tran, T., Sang, N. & Viet, T. Q. Preliminary assessment on the microplastic |
| 128 | | contamination in the atmospheric fallout in the Phuoc Hiep landfill , Cu Chi , Ho Chi |
| 129 | | Minh city. <i>Vietnam J. Sci. Technol. Eng.</i> 62 , 83–89 (2020). |
| 130 | 54. | Dris, R. et al. A first overview of textile fibers, including microplastics, in indoor and |
| 131 | | outdoor environments. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 221 , 453–458 (2017). |
| 132 | 55. | Li, Y. <i>et al.</i> Airborne fiber particles: Types, size and concentration observed in Beijing. |
| 133 | | Sci. Total Environ. 705 , 135967 (2020). |
| 134 | 56. | Liu, K. et al. Global inventory of atmospheric fibrous microplastics input into the |
| 135 | | ocean: An implication from the indoor origin. <i>J. Hazard. Mater.</i> 400, 123223 (2020). |
| 136 | 57. | Cabrera, M. et al. A new method for microplastic sampling and isolation in mountain |
| 137 | | glaciers: A case study of one antisana glacier, Ecuadorian Andes. Case Stud. Chem. |
| 138 | | Environ. Eng. 2 , 100051 (2020). |
| 139 | 58. | Ambrosini, R. et al. First evidence of microplastic contamination in the supraglacial |
| 140 | | debris of an alpine glacier. Environ. Pollut. 253, 297–301 (2019). |
| 141 | 59. | Brahney, J., Hallerud, M., Heim, E., Hahnenbergere, M. & Sukumaran, S. Plastic rain in |

| 142 | | protected areas of the United States. <i>Science (80).</i> 368 , 1257–1260 (2020). |
|-----|------|---|
| 143 | 60. | Kim, SK. et al. Importance of Seasonal Sea Ice in the Western Arctic Ocean to the |
| 144 | | Arctic and Global Microplastic Budgets. J. Hazard. Mater. (2021) |
| 145 | | doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125971. |
| 146 | 61. | Huntington, A. et al. A first assessment of microplastics and other anthropogenic |
| 147 | | particles in Hudson Bay and the surrounding eastern Canadian Arctic waters of |
| 148 | | Nunavut. Facets 5 . 432–454 (2020). |
| 149 | 62. | Materić, D. <i>et al.</i> Micro- and nanoplastics in Alpine snow – a new method for |
| 150 | - | chemical identification and quantification in the nanogram range. Environ. Sci. |
| 151 | | Technol. 54 . 2353–2359 (2020). |
| 152 | 63. | Abbasi, S., Turner, A., Hoseini, M. & Amiri, H. Microplastics in the Lut and Kavir |
| 153 | | Deserts, Iran, Environ, Sci. Technol. 55 , 5993–6000 (2021). |
| 154 | 64. | Zhang, Y. et al. Microplastics in glaciers of the Tibetan Plateau : Evidence for the long- |
| 155 | 0.11 | range transport of microplastics Sci Total Environ 143634 (2020) |
| 156 | | doi:10.1016/i scitoteny 2020.143634 |
| 157 | 65 | Bergmann M. Gutow I. & Klages M. Marine anthronogenic litter. Marine |
| 158 | 00. | Anthronogenic Litter (Springer Open 2015) doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3 |
| 150 | 66 | He D Bristow K Filipović V Ly I & He H Microplastics in terrestrial ecosystems: |
| 160 | 00. | A scientometric analysis System 12 1–15 (2020) |
| 161 | 67 | González-Pleiter M <i>et al</i> Occurrence and transport of microplastics sampled within |
| 162 | 07. | and above the planetary boundary layer Sci Total Environ 143213 (2020) |
| 163 | | doi:10.1016/i.scitoteny.2020.143213 |
| 164 | 68. | Wang, X. <i>et al.</i> Atmospheric microplastic over the South China Sea and East Indian |
| 165 | | Ocean : abundance , distribution and source, J. Hazard, Mater. 389 , 121846 (2020). |
| 166 | 69. | Liu, K. <i>et al.</i> Consistent transport of terrestrial microplastics to the ocean through |
| 167 | | atmosphere. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 10612–10619 (2019). |
| 168 | 70. | Ding, Y. <i>et al.</i> The abundance and characteristics of atmospheric microplastic |
| 169 | | deposition in the northwestern South China Sea in the fall. <i>Atmos. Environ.</i> 253 . |
| 170 | | 118389 (2021). |
| 171 | 71. | Modini, R. L., Harris, B. & Ristovski, Z. The organic fraction of bubble-generated. |
| 172 | | accumulation mode Sea Sprav Aerosol (SSA), Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 2867–2877 |
| 173 | | (2010). |
| 174 | 72. | Cornwell, G. C. <i>et al.</i> Ejection of Dust From the Ocean as a Potential Source of Marine |
| 175 | | Ice Nucleating Particles. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 125, 0–3 (2020). |
| 176 | 73. | Lehmann, M. & Gekle, S. LBM Simulations of Raindrop Impacts demonstrate |
| 177 | | Microplastic Transport from the Ocean into the Atmosphere. in <i>MICRO 2020</i> 334143 |
| 178 | | (2020). |
| 179 | 74. | Abbasi, S. <i>et al.</i> Distribution and potential health impacts of microplastics and |
| 180 | | microrubbers in air and street dusts from Asaluyeh County, Iran. Environ. Pollut. 244, |
| 181 | | 153–164 (2019). |
| 182 | 75. | Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C. & Tassin, B. Synthetic fibers in atmospheric |
| 183 | | fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 104, 290–293 |
| 184 | | (2016). |
| 185 | 76. | Dris, R., Gasperi, J. & Tassin, B. Sources and Fate of Microplastics in Urban Areas: A |
| 186 | | Focus on Paris Megacity. in Freshwater Microplastics The Handbook of Environmental |
| 187 | | Chemistry (eds. Lambert, S. & Wagber, M.) 69–83 (2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319- |
| 188 | | 61615-5. |

