

The impact of social media and digital platforms experience on SME international orientation

Lee, Jeoung Yul; Yang, Young Soo; Ghauri, Pervez N.; Park, Byung Il

DOI:

[10.1016/j.intman.2022.100950](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2022.100950)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Lee, JY, Yang, YS, Ghauri, PN & Park, BI 2022, 'The impact of social media and digital platforms experience on SME international orientation: the moderating role of COVID-19 pandemic', *Journal of International Management*, vol. 28, no. 4, 100950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2022.100950>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

The Impact of Social Media and Digital Platforms Experience on SME International Orientation: The Moderating Role of COVID-19 Pandemic

Young Soo Yang

Assistant Professor
Division of Global Business
Hanshin University
137, Hanshindaegil, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea
E-mail: seoukore@naver.com

Jeoung Yul Lee

Distinguished Professor
National Research Base of Intelligent Manufacturing Service
Chongqing Technology and Business University
Chongqing 400067, China
&
Professor of International Business
School of Business Management
Hongik University
Sejong 30016, South Korea
E-mail: jeoungyul@hongik.ac.kr

Pervez N. Ghauri*

Professor of International Business
Birmingham Business School
University of Birmingham
Edgbaston Park Road
Birmingham B15 2TY, UK
Tel: + 44 (0) 121 414 5868
E-mail: p.ghauri@bham.ac.uk

Byung Il Park

Professor of International Business
College of Business
Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Seoul, South Korea
E-mail: leedspark@hufs.ac.kr

*Corresponding author

This work is supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund

The Impact of Social Media and Digital Platforms Experience on SME International Orientation: The Moderating Role of COVID-19 Pandemic

Abstract

Drawing on network learning theory, it investigates the effect of small and medium-sized enterprises' (SMEs) experience of using foreign and domestic social network services (SNS) and foreign and domestic platforms (such as B2B digital platforms) on their international orientation. We further examine the moderating effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the relationship between digital experience and international orientation. Empirical results from a sample of 373 observations from 250 Chinese SMEs show that their use of foreign SNS and B2B digital platforms has a stronger positive impact on their international orientation than their use of domestic SNS and B2B digital platforms. Even with the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs' use of foreign SNS still has a stronger positive impact on their international orientation than their use of domestic SNS. Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic mitigates the positive impact of their use of both foreign and domestic platforms on their international orientation. This study presents some interesting theoretical and practical implications for SMEs' digitalization and internationalization.

Keywords: International orientation, Network learning theory, Social network services, Digital platforms, COVID-19 pandemic, Small and medium-sized enterprises

1. Introduction

Our understanding of both social media and platform networks (i.e., digitalization) (Bai, Johanson, Oliveira, and Ratajczak-Mrozek, 2021; Chuang, 2020; Slotte-Kock and Coviello, 2009; Tortora, Chierici, Briamonte, and Tiscini, 2021; Adomako *et al.*, 2021) and the internationalization of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) (Alayo, Maseda, Iturralde, and Arzubiaga, 2019; Bai *et al.*, 2021; Gerschewski, Lew, Khan, and Park, 2018; Stoiana, Dimitratosb, and Plakoyiannakic, 2018) has improved considerably in the past two decades, yet empirical research at the interface of these two phenomena is acutely absent. This scarcity of research is surprising, considering the rapid pace with which firms are adopting social media and platform networks for various reasons (e.g., increase brand awareness, enhance performance, internationalization strategy design, etc.) (Stallkamp and Schotter, 2021) and the socio-economic contributions of SMEs to employment, wealth creation, investment, innovation and international trade (Knight, 2000; Park and Ghauri, 2015). Most multinational enterprises (MNEs) encompass large organizations (Stoiana *et al.*, 2018), but a new change starts to enter the scene and it can be characterized by the emergence of small and medium-sized multinationals with the prevalence of globalization (Su, Khan, Lew, Park, and Choksy, 2020). Upholding this assertion, Odlin and Benson-Rea (2017) state that SMEs have made an appearance, competing with other firms in the global arena. Similarly, Knight (2000) also highlights that globalization and the emergence of internationally active SMEs are key worldwide phenomena.

Beyond this, the world economy is still deep in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic, and it is undeniable that the upended landscape will influence firms' behavior in terms of their intent to internationalize. However, we are still blind to the pandemic's impact on firm outcomes, highlighting the importance of our paper. COVID-19 has been an inordinate catalyst

in fast-tracking the existing global trend of embracing modern technology, leading to transformation in business behavior, work patterns, and corporate strategies. Functioning as a detonator, COVID-19 has triggered firms to adopt and expand their use of digitalization while they pursue internationalization, alongside presenting foreseen and unforeseen opportunities, challenges, and costs (Amankwah-Amoaha, Khan, Wood, and Knight, 2021). Thus, in an attempt to develop our knowledge in this area, the aim of this research is to explore the impacts of digitalization on SMEs' internationalization and the moderating effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the association between digital experience and SMEs' international orientation (IO). To achieve the research objective, we attempt to answer the question, "how does digital-related experience affect SMEs' IO and has the COVID-19 pandemic had an effect on this relationship?". We hereby employ network learning theory, expecting that our results will particularly contribute to the specific literature stream at the intersection of internationalization and digitalization research. This series of affirmations is elaborately described in detail below.

International business continues to experience rapid development and expansion due to the internationalization of firms and the emergence of internet-based technologies, such as social networking services (SNS) and digital platforms (Bai *et al.*, 2021). These two factors combine to enhance the flow of information and technology, toppling barriers and opening up markets for business expansion and immersion in diverse locations (Park and Ghauri, 2015). In particular, the development of SNS and digital platforms has in itself facilitated faster communication channels and network learning through the dissemination of information at such a rapid pace that instantaneous reactions and technology flows have become commonplace in the global economy (Lee and Trimi, 2021). Meanwhile, global business continues to expand unabated as foreign direct investment (FDI) stocks and flows grow, particularly with the increased awareness of small and medium-sized MNEs' activities across the world (Luo, 2006). Although some skeptics (for instance, Garg, 2021) insist that the

arguments for and evidence of globalization are somewhat exaggerated, the phenomenon of increasing FDI can be confirmed by objective facts and data (Paul and Benito, 2018). For instance, the outward stock value of FDI transactions grew from US\$1.8 trillion per year in 1990 to US\$7.4 trillion in 2000 and US\$19.9 trillion in 2010. By 2020, this had more than doubled as worldwide FDI activities reached US\$41.4 trillion (UNCTAD, 2009, 2021). In addition, the internationalization of firms, e.g., through FDI, continues to show increasing competitive intensity, with competition in the development of internet-based technologies deepening in particular as the utilization of a market strategy alone does not guarantee a firm's competitiveness (Chuang, 2020).

Due to this trend, digitalization-based information has become more readily available, and consequently, small and medium-sized MNEs' interest in digitalization is increasing steadily, with them trying to integrate it at numerous levels of their business processes (Semrau and Sigmund, 2012). Thus, one of the main areas of interest developed in the global economy at the impetus of those firms is perhaps the SNS and digital platform business. They are increasingly tasked with building organizational competitiveness not merely to enhance their performance but indeed to ensure their survival. This is especially true for SMEs as they commonly suffer from a lack of organizational assets (Stoian *et al.*, 2018), which indicates the value of studies exploring them. There is no longer a single sole pursuit for corporations, but rather through their network (e.g., social media and digital platform networks) there is an ever-increasing level of attention being paid to catch up with other firms in the learning race (Park and Ghauri, 2011). As this change has happened over time, the importance of the role of SNS and platform businesses has evolved, as witnessed in the international business arena. Thus, it is reasonable to state that the continuing growth of SNS and digital platforms does not solely influence large conglomerate companies, but also affects the strategic vision that characterizes many small and medium-sized multinationals (Bai *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, due to their pivotal

role in achieving the internationalization of business, such networks, both at home (i.e., domestic SNS and digital platforms) and abroad (i.e., foreign SNS and digital platforms), have proliferated as new and adaptive means and concepts have emerged. The purpose behind the use of these networks has also changed, particularly for SMEs, from simply gathering customers within an internet environment to pursuing the firm's internationalization at large and having a positive impact globally (Li and Zhang, 2007).

However, interestingly, it is hard to find previous studies dealing with the precise effects of social media (i.e., SNS) and platform networks and their contributions to firm internationalization (Bai *et al.*, 2021). While the business associated with SNS and internet platforms (e.g., B2B platforms) is increasingly being mentioned as a promising industry (Lee and Trimi, 2021), a large body of research has merely focused on the IT sector (e.g., Shore, Baek, and Dellarocas, 2018) or specific programs developed in response to internet development (e.g., Chen and Macredie, 2010). In addition, it is even harder to discover extant empirical studies examining the relationships between such networks and firm internationalization. The even more serious problem resides in the fact that, to the best of our knowledge, no previous study has simultaneously examined the influences of both network learning and digitalization on SME internationalization in the international business domain.

This study seeks to fill these gaps in the current body of research by examining SMEs' utilization of SNS and B2B digital platforms and identifying the impacts of both networks on the firms' international orientation (IO) (the definition of IO is given in section 2.2). The filling of those voids in the relevant literature is a valuable empirical contribution of this paper. We also try to further contribute empirically by examining the moderating role of the COVID-19 pandemic in these relationships (i.e., the effects of the pandemic on the association between digital-related experience and SMEs' IO). Furthermore, by incorporating the COVID-19 pandemic into a global value chain (GVC) network structure setting, our study provides new

theoretical insights on the pandemic's impact on SMEs' IO, which has been scarcely examined in the previous literature. More specifically, based on a sample of 373 responses from 250 Chinese SMEs, this empirical study provides a greater understanding of the effects of networks and the pandemic on SMEs and the extent to which they interact with the firms' IO. In tackling the research objectives, this research also theoretically endeavors to contribute to the network learning theory, digitalization, and SME internationalization literature.

2. Research context and relevant definitions

In this study, IO is defined as *“the degree to which international firms actively explore new business opportunities in foreign markets and commit appropriate resources for international operations”* (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019 p.129). The digital platform is *“the digital information technology that supports information exchange activities with partners”* (Cenamor *et al.*, 2019, p. 200). A B2B digital platform, which is a focus of this study, is an online marketplace that connects a seller firm and a buyer firm. Transactions on the online market occur by negotiating, and both parties (i.e., the seller firm and the buyer firm) communicate using tools provided by the platform, such as online instant chat (Jean *et al.*, 2020). For example, Alibaba.com is a digital transaction platform that connects suppliers and purchasing firms around the world (Hänninen, 2020). In our research context, we define digital platforms as online transaction platforms featuring an online marketplace that facilitates actual transactions between suppliers and buyers by connecting them (Jean, *et al.*, 2020).

In this study, we define SNS *“as a web-based service that allows individuals and organizations to create own profiles, establish connections with other users and view connections established by themselves and by others”* (Pogrebnyakov, 2017, p. 46). Social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter, facilitate information sharing that transpires through the network and the social ties of users, helping to foster strong relationships

(Hänninen, 2020; Scutto, Del Giudice and Carayannis, 2017; Chuang, 2020). Also, social media acts as “new digital tools’ agility” in that it “*represents a key business imperative to communicate with stakeholders, to collaborate with customers or develop new products, by generating and sharing social information associated with the capabilities of information processing*” (Tortora *et al.*, 2021, p. 194).

The use of SNS and participation in B2B digital platforms comprise a learning process, specifically the network-learning process of firms that allows them to accumulate digital knowledge-related experiences, such as finding knowledge via SNS, acquiring the skills to find knowledge, and transferring knowledge (Nguyen, Yu, Melewar and Chen, 2015). To explore the relationship between SMEs’ digital-related experience and their IO in the context of China, this study classifies SMEs’ digital-related experience as SMEs’ foreign and domestic SNS experience and foreign and domestic platform experience (such as B2B digital platforms).

SMEs’ use of foreign SNS refers to foreign-based SNS, such as Twitter, LinkedIn, and Facebook, whereas SMEs’ use of domestic SNS refers to locally based (Chinese) SNS, such as Renren Net, Weibo, and Kaixin Net (Williams *et al.* 2020). In line with our definition of SMEs’ use of foreign SNS and domestic SNS, we define SMEs’ use of foreign platforms as the use of foreign-based digital platforms, e.g., foreign B2B digital platforms such as eWorldTrade, ECPlaza, Fiber2Fasion, and TradeKey. In contrast, SMEs’ use of domestic platforms refers to the use of locally based (Chinese) digital platforms, such as Alibaba, Made-in-china.com, China.cn, DIYTrade, and ECVV. In general, SNS are mainly used for information searching and marketing, whereas B2B digital platforms provide the settings for the actual transactions (Scutto *et al.*, 2017; Eggers *et al.*, 2017; Jean, *et al.*, 2020; Fraccastoro, Gabrielsson and Chetty, 2021). Therefore, since SNS and B2B digital platforms are likely to furnish different kinds of experiences and knowledge, they may represent digital-related experiences with different functionalities (Kim, 2020).

