

## Anthropometry, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey

Souren, Nicole; Zeegers, Maurice; Janssen, RJGH; Steyls, A; Gielen, Maria; Loos, RJF; Beunen, G; Fagard, R; Stassen, Alphons P. M. ; Aerssens, Jeroen ; Derom, Catherine ; Vlietinck, Robert ; Paulussen, Aimee D. C.

DOI:  
[10.1375/twin.11.5.505](https://doi.org/10.1375/twin.11.5.505)

License:  
None: All rights reserved

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Citation for published version (Harvard):*  
Souren, N, Zeegers, M, Janssen, RJGH, Steyls, A, Gielen, M, Loos, RJF, Beunen, G, Fagard, R, Stassen, APM, Aerssens, J, Derom, C, Vlietinck, R & Paulussen, ADC 2008, 'Anthropometry, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey: linkage of candidate genes using two sib-pair based variance components analyses', *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 505-516.  
<https://doi.org/10.1375/twin.11.5.505>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

**Publisher Rights Statement:**  
© Cambridge University Press 2008  
Eligibility for repository: checked July 2014

### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact [UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk](mailto:UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk) providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

---

# Anthropometry, Carbohydrate and Lipid Metabolism in the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey: Linkage of Candidate Genes Using Two Sib-Pair Based Variance Components Analyses

Nicole Y. Souren,<sup>1,2,4</sup> Maurice P. Zeegers,<sup>1,2,4</sup> Rob G. J. H. Janssen,<sup>2,3</sup> Anja Steyls,<sup>2,5</sup> Marij Gielen,<sup>1,2,4</sup> Ruth J. F. Loos,<sup>6,7</sup> Gaston Beunen,<sup>7</sup> Robert Fagard,<sup>8</sup> Alphons P. M. Stassen,<sup>5</sup> Jeroen Aerssens,<sup>10</sup> Catherine Derom,<sup>9</sup> Robert Vlietinck,<sup>9</sup> and Aimee D. C. Paulussen<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Complex Genetics, Maastricht University, the Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Nutrition and Toxicology Research Institute Maastricht (NUTRIM), Maastricht University, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Genome Center Maastricht, Maastricht University, the Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Unit of Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup> Division of Clinical Genetics, Academic Hospital Maastricht, the Netherlands

<sup>6</sup> Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>7</sup> Department of Biomedical Kinesiology, Faculty of Kinesiology and Rehabilitation Sciences, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

<sup>8</sup> Hypertension and Cardiovascular Rehabilitation Unit, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

<sup>9</sup> Department of Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

<sup>10</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development, Belgium

Insulin resistance and obesity are underlying causes of type 2 diabetes and therefore much interest is focused on the potential genes involved. A series of anthropometric and metabolic characteristics were measured in 240 MZ and 112 DZ twin pairs recruited from the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey. Microsatellite markers located close to ABCC8, ADIPOQ, GCK, IGF1, IGFBP1, INSR, LEP, LEPR, PPAR $\gamma$  and the RETN gene were genotyped. Univariate single point variance components linkage analyses were performed using two methods: (1) the standard method, only comprising the phenotypic and genotypic data of the DZ twin pairs and (2) the extended method, also incorporating the phenotypic data of the MZ twin pairs. Suggestive linkages (LOD > 1) were observed between the ABCC8 marker and waist-to-hip ratio and HDL-cholesterol levels. Both markers flanking ADIPOQ showed suggestive linkage with triglycerides levels, the upstream marker also with body mass and HDL-cholesterol levels. The IGFBP1 marker showed suggestive linkage with fat mass, fasting insulin and leptin levels and the LEP marker showed suggestive linkage with birth weight. This study suggests that DNA variants in ABCC8, ADIPOQ, IGFBP1 and LEP gene region may predispose to type 2 diabetes. In addition, the two methods used to perform linkage analyses yielded similar results. This was however not the case for birth weight where chorionicity seems to be an important confounder.

**Keywords:** East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey, Type 2 diabetes, Obesity, Dyslipidaemia, Linkage, Candidate Genes

Type 2 diabetes is a complex disorder that represents a major international public health threat (O’Rahilly et al., 2005). Although lifestyle factors play a prominent role in the development of the disease, the high prevalence of type 2 diabetes in specific ethnic groups and the much higher concordance rates of traits associated with the disorder in monozygotic (MZ) twins compared to dizygotic (DZ) twins indicate that genetic predisposition is also important (Krosnick, 2000; Souren et al., 2007). To uncover genes involved in type 2 diabetes, numerous genome-wide linkage scans have been carried out and evidence for linkage at several chromosomal regions has been reported (Arya et al., 2002; Loos et al., 2003; Rankinen et al., 2006). Usually, these regions are large and contain many genes and therefore candidate genes in subsequent studies are often selected based on pre-existing knowledge. Currently, much interest is focused on genes involved in insulin resistance and obesity, since these two intertwined factors are considered to be underlying causes of type 2 diabetes (Golay & Ybarra, 2005; Grundy, 2006).

In the condition of insulin resistance, normal insulin concentrations fail to trigger a normal metabolic

---

Received 10 December, 2007; accepted 2 June, 2008.

Address for correspondence: NYP Souren, MSc, Maastricht University, Department of Complex Genetics, Universiteitsingel 50, 6200 MD, Maastricht, The Netherlands. E-mail: Nicole.Souren@GEN.unimaas.nl

response. To resist diabetes, pancreatic beta cells then need to overcompensate with sufficient insulin release (Saltiel, 2001).