77. Boucher, J. & Friot, D. Primary microplastics in the oceans: A Global evaluation of 189 sources. (2017) doi:10.2305/IUCN.CH.2017.01.en. 190 78. Liss, P. S. Microplastics: All up in the air? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 153, 110952 (2020). 191 79. Garside, M. Production of plastics worldwide from 1950 to 2018. Statistica (2019). 192 80. Jambeck, J. R. et al. Plastic waste inputs from land into the Ocean. Science (80-.). 347, 193 768-771 (2015). 194 81. Sun, H., Jiao, R. & Wang, D. The difference of aggregation mechanism between 195 microplastics and nanoplastics: Role of Brownian motion and structural layer force. 196 *Environ. Pollut.* 115942 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115942. 197 82. Fotopoulou, K. N. & Karapanagioti, H. K. Surface properties of beached plastic pellets. 198 Mar. Environ. Res. 81, 70-77 (2012). 199 83. dos Santos Galvão, L., Fernandes, E. M. S., Ferreira, R. R., dos Santos Rosa, D. & 200 Wiebeck, H. Critical steps for microplastics characterization from the atmosphere. J. 201 Hazard. Mater. 424, 127668 (2022). 202 84. Chen, G., Fu, Z., Yang, H. & Wang, J. An overview of analytical methods for detecting 203 microplastics in the atmosphere. Trends Anal. Chem. 130, 115981 (2020). 204 Primpke, S., Fischer, M., Lorenz, C., Gerdts, G. & Scholz-Böttcher, B. M. Comparison of 85. 205 pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and hyperspectral FTIR imaging 206 spectroscopy for the analysis of microplastics. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 412, 8283-8298 207 (2020). 208 86. Song, Y. K. et al. A comparison of microscopic and spectroscopic identification 209 methods for analysis of microplastics in environmental samples. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 93, 210 202-209 (2015). 211 87. Knobloch, E. et al. Comparison of Deposition Sampling Methods to Collect Airborne 212 Microplastics in Christchurch, New Zealand. Water Air Soil Pollut. 232, 1–10 (2021). 213 88. Allen, S. et al. Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote 214 mountain catchment. Nat. Geosci. 12, 339-344 (2019). 215 89. Brahney, J. et al. A new sampler for the collection and retrieval of dry dust deposition. 216 Aeolian Res. 45, 100600 (2020). 217 90. Goßmann, I., Halbach, M. & Scholz-Böttcher, B. M. Car and truck tire wear particles in 218 complex environmental samples - a quantitative comparison with "traditional" 219 microplastic polymer mass loads. Sci. Total Environ. 773, 145667 (2021). 220 91. Youssef, F., Erpul, G., Bogman, P., Cornelis, W. M. & Gabriels, D. Determination of 221 efficiency of Vaseline slide and Wilson and Cooke sediment traps by wind tunnel 222 experiments. Environ. Geol. 55, 741-750 (2008). 223 92. Duce, R. A. SEAREX: the sea/air exchange program. in *Chemical Oceanography* vol. 10 224 (Academic Press, 1989). 225 Berny, A., Deike, L., Séon, T. & Popinet, S. Role of all jet drops in mass transfer from 93. 226 bursting bubbles. Phys. Rev. Fluids 5, 33605 (2020). 227 Sha, B., Johansson, J. H., Benskin, J. P., Cousins, I. T. & Salter, M. E. Influence of Water 94. 228 Concentrations of Perfluoroalkyl Acids (PFAAs) on Their Size-Resolved Enrichment in 229 Nascent Sea Spray Aerosols. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 9489–9497 (2021). 230 95. Fasching, J. L., Courant, R. A., Duce, R. A. & Piotrowicz, S. R. A new surface microlayer 231 sampler utilizing the bubble microtome. J. Rech. Atmos. 8, 649-652 (1974). 232 96. Stokes, M. D. et al. A Marine Aerosol Reference Tank system as a breaking wave 233 analogue for the production of foam and sea-spray aerosols. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6, 234 1085–1094 (2013). 235