Over the last two decades, SMEs have become important economic actors in the Chinese market (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Williams *et al.*, 2020). However, they have recently faced the dramatic transformation introduced by digital technologies and their applications in both domestic and foreign SNS and digital platforms (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Williams *et al.*, 2020). Today's economic landscape on this digital technology frontier reflects the shifting forces and forms of globalization (McKinsey, 2014; Strange, 2019). Of course, this phenomenon is not limited to China (Wang, Lau, and Gong, 2016; Woetzel *et al.*, 2017), and almost all countries are experiencing similar situations (Banalieva and Dhanaraj, 2019; Chen, Shaheer, Yi, and Li, 2019). Nonetheless, the Chinese “digital landscape” as the new phenomenon has attracted scholarly and practical attentions (Chen, Seong and Woetzel, 2015) for the following reasons.

National borders often imply different languages, cultures, and regulatory environments, whereas networks are considered borderless in the digital world. Nevertheless, we witness that the network effects of some platforms are geographically bounded (e.g., in China) (Stalkamp and Schotter, 2021). We chose China since the country's cultural and political borders are salient even in digital environments. For instance, the government has a propensity to control and censor internet firms and their digital content (Liu, Nandhakumar and Zachariadis, 2018; Chong, Man and Kim, 2018; Katsikeas, Leonidou and Zeriti, 2019; Williams *et al.*, 2020). Thus, China, which has a huge digital market that international internet firms cannot neglect, provides an excellent research context for investigating the impact of SMEs' foreign and domestic SNS experience and foreign and domestic platform experience.

China's digital economy market size is \$52.4 trillion, the second-largest in the world after the U.S., with Germany, Japan, and the U.K. making up the remaining top five countries (Yu, 2021). The global economy has suffered a global recession due to the COVID-19 pandemic, yet the global e-commerce market is an exception, becoming even more lively as untact consumption has increased worldwide. Especially in China, e-commerce already accounted for

more than 50% of global retail sales on the Internet, and the COVID-19 pandemic has increased this share by affecting the relationships between Chinese SMEs' use of foreign versus domestic SNS, use of foreign versus domestic digital platforms, and IO. Thus, our examination of the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic is truly significant in the context of contemporary Chinese SMEs.

3. Theoretical background and hypotheses development

3.1. Network learning theory

The experiences to which a firm is exposed through its relationships in its networks (e.g., social media and digital platform networks) considerably influence its characteristics and behaviors. For instance, firms' rich business networks allow them to access new strategic resources (e.g., knowledge assets) spread throughout the GVC and may thus help them to accumulate unique entrepreneurial capabilities in their internal reservoir, thereby logically strengthening their organizational competitiveness and motivating them to expand their business trajectory (Xiao, Lew, and Park, 2020). The evidence that networks other than business interlocks influence firms' behavioral tendencies suggests that the network effect discussed above is a general phenomenon and not limited to specific network contexts (Su *et al.*, 2020). In general, networks can not only serve as key avenues for consultation and direct attention to new practices, but can also ease the acquisition of knowledge that is unavailable internally and act as normative pressures for firms to engage in different operational activities.

These dialogs also imply that network linkages can function as conduits that allow firms, especially those suffering from a lack of experience and a weak institutional environment, to seize chances to obtain valuable resources, such as necessary information, strategic expertise, and new market access opportunities (Li and Zhang, 2007; Semrau and Sigmund, 2012). In this vein, compared to large emerging market firms (Aulakh, Kotabe and Teegen, 2000; Peng, Tan

and Tong, 2004; Uhlenbruck, Meyer and Hitt, 2003) or conventional SMEs from developed economies (Brouthers, Nakos, Hadjimarcou and Brouthers, 2009; Lu and Beamish 2001), this explanation holds, for instance, for Chinese SMEs in that they are canonical examples that typically experience institutional and resource disadvantages. This archetypal weakness of Chinese SMEs may force them to actively seek external knowledge assets through network learning and attain opportunities for market expansion through networks (Xiao, Lew, and Park, 2020).

Networks are often considered an important source of learning (e.g., Beckman and Haunschild, 2002; Gibb, Sune, and Albers, 2017), and interest in such organizational learning at the level of the network is called “network learning” (Easterby-Smith, Crossan and Nicolini, 2000). Knight and Pye (2005, p. 371) define network learning as the “*learning by a group of organizations as a group*” and “[i]n this specifically network-centered view, changing network-level properties, such as shared practices and processes, would indicate network learning”. Their definition of network learning implies that learning through networks is a useful means for firms to acquire efficient skills from other firms or synthesize existing information with new external knowledge, because “organizations are collections of overlapping knowledge systems each of which may be embedded within a wider occupational community” (Araujo, 1998, p. 331). As such, inter-firm networks are knowledge-sharing vehicles whereby member firms utilize the network to transmit knowledge, amass it in their “knowledge warehouse” or “knowledge reservoir”, and apply it to commercial ends. In doing so, they avoid many of the costs implied in knowledge transactions across markets. This series of illustrations clearly informs us that firms can gain various information and knowledge resources by networking with other firms, which provides learning opportunities within a wider community (Park and Ghauri 2011).

3.2. *International orientation, SMEs and digital experience*

To reiterate, IO refers to the degree to which a firm actively seeks new business opportunities in overseas markets and tries to invest appropriate resources in its international operations (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019; Knight and Kim, 2009). Firms with a high IO tend to have the vision and proactive organizational culture to develop specific resources to achieve their goals in foreign markets (Knight and Kim, 2009). In particular, the IO of SMEs is regarded as the core foundation of internationalization (Williams *et al.*, 2020), and a firm's success in overseas markets depends on its IO (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019). Firms with a higher IO are better able to recognize unique foreign market opportunities and better identify threats abroad than firms with a low IO (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019). SMEs with high-level international business experience are able to increase specific decision-making activities and practices to recognize opportunities in overseas markets and are thus linked to successful internationalization achievements (Knight and Cavusgil, 2004). In relation to this, numerous researchers have emphasized that the successful internationalization of SMEs is essential for their growth and survival (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019; Taiminen and Karjaluoto, 2015; Knight and Kim, 2009). Previous studies have also reported a positive relationship between IO and SME performance in foreign markets (Knight and Kim, 2009; Bagheri *et al.*, 2019).

Digital-related experience plays a more pivotal role for an emerging market SME (ESME) in increasing its competitiveness than it does for developed-market SMEs. Specifically, the former is a conventional example that experiences a lack of strategic resources and knowledge, and thus it is more motivated to learn through networks than the latter. That is, for SMEs that lack the resources and experience required for internationalization, the experience derived from the use of digital platforms provides access to a wide range of overseas market knowledge quickly and cheaply, allowing them to enter overseas markets with relative ease (Jin and Hurd, 2018; Mathews, Bianchi, Perks, Healy, and Wickramasekera, 2016). However,

even though digital experience has a significant impact on the IO of SMEs experiencing barriers to foreign market entry, such as a lack of foreign market knowledge and experience, related studies are rare.

Only limited studies have examined how digital experience influences traditional firms' international strategies (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Mathews *et al.*, 2016; Kim, 2020). Furthermore, the influences of both the social media and digital platform networks on the IO of SMEs have rarely been investigated. While Williams *et al.*'s (2020) study was among the first to recognize the impact of SNS use on the IO of Chinese internet SMEs, they did not examine the impact of the use of B2B digital platforms on the same. Furthermore, their sample comprised high-tech internet SMEs, which can differ from general SMEs in terms of digital capabilities (Cenamor *et al.*, 2019; Kim, 2020). Integrating the knowledge acquired through the use of social media and digital platforms into a firm's overall strategy provides a critical asset that helps the firm to maintain its competitiveness (Nguyen *et al.*, 2015). From this point of view, the previous literature that relates digital platforms to internationalization mainly discusses the advantages of digital platforms, such as cost efficiency and global reach (Jin and Hurd, 2018; Hänninen, 2020; Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018), but a more important aspect is that digital platforms provide a potential network learning opportunity for firms. Therefore, based on the network learning perspective, this study investigates SMEs' use of SNS and B2B digital platforms and identifies the impacts of both their social media and platform business networks on their IO.

3.2.1. SMEs' use of foreign versus domestic SNS

Extending the logic of network theory to the context of social media and digital platforms, we argue that SMEs' digital experiences, such as the experience of foreign SNS use, can enhance their IO through network learning. Based on network theory, SMEs' use of their

SNS experience can be regarded as network learning in that they learn through a socially networked platform and accumulate the acquired knowledge assets.

With this logic, we predict that knowledge assets acquired through the socially networked use of foreign SNS will have a positive effect on the IO of SMEs due to internationalized network learning. Also, the use of domestic SNS may have a less positive impact on IO due to the more limited nature of domestic social networks. SNS offer highly interactive platforms on which participants become network members and interact through web-based applications (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018; Aichner and Jacob, 2015). SNS also connect individuals and groups and allow networks to be built based on individual or company profiles (Eggers, Hatak, Kraus and Niemand, 2017). Due to the interactive nature of SNS, firms share and exchange information with customers as well as create new content (Aichner and Jacob, 2015; Tsimonis and Dimitriadis, 2014). The characteristics of SNS enable a network to be formed through the voluntary connection of its participants, while the need for fewer information-sharing steps contributes to the creation of a network in which content is easily distributed to more people (Tsimonis and Dimitriadis, 2014). Hence, by creating pages or business accounts on social media platforms, such as Facebook, firms can be exposed to various countries and gain opportunities to interact with consumers from various cultures (Pogrebnyakov, 2017).

The use of SNS allows SMEs to discover and meet unknown customer needs and discover future trends (Eggers *et al.*, 2017). SMEs can also use SNS to establish relationships with existing and new customers as well as discover solutions for product improvement or new product or service ideas through discussions between customers or external parties on an online business network (Tsimonis and Dimitriadis, 2014; Hultman, Iveson and Oghazi, 2021). The experience gained by SMEs through online interaction reduces the psychic distance by providing an opportunity to understand the behaviors and preferences of foreign consumers

and gain insight into the environmental differences of overseas markets (Jin and Hurd, 2018). Therefore, learning through social media networks can be a useful means for SMEs to acquire diverse information and efficient skills from customers and other firms as well as synthesize existing information with external new knowledge, which in turn may impact IO. IO represents the dominant mindset of a firm and is guided by what it has learned about overseas markets (Williams *et al.*, 2020). As a result, the foreign SNS experience of SMEs becomes a source of learning that is highly likely to have a positive effect on SMEs becoming more internationally oriented.

Meanwhile, even though the networks of foreign and domestic SNS play a pivotal role in shaping the IO of SMEs, we argue that SMEs' use of foreign SNS has a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS. The usage characteristics of SNS may be different for each country, and thus can reflect different cultural aspects (Li, 2014). According to Williams *et al.* (2020), as an example, the use of Chinese SNS involves different features compared to foreign SNS in that the former are more collectivist and locally oriented. In fact, according to previous research, foreign SNS, such as Facebook, have a greater effect on linking social capital more strongly than emerging market domestic SNS, such as Renren, which are more relevant to maintaining social capital in the home country (Li and Chen, 2014). In other words, domestic SNS help to maintain strong local social capital, while foreign SNS do not (Williams *et al.*, 2020). Also, emerging market SMEs (ESMEs) may have a "linguistic distance" (Dow and Karunaratna, 2006) or "language friction" (Joshi and Lahiri, 2015) relating to foreign languages such as English, so they are likely to feel more comfortable using domestic SNS than foreign SNS, which encourages them to settle for the *status quo*. Because SMEs find it difficult to invest time and manpower in both forms of SNS due to resource constraints, if an SME is entrenched in its use of domestic SNS, there is a high possibility that its commitments related to the business network will continue to be domestically oriented.

In summary, when an SME uses domestic SNS, the network it hereby establishes is more likely to be locally oriented than when using foreign SNS. In this case, it is highly likely that the flow of internationally related knowledge will be reduced. Therefore, we predict that SMEs' use of foreign SNS will have a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS.

Hypothesis 1: SMEs' use of foreign SNS has a stronger positive impact on their international orientation than their use of domestic SNS.

3.2.2. SMEs' use of foreign versus domestic B2B digital platforms

Firms enjoy location advantages by performing value chain activities in dispersed countries, and recent advances in digital technology have facilitated the coordination and control necessary for firms' GVC activities (Verbeke, 2020). Recently, many firms have formed virtual value chains by participating in digital transaction platforms (Hänninen, 2020). China is part of the huge global network governance and is in charge of a key supply hub (Qin, Liu and Zhou, 2020). Therefore, we can assume that Chinese SMEs using foreign digital platforms are highly likely to serve as supply chains for key raw materials and intermediate goods for many MNEs. Likewise, if SMEs use foreign B2B digital platforms, there is a high possibility that they will frequently interact with overseas buyers. The network business ties created in this process can help SMEs establish strategic partnerships with other actors in the world market based on mutual benefits (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020).

From a business network perspective, the business network acts as the most important knowledge source and instrument for SMEs in the process of internationalization (Bai *et al.*, 2021; Sandberg, 2014; Jin and Jung, 2016; Sendawula *et al.*, 2021). The information flow between customers and suppliers in the business network, expedited through the digital

platform, is highly likely to have a positive effect on the IO of SMEs. Business networks serve as a system of co-learning or knowledge circulation that shapes an SME's international strategy. They also provide opportunities to gain strategic information and knowledge about numerous organizations located outside of direct business relationships (Bai *et al.*, 2021; Pagani and Pardo, 2017; Sandberg, 2014). Thus, an SME “*may create new knowledge through exchange in its network of interconnected relationships* (Johanson and Vahlne, 2009, p. 1414)”, and informal or formal business networks created through digital platforms may function as such a vehicle.