Pancreatic beta cells produce and secrete insulin mainly in response to blood glucose, which is taken up into the cells through the glucose transporter isotype 2 (GLUT2) and subsequently phosphorylated into glucose-6-phosphate by glucokinase (GCK) (Kulkarni, 2004; Saltiel, 2001). This metabolic activity in the pancreatic beta cell leads to an increase in the ATP/ADP ratio and closure of the ATP-sensitive potassium ( $K_{ATP}$ ) channel, which is composed of the inward rectifying  $K^+$  channel (Kir6.2) and the sulfonylurea receptor (SUR1; encoded by *ABCC8*). The closure is followed by membrane depolarization, which activates voltage gated calcium channels and leads to intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  rise triggering insulin secretion (Ashcroft, 2005; Yan et al., 2004).

In addition to insulin there are also other hormones with insulin-like effects. The most important of these is insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), which is the second most powerful peptide with glucose lowering effects (Janssen & Lamberts, 2002). The effects of insulin and IGF1 on carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolism are mediated by their receptors (INSR, IGF1R). Insulin and IGF1 are able to bind to each others receptor, although the affinity is considerably lower (Bluher et al., 2005). IGF1 circulates almost entirely bound to one of the six members of the IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs) family. Although the majority of IGF1 is bound to IGFBP3, IGFBP1 has been suggested to be the short-term regulator of IGF1 bioactivity and is negatively associated with risk factors of cardiovascular disease and positively with insulin sensitivity (Heald et al., 2001; Janssen & Lamberts, 2002; Lee et al., 1997).

Obesity, another major factor underlying type 2 diabetes, is defined as excess accumulation of adipose tissue. Adipose tissue, formerly considered to be an inert energy depository, is now also known as an important endocrine organ producing active molecules called adipocytokines including adiponectin, leptin and resistin, which are encoded by *ADIPOQ*, *LEP* and *RETN*, respectively (Gil-Campos et al., 2004). Increased adiponectin levels are associated with weight loss and increased insulin sensitivity (Koerner et al., 2005; Meier & Gressner, 2004). Additionally, in prepubertal children, adiponectin is negatively associated with plasma lipid markers, except for HDL-cholesterol that shows a positive relation (Gil-Campos et al., 2004). Leptin, which biological activities are carried out through selective binding to its receptor (LEPR), is mainly produced by adipocytes, but also expressed in other tissues including placenta, ovaries, skeletal muscle, stomach, pituitary and liver (Koerner et al., 2005; Paracchini et al., 2005). Leptin acts as a satiety signal affecting central circuits in the hypothalamus resulting in suppressed food intake and increased energy expenditure (Koerner et al., 2005). Furthermore

peripheral leptin seems to play a role in controlling cellular lipid balance, glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity (Koerner et al., 2005; Muoio & Lynis Dohm, 2002). Resistin has been linked to insulin resistance in murine models (Gil-Campos et al., 2004; Koerner et al., 2005). In humans, however, the relationship between resistin and markers of the metabolic syndrome is still controversial (Koerner et al., 2005; Meier & Gressner, 2004; Rea & Donnelly, 2004). Besides secreting active molecules adipose tissue has the capacity to expand drastically during life (Bluher et al., 2005), which is correlated with many unfavourable side effects. Therefore the role of proteins such as the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR $\gamma$ ) and IGF1, which among other processes regulate fat cell formation and functioning, are also of great interest in the pathology of obesity (Bluher et al., 2005; Gurnell, 2005).

Previously we estimated the heritability of 18 anthropometric and metabolic characteristics associated with type 2 diabetes measured in 378 healthy twin pairs recruited from the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey (EFPTS) (Souren et al., 2007). In general, heritability estimates of the traits studied in our twin sample were high. In order to explain some of the genetic variance observed, we performed univariate single point variance components linkage analyses using microsatellite markers located within or near candidate genes including *ABCC8*, *ADIPOQ*, *GCK*, *IGF1*, *IGFBP1*, *INSR*, *LEP*, *LEPR*, *PPAR $\gamma$*  and *RETN*. Linkage to metabolic traits in these candidate gene regions provides evidence for the possible presence of genetic variation in that region influencing these traits and is an incentive for further fine mapping the region. Several software packages are available to carry out sib-pair based variance components linkage analyses. The statistical package Mx is especially designed to analyse twin data (Neale et al., 2002), but another commonly used program is MERLIN (Abecasis et al., 2002). The advantage of Mx compared to MERLIN is that Mx also incorporates the phenotypic data of the MZ twins. This allows to partition the total variation into effects due to the quantitative trait locus (QTL; Q), additive genetic (A), nonadditive genetic (D), or common environmental (C) and unique environmental effects (E), resulting in a very accurate estimation of the variance components. In addition, when the distribution of a trait differs among men and women it is possible to model these sex differences by using a scalar model. By comparing the fit-functions of the different models one can assess which model provides the best fit to the data and use that model to conduct the linkage analysis. MERLIN, on the contrary simply incorporates the phenotypic and genotypic data of the DZ twins and decomposes the total phenotypic variance into effects due to the QTL (Q), additive genetic factors (A) and unique environmental factors (E). In the present study, sib-pair variance component linkage analyses were conducted using both the

'MERLIN method', which we call the standard method, and the 'Mx method', which we call the extended method.

## Materials and Methods

### Subjects

The EFPTS is a population-based twin register, which started in 1964 and has been recording all multiple births in the Belgian Province of East Flanders until the present (Loos et al., 1998). For the current study, a series of anthropometric and metabolic phenotypes of 378 complete twin pairs were available, divided over 138 DZ pairs (46 male, 49 female and 43 mixed pairs) and 240 MZ pairs (113 male and 127 female pairs). Since not all participants were willing to provide DNA, DNA was available from 288 complete twin pairs comprising 112 DZ pairs (34 male, 43 female and 35 mixed pairs) and 176 MZ pairs (78 male and 98 female pairs). In the present analysis, if genotypic data were absent then the phenotypic data could only be used if the twins were MZ. As a result, in the current analysis phenotypic data of 352 complete pairs were used, divided over 112 DZ pairs and 240 MZ pairs, of which 138 pairs were monozygotic (MC) and 102 were dizygotic (DC). Birth weights were obtained from obstetric records, and gestational age reported by the obstetrician was calculated as the number of completed weeks of pregnancy based on the last menstrual period. The methods used to measure the phenotypes at adult age and a detailed description of the twin sample has been described earlier (Loos et al., 1998; Souren et al., 2007). The Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven approved the project and all participants gave informed consent.