| 236 | 97. | Prather, K. A. <i>et al.</i> Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the chemical |
|-----|------|---|
| 237 | | complexity of sea spray aerosol. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 7550–7555 (2013). |
| 238 | 98. | Schwaferts, C., Niessner, R., Elsner, M. & Ivleva, N. P. Methods for the analysis of |
| 239 | | submicrometer- and nanoplastic particles in the environment. TrAC - Trends Anal. |
| 240 | | <i>Chem.</i> 112 . 52–65 (2019). |
| 241 | 99. | Mariano, S., Tacconi, S., Fidaleo, M., Rossi, M. & Dini, L. Micro and Nanoplastics |
| 242 | | Identification: Classic Methods and Innovative Detection Techniques. Front. Toxicol. |
| 243 | | 3 , 1–17 (2021). |
| 240 | 100 | Xu II Thomas K V Luo 7 & Gowen A A ETIR and Raman imaging for |
| 244 | 100. | micronlastics analysis: State of the art, challenges and prospects. TrAC - Trends Anal |
| 240 | | Chem 119 115620 (2010) |
| 240 | 101 | Zhang V <i>et al</i> Comparative study of three sampling methods for microplastics |
| 247 | 101. | analysis in sociulator. Sci. Total Environ. 765 , 144405 (2021) |
| 248 | 100 | analysis in seawater. Sci. Total Environ. 765 , 144495 (2021). |
| 249 | 102. | Cowger, W. Reporting requirements to increase the reproducibility and comparability |
| 250 | 100 | of research on microplastics. Appl. Spectrosc. doi:10.1177/0003702820930292. |
| 251 | 103. | Brander, S. M. et al. Sampling and QA / QC : A guide for scientists investigating the |
| 252 | | occurrence of microplastics across matrices. Appl. Spectrosc. 1–50 (2020) |
| 253 | | doi:10.1177/0003702820945713. |
| 254 | 104. | Ivleva, N. P. Chemical Analysis of Microplastics and Nanoplastics: Challenges, |
| 255 | | Advanced Methods, and Perspectives. <i>Chem. Rev.</i> 121 , 11886–11936 (2021). |
| 256 | 105. | Cai, H. <i>et al.</i> Analysis of environmental nanoplastics: Progress and challenges. <i>Chem.</i> |
| 257 | | Eng. J. 410 , 128208 (2021). |
| 258 | 106. | Materić, D., Ludewig, E., Xu, K., Röckmann, T. & Holzinger, R. Brief communication: |
| 259 | | Analysis of organic matter in surface snow by PTR-MS - Implications for dry |
| 260 | | deposition dynamics in the Alps. Cryosphere 13 , 297–307 (2019). |
| 261 | 107. | GESAMP. Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter in the ocean. |
| 262 | | Reports and Studies 99 (United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2019). |
| 263 | 108. | Wright, S. L., Gouin, T., Koelmans, A. A. & Scheuermann, L. Development of screening |
| 264 | | criteria for microplastic particles in air and atmospheric deposition : critical review |
| 265 | | and applicability towards assessing human exposure. 1–19 (2021) |
| 266 | | doi:10.1186/s43591-021-00006-y. |
| 267 | 109. | Wright, S. L., Ulke, J., Font, A., Chan, K. L & Kelly, F. J. Atmospheric microplastic |
| 268 | | deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport. Environ. Int. 136, |
| 269 | | 105411 (2020). |
| 270 | 110. | Miyamoto, K., Taga, H., Akita, T. & Yamashita, C. Simple method to measure the |
| 271 | | aerodynamic size distribution of porous particles generated on lyophilizate for dry |
| 272 | | powder inhalation. <i>Pharmaceutics</i> 12 , 1–14 (2020). |
| 273 | 111. | Hidalgo-Ruz, V., Gutow, L., Thompson, R. C. & Thiel, M. Microplastics in the marine |
| 274 | | environment: A review of the methods used for identification and quantification. |
| 275 | | Environ. Sci. Technol. 46 , 3060–3075 (2012). |
| 276 | 112. | Zarfl, C. Promising techniques and open challenges for microplastic identification and |
| 277 | | quantification in environmental matrices. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411, 3743–3756 |
| 278 | | (2019). |
| 279 | 113. | Stock, F. et al. Pitfalls and Limitations in Microplastic Analyses. in Plastics in the |
| 280 | | Aquatic Environment - Part I. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry (eds. Stock, |
| 281 | | F., Reifferscheid, G., Brennholt, N. & Kostianaia, E.) 13–42 (Springer, Cham, 2020). |
| 282 | | doi:10.1007/698_2020_654. |