Exposure to international markets is related to the development of IO (Martineau and Pastoriza, 2016), and experience with foreign customers on a foreign digital platform reduces psychic distance, which can represent a barrier to internationalization decision-making, operation, and expansion (Jin and Hurd, 2018; Deng, Zhu, Johanson and Hilmersson, 2022). These knowledge assets can act as a vehicle to bypass barriers related to communication and interaction with foreign business partners (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020; Fraccastoro, Gabrielsson and Pullins, 2021), helping SMEs to become more internationally oriented. Also, by experiencing international transactions via foreign digital platforms, SMEs can learn how to search for and select suitable buyers or agents in various overseas markets (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020). SMEs can also gain experiential knowledge of linguistic barriers, cultural differences, and differences in consumer behavior (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Goldman *et al.* 2020). Furthermore, SMEs can better integrate their supply chains in international markets, and dealing with orders from foreign customers can also bring in skills that make SMEs more effective and efficient in terms of manufacturing and delivery in the GVC network (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020).

An SME's participation in a business network through a foreign-based B2B digital platform serves as a valuable knowledge resource for the identification and utilization of overseas market opportunities (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020). By allowing new foreign market

knowledge to be accumulated, the liabilities of foreignness can be reduced, helping to remove psychological barriers to internationalization (Jin and Hurd, 2018; Mathews *et al.*, 2016; Wang, 2020). In this way, B2B digital platforms provide SMEs with interaction and learning opportunities about international markets and transactions (Hänninen, 2020; Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020; Jean *et al.*, 2020; Caputo *et al.*, 2022); hence, the use of foreign B2B digital platforms is likely to have a positive impact on IO.

Even though networks involving both foreign B2B digital platforms and domestic B2B digital platforms play a pivotal role in the IO of SMEs, we argue that SMEs' use of foreign B2B digital platforms has a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic B2B digital platforms. Domestic B2B digital platforms provide SMEs with opportunities to integrate supply chain networks to develop supply chain efficiencies, demand forecasting, and efficient logistics information management as well as reduce cycle times (Chong *et al.*, 2018). In addition, digital platforms allow SMEs to sell their products to many customers at a lower cost than traditional retail channels (Jin and Hurd, 2018; Deng *et al.*, 2022). In fact, most firms participating in B2B digital platforms are SMEs, and thus SMEs, particularly those with limited resources, need to improve their value chains through the use of B2B digital platforms (Chong *et al.*, 2018).

However, domestic platforms have limited connectivity with overseas customers, and most customers using them are likely to be local business customers. Therefore, the global connections of a GVC network through foreign platforms will have a stronger positive impact on IO than transactions made in the local part of the GVC network through domestic platforms. Based on the aforementioned arguments, we predict that SMEs' use of foreign platforms will have a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic platforms.

Hypothesis 2: SMEs' use of foreign platforms has a stronger positive impact on their IO than

their use of domestic platforms.

3.3.3. The moderating role of the COVID-19 pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic is an environmental disaster that is “*a type of extreme-context disruptive crisis, ‘unique, unprecedented, or even uncategorizable’*” (Sarkar and Clegg, 2021, p.243). COVID-19 is affecting the global business ecosystem, including global and local businesses, and is regarded as a major exogenous disaster that has changed the competitive landscape of both SMEs and large organizations (Amankwah-Amoah, Khan and Wood, 2021).

Due to the sudden upheaval in the business environment disaster caused by COVID-19, many firms are experiencing financial difficulties (Brown, Rocha and Cowling, 2020). Moreover, the disaster is engendering environmental shocks from various aspects, including in the corporate work environment and international HRM (Caligiuri *et al.*, 2020), global supply management (Sodhi and Tang, 2021), and the economies, institutions, and strategies of multinational firms (Hitt, Holmes Jr. and Arregle, 2021). Against the backdrop of COVID-19, many countries’ lockdown policies have had a significant impact on the global business ecosystem and local businesses in terms of GVC, leading in particular to firms’ rapid conversion to digitalization (Hitt *et al.*, 2021; Amankwah-Amoah *et al.*, 2021; Wang and Sun, 2020).

We predict that knowledge acquisition via digitalization will still allow the network learning phenomenon to continue, even under the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, we argue that despite the pandemic, SMEs' use of foreign SNS will still have a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS. Due to the economic and social shock of the pandemic, firms are experiencing a sharp decline in production and performance, with the notable exclusion of some industries: Online retailers and various digital service providers are

emerging as winners (Verbeke, 2020). Meanwhile, COVID-19 is having an excessive impact on SMEs due to their limited resources, e.g., human and financial resources, compared to large firms (Juergensen, Guimón and Narula, 2020).

From a knowledge acquisition perspective, in a situation where cross-border movement is limited and constrained, such as in a pandemic, digital platforms become more important as a tool for SMEs' information search activities. In fact, SNS are regarded as a useful tool for information acquisition, and previous studies have demonstrated that the main motive for using SNS is information acquisition (Williams *et al.*, 2020; Chang and Zhu, 2012).

Particularly in a situation such as the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs can obtain internationally relevant knowledge, e.g., on foreign market conditions or foreign customers' preferences, through foreign SNS in an easy and cost-efficient manner (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018; Parveen, Jaafar and Ainin, 2016). In addition, the actual business linkages of overseas SNS networks allow firms to obtain desired knowledge or information about overseas markets or ways to access new markets (Hamill and Gregory, 1997; Williams *et al.*, 2020). Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado (2018) argue that SNS help firms operate international business by improving international communication, efficiency in market transactions, the satisfaction and loyalty of overseas customers, and the development of international network relations. Indeed, according to a study by Arnone and Deprince (2016), SNS promote the creation and development of relationships between international partners, such as customer distributors and importers. Furthermore, an SNS-based network is a kind of knowledge-sharing vehicle, and the various types of knowledge acquired from the different participants can increase the absorptive capacity or learning opportunities within a wider community of SMEs (Bagheri *et al.*, 2019; Park and Ghauri, 2011). In fact, Williams *et al.* (2020) highlight the learning benefit of social networks via SNS in that continuous participation in social media provides a continuous opportunity for contemplation and progressively develops knowledge

resources. Taken together, networking through foreign SNS provides a pathway to actively interact with players in overseas markets, so that even in situations where physical movement is difficult, e.g., due to COVID-19, this may help SMEs to identify or seize opportunities abroad.

In light of the claim that SNS can be internationalized as an "internationalization space", similar to what occurs in the physical world (Pogrebnyakov, 2017), we see that network learning still occurs despite the COVID-19 crisis. Also, notwithstanding the pandemic, the network learning phenomenon will continue, even without the transaction of goods, and thus it can be expected that the positive impact of SMEs' use of foreign SNS on IO will continue. Based on the aforementioned arguments, we predict that even with the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs' use of foreign SNS will still have a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS.

Hypothesis 3: *Under the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs' use of foreign SNS still has a stronger positive impact on their international orientation than their use of domestic SNS.*

Meanwhile, the pandemic has prevented cross-border transactions due to, e.g., countries' blockade policies, port and border closures, air travel and transportation restrictions, delays in customs processing, and increased trade costs. Therefore, SMEs are more likely to interact with foreign firms through foreign digital platforms, such as by searching for information about opportunities in overseas markets or exchanging information. In fact, digital platforms have a positive effect on export performance by providing functions such as information sharing and communication (Kim, 2020). However, even if the information is searched for and exchanged over a digital platform, there is still a high possibility that the will for internationalization will be lower as it is difficult to make actual transactions.

With the collapse of GVCs due to the pandemic, the use of digital platforms is likely

to have a negative impact on SMEs' IO. COVID-19 is a rare exogenous shock to firms that rely on international commercial linkages, including MNEs and SMEs as global supply partners (Verbeke, 2020). The COVID-19 crisis has had a faster and greater impact on SMEs than large businesses because SMEs have less inventory or supplier networks and find it more difficult to source from new suppliers (Borino *et al.*, 2021). In addition, SMEs that previously had a competitive edge by relying heavily on low-cost outsourcing abroad were especially severely affected by the COVID-19-related collapse of logistics and international transportation, which complicated international outsourcing (Etemad, 2021).

As COVID-19 has spread rapidly to the global supply chain, countries such as Germany, Italy, the U.S., and China – often referred to as the major economies when discussing the GVC hub – saw their production activities seriously disrupted, and thus international trade has shrunk considerably (Baldwin and Tomiura, 2020). In particular, in the global economy, emerging markets such as China typically function as a central manufacturing pivot for global business operations, and they have had a serious impact on their regional value chains and GVCs as COVID-19 caused production to grind to a halt (Qin, Liu and Zhou, 2020). In addition, B2B digital platforms do not have a proper role in this context due to the localization and regionalization of GVCs. Therefore, it is expected that the negative impact of the use of domestic platforms on SMEs' IO will be stronger than the impact of their use of foreign platforms.

Indeed, recent studies have shown that globalization is slowing, with regionalization filling the void (Wang and Sun, 2020). In addition, it is expected that in order to reduce exposure to risks, such as supply chain risks to exogenous shocks like the COVID-19 pandemic, the regionalization or localization of GVCs will be strengthened by firms diversifying their production locations or supply chains and firms returning to their home countries (Buatois and Cordon, 2020; Enderwick and Buckley, 2020). In fact, it has been reported that some

international firms are already planning to re-shore their production bases to other countries or home countries (Qin *et al.*, 2020).

In fact, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the pace of digitalization has continued to accelerate with the shift from offline to online. Online platforms are becoming increasingly dominant in the market as people are reluctant to make face-to-face contact out of fear of infection. Exemplifying this, several Chinese firms (e.g., Alibaba, Jingdong, and Pindudo) are in fierce competition for the huge e-commerce market. In addition, as firms must continue to create sustained value, emerging market firms are expanding their domestic supply chains for the smooth procurement of intermediate goods and parts and to reduce risks. Therefore, it can be expected that SMEs' use of domestic platforms increases their likelihood of being more locally oriented. SMEs have faced difficulties in responding to the pandemic because they lack human resources or the ability to manage such a crisis (Klein and Todesco, 2021). SMEs, in particular, lack both the experience and preparation to tackle the COVID-19 crisis and the ability to quickly change business models to accommodate new routines and processes (Amankwah-Amoah, Khan and Wood, 2021). In this vein, the COVID-19 pandemic is mitigating the positive impact of SMEs' use of both foreign and domestic platforms on their IO. This negative impact is stronger for SMEs' use of domestic platforms than their use of foreign platforms due to the base effect of an already narrower GVC network of domestic platforms compared to foreign platforms.

***Hypothesis 4:** The COVID-19 pandemic has a stronger negative moderation effect on the relationship between SMEs' use of domestic platforms and their IO than the relationship between their use of foreign platforms and their IO.*

4. Data and methods

4.1. Sample and data collection

In line with the previous literature (e.g., Lee, MacMillan and Choe, 2010), we used a mixed methods research design, including both preliminary qualitative interviews and a quantitative survey design, in a single study. We began the study with preliminary interviews as a qualitative approach. The purpose of our interviews comprised understanding the basic information of the SNS and digital service ecosystems for Chinese SMEs, checking and developing the questionnaire items, considering the relationships among potential variables, and designing potential hypotheses. Thus, we used an unstructured interview protocol instead of a semi-structured one. Then, we collected quantitative data from detailed and reliable surveys of Chinese SMEs. We employed the two sequential steps of this mixed methods design because this allowed us to address the complexity of the phenomena under study.

Accordingly, in order to understand the SNS and digital service platform ecosystems, we conducted interviews with 32 senior managers (CEOs, VPs, general managers, deputy general managers, managing directors, product directors, marketing directors or operations directors) from 26 SMEs in Beijing, Guangdong, and Shanghai (see Table 1 of the descriptions of these interviews). Based on our interviews, we obtained information on the SNS and digital platform use practices of these Chinese SMEs. Moreover, although we adopted the measurement items for our dependent variable from Knight and Kim's (2009) established scale, we reconfirmed the Knight and Kim's measurement items) by undertaking these interviews as well. Based on our preliminary interviews, for the independent variables, we developed the survey items via the established procedure of translation and back-translation from/to English to/from Chinese (Brislin, 1986). This was performed by two bilingual professors at a prestigious university in China, with the aim of confirming the conceptual equivalence of the independent variables and addressing the bias (Li and Atuahene-Gima, 2001) and the consistency with existing studies (e.g., Williams *et al.*, 2020). Then, we pretested the survey

instrument (i.e., the questionnaire designed to obtain quantitative responses) with 29 SMEs in Beijing, Guangdong, and Shanghai to acquire feedback on the 21 responses from the pilot study and to ascertain whether the quality of these responses was appropriate to proceed with our survey. Last, we refined the questions in our questionnaire based on our interviews and pretest.