### DNA Extractions and Marker Selection

Genomic DNA was extracted initially from available placental tissue collected at birth. If placental tissue was unavailable, twin pairs were contacted and whole blood or mouth swabs were taken for DNA extraction. The DNA mini kit (Qiagen) was used for placental and mouth swab DNA extraction, the Wizard kit (Promega) was used for blood DNA extraction, both according to manufacturer's instructions. Microsatellite markers (di- and tetranucleotide repeats) were selected from the human UniSTS map (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/sts>). Markers were chosen based on distance to the candidate gene and level of heterozygosity. The location of the markers and candidate genes as well as marker heterozygosity is presented in Table 1.

### Polymerase Chain Reactions (PCRs)

Ten PCR protocols were used to amplify 14 markers of 10 candidate genes. Six markers could be optimized to fit in two multiplexed PCR protocols (multiplex 1: markers D3S1602, GCK, D7S2427 and D1S198; multiplex 2: markers D3S3686 and D11S902) and the others were amplified in singleplex PCR protocols.

Primer sequences including fluorescent labels, MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations, PCR annealing temperature and the number of cycles are listed in Table 1. Further PCR ingredients (15 or 20 µl) consisted of 1x PCR buffer (Invitrogen, Breda, the Netherlands), 0.33 mM dNTPs (GE Healthcare, Eindhoven, the Netherlands), 4-8 pmol primers (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium), 5% DMSO and 0.5-1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). All PCRs were performed in Biometra T1 thermal cyclers (Westburg, Leusden, the Netherlands), starting with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min and ending with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C.

### Genotyping

All TET-labeled PCR products were diluted 10 times, FAM-labeled products 5-10 times and HEX-labeled products 0-5 times. Labeled fragments were size-resolved by capillary electrophoresis on the ABI3100 Genetic Analyzer and size calling was performed with Genescan Software version 3.7 (Applied Biosystems, Nieuwerkerk a/d IJssel, the Netherlands). The TAMRA 350-size standard was used for fragment length calling. Two experienced researchers scored the final genotypes independently and a third expert was consulted for discrepant results.

### General Statistical Analysis

Anthropometric and metabolic characteristics are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Traits with a skewed distribution were converted to a normal distribution by log transformation. Differences between men and women according to zygosity were tested using the PROC MIXED method, in which adjustment for the intra-twin pair relationship was possible. Differences were considered significant if  $p < .05$ . For all traits effects of potential covariates were also checked using PROC MIXED, which has been described in detail before (Souren et al., 2007). General statistical analysis were conducted using the statistical package SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute).

### Linkage Analysis

A  $\chi^2$ -test was used to check Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for genotype frequencies of the microsatellite markers, using only one randomly selected co-twin per pair (using genotypes of MZ and DZ twin pairs). To test for linkage between marker loci and the anthropometric and metabolic characteristics, univariate single point variance components linkage analyses were performed using the statistical package Mx (Neale et al., 2002). The script was downloaded from the GenomEUtwin Mx-script library (<http://www.psy.vu.nl/mxlib/>). Linkage analysis was performed with and without adjusting for significant covariates. In addition we compared two methods: (1) standard sib-pair variance components linkage analysis, only comprising the phenotypic and genotypic data of the DZ twin pairs and (2) extended sib-pair variance components linkage analysis, also incorporating the phenotypic data of the MZ twin pairs. In the standard method the phenotypic variation is decomposed into

**Table 1**  
Gene and Marker Positioning, Primer Sequences and PCR Conditions

Gene	Marker	Physical Position <sup>a</sup>	Genetic Position <sup>b</sup>	Forward primer sequence (5' → 3') <sup>c</sup>	Reverse primer sequence (5' → 3')	Product	Cycles	T	MgCl <sub>2</sub> <sup>d</sup>	Alleles	H
<i>ABCC8</i>	D11S902	17,371,009-17,455,025 17,445,082-17,445,228	21.5	HEX-CGGGCTGTGAATATACTTAATGC	CAACAGCAATGGGAAGTT	137-159	33	55	2.25	12	82
	D3S1602	187,514,441-187,514,733 188,043,157-188,058,946	201.1	TET-AGAGCCTTCTATGGGCTCTACAT	AGCTCAACCTTCAAAACATACATT	271-297	31	55	2.25	13	84
<i>ADIPOQ</i>	D3S3686	Not available	203.8	HEX-AGGGTATTTCATCCCATG	CCAGGTTACGCCCAAGTG	105-135	33	55	2.25	15	81
	GCK	44,142,647-44,142,841 44,150,395-44,195,563	67.4	TET-CACACCAAACTGCCTGTATTAG	TTGGTCAGTGTAGGCTGAACTC	194-204	31	55	2.25	5	49
<i>GCK</i>	D7S2427	44,931,275-44,931,510	68.5	TET-AGGATTGTTGAGCCACAGA	TTAGATCCCATCAGCAGC	207-245	31	55	2.25	17	82
	IGFI	101,313,806-101,398,454 101,399,183-101,399,376	109.5	FAM-GCTAGCCAGCTGGTGTATT	ACCACTCTGGGAGAAAGGTA	174-196	35	60	2.25	7	53
<i>IGFBP1</i>	D7S478	44,898,728-44,898,851 45,894,484-45,899,792	69	HEX-TGTGTCATTACGGCTTTTCATC	TCAAATGGTTCAGGGAGAAAGA	108-130	40	60	2.25	10	70
	D7S2506	47,646,979-47,647,146	69.6	TET-CAGCAGGGCTTGAATGAAC	ACACAGTGGAGCTGGCATAG	150-186	35	60	1.69	18	84
<i>INSR</i>	D19S1034	6,064,256-6,064,482 7,067,638-7,245,011	20.8	FAM-AGGCTGTGGTGAGCTATGAC	GTGTCCCCTAGCCACCTAGCAA	217-239	35	55	1.5	7	70
	LEP	127,668,567-127,684,917 128,989,684-128,989,791	134.6	FAM-TGCATTTTAGTGGAGCACAG	CAGGCATTGGGAACTTTTG	98-118	32	55	2.25	11	76
<i>LEPR</i>	D1S2638	63,325,557-63,325,776 65,658,906-65,875,410	96	HEX-CTTGGATTGGTGGGTAFTA	TGAGGTTTCAGGGTGGCT	223-245	33	55	2.25	12	82
	D1S198	66,783,773-66,784,080	99.3	TET-GACTTCACCCATCAACGGCCTG	CAGGAAAAGTGGATGTGACGA	307-325	31	55	2.25	10	81
<i>PPARγ</i>	D3S1263	11,492,252-11,492,482 12,304,359-12,450,843	36.1	FAM-CTGTTGACCCCATTTGATACCC	GATGCCCTTGGGGCTATGA	253-297	32	55	2.25	19	87
	RETN	7,639,972-7,641,340 9,040,462-9,040,546	32.4	TET-GTTATTTAAATGCTTACCACA	CCATCAACTCACCTACTTATC	65-85	31	55	2.25	11	79