114. GESAMP. Proceedings of the Gesamp International Workshop on Assessing the Risks 283 Associated With Plastics and Microplastics in the Marine Environment. (United 284 Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2020). 285 115. Rose, C. et al. Seasonality of the particle number concentration and size distribution: 286 a global analysis retrieved from the network of Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 287 near-surface observatories. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 21, 17185–17223 (2021). World Meteorological Organisation. WMO Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 289 116. Implementation Plan: 2016-2023. (2017). 290 117. Laj, P. et al. A global analysis of climate-relevant aerosol properties retrieved from the 291 network of GAW near-surface observatories. Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. 1–70 (2020) 292 doi:10.5194/amt-2019-499. 293 Vet, R. et al. A global assessment of precipitation chemistry and deposition of sulfur, 118. 294 nitrogen, sea salt, base cations, organic acids, acidity and pH, and phosphorus. Atmos. 295 Environ. 93, 3–100 (2014). 296 119. Prospero, J. M., Savoie, D. L. & Duce, R. A. Particulate nitrate and non-sea-salt sulfate 297 in the boundary layer over the Pacific Ocean. Atmos. Environ. 20, 2074–2075 (1986). 298 Gagosian, R. B., Peltzer, E. T. & Zafiriou, O. C. Atmospheric transport of continentally 120. 299 derived lipids to the tropical North Pacific. Nature 291, 312–314 (1981). 300 121. Uematsu, M. et al. Transport of mineral aerosol from Asia over the North Pacific 301 ocean. J. Geophys. Res. 88, 5343-5352 (1983). 302 122. Uematsu, M., Duce, R. A. & Prospero, J. M. Deposition of atmospheric mineral 303 particles in the North Pacific Ocean. J. Atmos. Chem. 3, 123–138 (1985). 304 He, Y. & Mason, R. P. Comparison of reactive gaseous mercury measured by KCl-123. 305 coated denuders and cation exchange membranes during the Pacific GEOTRACES 306 GP15 expedition. Atmos. Environ. 244, 117973 (2021). 307 124. Collaud Coen, M. et al. Multidecadal trend analysis of in situ aerosol radiative 308 properties around the world. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 8867–8908 (2020). 309 Baker, A. R. & Jickells, T. D. Atmospheric deposition of soluble trace elements along 125. 310 the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT). Prog. Oceanogr. 158, 41–51 (2017). 311 126. Kanitz, T., Ansmann, A., Engelmann, R. & Althausen, D. North-south cross sections of 312 the vertical aerosol distribution over the Atlantic Ocean from multiwavelength 313 Raman/polarization lidar during Polarstern cruises. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 314 2643-2655 (2013). 315 127. West, J. S. & Kimber, R. B. E. Innovations in air sampling to detect plant pathogens. 316 Ann. Appl. Biol. 166, 4–17 (2015). 317 128. Brosy, C. et al. Simultaneous multicopter-based air sampling and sensing of 318 meteorological variables. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 10, 2773–2784 (2017). 319 129. Weiss, L. et al. The missing ocean plastic sink: Gone with the rivers. Science (80-.). 320 **373**, 107–111 (2021). 321 130. Sebille, E. Van et al. A global inventory of small floating plastic debris. Environ. Res. 322 Lett. 10, 124006 (2015). 323 Hartmann, N. B. et al. Are We Speaking the Same Language? Recommendations for a 131. 324 Definition and Categorization Framework for Plastic Debris. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 325 1039–1047 (2019). 326 132. Frias, J. P. G. L. & Nash, R. Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition. *Mar.* 327 Pollut. Bull. 138, 145-147 (2019). 328 133. Kooi, M. & Koelmans, A. A. Simplifying Microplastic via Continuous Probability 329