[Insert Table 1 about here]

From the list of 2,361 SME population located in multiple regions in China, we randomly selected 1,547 firms from 12 subnational regions¹ using a simple random sampling technique (for reference, the list of SMEs was obtained from one of the leading companies providing marketing and survey services in China). To enable our results to be generalized, we selected from both developed and less-developed subnational regions in order to balance the heterogeneities of the regions in our sample. 34 trained staff from the headquarters and local branches of the Chinese survey partner, which had the contact details of the target firms, participated and helped to administer the survey. We performed two-by-two waves of surveys during the second half of 2019 (face-to-face or emails before the COVID-19 pandemic) and during the first half of 2020 (only emails during the COVID-19 pandemic) to reflect the differentials in Chinese SMEs' use of foreign versus domestic SNS, use of foreign versus domestic digital platforms, and IO between these two periods. As stated above, our respondents in these four survey waves were senior managers (e.g., founders, CEOs, VPs, general managers, deputy general managers, managing directors, product directors, marketing directors or operations directors). Our survey procedure provided reliable and quality-based survey information/data, which is consistent with the traditional survey procedure protocol in emerging markets such as China (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Williams *et al.*, 2020; Zhou Yim and Tse, 2005). Following Gaur, Ma, and Ding's (2018) advice that collaboration with professional local

¹ These 12 subnational regions are (1) Anhui, (2) Beijing, (3) Guangdong, (4) Guizhou, (5) Hebei, (6) Hunan, (7) Jiangsu, (8) Jilin, (9) Liaoning, (10) Shandong, (11) Shanghai, and (12) Zhejiang.

organizations can be an efficient means to collect reliable, valid information in that Chinese respondents are often reluctant to share information with outsiders, our surveys were conducted with the help of a leading company providing marketing and survey services in China. Our questionnaire was approved by a research ethics committee of a prestigious South Korean university before our initial survey, and the committee confirmed that our questionnaire items did not touch upon any human rights violation issues.

For the first wave of our survey during the second half of 2019, we received 413 usable, complete responses from the 1,547 SMEs we originally targeted. After omitting 51 responses from micro-sized firms ($n < 10$) due to a mismatch with our definition of an SME (a reported firm size of between 10 and 500 total employees) (Knight, 2000)², 362 were left as complete responses, resulting in a response rate of 23.4%. However, as we sought a time lag between the independent variables and the dependent variable of at least 30 days but no more than 37 days, we performed the second wave of our survey during the second half of 2019, gathering 250 complete responses, resulting in a response rate of 16.2%.

In early February 2020, with the COVID-19 lockdown in Wuhan, China, we decided to investigate the impact on the relationships between the variables. Thus, after the COVID-19 shutdowns started in China in early 2020, we commenced the third wave of our survey with the 250 SMEs from which we had received usable, complete responses during the second wave. We gathered 156 complete responses, resulting in a response rate of 10.08%. However, as before we sought a time lag between the independent variables and the dependent variable of at least 25 days but no more than 30 days; hence, we performed the fourth wave of our survey with the 156 firms from which we had received complete responses in the third wave of our

² According to the European Commission (2005), the definition of an SME considers three criteria: a) number of employees, b) annual turnover, and c) annual balance sheet. Although this definition has been widely adopted, we followed Knight's (2000) SME definition, which is consistent with existing studies on Chinese SMEs (e.g., Williamson *et al.*, 2020).

survey during the first half of 2020. We gathered a sample of 123 responses from the fourth wave of our survey, resulting in a response rate of 7.95% of the originally targeted SMEs. In summary, to examine the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic, we used 250 responses from the first and second waves of our surveys before the COVID-19 pandemic and 123 responses from the third and fourth waves of our surveys during the COVID-19 pandemic. Accordingly, the final sample size is 373 responses from 250 Chinese SMEs as unbalanced panel data.

Our data reflect the various features of Chinese SMEs using foreign and domestic SNS and platforms. The mean age of the final sample of SMEs was 5.92 years, allowing us to consider these firms as relatively new startups. The mean number of full-time employees for the final sample was 108.28. The mean number of online B2B markets with which the SMEs of the final sample engaged was 2.28, displaying their high engagement with B2B digital platforms. The industries of the final sample were various, with 41.5% of SMEs active in the high-tech industries, such as electrical equipment/components, computers, communication and other electronic equipment, chemicals and allied products, transportation equipment, machinery, and photographic, medical and optical goods. The remaining SMEs were in the food and beverage, plastics and rubber, textile, apparel and leather, pulp and paper, health, packaging, and advertising industries. Tables 2 and 3 respectively report the distribution across the regions in China and the industries of our sample.

[Insert Tables 2 and 3 about here]

Finally, we tested for non-response bias by grouping the early versus late responses for each wave of our survey and performed a *t*-test for the demographic variables (the number of total employees and total sales) (Armstrong and Overton, 1977). We found non-significant results ($p > 0.05$), indicating that there is no threat of a non-response bias in the present research.

4.2. Measurements

In order to capture our main variables, we utilized the following operationalization of the survey-based variables based on a seven-point Likert scale. First, our *dependent variable* is *international orientation*, based on Knight and Kim's (2009) established scale of IO. In order to check the unidimensionality of the operationalized measure for IO, we conducted an exploratory factor analysis with the eleven measurement items established by Knight and Kim (2009). Each item designed in the main survey was grouped except for five measurement items, and only one factor was deducted as it had an eigenvalue greater than one. These five excluded measurement items, namely (1) a cautious posture in international decision-making situations, (2) international exploration via incremental steps, (3) top management's international business experience, (4) management's communication of information, and (5) vision and drive of top management, showed relatively low factor loadings, which affected the reliability of the dependent variable; hence, these five items were deleted. After we conducted an exploratory factor analysis and reliability verification of the sample data, we statistically re-investigated based on discriminant validity and convergent validity and performed a confirmatory factor analysis (Anderson and Gerbing, 1998). No item was deleted in this confirmatory factor analysis since the level of significance of the measured dependent variable's factor score was under 0.001. Hence, based on the subsequent model refinement and these exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses, six out of the eleven measurement items were utilized in our analysis. Table 4 displays the results of this confirmatory factor analysis. In addition, to verify internal consistency, we also computed Cronbach's alpha coefficient and confirmed that it was 0.929, demonstrating a cutoff of over 0.6, which is the standard cut-off value of internal consistency (Nunnally and Berstein, 1994). Further, we tested the CR (composite reliability) and AVE (average variance extracted), and the results (CR = 0.944; AVE = 0.739) showed that the constructs exceeded the standard acceptance levels (CR > 0.7; AVE > 0.5), and thus every

measured item was confirmed to have convergent validity (Hair, Anderson, Tahtam and Black, 2005).

[Insert Table 4 about here]

Second, our *independent variables* for Hypothesis 3 are *foreign SNS use* and *domestic SNS use*, each measured using single questions, namely “To what extent does your firm use (this) foreign SNS?” and “To what extent does your firm use (this) Chinese SNS?”, based on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent) (see Table 4). Examples of foreign SNS included Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn, while Chinese SNS included Renren Net, Weibo, and Kaixin Net. These measurements are in line with Williams *et al.* (2020).

Third, our *independent variables* for Hypothesis 4 are *foreign platform use* and *domestic platform use*; these two variables were measured using single questions, namely “To what extent does your firm use (this) foreign platform?” and “To what extent does your firm use (this) Chinese platform?”, based on a seven-point Likert scale (1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent). Foreign B2B digital platforms included eWorldTrade, ECPlaza, Fiber2Fasion, and TradeKey, while Chinese B2B digital platforms included Alibaba, Made-in-china.com, China.cn, DIYTrade, and ECVV. These measurements are also in line with Williams *et al.* (2020).

Fourth, as a *moderating variable*, *COVID-19 pandemic* was measured by a dummy variable. If the responses were gathered during the COVID-19 pandemic period, ‘1’ was assigned, and if they were gathered before the COVID-19 pandemic period, ‘0’ was assigned.

Fifth, we also included seven *control variables* that have the potential to affect the IO of Chinese SMEs. We controlled for *founder’s international experience* by measuring the natural logarithm of the yearly period of time spent working and living abroad (outside of China) of a founder. This control variable can influence young firms’ posture on international market orientation and international strategic decision-making (Nielsen and Nielsen, 2011); in

other words, the longer a founder's international experience, the more likely the SME is to be internationally oriented. *Beijing* was controlled for as the location of an SME, and it is one of the important locations for SMEs; thus, in our sample, 30% of SMEs ($n = 113/373$) are located in Beijing. Beijing is also one of the representative technology hubs in China, so this factor can influence SMEs' IO due to the potential for these Chinese SMEs' innovativeness (Wang and Wu, 2016). *Firm ownership* was controlled, too, since the ownership of a firm can influence its potential for innovativeness (Choi, Lee and Williams, 2011) and the extent of its mentality toward the outside world (Williams *et al.*, 2020). Following the previous literature (Williams *et al.*, 2020), we utilized four categories of firm ownership, namely private firms, state-owned enterprises, collectives, and joint ventures with foreign firms. *Firm size* was controlled, and this variable was a natural logarithm of the number of total employees of each firm. *Firm age* was also controlled, and this variable was a natural logarithm of the yearly age of each firm. A firm's size can affect its IO since a broader scope of total employees means that it has either wider domestic or overseas experience, or both, than a smaller firm (Child *et al.*, 2017). Next, *prior performance* (over the previous three years) was controlled (Williams *et al.*, 2020). To measure this subjective performance perception, we utilized a five-item, seven-point Likert scale to assess the after-tax return on assets (ROA), after-tax return on investment (ROI), sales growth rate, market share, and competitive position for the three years prior to t (Lee *et al.*, 2010). *High-tech industries* was controlled and measured as a dummy variable. If an SME was a high-tech industry, '1' was assigned, and '0' otherwise. Larger and older SMEs are likely to have more tangible and intangible resources and experience than smaller and younger ones, and if their prior performance is higher, they are likely to have more resources and capabilities, as well as more confidence, to pursue more aggressive actions in international markets. In addition, SMEs in high-tech industries tend to have a higher level of innovativeness and more innovation capabilities when entering international markets since several international markets

need high-tech products and components due to the demands of digitalization and industry 4.0 – especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, these controls are predicted to have positive impacts on the IO of Chinese SMEs.

4.3. Common method bias (CMB)

Our data may suffer from common method bias since this study was based on self-reports (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003). Accordingly, we employed a series of remedies suggested by Park, Chidlow and Choi (2014) and Podsakoff *et al.* (2003) to address this problem. First, we collected separate responses with time gaps between answering the questionnaire by conducting the different survey waves. This was based on the procedural remedy aiming “to create a temporal separation by introducing a time lag between the measurement of the predictor and criterion variables” (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003, p. 887). Second, since CMB can occur under the condition of “obtaining the measures of both predictor and criterion variables from the same rater or source” (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003, p. 887), to operationalize the moderating variable of the COVID-19 pandemic, we used objective, secondary information on the event of the COVID-19 pandemic from different sources, including mass media. Third, we also re-sent the same questionnaire to different senior managers of 50 sample firms whose executives had responded to our earlier survey. We obtained 21 responses, and we did not uncover any significant differences between the two participants from each firm (Park *et al.*, 2014, p.972).

In addition, as the fourth remedy, we also performed a statistical test, namely Harman’s single-factor test, on the items included in our model to confirm the minimum presence of CMB (Podsakoff and Organ, 1986). If CMB exists in the data, either a single factor will emerge from a factor analysis of all measurement items included in the study or one general factor will account for most of the variance. However, the factor analysis revealed that neither of these was the case; specifically, the factor analysis revealed that the

first of these (Eigenvalue=4.64) explains 46.37% of the total variance, i.e., less than 50% of the total variance. Therefore, the factor analysis did not indicate the presence of a single background factor and thus it supports the validity of the data.

5. Results

The descriptive statistics and correlation matrix for the variables are shown in Table 5. Because the highest correlation coefficient is 0.49 ($p < 0.01$), and this correlation is the one between an independent variable (foreign SNS use) and the dependent variable (IO), there is less concern of multicollinearity. Further, because the highest variance inflation factor (VIF) is 1.74, which is within the general acceptance level of 10 (Kennedy, 1992; Neter, Wasserman, and Kutner, 1985; Studenmund, 1992) as well as the stricter acceptance level of 5.3 that Hair *et al.* (1999) propose, we have confirmed that there is no collinearity problem influencing the data analysis. The correlation coefficients between foreign SNS use and IO ($r = 0.49, p < 0.01$), between foreign platform use and IO ($r = 0.43, p < 0.01$) and between domestic platform use and IO ($r = 0.23, p < 0.01$) are all positive and significant; meanwhile, the correlation coefficient between domestic SNS use and IO is negative and significant ($r = -0.47, p < 0.01$). These results are consistent with Hypotheses 1 and 2. In contrast, the moderating variable (COVID-19 pandemic) is not significantly correlated with the independent variables (foreign versus domestic SNS use and foreign versus domestic platform use).

[Insert Table 5 about here]

The regression results with standard errors and t-statistics corrected by White's heteroskedastic consistent covariance matrix used to test the hypothesized models are displayed in Table 6. Model 1 includes the control variables only, Model 2 includes the main effects with all the independent and moderating variables, Model 3 includes the interaction terms between foreign SNS use and the COVID-19 pandemic and between domestic SNS use and the COVID-

19 pandemic, and Model 4 includes the interaction terms between foreign platform use and the COVID-19 pandemic and between domestic platform use and the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, Model 5 is a full model, thus it contains all the variables and interaction terms.