Note: <sup>a</sup>Physical map positions (bp) are according to the sequence map accessible on the NCBI website ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mapview/map\\_search.cgi?taxid=9606](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mapview/map_search.cgi?taxid=9606) and <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=gene>); <sup>b</sup>Genetic map positions (cM) are according to Marshfield; <sup>c</sup>Forward primers were 5'-labeled with fluorescent dyes (in bold); <sup>d</sup>MgCl<sub>2</sub> in mM; T: annealing temperature (°C); H: heterozygosity (%).

variance due to the QTL (Q), additive genetic factors (A) and unique environmental factors (E). In the extended method, the phenotypic data of the MZ twin pairs were also included, having the advantage of partitioning the phenotypic variance into effects due to the QTL (Q), additive genetic (A), nonadditive genetic (D), or common environmental (C) and unique environmental effects (E). For the latter method, optimisation of the univariate model of the different phenotypes has been carried out. A detailed description of this optimisation procedure has been reported elsewhere (Souren et al., 2007), and a short summary is presented in columns 2, 3 and 16 of Table 4. Our sample size is too small to conduct sex-specific linkage analyses, therefore we used a scalar model (allowing the total variances to differ between men and women) for the traits (BMI, body mass, fat body mass) that had a model with different variance components estimates for men and women as the best fitting model (Souren et al., 2007). In the unadjusted analysis sex effects were not modeled. In these instances only simple univariate models without scalar sex effects were used. For birthweight the intra-pair correlations differ between MZ MC and MZ DC twins. Since data on chorionicity were available, extended variance component linkage analysis for birth weight was performed in three different ways: (1) using only phenotypic data of MZ DC twins, (2) using only phenotypic data of MZ MC twins, and (3) incorporating phenotypic data of both MZ MC and MZ DC twins.

Estimates of the variance component associated with the QTL were obtained using the *pi-hat* approach, in which the covariance due to the marker or QTL for a sib-pair is modelled as a function of the proportion of alleles shared identical by descent (IBD). Since parental data were not available, the probabilities of sharing 0, 1 or 2 alleles IBD were estimated in MERLIN (Abecasis et al., 2002) using allele frequencies based on one randomly selected co-twin per pair (using genotypes of MZ and DZ twin pairs). The effect of the QTL was evaluated by comparing a full model, in which the genetic variance caused by Q for a given phenotype was free, with a restricted model, in which the effect of Q was equal to zero. Logarithm of the odds (LOD) scores, computed as the difference in  $-2\log$  likelihood divided by 4.6, were used to evaluate the QTL effect. Because we used a candidate gene approach, the multiple testing problem associated with genome-wide search does not apply (Lander & Kruglyak, 1995). Suggestive evidence for linkage was defined by  $\text{LOD} > 1$  and significant evidence for linkage by  $\text{LOD} > 3$ .

## Results

### General Statistical Analysis

In Table 2 anthropometric and metabolic characteristics of the MZ and DZ twins are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD according to sex. In both MZ and DZ twins, men

had a higher body height, body mass, WHR, lean body mass and fasting glucose levels, but lower sum of four skinfolds, fat mass, IGFBP1, fasting insulin, leptin, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and non-esterified fatty acids levels than women ( $p < .05$ ). In DZ twins only, men had a higher weight at birth ( $p < .05$ ).

### Linkage Analysis

Microsatellite markers D7S2427 (*GCK*) and D7S478 (*IGFBP1*) deviated from the assumptions of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $p < .05$ ) and were excluded from further linkage analyses.

Results of the univariate single-point variance component linkage analysis performed using the standard and the extended method, unadjusted and adjusted for significant covariates are listed in Table 3 and Table 4. After adjustment, the D11S902 (*ABCC8*) marker showed suggestive linkage with WHR, and with HDL-cholesterol levels using the extended method only. Before adjustment, linkage with HDL-cholesterol levels was nonsignificant. The D3S1602 (*ADIPOQ*) marker showed in the adjusted analysis suggestive linkage with body mass and HDL-cholesterol levels using both methods, and with triglycerides levels using the extended method only. Before controlling for covariates linkage with triglycerides levels was nonsignificant and suggestive linkage with body height and lean body mass was observed. The downstream marker D3S3686 showed also suggestive linkage with triglycerides levels using both methods, while before adjustment this linkage was nonsignificant and linkage with HDL-cholesterol levels seemed suggestive.