| 330 | | Distributions for Size, Shape, and Density. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 6, 551–557 |
|-----|------|--|
| 331 | | (2019). |
| 332 | 134. | Horton, A. A. & Dixon, S. J. Microplastics: An introduction to environmental transport |
| 333 | | processes. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water 5 , e1268 (2018). |
| 334 | 135. | Hernandez, L. M., Yousefi, N. & Tufenkji, N. Are there nanoplastics in your personal |
| 335 | | care products? <i>Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.</i> 4 , 280–285 (2017). |
| 336 | 136. | Hassan, B., Islam, G. & Anma, H. Applications of Nanotechnology in Textiles : A |
| 337 | | Review. Adv. Res. Text. Eng. Open 4, 1038 (2019). |
| 338 | 137. | Mustafa, K., Kanwal, J. & Musaddig, S. Waste Plastic-Based Nanomaterials and Their |
| 339 | | Applications. in Waste Recycling Technologies for Nanomaterials Manufacturing (eds. |
| 340 | | Makhlouf, A. S. H. & Ali, G. A. M.) (Springer, Cham, 2021). doi:10.1007/978-3-030- |
| 341 | | 68031-2 27. |
| 342 | 138. | Scungio, M., Vitanza, T., Stabile, L., Buonanno, G. & Morawska, L. Characterization of |
| 343 | | particle emission from laser printers. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 586 , 623–630 (2017). |
| 344 | 139. | Gigault, J. <i>et al.</i> Nanoplastics are neither microplastics nor engineered nanoparticles. |
| 345 | | Nat. Nanotechnol. 16 . 501–507 (2021). |
| 346 | 140. | Kim, D. H., Lu, N., Ghaffari, R. & Rogers, J. A. Inorganic semiconductor nanomaterials |
| 347 | | for flexible and stretchable bio-integrated electronics. NPG Asia Mater. 4. 1–9 (2012). |
| 348 | 141. | Waldman, W. R. & Rillig, M. C. Microplastic Research Should Embrace the Complexity |
| 349 | | of Secondary Particles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 7751–7753 (2020). |
| 350 | 142. | Hadri, H., Gigault, J., Maxit, B., Grassl, B. & Revnard, S. Nanoplastic from mechanically |
| 351 | | degrated primary and secondary microplastics for environmental assessments. |
| 352 | | NANOIMPACT(2020) (2019) doi:10.1016/i.impact.2019.100206. |
| 353 | 143. | Zhang, W., Dong, Z., Zhu, L., Hou, Y. & Qiu, Y. Direct Observation of the Release of |
| 354 | | Nanoplastics from Commercially Recycled Plastics with Correlative Raman Imaging |
| 355 | | and Scanning Electron Microscopy. ACS Nano 14, 7920–7926 (2020). |
| 356 | 144. | Verschoor, A., Poorter, L. de, Roex, E. & Bellert, B. <i>Quick scan and Prioritization of</i> |
| 357 | | Microplastic Sources and Emissions. |
| 358 | | https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/2014-0156.pdf (2014). |
| 359 | 145. | Browne, M. A. Sources and Pathways of Microplastics to Habitats. in <i>Marine</i> |
| 360 | | Anthropogenic Litter (eds. Bergmann, M., Klages, M. & Gutow, L.) 229–244 (Springer, |
| 361 | | 2015). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3 9. |
| 362 | 146. | Simmerman, C. B. & Coleman Wasik, J. K. The effect of urban point source |
| 363 | | contamination on microplastic levels in water and organisms in a cold-water stream. |
| 364 | | Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett. 5, 137–146 (2020). |
| 365 | 147. | Su, L., Nan, B., Craig, N. J. & Pettigrove, V. Temporal and spatial variations of |
| 366 | | microplastics in roadside dust from rural and urban Victoria, Australia: Implications |
| 367 | | for diffuse pollution. Chemosphere 252, 126567 (2020). |
| 368 | 148. | Campanale, C. et al. Microplastics pollution in the terrestrial environments: Poorly |
| 369 | | known diffuse sources and implications for plants. Sci. Total Environ. 805, 150431 |
| 370 | | (2022). |
| 371 | 149. | Scheurer, M. & Bigalke, M. Microplastics in Swiss floodplain soils. (2018) |
| 372 | | doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b06003. |
| 373 | | |
| 374 | | |
| | | |