[Insert Table 6 about here]

As can be seen in Model 1, Chinese SMEs located in Beijing ($\beta = 0.096, p = 0.059$) have a larger firm size ($\beta = 0.176, p = 0.000$) and higher prior performance ($\beta = 0.414, p = 0.000$), while Chinese SMEs in high-tech industries ($\beta = 0.120, p = 0.014$) are positively and significantly associated with IO. These findings support our predictions.

Hypothesis 1 assumes that SMEs' use of foreign SNS has a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS. In Model 2, foreign SNS use is positively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta = 0.444, p = 0.000$), whereas domestic SNS use is negatively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta = -0.101, p = 0.082$). These results are in line with those of Models 3–5. Thus, these results consistently and strongly support Hypothesis 1. To test the significance of these results in Model 2, we conducted a beta slope test and the result was significant ($T_{\text{differ}} = 9.564, p = 0.000$); hence, Hypothesis 1 is consistently supported.

Hypothesis 2 predicts that SMEs' use of foreign platforms has a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic platforms. In Model 2, both foreign platform use and domestic platform use are positively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta_{\text{Fplatform}} = 0.292, p = 0.000$; $\beta_{\text{Dplatform}} = 0.118, p = 0.004$), but the beta coefficient is larger for foreign platform use than for domestic platform use, and the significance level of foreign platform use is higher than that of domestic platform use. Furthermore, these results are in line with those of Models 3–5. Thus, these results consistently support Hypothesis 2. To test the significance of the results in Model 2, we also conducted a beta slope test, and the result was significant ($T_{\text{differ}} = 3.991, p = 0.000$), thus consistently supporting Hypothesis 2.

Hypothesis 3 predicts that even under the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs' use of foreign

SNS still has a stronger positive impact on their IO than their use of domestic SNS. In Model 3, the interaction term between SMEs' use of foreign SNS and the COVID-19 pandemic is positively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta = 0.445, p = 0.052$), whereas the interaction term between SMEs' use of domestic SNS and the COVID-19 pandemic is negatively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta = -0.377, p = 0.078$). Thus, the results in Model 3 support Hypothesis 3. Further, in Model 5, the interaction term between foreign SNS use and COVID-19 is positively and significantly associated with IO ($\beta = 0.535, p = 0.018$), while the interaction term between domestic SNS use and COVID-19 is negatively but non-significantly associated with IO ($\beta = -0.217, p = 0.312$); thus, Hypothesis 3 is consistently supported. To test the significance of these results in Model 3, we conducted a beta slope test and the result was significant ($T_{\text{differ}} = 3.721, p = 0.000$), thereby consistently supporting Hypothesis 3. To facilitate the interpretation of these interaction effects, we graph them in Figures 1a and 1b (Aiken and West, 1991), which also consistently support Hypothesis 3.

[Insert Figures 1a and 1b about here]

Hypothesis 4 predicts that the COVID-19 pandemic has a stronger negative moderation effect on the relationship between SMEs' use of domestic platforms and their IO than the relationship between their use of foreign platforms and their IO. In Model 4, the interaction terms between both SMEs' use of foreign platforms and the COVID-19 pandemic ($\beta = -0.241, p = 0.028$) and SMEs' use of domestic platforms and the COVID-19 pandemic ($\beta = -0.461, p = 0.002$) are negatively and significantly associated with IO. However, the beta coefficient of the interaction term between domestic platform use and COVID-19 is larger than that between foreign platform use and COVID-19, and the significance level of the interaction term between domestic platform use and COVID-19 is higher than that between foreign platform use and COVID-19. These results are also in line with those in Model 5, thereby consistently supporting Hypothesis 4. To test the significance of the results in Model 4, we

performed a beta slope test and the result was non-significant ($T_{\text{differ}} = 0.927, p = 0.355$), thus weakly supporting Hypothesis 4. Figures 2a and 2b illustrate these interaction effects graphically. Figures 2a and 2b consistently support Hypothesis 4.

[Insert Figures 2a and 2b about here]

6. Discussion and conclusion

This study finds that foreign SNS use is beneficial, but domestic SNS use is detrimental, to the enhancement of IO in Chinese SMEs. In contrast, this study also uncovers that both the use of foreign platforms and the use of domestic platforms is helpful in developing the IO of Chinese SMEs. Our results are different from Williams *et al.*'s (2020) findings that Chinese SMEs' domestic SNS use is beneficial for, while Chinese SMEs' foreign SNS use is detrimental to, IO; this is counter-intuitive. The empirical reasons for the contradictory outcome are two-fold. First, it may be because Williams *et al.*'s sample consisted of only high-tech internet SMEs, which rely heavily on digital capabilities for their survival even in the domestic market (Cenamor, Parida, and Wincent, 2019; Kim, 2020); however, we targeted Chinese general SMEs, which are better research objects with which to explore a general phenomenon. Second, although the signs of the *regression* coefficients of foreign SNS use and domestic SNS use in Williams *et al.* are the opposite of ours, the signs of the *correlation* coefficients of foreign SNS use and domestic SNS use in Williams *et al.* are identical with ours. We believe that (1) this difference in the sampling characteristics and attributes between Williams *et al.* and our study and (2) perhaps the multicollinearity problem in Williams *et al.*'s analysis may be the fundamental causes generating incongruent findings between our study and Williams *et al.* (2020). Furthermore, the theoretical reason for this contradiction is that the experience gained by SMEs through online interaction reduces the psychic distance by providing an opportunity to understand the behaviors and preferences of foreign consumers

and gain insight into the environmental differences in overseas markets (Jin and Hurd, 2018). Hence, learning through social media networks can be a useful means for SMEs to acquire diverse information and skills from customers and other firms and to combine existing information with external novel knowledge, which in turn can impact their IO. As a result, the foreign SNS experience of SMEs becomes a source of learning, which is likely to positively yield a phenomenon that SMEs have a propensity to become more internationally oriented.

We could reflect on how our investigation of both platforms and social networks in relation to IO are meaningful compares to previous results that focused solely on social networks. SNS connect individuals and groups and allow networks to be built based on individual or company profiles (Eggers et al., 2017). Due to the interactive nature of SNS, SMEs can share information with customers and generate novel content (Aichner and Jacob, 2015; Tsimonis and Dimitriadis, 2014). Due to the characteristics of SNS, a network is formed through the voluntary connection of its participants, and fewer steps are involved in the sharing of information, thus creating a network in which content is easily distributed to more actors (Tsimonis and Dimitriadis, 2014). In this vein, learning through social media networks can be a useful vehicle for SMEs to obtain various information and efficient skills from customers and other actors as well as integrate existing information with external novel knowledge, thereby enhancing IO.

This study further reveals that the crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic does not alter the evidence presented above (i.e., foreign SNS use is beneficial, but domestic SNS use is detrimental, to the enhancement of IO in Chinese SMEs). However, we also witness an interesting change in that the beneficial effects of the use of both foreign and domestic platforms by Chinese SMEs on their development of IO become negative under the calamitous pandemic situation. We assume that this dramatic change may come from de-globalization, i.e., the regionalization or localization of GVCs (Baldwin and Tomiura, 2020; Verbeke, 2020; Wang

and Sun, 2020). GVCs commonly link both domestic and foreign entities with Chinese SMEs, which intend to leverage their digital capabilities by using domestic and foreign B2B platforms. However, the COVID-19 pandemic is destroying GVCs, and this horrendous reality is considerably mitigating the beneficial effects of both domestic and foreign platforms on Chinese SMEs' building of IO (Qin *et al.*, 2020).

6.1. Theoretical contributions

This study makes two theoretical contributions to the international business and digitalization research. First, by drawing on the network learning theory (Easterby-Smith *et al.*, 2000; Knight and Pye, 2005), digitalization (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado., 2018; Jin and Hurd, 2018; Kim, 2020), and SME internationalization (Jean *et al.*, 2020; Williams *et al.*, 2020) literature, we investigate how different types of digital experience affect the IO of SMEs. By classifying digital-related experience as the experience derived from the usage of social media networks and B2B digital platforms and investigating their network learning impact on the IO of SMEs, our findings demonstrate the different types of network learning opportunities that can be gained through different types of digital platform. With this in mind, this study uses network learning theory (Easterby-Smith *et al.*, 2000; Knight and Pye, 2005), applying it to digital-related experience representing network learning opportunities, thereby contributing to the extant literature, where a gap in the knowledge on digitalization and IO has been identified. Further, this study answers the call for research exploring digitalization and its impact on the internationalization of traditional firms – an area relatively neglected by international business scholars but of rapidly growing importance to firms (Bai *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, this study offers a theory-grounded framework to examine the impact of the digital-related experience of SMEs on their IO.

Second, by examining the moderating impact of COVID-19 on the relationship

between the use of social media and B2B digital platforms and the IO of SMEs, this study broadens and deepens the understanding of how and under what conditions the usage of digital media can benefit SMEs in the discussion of firms' rapid conversion to digitalization under the COVID-19 situation (Amankwah-Amoah *et al.*, 2021; Hitt *et al.*, 2021; Wang and Sun, 2020). Environmental disruptions, such as the Asian financial crisis in 1997, the global financial crisis in 2008, and the COVID-19 pandemic, are distinctive from the related concepts of environmental uncertainty or environmental turbulence in the sense that they imply the displacement of existing arrangements. Under such environmental disruptions, SMEs can obtain internationally relevant knowledge through foreign SNS in an easy and cost-efficient way (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018). SNS help firms operate international business by improving international communication, providing efficiency in market transactions, enhancing the satisfaction and loyalty of overseas customers, and fostering the development of international network relations (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018). Indeed, SNS promote the creation and development of relationships between international partners, such as customer distributors or importers (Arnone and Deprince, 2016). Similarly, digital communication and platform technologies typically reinforce the effectiveness and efficiency of network learning in terms of environmental disruption (Autio, Mudambi, and Yoo, 2021). Taken together, networking through foreign SNS provides a pathway for actively interplay with actors in overseas markets, and thus even in situations of environmental disruption, like COVID-19, this may help SMEs to identify or seize opportunities in overseas markets. Furthermore, due to the collapse of the GVC because of the environmental disruption, the use of digital platforms is likely to have a negative impact on SMEs' IO. COVID-19 represents a unique exogenous shock to firms that have international commercial linkages, including MNEs and SMEs as partners in the global supply chain (Verbeke, 2020). Therefore, by incorporating the COVID-19 pandemic into the GVC network structure setting (Buatois and Cordon, 2020; Enderwick and

Buckley, 2020; Verbeke, 2020), this study provides new theoretical insights into the pandemic's impact on SMEs' IO, which is a recent phenomenon and thus rarely explored in previous research.

6.2. Practical and policy implications

This study also has several practical implications for SME and MNE managers in the context of international business and digitalization. First, our findings suggest that SME and MNE managers need to seek external knowledge assets that are not available internally via foreign SNS usage. Domestic social networks can also represent a conduit to knowledge sources. However, the knowledge found through SNS based on local markets is often information that firms are already familiar with. In contrast, a knowledge reservoir accessed through a foreign social network can plausibly be completely out of their field, boosting their intent to learn and subsequently facilitating additional learning. In this vein, greater knowledge absorption through the use of foreign communication channels rather than local internet tools seems not only logical but also obvious. In particular, small organizations tend to suffer from less inertia than large firms (Park *et al.*, 2009) and can thus learn vicariously “from the insights, experiences, or abilities previously accumulated by” connecting the entities (McEvily and Marcus, 2005, p. 1035). Digitally connected entities must have the ability to bridge various distances and should provide a platform where network learning can be promoted by the interactions between them. Then, based on the above mentioned reduced organizational inertia, SMEs use exchanged knowledge and information obtained through local and foreign SNS to change their practices and policies (Sinclair and Vogus, 2011). Moreover, digital networking allows top managers in SMEs to obtain intelligence through SNS rather than face-to-face meetings, which eases their development of IO (Sinclair and Vogus, 2011).

Second, our findings can inform SME and MNE managers that Chinese SMEs' actual

transactions occur through their use of B2B digital platforms via the GVC network (instead of through physical trades). Thus, international connections through the GVC network via foreign digital platforms have a stronger positive impact on Chinese SMEs' IO than local specific connections within the GVC network via domestic digital platforms. Indeed, China plays a major role in the considerable global network governance and is one of the two epicenters of key GVC hubs besides the U.S. (Qin *et al.*, 2020). Chinese SMEs, using foreign B2B digital platforms, serve as supply chains, producing materials, intermediate goods, and components for several MNEs. These Chinese SMEs, using foreign B2B digital platforms, interact with overseas buyers based on international network business ties that help Chinese SMEs to establish strategic partnerships with other foreign actors across the globe (Katsikeas *et al.*, 2020). However, Chinese domestic platforms have limited connectivity with overseas customers, and therefore the majority of customers utilizing them tend to be local business partners (Williams *et al.*, 2020). Taken together, our results allow ESME and MNE managers to understand ESMEs' digital platform use more deeply in the context of the network structure as part of the GVC.