After controlling for covariates, the D7S2506 marker (*IGFBP1*) showed suggestive linkage with leptin levels using both methods, with fat mass using the standard method only and with fasting insulin using the extended method only. Before adjusting, this marker showed also suggestive linkage with the sum of four skinfolds, and with fat mass it showed significant linkage.

After adjustment, the D7S530 (*LEP*) marker showed suggestive linkage with birth weight using the standard method only. Before controlling for covariates, also suggestive linkage with fasting insulin levels was observed.

The D1S2638 (*LEPR*) and the D19S1034 (*INSR*) marker showed in the unadjusted analysis suggestive linkage with IGFBP1 and with fasting glucose levels, respectively. However, after adjustment these linkages became nonsignificant.

## Discussion

In the current study, a series of anthropometric and metabolic characteristics were measured in 240 MZ and 112 DZ twin pairs recruited from the EFPTS. Fourteen microsatellite markers located within 10 candidate gene regions were genotyped and univariate single point variance components linkage analyses were performed. The initial DZ twin sample comprises 138

**Table 2**  
Anthropometric and Metabolic Characteristics of the MZ and DZ Twins According to Sex

Characteristic	MZ			DZ		
	Men	Women	<i>p</i>	Men	Women	<i>p</i>
N	226	254		104	120	
Gestational age (wks) <sup>a</sup>	36.8 ± 2.33	37.0 ± 2.59	0.33	37.4 ± 2.3	37.5 ± 2.2	.77
Birth weight (g)	2531 ± 471	2451 ± 485	0.17	2694 ± 472	2581 ± 470	.005
Age (yrs) <sup>a</sup>	25.2 ± 4.6	25.1 ± 4.6	0.83	25.1 ± 4.6	25.5 ± 4.6	.54
Body height (cm)	178.1 ± 6.3	165.2 ± 6.2	< .0001	178.5 ± 6.6	166.7 ± 6.5	< .0001
Body mass (kg)	70.3 ± 9.7	60.5 ± 10.1	< .0001	70.1 ± 10.0	60.8 ± 9.6	< .0001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	22.0 ± 1.1	21.9 ± 1.2	0.97	21.9 ± 1.1	21.7 ± 1.1	.87
WHR (%)	83.4 ± 5.5	73.4 ± 4.6	< .0001	82.6 ± 5.4	72.2 ± 4.1	< .0001
Sum of four skin folds (mm) <sup>b</sup>	35.4 ± 1.5	56.4 ± 1.4	< .0001	33.9 ± 1.1	52.3 ± 1.4	< .0001
Fat mass (kg) <sup>b</sup>	11.6 ± 1.5	16.8 ± 1.3	< .0001	12.1 ± 1.5	16.6 ± 1.3	< .0001
Lean body mass (kg)	57.7 ± 6.5	43.0 ± 5.3	< .0001	56.9 ± 6.6	43.6 ± 5.8	< .0001
IGFBP1 (ng/ml) <sup>b</sup>	10.7 ± 1.9	16.7 ± 2.1	< .0001	11.9 ± 1.9	18.5 ± 2.2	< .0001
Fasting insulin (pmol/l) <sup>b</sup>	33.2 ± 1.5	37.3 ± 1.6	0.02	32.0 ± 1.6	40.8 ± 1.5	.0001
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.0 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.4	< .0001	4.8 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.4	.0001
Leptin (ng/ml) <sup>b</sup>	1.6 ± 2.9	11.4 ± 2.1	< .0001	1.6 ± 2.8	11.6 ± 1.9	< .0001
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.8 ± 1.0	5.1 ± 0.9	0.0008	4.9 ± 1.1	5.2 ± 0.9	.03
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	3.0 ± 0.9	2.9 ± 0.8	0.54	3.1 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 0.8	.06
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.3 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.4	< 0.0001	1.4 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.4	< .0001
Triglycerides (mmol/l) <sup>b</sup>	0.9 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 1.5	0.32	0.9 ± 1.5	0.9 ± 1.3	.85
Non-esterified fatty acids (mmol/l)	0.5 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	< .0001	0.5 ± 0.2	.7 ± 0.2	< .0001

Note: Data are expressed as mean ± SD; <sup>a</sup>*p*-value calculated using standard linear regression, because convergence criteria could not be met in the random intercept model;

<sup>b</sup>Geometric mean ± SD.

twin pairs, of which 26 DZ twin pairs did not provide DNA. As differences in mean age (25.4 vs. 25.7), weight (65.1 vs. 65.8) and height (172.1 vs. 172.1) are negligible between the two samples, the genotyped sample is still representative for the initial DZ twin sample. Two microsatellite markers, one located near *GCK* (D7S2427) and the other near *IGFBP1* (D7S478), deviated from the assumptions of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Deviation from HWE can occur due to genotyping errors, inbreeding, genetic drift, selection and population stratification. Because the last four causes have impact on the whole genome and in the current study only 2 of the 14 markers deviated from HWE, the most likely reason for deviation from HWE is genotyping errors, presumably systematic mistyping of heterozygotes as homozygotes (Gomes et al., 1999). To avoid false positive linkage results, these markers were excluded from further linkage analyses.