375 Acknowledgements

This paper resulted from deliberations at the virtual workshop "The Atmospheric Input 376 of Chemicals to the Ocean" organized by Group of Experts on Scientific Aspects of 377 Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP; www.gesamp.org) Working Group 38, 378 supported the World Meteorological (led and by 379 Organization, https://public.wmo.int/en), and GESAMP Working Group 40, (co-led and 380 supported by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, 381 Environment and the United Nations https://ioc.unesco.org Programme. 382 http://www.unep.org). The authors thank the Global Atmosphere Watch and the World 383 Weather Research Programme of the World Meteorological Organization for their 384 workshop support, and the ()International Atomic Energy Agency, who is grateful for 385 the support provided to its Environment Laboratories by the Government of the 386 Principality of Monaco. DA was supported by the Leverhulme Trust through grant ECF-387 2019-306 and Carnegie Trust (RIG009318). SA was supported by IGI funding through 388 the University of Birmingham and OFI fellowship. LER was supported by the Royal 389 Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund (Contract MFP-UOC1903). AGM was 390 supported by NERC through the Current and Future Effects of Microplastics on Marine 391 Ecosystems (MINIMISE) grant (NE/S004831/1). WJS was supported by the Ministry 392 of Oceans and Fisheries, Korea (Land/Sea-based input and fate of microplastics in 393 the marine environment. . SW is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC), 394 MRC Centre for Environment and Health (MR/R026521/1) and this work is part funded 395 by the Medical Research Council (MRC), National Institute for Health Research 396 (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit in Environmental Exposures and Health, a 397 partnership between UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) and Imperial College 398 London. DM acknowledges support from Dutch Research Council (Nederlandse 399 Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek - NWO) projects: "Nanoplastics: 400 hormone-mimicking and inflammatory responses?" (grant number OCENW.XS2.078) 401 and "Size distribution of nanoplastics in indoor, urban and rural air" (grant number 402 OCENW.XS21.2.042). This work also contributes to the Pollution Observatory of the 403 Helmholtz Association-funded programme FRAM (Frontiers in Arctic Marine 404 Research). This publication is Eprint ID 54444 of the Alfred-Wegener-Institut 405 Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung. 406

- 407
- 408
- 409 410

411 Author contributions

DA and SA were the lead authors, undertook the data research, provided substantial contribution to the discussion of content, undertook the writing, review and editing of this article. RAD and JMP substantially contributed to the discussion of the content and writing of this article. TJ and PL provided substantial contribution to the discussion of the content, and MB, P.Laj, LER all substantially contributed to the writing. MK, SE and NE provided data research and all authors provided contribution to the review and editing of this article.