Third, with increasing degree of frequency, organizations across the globe, including Chinese SMEs, are experiencing environmental disruptions that require them to reassess and reformulate their managers' way of handling such disruptions. The emergence of digital communication and platform technologies has necessitated many organizations to reconsider the traditional way of managing knowledge acquisition and business transactions. Moreover, the current COVID-19 pandemic and the ensuing mobility restrictions have led many organizations to rethink the established notion of communications and business transactions. In this vein, our finding suggests that even with environmental disruptions, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, knowledge acquisition via digitalization can still facilitate the network learning phenomenon in the context of ESMEs' (in particular Chinese SMEs') use of foreign versus

domestic SNS. This is because, under the COVID-19 pandemic, ESMEs can gain internationally relevant knowledge and information (e.g., on foreign market conditions or the needs and preferences of foreign customers) via foreign SNS as an easier and more cost-efficient channel (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018; Parveen *et al.*, 2016). Compared to local SNS, such as Chinese SNS in this case, foreign SNS help SMEs conduct international trade by strengthening international communication, market transaction efficiency, overseas customers' loyalty and the further development of international network learning relations (Rialp-Criado and Rialp-Criado, 2018). Furthermore, because foreign SNS may work as a virtual "internationalization space", it can be considered that network learning through foreign SNS is more efficient and effective than through domestic SNS in terms of the relationship with ESMEs' IO. Therefore, the positive influence of ESMEs' use of foreign SNS on IO can represent a better vehicle than their use of domestic SNS (Pogrebnyakov, 2017).

Fourth, our finding suggests that GVCs' localization and regionalization under the COVID-19 pandemic (Buatois and Cordon, 2020; Enderwick and Buckley, 2020; Verbeke, 2020) mitigate the positive influence of ESMEs' use of both foreign and domestic digital platforms on their IO. Nevertheless, this negative influence is stronger for ESMEs' use of domestic platforms than for their use of foreign platforms due to the base effect of the already narrower GVC network for domestic platforms than for foreign platforms. The COVID-19 crisis "is a supply, demand and policy shock for" MNEs and internationalized ESMEs, and this shock "will slowly recover, led by GVC restructuring for resilience, replenishment of capital stock and recovery of the global economy" (UNCTAD, 2020, p. 10). In other words, the COVID-19 crisis, as a unique environmental disruption, substantially contributed to the very recent collapse of the GVC (Verbeke, 2020), meaning the ESMEs' use of domestic and foreign B2B digital platforms has had an aggravated effect on their IO. This recent phenomenon may reflect the suggestion that home and host governments' policymakers need to understand the

policy implications of our findings (i.e., the impact of the COVID-19 crisis on the relationship between ESMEs' use of B2B digital platforms and their IO).

Finally, according to the interviews, Chinese SME managers vigorously partake of the matching services offered by digital platforms to transfer their resources or prospect data or utilize third-party certification services to attract overseas buyers. These managers know that the beneficial influence of reinforcing a foreign buyer contact is contingent on heterogeneous overseas surroundings. Specifically, for overseas markets with less-developed market institutions, these managers need to pay some attention to the signal of digital platform use to enhance their financial outcomes. Digital platform use is especially important for SMEs in the rural regions of mainland China as it helps draw the attention of potential buyers and strengthens financial outcomes. For reference, usually, chambers of commerce or trade associations does or do not support such rural Chinese SMEs to increase the quality and level of information or publicize their goods. Thus, digital platform use for these Chinese SMEs is especially crucial as it helps attract potential buyers and enhance sales outcome, because in this way they can overcome lack of alternative information channels. Meanwhile, the managers of Chinese SMEs in the developed regions of China frequently pursue participation in trade fairs supported by local trade associations. As an example, managers should be aware of the case of a Chinese SME in its business whose digital platform use strengthened its credibility and publicity, resulting in large sales orders from UK and French buyers.

6.3. Limitations and future research

Despite our several contributions to the literature on network learning, digitalization, and SME internationalization, our present study still suffers from some inherent limitations.

First, our sample is limited to SMEs from a single country (i.e., Chinese SMEs), yet

SMEs from other countries, such as advanced economies (the U.S., European countries, Japan, etc.) or other emerging markets (India, Vietnam, Brazil, Russia, South Africa, etc.), may have different characteristics and implications in terms of network learning, digital experience, and IO. Thus, the empirical comparison among these as a future research direction can offer different results and implications. In addition, we acknowledge that our interpretation of concepts such as “domestic” and “foreign” networks are biased as we focus on the Chinese context only. Thus, future research endeavoring to generalize the present study by employing a sample of multiple countries can overcome this shortcoming of our study.

Second, our sample does not include large firms (e.g., state-owned enterprises) or MNEs; if we were to test their SNS and digital platform uses, we might find different results and implications. Hence, as future research, our hypotheses can be investigated with a sample of large firms or MNEs.

Third, this study does not cover “the richness of specific social media formats” (or that of specific digital platforms) “that were used and how these supported varying degrees of tacitness in exchanges” (or explicitness in transactions) (c.f., Williams *et al.*, 2020, p. 10). Future research on this issue can present interesting findings and implications.

Fourth, we only use single questions for each measurement of the four independent variables (i.e., foreign and domestic SNS use and foreign and domestic B2B platform use), and this is another drawback. The extant literature (e.g., Williams *et al.*, 2020) also uses the same measurement of single questions for foreign and domestic SNS use, and thus we may defend the appropriateness of our survey items for those independent variables. However, we agree that using more sophisticated measurements is surely better, which clearly directs towards another avenue for future research.

Fifth, as a moderating variable in this study, we used secondary information on a pandemic-related event taken from mass media, i.e., the Wuhan lockdown in China. In other

words, the lockdown date was used as a criterion for the dummy. Reports from mass media were adopted because they offered precise and reliable information. The reason for our choice of employing only the COVID-19 event information is that since our decision to conduct a survey, there have been neither confirmed questionnaire items nor detailed secondary information at the SME level except for the criterion used for our study. However, we confess that the measurement is rather unsophisticated, and thus we indicate it as an additional limitation and suggest that a more decent measurement of the event should be developed as a future research direction.

Finally, in this study, we compare our results with those of Williams et al. (2020) and conclude that the differences result from sampling choices. In light of this, we could have conducted a robustness test splitting our sample by industry profiles to see to what extent sampling issues matter. However, a problem is that our sample consists solely of conventional SMEs, we could not distinguish between conventional SMEs and high-tech internet SMEs. Due to this, this type of robustness test is, unfortunately, unavailable. Meanwhile, the robustness test of splitting the 14 industries of our sample, e.g., into high- versus low-tech industries, is inappropriate because the high-tech industry sample size is only 79 – far less than the minimum sample size of 100 for a regression analysis (Ryan, 2013). Furthermore, a number of researchers argue that there should be at least 10 observations per variable in a regression analysis (e.g., Ryan, 2013). This means that we should at least employ a minimum sample size of 160, in that, in our regression analysis, we used 12 independent and control variables, as well as four interaction terms. Accordingly, due to our sample's industry profile and size issues in this study, we would like to leave a comparative analysis of subsamples between industry profiles as a future research avenue.

6.4. Conclusion

To sum up, this paper has explored how the use of SNS and B2B digital platforms influences SMEs' IO. The findings from our sample support all our proposed hypotheses, namely that SMEs' use of foreign SNS and B2B platforms functions as a trigger that essentially escalates their IO more than their use of domestic SNS and B2B platforms. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly moderated the relationship between SMEs' digital-related experience and their IO. The empirical evidence from the regression results theoretically serves as a milestone for network learning theory by shedding light on learning through network effects. We also trust that, as discussed above, the empirical outcomes provide valuable practical implications for SME and MNE managers. Finally, we anticipate that future research will increase our current knowledge by extending or complementing our model.

References

- Adomako, S., Amankwah-Amoah, J., Tarba, S. Y., & Khan, Z. (2021). Perceived corruption, business process digitization, and SMEs' degree of internationalization in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 196-207.
- Aichner, T., Jacob, F., 2015. Measuring the degree of corporate social media use. *International Journal of market research*, 57(2), 257-276.
- Aiken, L.S., West, S.G., 1991. *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Alayo, M., Maseda, A., Iturralde, T., Arzubiaga, U. 2019. Internationalization and entrepreneurial orientation of family SMEs: The influence of the family character. *International Business Review*, 28, 48-59.
- Amankwah-Amoah, J., Khan, Z., Wood, G. 2021. COVID-19 and business failures: The paradoxes of experience, scale, and scope for theory and practice. *European Management Journal*, 39(2), 179-184.
- Amankwah-Amoah, J., Khan, Z., Wood, G., Knight, G. 2021. COVID-19 and digitalization: The great acceleration. *Journal of Business Research*, 136, 602-611.
- Amankwah-Amoah, J., Khan, Z., & Wood, G. 2021. COVID-19 and business failures: The paradoxes of experience, scale, and scope for theory and practice. *European Management Journal*, 39(2), 179-184.
- Anderson, J.C., Gerbing, D.W., 1988. Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommend two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103 (2), 411-423.
- Arnone, L., Deprince, E. 2016. Small firms internationalization: Reducing the psychic distance using social networks. *Global Journal of Business Research*, 10(1), 55-63.
- Araujo, L., 1998. Knowing and learning as networking. *Management Learning*, 29(3), 317–336.
- Armstrong, J.S., Overton, T.S., 1977. Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14 (3), 396–402.
- Aulakh, P. S., Kotabe, M., Teegen, H. 2000. Export strategies and performance of firms from emerging economies: Evidence from Brazil, Chile, and Mexico. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(3), 342–361.
- Autio, E., Mudambi, R., Yoo, Y. 2021. Digitalization and globalization in a turbulent world: Centrifugal and centripetal forces. *Global Strategy Journal*, 11, 3–16.
- Bagheri, M., Mitchelmore, S., Bamiatzi, V., Nikolopoulos, K. 2019. Internationalization orientation in SMEs: The mediating role of technological innovation. *Journal of International Management*, 25(1), 121-139.
- Bai, W., Johanson, M. Oliveira, L., Ratajczak-Mrozek, M. 2021. The role of business and social networks in the effectual internationalization: Insights from emerging market SMEs. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 96-109.
- Banalieva, E.R., Dhanaraj, C. 2019. Internalization theory for the digital economy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 50 (8), 1372-1387.
- Beckman, C.M., Haunschild, P.R. 2002. Network learning: The effects of partners' heterogeneity of experience on corporate acquisitions. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47, 92-124.
- Baldwin, R., Tomiura, E. 2020. Thinking ahead about the trade impact of COVID-19. *Economics in the Time of COVID-19*, 59.
- Borino, F., Carlson, E., Rollo, V., & Solleder, O. 2021. International firms and COVID-19: Evidence from a global survey. *Covid Economics*, 30.

- Brislin, R.W., 1986. The wording and translation of research instruments. In W. J. Lonner, & J. W. Berry (Eds.). *Field methods in cross-cultural research* (pp. 159–162). Beverley Hills, CA: Sage.
- Brown, R., Rocha, A., Cowling, M. 2020. Financing entrepreneurship in times of crisis: Exploring the impact of COVID-19 on the market for entrepreneurial finance in the United Kingdom. *International Small Business Journal*, 38(5), 380-390.
- Brouthers, L., Nakos, G., Hadjimarcou, J., Brouthers, K. D. 2009. Key factors for successful export performance for small firms. *Journal of International Marketing*, 17(3), 21–38.
- Buatois, E., Cordon, C. 2020. A post COVID-19 outlook: The future of the supply chain. Institute for Management Development, Lausanne. Available at <https://www.imd.org/contentassets/c46625dde711402a8b7a4f46e75c1c84/tc046-20-file-.pdf>.
- Caligiuri, P., De Cieri, H., Minbaeva, D., Verbeke, A., Zimmermann, A. 2020. International HRM insights for navigating the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for future research and practice. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 51, 697–713.
- Caputo, F., Riso, T., Romano, M., & Maalaoui, A. (2022). Digital platforms and international performance of Italian SMEs: an exploitation-based overview. *International Marketing Review*. (forthcoming)
- Cenamora, J., Parida, V., Wincent, J. 2019. How entrepreneurial SMEs compete through digital platforms: The roles of digital platform capability, network capability and ambidexterity. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 196-206.
- Chang, Y.P., Zhu, D.H. 2012. The role of perceived social capital and flow experience in building users' continuance intention to social networking sites in China. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(3), 995-1001.
- Chen, L., Shaheer, N., Yi, J., Li, S. 2019. The international penetration of ibusiness firms: Network effects, liabilities of outsidership and country clout. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 50 (2), 172-192.
- Chen, S. Y., Macredie, R. 2010. Web-based interaction: A review of three important human factors. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30, 379-387.
- Chen, Y., Seong, J., Woetzel, J., 2015. China's rising internet wave: Wired companies. McKinsey Quarterly, January 1, 2015. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/technology-media-and-telecommunications/our-insights/chinas-rising-internet-wave-wired-companies#>.
- Child, J., Hsieh, L., Elbanna, S., Karmowska, J., Marinova, S., Puthusserry, P., Tsai, T., Narooz, R., Zhang, Y., 2017. SME international business models: The role of context and experience. *Journal of World Business*, 52 (5), 664–679.
- Choi, S.B., Lee, S.H., Williams, C., 2011. Ownership and firm innovation in a transition economy: Evidence from China. *Research Policy*, 40 (3), 441–452.
- Chong, W. K., Man, K. L., Kim, M. 2018. The impact of e-marketing orientation on performance in Asian SMEs: A B2B perspective. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 12(1), 4-18.
- Chuang, S-H., 2020. Co-creating social media agility to build strong customer-firm relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 84, 202-211.
- Deng, Z., Zhu, Z., Johanson, M., & Hilmersson, M. (2022). Rapid internationalization and exit of exporters: The role of digital platforms. *International Business Review*, 31(1), 101896.
- Dow, D., Karunaratna, A., 2006. Developing a multidimensional instrument to measure psychic distance stimuli. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37(5), 578–602.
- Easterby-Smith, M., Crossan, M., Nicolini, D. 2000. Organisational learning: Debates past, present and future. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(6), 783–796.
- Eggers, F., Hatak, I., Kraus, S., Niemand, T. 2017. Technologies that support marketing and