Linkage analyses were carried out unadjusted as well as adjusted for significant covariates, resulting in considerable differences between the linkage results (Table 3 and Table 4). In many occasions, unadjusted LOD scores were larger than 1 and gave therefore suggestive evidence for linkage, but after adjusting for covariates LOD scores were non-significant. The D7S2506 marker (*IGFBP1*) for example showed sug-

gestive linkage with the sum of four skinfolds (LOD<sub>S</sub> = 2.03; LOD<sub>E</sub> = 2.19) in the unadjusted analysis, but after adjusting for sex and age the LOD scores were non-significant (LOD<sub>S</sub> = 0.74; LOD<sub>E</sub> = 0.66). The opposite also occurred, where LOD scores were smaller than 1 in the unadjusted analysis, but gave suggestive evidence for linkage after controlling for significant covariates. For example, linkage with the D3S3686 marker (*ADIPOQ*) and triglycerides levels was not significant in the unadjusted analysis (LOD<sub>S</sub> = 0.60; LOD<sub>E</sub> = 0.63), but after adjusting for covariates linkage was suggestive (LOD<sub>S</sub> = 1.09; LOD<sub>E</sub> = 1.42). These data indicate that controlling for covariates has great impact on the final linkage results and without adjustment false positive and false negative outcomes are eminent and may lead to futile follow up studies.

In the present study DNA was only available of 112 DZ twin pairs, and consequently our power to detect QTLs is rather low. In addition, we recognise that due to the large number of statistical tests performed some of the results reported here may represent false-positive findings and therefore our results must be interpreted cautiously. Nevertheless, five of the 12 microsatellite markers located in four candidate gene regions (*ABCC8*, *ADIPOQ*, *IGFBP1* and *LEP*) showed after adjusting for covariates suggestive linkage with several anthropometric and metabolic characteristics. The D11S902 marker close

to the *ABCC8* gene showed suggestive linkage with WHR and HDL-cholesterol levels. 4.5 kb downstream of *ABCC8*, the *KCNJ11* gene encoding the other subunit of the  $K_{ATP}$  channel (Kir6.2) is located. This gene contains the E23K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), which is a well-established genetic risk factor for type 2 diabetes and which is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the coding SNP A1369S (rs757110) in exon 33 of *ABCC8* (Florez et al., 2004; Scott et al., 2007). However, the E23K SNP has been genotyped in our twin sample and association analysis revealed that this SNP did not explain the observed linkage signal (data not shown). This indicates that besides the *KCNJ11* E23K SNP, also other genetic variants predisposing to type 2 diabetes are located in the *ABCC8* gene region. For example, SNPs in the promoter of *ABCC8* that have in a Finnish sample been associated with an increased type 2 diabetes risk (Laukkanen et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the linkage signal might also be the consequence of rare population specific variants.

The D3S1602 marker, upstream the *ADIPOQ* gene, showed evidence for suggestive linkage with body mass, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides levels. The D3S3686 marker, located downstream of *ADIPOQ*, also showed linkage with triglycerides levels, suggesting that a genetic variant close to the markers influences this particular trait. Several genome wide scans reported evidence for linkage with the 3q27 locus and anthropometric and metabolic characteristics (Kissebah et al., 2000; Luke et al., 2003; Pollin et al., 2005). Moreover, a genome-wide linkage scan of plasma adiponectin levels in the Amish Family Diabetes Study reported the highest LOD score between markers D3S1602 and D3S1580, which also flank the *ADIPOQ* gene, as in our study (Pollin et al., 2005). Several polymorphisms in *ADIPOQ* have already been associated with circulating adiponectin levels, obesity, insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease risk (Bouatia-Naji et al., 2006; Gable et al., 2007; Hara et al., 2002; Menzaghi et al., 2002; Pollin et al., 2005; Qi et al., 2006; Sutton et al., 2005; Vasseur et al., 2006). However, more type 2 diabetes candidate genes are located in the *ADIPOQ* gene region and accordingly the observed linkage signals might also be the result of genetic variation in one of these other genes. For example, the somatostatin (*SST*) gene which is in the close vicinity of the D3S3686 marker, and the diacylglycerol kinase gamma (*DGKG*) gene or the insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 2 (*IGF2BP2*) gene that are located near the D3S1602 marker.

The D7S2506 marker located in the *IGFBP1* gene region showed suggestive linkage with fat mass, fasting insulin and leptin levels. Until now, just one genome wide linkage scan reported suggestive linkage with this region (D7S1818; LOD = 2.20) and trends in BMI from childhood to adulthood (Chen et al., 2004). Probably as a consequence, only few association

studies with *IGFBP1* have been carried out. Ukkola et al. (2001) reported association with an intronic SNP and overfeeding induced changes in abdominal visceral fat, OGTT (oral glucose tolerance test) insulin area and total cholesterol levels in twins, and a coding SNP (A4403G) has been associated with impaired renal function in type 2 diabetes (Stephens et al., 2005). Although *IGFBP1* has not been studied very intensively, our linkage results and the strong phenotypic correlation of IGFBP1 levels with other metabolic risk factors suggest an interesting role of the *IGFBP1* gene in the development of the metabolic syndrome (Heald et al., 2001; Wolk et al., 2004). The fact that we did not observe linkage between the D7S2506 marker and IGFBP1 levels is remarkable. However, in a genome wide linkage analysis using expression phenotypes, more *trans*-acting QTLs than *cis*-acting QTLs (mapped within 5Mb of the target gene) were observed (Morley et al., 2004). This indicates that even gene expression levels are complex traits and transcription regulators are not by definition closely located to the gene. In addition, it should be noted that the *IGFBP1* gene is located closely to the *IGFBP3* gene, and therefore *IGFBP3* could also be responsible for the observed linkage signal.

The D7S530 marker located near the *LEP* gene (7q32.2) showed suggestive linkage with birthweight. Linkage of the 7q32.3 locus with birthweight has, as far as we know, not been reported previously. However, a growth-promoting role for leptin during fetal development has been suggested before (Domali & Messinis, 2002), because umbilical cord leptin levels are positively correlated with birthweight (Schulz et al., 2000). Moreover, we recently showed that two polymorphisms in the leptin receptor (*LEPR*) gene predispose to a higher weight at birth (Souren et al., 2008). Interestingly, in the present study the two microsatellite markers located near the *LEPR* gene showed no linkage with birthweight. To detect a QTL for a complex trait like birthweight, which is controlled by many loci with small effects, association studies are more powerful than linkage studies. Hence, linkage signals are probably not the result of one polymorphism, but represent a joint effect of several (common and rare) variants.