418 **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

420 **Peer review information**

- 421 Nature Reviews Earth & Environment thanks [Referee#1 name], [Referee#2 name] and the
- 422 other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.
- 423 **Data Availability**

The data for Figure 2 are supplied in the online Supplementary Data file.

425 **Publisher's note**

- 426 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and
- 427 institutional affiliations.

428 Supplementary information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-

- 430 XXXX-X
- 431
- 432

433 Figure Captions

434

445

453

Figure 1. Atmospheric transport, potential annual flux, burdens and current knowledge gaps. 435 The atmospheric compartment of the total dynamic microplastic (MP) cycle (in million metric tons, Mt, 436 per year) can be separated into the marine and terrestrial burdens, which in turn are partitioned into 437 inland, coastal and offshore zones. Deposition, emission and total burden values are compiled from 438 model analyses^{18,19}, early flux estimations⁷⁸ and reported field studies^{37,80,129}. *The coastal zone 439 onshore emission estimate is for localised coastal marine transport at low altitude (<200m above mean 440 sea level)³⁷, and does not include long-distance transport microplastic or high altitude marine 441 (secondary) sourced atmospheric microplastic. Atmospheric micro and nano plastic is a key part 442 (potential up to 25Mt) of the marine (micro and nano) plastic cycle and the calculation of the marine 443 micro(nano)plastic (MnP) flux. 444

Figure 2. Summary of published micro and nano plastic atmospheric and marine research. The marine surface MnP results are reproduced from the Van Sebille model¹³⁰. The atmospheric MP values are derived from 73 research studies (full details of which are provided in the Supplementary Data). It is noted that these atmospheric studies are not directly comparable due to the range of methodologies and individual studies' limits of detection but are provided here for spatial information. The map shows the spatial limitations of atmospheric MnP research, which highlights the need for global, comparative and standardised sampling.

Figure 3. Critical known and unknown atmospheric processes. Specifically, micro(nano)plastic (MnP) processes that have been ([†]) or have yet to be (^{*}) observed (not modelled), quantified, characterised or parameterised for MnP either in the laboratory or in the field. The processes listed are indicative, considered to be 'unknowns' in atmospheric transport but given they are untested this list is not exhaustive or prescriptive. Understanding, quantitative characterisation and parameterisation of these atmospheric MnP processes is vital for accurate modelling of atmospheric MnP transport and accounting for field MnP findings.

461 462

Figure 4. The proposed global observation network. Suggested potential sampling sites (primarily 463 taken from the established WMO and/or GAW networks or European Monitoring and Evaluation 464 Programme stations) illustrated on the map of FLEXPART modelled net deposition of tyre wear and 465 brake wear particles¹⁹ (gross global MP deposition CAM model output is provided in Supplementary 466 Figure 4). Locations identified with * are high altitude (tropospheric) sites, all other locations are coastal 467 monitoring sites. Potential sites are: ALT Alert (Canada); AMS Amsterdam Island (France); BHD Baring 468 Head (NZ); BMW Tudor Hill (Bermuda); BRW (Barrow, USA); CGO Cape Grim (Australia); CPT Cape 469 Point (South Africa); FKL Finokalia (Greece); GSN Gosan (Korea); IZO Izana (Spain, 2373 m); LLN 470 Lulin (Taiwan, China 2862 m); MHD Mace Head (Ireland); MLO Mauna Loa (USA, 3397 m); NEU 471 Neumayer (Antarctica); RPB Ragged Point (Barbados); RUN La Reunion (France, 2160m); SMO 472 American Samoa (USA); SPO South Pole (Antarctica, 2841 m); ZEP Zeppelin (Norway). Figure adapted 473 from ref.¹⁹ X, CC BY 4.0. 474