- market development in SMEs—Evidence from social networks. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 55(2), 270-302.
- Enderwick, P., Buckley, P.J. 2020. Rising regionalization: will the post-COVID-19 world see a retreat from globalization?. *Transnational Corporations Journal*, 27(2).
- Etemad, H. 2021. The evolutionary trends of international entrepreneurship in the past two decades: The state of the field in the face of COVID-19's global crisis. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 19(2), 149-163.
- European Commission. 2005. User guide to the SME definition. Brussels: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Fraccastoro, S., Gabrielsson, M., & Chetty, S. (2021). Social media firm specific advantages as enablers of network embeddedness of international entrepreneurial ventures. *Journal of World Business*, 56(3), 101164.
- Fraccastoro, S., Gabrielsson, M., & Pullins, E. B. (2021). The integrated use of social media, digital, and traditional communication tools in the B2B sales process of international SMEs. *International Business Review*, 30(4), 101776.
- Garg, S. 2021. Determinants of deglobalization: A hierarchical model to explore their interrelations as a conduit to policy. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 43, 433-447.
- Gaur, A.S., Ma, X., Ding, Z. 2018. Home country supportiveness/unfavorableness and outward foreign direct investment from China. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 49(3), 324-345.
- Gerschewski, S., Lew, Y. K., Khan, Z., Park, B. I. 2018. Post-entry performance of international new ventures: The mediating role of learning orientation. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 36(7), 807-828.
- Gibb, J., Sune, A., Albers, S. 2017. Network learning: Episodes of interorganizational learning towards a collective performance goal. *European Management Journal*, 35, 15-25.
- Goldman, S. P., van Herk, H., Verhagen, T., Weltevreden, J. W. 2020. Strategic orientations and digital marketing tactics in cross-border e-commerce: Comparing developed and emerging markets. *International Small Business Journal*, 0266242620962658.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tahtam, R.L., Black, W.C., 2005. *Multivariate data analysis*, 5th. Prentice-Hall, 654-667.
- Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., Black, W., 1999. *Análisis multivariante*. Prentice Hall, 5ª Edición, Madrid.
- Hamill, J., Gregory, K. 1997. Internet marketing in the internationalisation of UK SMEs. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 13(1-3), 9-28.
- Hänninen, M. 2020. Review of studies on digital transaction platforms in marketing journals. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 30(2), 164-192.
- Hitt, M. A., Holmes Jr, R. M., Arregle, J. L. 2021. The (COVID-19) pandemic and the new world (dis) order. *Journal of World Business*, 56(4), 101210.
- Hultman, M., Iveson, A., & Oghazi, P. (2021). The Information Paradox in Internationalization: Can ignorance ever be bliss? Evidence from emerging market SME managers. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 268-277.
- Jean, R.-J. B., Kim, D., Cavusgil, E. 2020. Antecedents and outcomes of digital platform risk for international new ventures' internationalization. *Journal of World Business*, 55(1), 1-9.
- Jin, H., Hurd, F. 2018. Exploring the impact of digital platforms on SME internationalization: New Zealand SMEs use of the Alibaba platform for Chinese market entry. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, 19(2), 72-95.
- Jin, B., Jung, S. 2016. Toward a deeper understanding of the roles of personal and business networks and market knowledge in SMEs' international performance. *Journal of Small*

- Business and Enterprise Development, 23(3), 812-830.
- Johanson, J., Vahlne, J. E. 2009. The Uppsala internationalization process model revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership. *Journal of international business studies*, 40(9), 1411-1431.
- Joshi, A.M., Lahiri, N., 2015. Language friction and partner selection in cross-border R&D alliance formation. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 46, 123-152.
- Juergensen, J., Guimón, J., Narula, R. 2020. European SMEs amidst the COVID-19 crisis: assessing impact and policy responses. *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, 47(3), 499-510.
- Katsikeas, C., Leonidou, L., Zeriti, A. 2020. Revisiting international marketing strategy in a digital era. *International Marketing Review.*, 37(3), 405-424.
- Kennedy, P.A., 1992. *Guide to econometrics*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kim, D. 2020. Internet and SMEs' internationalization: The role of platform and website. *Journal of International Management*, 26(1), 100690.
- Klein, V. B., & Todesco, J. L. 2021. COVID-19 crisis and SMEs responses: The role of digital transformation. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 28(2), 117-133.
- Knight, G.A., Cavusgil, S.T. 2004. Innovation, organizational capabilities, and the born-global firm. *Journal of international business studies*, 35(2), 124-141.
- Knight, G.A., Kim, D. 2009. International business competence and the contemporary firm. *Journal of international business studies*, 40(2), 255-273.
- Knight, G., 2000. Entrepreneurship and marketing strategy: The SME under globalization. *Journal of International Marketing*, 8 (2), 12–32.
- Knight, L., Pye, A. 2005. Network learning: An empirically derived model of learning by groups of organisations. *Human Relations*, 58(3), 369–392.
- Lee, J.Y., MacMillan, I.C., Choe, S. 2010. Technological knowledge transfer within chaebols after the 1997-98 crisis. *Long Range Planning*, 43 (5), 585-610.
- Lee, S. M., Trimi, S. 2021. Convergence innovation in the digital age and in the COVID-19 pandemic crisis. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 14-22.
- Li, C. 2014. A tale of two social networking sites: How the use of Facebook and Renren influences Chinese consumers' attitudes toward product packages with different cultural symbols. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 32, 162-170.
- Li, H., Zhang, Y. 2007. The role of managers' political networking and functional experience in new venture performance: Evidence from China's transition economy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(8), 791–804.
- Li, H., Atuahene-Gima, K., 2001. Product innovation strategy and the performance of new technology ventures in China. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44, 1123–1134.
- Li, X., Chen, W. 2014. Facebook or Renren? A comparative study of social networking site use and social capital among Chinese international students in the United States. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 35, 116-123.
- Liu, J., Nandhakumar, J., Zachariadis, M. 2018. When guanxi meets structural holes: Exploring the guanxi networks of Chinese entrepreneurs on digital platforms. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 27(4), 311-334.
- Lu, J., Beamish, P. 2001. The internationalization and performance of SMEs. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(6–7), 565–586.
- Luo, Y. 2006. Political behavior, social responsibility, and perceived corruption: a structuration perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 37, 747–766.
- Martineau, C., Pastoriza, D. 2016. International involvement of established SMEs: A systematic review of antecedents, outcomes and moderators. *International Business Review*, 25(2), 458-470.
- Mathews, S., Bianchi, C., Perks, K. J., Healy, M., Wickramasekera, R. 2016. Internet

- marketing capabilities and international market growth. *International Business Review*, 25(4), 820-830.
- McEvily, B., Marcus, A. 2005. Embeddedness and the acquisition of competitive capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(11), 1033–1055.
- McKinsey, 2014. Global flows in a digital age: How trade, finance, people, and data connect the world economy. Mckinsey Global Institute.
- Neter, J., Wasserman, W., Kutner, M.H., 1985. *Applied linear statistical models: Regression, analysis of variance and experimental designs* (2nd Ed). Homewood: Irwin.
- Nguyen, B., Yu, X., Melewar, T. C., Chen, J. 2015. Brand innovation and social media: Knowledge acquisition from social media, market orientation, and the moderating role of social media strategic capability. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 51, 11-25.
- Nielsen, B.B., Nielsen, S., 2011. The role of top management team international orientation in international strategic decision-making: The choice of foreign entry mode. *Journal of World Business*, 46 (2), 185–193.
- Nunnally, J.C., Berstein, I.H., 1994. *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Odlin, D., Benson-Rea, M. 2017. Competing on the edge: Implications of network position for internationalizing small- and medium-sized enterprises. *International Business Review*, 26, 736-748.
- Pagani, M., & Pardo, C. (2017). The impact of digital technology on relationships in a business network. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 67, 185-192.
- Pagani, M., Pardo, C. 2017. The impact of digital technology on relationships in a business network. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 67, 185-192.
- Park, B.I., Ghauri, P.N. 2011. Key factors affecting acquisition of technological capabilities from foreign acquiring firms in small and medium sized local firms. *Journal of World Business*, 46(1), 116–125.
- Park, B.I., Chidlow, A., Choi, J. 2014. Corporate social responsibility: Stakeholders influence on MNEs' activities. *International Business Review*, 23, 966-980.
- Park, B.I., Ghauri, P.N. 2015. Determinants influencing CSR practices in small and medium sized MNE subsidiaries: A stakeholder perspective. *Journal of World Business*, 50, 192-204.
- Parveen, F., Jaafar, N.I., Ainin, S. 2016. Social media's impact on organizational performance and entrepreneurial orientation in organizations. *Management Decision*. 54(9), 2208-2234.
- Paul, J., Benito, G. R. G. 2018. A review of research on outward foreign direct investment from emerging countries, including China: what do we know, how do we know and where should we be heading? *Global Strategy Journal*, 24(1), 90-115.
- Peng, M.W., Tan, J., Tong, T.W. 2004. Ownership types and strategic groups in an emerging economy. *Journal of Management Studies*, 41(7), 1105–1129.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKensize, S.B., Lee, J.Y., Podsakoff, N.P., 2003. Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88 (5), 879-903.
- Podsakoff, P.M., Organ, D.W. 1986. Self-reports in organizational research problems and prospects. *Journal of Management*, 12, 531-544.
- Pogrebnyakov, N. 2017. A cost-based explanation of gradual, regional internationalization of multinationals on social networking sites. *Management International Review*, 57(1), 37-64.
- Qin, M., Liu, X., Zhou, X. 2020. COVID-19 Shock and Global Value Chains: Is There a Substitute for China?. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56(15), 3588-3598.
- Rialp-Criado, A., Rialp-Criado, J. 2018. Examining the impact of managerial involvement with social media on exporting firm performance. *International Business Review*, 27(2), 355-366.

- Ryan, T.P. 2013. Sample size determination and power. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sandberg, S. 2014. Experiential knowledge antecedents of the SME network node configuration in emerging market business networks. *International Business Review*, 23(1), 20-29.
- Sarkar, S., Clegg, S. R. 2021. Resilience in a time of contagion: Lessons from small businesses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Change Management*, 21(2), 242-267.
- Scuotto, V., Del Giudice, M., Carayannis, E.G. 2017. The effect of social networking sites and absorptive capacity on SMES' innovation performance. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 42(2), 409-424.
- Semrau, T., Sigmund, S. 2012. Networking ability and the financial performance of new ventures: A mediation analysis among younger and more mature firms. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 6(4), 335–354.
- Sendawula, K., Ngoma, M., Bananuka, J., Kimuli, S. N. L., Kabuye, F. 2020. Business networking and internationalization: testing the mediation role of organizational learning. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 17(2), 246-259.
- Shore, J., Baek, J., Dellarocas, C. 2018. Network structure and patterns of information diversity on twitter. *MIS Quarterly*, 42(3), 849-872.
- Sinclair, J. K., Vogus, C. E. 2011. Adoption of social networking sites: An exploratory adaptive structuration perspective for global organizations. *Information Technology and Management*, 12(4), 293–314.
- Slotte-Kock, S., Coviello, N. 2009. Entrepreneurship research on network processes: A review and ways forward. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 34(1), 31-57.
- Sodhi, M. S., Tang, C. S. 2021. Supply chain management for extreme conditions: Research opportunities. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 57(1), 7-16.
- Stallkamp, M., Schotter, A. P. J. 2021. Platforms without borders? The international strategies of digital platform firms. *Global Strategy Journal*, 11, 58-80.
- Stoiana, M-C., Dimitratosb, P. Plakoyiannakic, E. 2018. SME internationalization beyond exporting: A knowledge-based perspective across managers and advisers. *Journal of World Business*, 53-768-779.
- Strange, R. 2019. Whither globalization? *Journal of International Management*, 26(1), 100728.
- Studenmund, A.H., 1992. Using econometrics: A practical guide. New York: HarperCollins.
- Su, F., Khan, Z., Lew, Y.K., Park, B.I., Choksy, U.S. 2020. Internationalization of Chinese SMEs: The role of networks and global value chains. *Business Research Quarterly*, 23(2), 141-158.
- Taiminen, H. M., Karjaluo, H. 2015. The usage of digital marketing channels in SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 22(4), 633-651.
- Tortora, D., Chierici, R., Briamonte, M. F., Tiscini, R. 2021. 'I digitize so I exist'. Searching for critical capabilities affecting firms' digital innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 129, 193-204.
- Tsimonis, G., Dimitriadis, S. 2014. Brand strategies in social media. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 32(3), 328-344.
- Uhlenbruck, K., Meyer, K., Hitt, M.A. 2003. Organizational transformation in transition economies: Resource-based and organizational learning perspectives. *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(2), 257–282.
- UNCTAD. 2009. World Investment Report 2009: Transnational corporations, agricultural production and development. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