In the literature the *GCK*, *IGF1*, *INSR*, *LEP*, *LEPR*, *PPAR $\gamma$*  and *RETN* gene regions have been associated with type 2 diabetes or traits related to this disease (Rankinen et al., 2006). In the current study we did not detect linkage with traits related to type 2 diabetes and markers located within these gene regions. This does not imply that these regions do not contribute to the development of type 2 diabetes in the current sample, but the studied sample or the contribution of these loci to the traits may be too small to detect them in this linkage study.

We compared two methods when performing univariate single point variance components linkage analysis. The standard method comprised only pheno-



typic and genotypic data of the DZ twin pairs and is therefore equal to the sib-pair based variance component linkage analysis implemented in MERLIN (Abecasis et al., 2002). In the extended method, which is implemented in Mx (Neale et al., 2002), the phenotypic data of the MZ twin pairs were also incorporated and model fitting was carried out to obtain the best-fitting model. This results in a more accurate estimation of the variance components compared to the standard method. Despite the differences between the two methods used, they gave fairly similar linkage results. However, for fasting insulin, of which the variance is partly explained by nonadditive genetic factors (DE model), LOD scores were remarkably higher using the extended method compared to the standard method (see Table 3 and Table 4). This might be the result of the low DZ twin correlations, because when non-additive genetic factors are present DZ twin correlations are less than half of the MZ twin correlations. In the standard method the size of the genetic component is estimated based on data of DZ twins only, and we observed that the size of the genetic component in the standard method was lower than in the extended method. Our data might suggest that the underestimation of the genetic effect size in the standard method also results in an underestimation of the QTL effect. This indicates, that when nonadditive genetic factors are present the extended method is more powerful than the standard method. However, nonadditive genetic factors also explain part of the variance of IGFBP1 levels and for this trait LOD scores were not consistently higher using the extended method. Moreover, our sample size and the number of microsatellite markers tested are limited, and therefore these results should be interpreted carefully.

We also performed linkage analyses with birth weight. Because MZ MC twins suffer a more adverse intrauterine environment than MZ DC twins, intra-pair correlations for birthweight are significantly lower for MZ MC compared to MZ DC twins. Since the EFPTS has data on chorionicity available, we were able to compare four different methods for birthweight: (1) the standard method, (2) the extended method incorporating only phenotypic data of MZ DC twins, (3) the extended method incorporating only phenotypic data of MZ MC twins, and (4) the extended method in which phenotypic data of both MZ MC and MZ DC were incorporated (see Tables 3 and 4). In general, the four methods gave similar results, except for the D7S530 marker that showed suggestive linkage using the standard method ( $LOD_{Sunadjusted} = 1.13$ ,  $LOD_{Sadjusted} = 1.02$ ) and the extended method in which only the phenotypic data of the MZ DC twins were incorporated ( $LOD_{E(MZDConly)unadjusted} = 1.12$ ,  $LOD_{E(MZDConly)adjusted} = 0.97$ ). However, LOD scores for the D7S530 marker were considerably lower in the methods incorporating phenotypic data of the MZ MC twins ( $LOD_{E(MZMConly)unadjusted} = 0.41$ ,  $LOD_{E(MZMConly)adjusted} = 0.26$ ;  $LOD_{Eunadjusted} = 0.78$ ,  $LOD_{Eadjusted} = 0.63$ ). Probably due to the low covariance

of the MZ MC twins, the size of the genetic effect and consequently the QTL effect was underestimated. This suggests that including phenotypic data of MZ MC twins results in a reduced power to detect a QTL for birth weight using variance component linkage analyses. In addition, these results indicate that when chorionicity is an important confounder and data on chorionicity is unavailable, variance component linkage analysis should be performed using data of DZ twins only.

Although the sample size and the number of microsatellite markers tested in the present study are limited, our data suggest that genetic variation located in the *ABCC8*, *ADIPOQ*, *IGFBP1* and *LEP* gene regions influence anthropometric and metabolic characteristics in the East Flanders twin sample. In addition, our data shows that carrying out sib-pair based variance components linkage analyses by either using advanced modelling in Mx or using the straightforward standard method implemented in the MERLIN package yield similar results, at least when chorionicity is not an important confounder.

### Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Dutch Diabetes Research Foundation (DFN 2002.00.15) and the National Fund for Scientific Research Belgium (G.0383.03; G.3.0269.97). The EFPTS has been partly supported by grants from Funds of Scientific Research Flanders and by the Association for Scientific Research in Multiple Births (Twins vzw). We are grateful to all twins participating in this study. We thank Ingeborg Berckmoes, Annie Roossens, Lut De Zeure, Margaret Van Heuverswyn and An Voets for fieldwork and technical assistance. We thank Prof. dr. Martine Thomis for reviewing our manuscript.

### References

- Abecasis, G. R., Cherny, S. S., Cookson, W. O., & Cardon, L. R. (2002). Merlin: Rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees. *Nature Genetics*, *30*, 97–101.
- Arya, R., Blangero, J., Williams, K., Almasy, L., Dyer, T. D., Leach, R. J., O'Connell, P., Stern, M. P., & Duggirala, R. (2002). Factors of insulin resistance syndrome: Related phenotypes are linked to genetic locations on chromosomes 6 and 7 in nondiabetic Mexican-Americans. *Diabetes*, *51*, 841–847.
- Ashcroft, F. M. (2005). ATP-sensitive potassium channelopathies: Focus on insulin secretion. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, *115*, 2047–2058.
- Blüher, S., Kratzsch, J., & Kiess, W. (2005). Insulin-like growth factor I, growth hormone and insulin in white adipose tissue. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *19*, 577–587.
- Bouatia-Naji, N., Meyre, D., Lobbens, S., Seron, K., Fumeron, F., Balkau, B., Heude, B., Jouret, B., Scherer, P. E., Dina, C., Weill, J., & Froguel, P. (2006).