- 475
- 476
- 477

Boxes 478

- 479
- Box 1| Key micro(nano)plastic terminology definition and descriptions 480
- Microplastic (MP) 481
- Plastic particles greater than 1µm and less than 5 mm (aerodynamic) diameter^{9,10,131,132}. 482
- Nanoplastic (NP) 483
- Plastic particles less than 1µm (aerodynamic) diameter^{9,10,131,132}. 484
- Micro(nano)plastic (MnP) 485
- All plastic particles ≤5mm (both micro and nano plastic)¹³¹⁻¹³³. MP and NP are measured in the 486 atmosphere as particles or mass per volume of sampled air, for example, MP m-3; and deposition as 487
- particles or mass per surface area sampled over a specified duration, for example, MP m⁻² day⁻¹. 488

Primary micro(nano)plastic 489

- MP manufactured to be 1µm-5mm (for example, nurdles¹³⁴, personal care products¹³⁵, textiles¹³⁶). 490
- NP manufactured to be <1µm (for example, medical applications¹³⁷, printing ink¹³⁸, electronics^{107,139,140}). 491 492 Secondary micro(nano)plastic
- MP or NP produced through mechanical, chemical or photodegradation (for example, plastic bottle 493
- breakdown to MP and NP on a beach due to UV, salt and wave action)^{107,141–143}. 494

Source 495

An activity that results in MP or NP emission, described both in location and time and with reference to 496 the plastic particle emission characteristics (primary or secondary). 497

Point source 498

MP or NP emission from a defined location at specific times (for example, waste water treatment plant 499 release to receiving waterway, recycling plant emission due to mechanical plastic deconstruction, 500 plastic factory emission due to production activities)^{144–146}. 501

502 **Diffuse source**

- 503 MP or NP emission (and re-emission) from activities that have no single emission time and location (for 504 example, road dust or agricultural emissions)^{144,145,147–149}.
- 505 506

517

518

519

520

525

526

527

528

Box 2| Proposed global network structure and coordinated international research 507

Measurement Studies 508

Monitoring Studies 509

- Long-term (multi-year) atmospheric concentration and deposition measurements of MnP at Global 510 Atmosphere Watch (GAW) and other sites (weekly or monthly composite samples continuously 511 collected using standardised sample collection and analysis methodology, standardised Limit of 512 Detection (LOD) / Limit of Quantification (LOQ) 513
- **Exploration Studies** 514
- Site specific studies from coast to offshore across a wide range of platforms and analytical methods, 515 including: 516
 - Ship based atmospheric sampling offshore (north and southern oceans, Arctic and Antarctic) •
 - Ice cores in Greenland, Antarctica, the Arctic (and other locations) •
 - High altitude aircraft measurements, coastal and offshore •
 - Marine air concentration buoy-type platform measurements

Process Studies 521

- Emission, deposition and transport process studies (potentially including degradation, leaching, Trojan 522 horse and other studies) and to quantitatively characterise MnP marine atmosphere dynamics, 523 includina: 524
 - Assessment of the ocean as a source (emission and resuspension of MnP) ٠
 - Differentiated wet and dry deposition on ocean and/or marine surfaces •
 - Marine atmospheric MnP source identification •
 - MnP particle count to mass comparative measurement technique development

Modelling Studies 529

Transport 530

- Modelling, built from the field study findings, to define the local, national, regional, and global transport 531
- of atmospheric MnP in the marine (and terrestrial) environment. 532
- Sources 533
- Modelling to identify the potential (key) MnP sources of atmospherically transported particles found in 534
- the marine environment, remote and coastal areas. Process specific models are also needed to quantify 535
- and detail ocean-atmosphere exchange (ocean emission or ejection). 536

537 Flux

Using global, comparable and uniform datasets that are temporally and spatially representative, global flux modelling will quantify the marine atmospheric MnP burden and flux through quantitative assessment of the full plastic cycle (emission, transport, deposition). Flux trends and responses to policy or practice changes can be derived using these models (long-term data mining and modelled forecasting).