- UNCTAD. 2020. World Investment Report 2020: International production beyond the pandemic. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- UNCTAD. 2021. World Investment Report 2021: Investing in sustainable recovery. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- Verbeke, A. 2020. Will the COVID-19 pandemic really change the governance of global value chains?. *British Journal of Management*, 31(3), 444.
- Wang, C.C., Wu, A., 2016. Geographical FDI knowledge spillover and innovation of indigenous firms in China. *International Business Review*, 25 (4), 895-906.
- Wang, F. 2020. Digital marketing capabilities in international firms: a relational perspective. *International Marketing Review*. 37(3), 559-577.
- Wang, K.W., Lau, A., Gong, F., 2016. How savvy, social shoppers are transforming Chinese e-commerce. *McKinsey*, April 15, 2016.
- Wang, Z., Sun, Z. 2020. From globalization to regionalization: The United States, China, and the post-Covid-19 world economic order. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 1-19.
- Williams, C., Du, J., Zhang, H. 2020. International orientation of Chinese internet SMEs: Direct and indirect effects of foreign and indigenous social networking site use. *Journal of World Business*, 55(3), 101051.
- Woetzel, J., Seong, J., Wang, K.W., Manyika, J., Chui, M., Wong, W., 2017. Digital China: Powering the economy to global competitiveness. *McKinsey Global Institute*, December 2017.
https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/McKinsey/Industries/Technology%20Media%20and%20Telecommunications/High%20Tech/Our%20Insights/Digital%20China%20Powering%20the%20economy%20to%20global%20competitiveness/MGI_Digital-China_Report_Dec-2017.pdf.
- Xiao, S.S., Lew, Y.K., Park, B.I. 2020. International network searching, learning, and explorative capability: Small and medium-sized enterprises from China. *Management International Review*, 60, 597-621.
- Yu, C. (2021, August, 4), Digital economy sees robust growth amid COVID-19 woes, *China Daily*, Retrieved from <https://global.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202108/04/WS6109ee18a310efa1bd6667a0.html>
- Zhou, K.Z., Yim, C.K., Tse, D.K. 2005. The effects of strategic orientations on technology- and market-based breakthrough innovations. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(2), 42–60.

Table 1

Descriptions of interviews.

	Firm	Interviewee #	Location	Date	Duration	Industry
1	SME A	1	Beijing	2 July 2019	2 hrs	Electrical equipment and components
2	SME B	1	Beijing	3 July 2019	1 hr 30 mins	Computers, communication and other electronic equipment
3	SME C	1	Beijing	3 July 2019	1 hr 40 mins	Electrical equipment and components
4	SME D	2	Beijing	4 July 2019	2 hrs 30 mins	Electrical equipment and components
5	SME E	2	Beijing	5 July 2019	2 hrs 30 mins	Computers, communication and other electronic equipment
6	SME F	1	Beijing	11 July 2019	2 hrs 10 mins	Photographic, medical and optical goods
7	SME G	1	Beijing	12 July 2019	1 hr 50 mins	Electrical equipment and components
8	SME H	1	Beijing	16 July 2019	1 hr 30 mins	Computers, communication and other electronic equipment
9	SME I	1	Beijing	18 July 2019	2 hrs	Chemicals and allied products
10	SME J	1	Beijing	23 July 2019	2 hrs 10 mins	Machinery
11	SME K	1	Beijing	26 July 2019	1 hr 40 mins	Food and beverage
12	SME L	1	Guangzhou	30 July 2019	2 hrs 10 mins	Photographic, medical and optical goods
13	SME M	3	Guangzhou	1 August 2019	2 hrs 30 min	Apparel and leather
14	SME N	1	Guangzhou	2 August 2019	2hrs 10 mins	Pulp and paper
15	SME O	1	Guangzhou	5 August 2019	2 hrs	Plastics and rubber
16	SME P	1	Guangzhou	7 August 2019	1 hr 50 mins	Advertising
17	SME Q	1	Guangzhou	8 August 2019	1 hr 40 mins	Transportation equipment
18	SME R	1	Guangzhou	13 August 2019	1 hr 20 mins	Machinery
19	SME S	2	Shenzhen	14 August 2019	2 hrs	Transportation equipment
20	SME T	1	Shenzhen	15 August 2019	1 hr 30 mins	Transportation equipment
21	SME U	1	Shenzhen	19 August 2019	1 hr 30 mins	Textile
22	SME V	1	Shenzhen	19 August 2019	1 hr 50 mins	Textile
23	SME W	1	Shenzhen	21 August 2019	1 hr 40 mins	Machinery
24	SME X	2	Shenzhen	22 August 2019	2 hrs	Machinery
25	SME Y	1	Shanghai	23 August 2019	1 hr 40 mins	Advertising
26	SME Z	1	Shanghai	23 August 2019	1 hr 50 mins	Health

Table 2

The distribution of regions in China for our samples.

Region	Initial target sample (SME #)	Final sample (SME #)	Final sample (response #)
1 Anhui	73	9	15
2 Beijing	348	74	113
3 Guangdong	282	45	63
4 Guizhou	39	7	7
5 Hebei	91	13	21
6 Hunan	55	8	12
7 Jiangsu	217	27	44
8 Jilin	14	3	3
9 Liaoning	51	8	9
10 Shandong	187	28	43
11 Shanghai	38	6	8
12 Zhejiang	152	22	35
Total	1547	250	373

Table 3

The distribution of industries for our final sample.

Industry	Response #	SME #
1 Electrical equipment and components	37	23
2 Computers, communication and other electronic equipment	17	11
3 Chemicals and allied products	25	14
4 Transportation equipment	18	15
5 Machinery	51	38
6 Photographic, medical and optical goods	5	3
7 Food and beverage	32	19
8 Plastics and rubber	46	28
9 Textile	59	40
10 Apparel and leather	49	35
11 Pulp and paper	15	12
12 Health	13	9
13 Packaging	2	1
14 Advertising	4	2
Total	373	250

Table 4

Measurement and confirmatory factor analysis results.

Constructs and measures (loading)

International orientation (1 = not at all; 7 = to an extreme extent) (CR = 0.944; AVE = 0.739)

Please indicate the extent to which degree of your internationalization orientation:

1. Top management tends to see the world, instead of just China, as our firm's marketplace. (0.840)
2. The prevailing organizational culture at our firm (management's collective value system) is conducive to active exploration of new business opportunities abroad. (0.870)
3. Management continuously communicates its mission to succeed in international markets to firm employees. (0.863)
4. Management develops human and other resources for achieving our goals in international markets. (0.860)
5. In international markets, our top managers have a proclivity for high-risk projects (with chances for high returns). (0.864)
6. Top management is willing to go to great lengths to make our products succeed in foreign markets. (0.859)

SME's use of foreign SNS vs. domestic SNS (1 = not at all; 7 = to a great deal)

To what extent does your firm use (this) foreign SNS?

To what extent does your firm use (this) Chinese SNS?

SME's use of foreign platform vs. domestic platform (1 = not at all; 7 = to a great deal)

To what extent does your firm use (this) foreign platform?

To what extent does your firm use (this) Chinese platform?

Table 5

Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlation matrix.

Variable	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 International orientation	4.33	0.99	1												
2 SME's Use of Foreign SNS	4.62	1.15	0.49**	1											
3 SME's Use of Domestic SNS	4.26	1.13	-0.47**	0.34**	1										
4 SME's Use of Foreign Platform	3.63	1.33	0.43**	0.24**	0.23**	1									
5 SME's Use of Domestic Platform	4.57	1.24	0.23**	0.12*	0.29**	0.12*	1								
6 COVID-19 Pandemic	0.33	0.47	-0.02	0.02	0.07	-0.04	0.08	1							
7 Founder's International Experience (ln)	0.26	0.49	0.07	0.12*	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.00	1						
8 Beijing	0.30	0.46	0.21**	0.18**	0.05	0.06	0.12*	0.01	0.06	1					
9 Firm Ownership	1.36	0.48	0.04	0.03	-0.03	0.04	-0.03	-0.05	0.05	0	1				
10 Firm size (ln)	4.68	1.77	0.19**	0.08	0.07	0.36**	-0.07	-0.04	0.22**	0.12*	0.14**	1			
11 Firm Age (ln)	1.78	0.97	0.00	-0.00	0.01	-0.08	0.09	0.13**	-0.02	0.06	-0.03	0.02	1		
12 Prior Performance	4.57	1.21	0.34**	0.17**	0.08	0.13**	0.15**	0.03	0.09	0.29**	0.06	-0.02	0.02	1	
13 High-Tech Industries (Dummy = 1)	0.41	0.49	0.16**	0.14**	0.03	0.25**	-0.16**	-0.02	0.08	0.12*	0.25**	0.17**	0.01	0.08	1

Note: $N = 373$. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$ (two-tailed significance levels).

Table 6

Regression analysis results for international orientation.

Variables	Hypo.	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4			Model 5		
		β	SE	Sig.	β	SE	Sig.	β	SE	Sig.	β	SE	Sig.	β	SE	Sig.
<i>Control Variables</i>																
Founder's International Experience (ln)		0.028	0.095	0.553	0.047	0.075	0.200	0.049	0.075	0.188	0.046	0.075	0.208	0.050	0.075	0.170
Beijing		0.096	0.109	0.059	0.033	0.088	0.415	0.027	0.088	0.504	0.034	0.087	0.394	0.028	0.087	0.480
Firm Ownership		0.010	0.102	0.843	0.007	0.081	0.859	0.013	0.081	0.746	0.018	0.080	0.638	0.023	0.080	0.545
Firm Size (ln)		0.176	0.026	0.000	0.052	0.022	0.197	0.055	0.022	0.171	0.047	0.022	0.241	0.054	0.022	0.175
Firm Age (ln)		0.016	0.046	0.721	0.007	0.051	0.892	0.011	0.051	0.830	0.021	0.050	0.667	0.028	0.050	0.565
Prior Performance		0.414	0.041	0.000	0.042	0.041	0.392	0.040	0.040	0.416	0.039	0.040	0.426	0.034	0.040	0.478
High-Tech Industries (Dummy = 1)		0.120	0.098	0.014	0.032	0.081	0.433	0.034	0.081	0.399	0.038	0.080	0.344	0.040	0.080	0.312
<i>Independent Variables</i>																
SME's Use of Foreign SNS	H1				0.444	0.049	0.000	0.369	0.059	0.000	0.420	0.049	0.000	0.327	0.059	0.000
SME's Use of Domestic SNS					-0.101	0.051	0.082	-0.168	0.060	0.015	-0.114	0.050	0.048	-0.155	0.059	0.023
SME's Use of Foreign Platform	H2				0.292	0.031	0.000	0.296	0.031	0.000	0.343	0.036	0.000	0.362	0.036	0.000
SME's Use of Domestic Platform					0.118	0.032	0.004	0.127	0.032	0.002	0.200	0.039	0.000	0.226	0.040	0.000
<i>Moderating Variable</i>																
COVID-19 Pandemic					-0.007	0.104	0.895	-0.063	0.340	0.695	-0.648	0.407	0.001	-0.460	0.444	0.030
<i>Interactions</i>																
SME's Use of Foreign SNS* COVID-19	H3							0.445	0.099	0.052				0.535	0.098	0.018
SME's Use of Domestic SNS* COVID-19								-0.377	0.099	0.078				-0.217	0.100	0.312
SME's Use of Foreign Platform* COVID-19	H4										-0.241	0.059	0.028	-0.294	0.061	0.010
SME's Use of Domestic Platform* COVID-19											-0.461	0.062	0.002	-0.540	0.066	0.001
<i>Diagnostics</i>																
N		373			373			373			373			373		
R ²		0.263			0.545			0.550			0.559			0.567		
Adjusted R ²		0.249			0.529			0.532			0.542			0.548		
ΔR^2		0.263			0.545			0.550			0.559			0.567		
F-statistic		18.594		0.000	35.870		0.000	36.210		0.000	37.444		0.000	38.941		0.000

Notes: Standard errors and t-statistics corrected by White's heteroskedastic consistent covariance matrix. Standardized beta coefficients reported. All tests are two-tailed.

Fig. 1a. Interaction effect between SME's use of foreign SNS and COVID-19 pandemic




Fig. 2a. Interaction effect between SME's use of foreign platform and COVID-19 pandemic




Fig. 1b. Interaction effect between SME's use of domestic SNS and COVID-19 pandemic




Fig. 2b. Interaction effect between SME's use of domestic platform and COVID-19 pandemic