**Table 3** Results (LOD Scores) of Univariate Single-Point Variance Components Linkage Analyses Unadjusted for Significant Covariates Performed Using the Standard (S) and the Extended (E) Method

Trait	Method	Model	ABCC8	D3S1602	ADIPOQ	D3S3886	GCK	IGF1	IGFBP1	INSR	LEP	LEPR	D1S2638	D1S198	PPARY	RETN
Birth weight	S		0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.11	<b>1.13</b>	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.13	0.52
	E <sub>MZDonly</sub>	ACE	0.00	0.03	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.01	<b>1.12</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.39
	E <sub>MZMonly</sub>	ACE	0.00	0.02	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.13	0.30
Body height	E	ACE	0.00	0.03	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.01	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.43
	S	AE	0.46	<b>1.33</b>	0.67	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.03	0.00
	E	AE	0.51	<b>1.17</b>	0.60	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.00
Body mass	S	AE	0.11	<b>2.07</b>	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00
	E	AE	0.15	<b>1.98</b>	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.00
	S	AE	0.00	0.47	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00
BMI	E	AE	0.00	0.56	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.00
	S	AE	<b>1.02</b>	0.21	0.19	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	E	AE	<b>1.39</b>	0.05	0.09	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sum of four skin folds	S	AE	0.00	0.58	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>2.03</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
	E	AE	0.00	0.53	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>2.19</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00
	S	AE	0.00	0.88	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>3.64</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.54	0.00
Fat mass	E	AE	0.00	1.00	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>3.53</b>	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.00
	S	AE	0.56	<b>1.56</b>	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.45	0.21	0.05	0.00	0.00
	E	AE	0.61	<b>1.34</b>	0.66	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.49	0.17	0.03	0.00	0.00
IGFBP1	S	DE	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.02	0.25	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00
	E	DE	0.00	0.03	0.13	0.06	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.04	<b>1.12</b>	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04
	S	DE	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.97	0.00	<b>1.09</b>	0.59	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fasting insulin	E	DE	0.60	0.02	0.04	0.19	0.22	<b>1.28</b>	<b>1.28</b>	0.03	<b>1.43</b>	0.86	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.01
	S	AE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.17	0.17	0.92	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48
	E	AE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.16	<b>1.04</b>	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42
Leptin	S	AE	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.88	0.88	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.39	0.05	0.05	0.00
	E	AE	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.00	<b>1.21</b>	<b>1.21</b>	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.49	0.05	0.05	0.00
	S	ACE	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.18	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.01
Total cholesterol	E	ACE	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.13	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.04
	S	ACE	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
	E	ACE	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00
LDL-cholesterol	S	AE	0.84	<b>2.95</b>	<b>2.49</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19
	E	AE	0.92	<b>2.96</b>	<b>2.42</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.22
	S	AE	0.00	0.79	0.60	0.49	0.00	0.34	0.34	0.04	0.18	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00
Triglycerides	E	AE	0.00	0.84	0.63	0.41	0.00	0.37	0.37	0.04	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.57	0.00
	S	AE	0.68	0.06	0.16	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
	E	AE	0.63	0.04	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note: Bold and underlined numbers: LOD>1 and LOD>3 suggestive evidence for linkage; LOD>3 significant evidence for linkage. S: standard method; E: extended method; E<sub>MZDonly</sub>: only phenotypic data of MZ DC twins were incorporated; E<sub>MZMonly</sub>: only phenotypic data of MZ MC twins were incorporated.

**Table 4** Results (LOD Scores) of Univariate Single-Point Variance Components Linkage Analyses Adjusted for Significant Covariates Performed Using the Standard (S) and the Extended (E) Method

Trait	Method	Model	ABCC8	ADIPOQ	GCK	IGF1	IGFBP1	INSR	LEP	LEPR	PPARγ	RETN	Covariates
			D11S902	D3S3686	GCK	IGF1	D7S2506	D19S1034	D7S530	D1S2638	D3S1263	D19S413	
Birth weight	S		0.00	0.02	0.20	0.04	0.07	0.03	<b>1.02</b>	0.00	0.32	0.61	Sex, gestational age
	E <sub>MZDConly</sub>	ACE	0.00	0.02	0.23	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.97	0.00	0.37	0.57	
	E <sub>MZMConly</sub>	ACE	0.00	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.26	0.00	0.16	0.35	
Body height	E	ACE	0.00	0.02	0.25	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.63	0.00	0.31	0.58	Sex, age
	S	AE	0.00	0.95	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.67	0.00	
	E	AE	0.00	0.86	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.66	0.00	
Body mass	S		0.00	<b>1.59</b>	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.00	0.02	0.56	0.52	0.00	Sex, age, height
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	<b>1.50</b>	0.01	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.05	0.24	0.35	0.00	
	S		0.00	0.47	0.05	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.16	0.12	0.41	0.00	Age
BMI	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.50	0.09	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.33	0.00	
	S		<b>1.02</b>	0.01	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.02	0.03	0.00	Sex, age
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	<b>1.09</b>	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.09	0.01	0.00	
Sum of four skin folds	S		0.00	0.53	0.29	0.00	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	Sex, age
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.71	0.36	0.00	0.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	S		0.00	0.67	0.21	0.01	<b>1.20</b>	0.00	0.13	0.18	0.41	0.00	Sex, age
Fat mass	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.75	0.20	0.05	0.85	0.00	0.23	0.12	0.46	0.00	
	S		0.03	0.97	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.16	0.02	0.00	Sex, age, height
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.92	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.27	0.09	0.00	
IGFBP1	S		0.00	0.02	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.01	0.00	Sex, age, BMI
	E	DE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.01	0.40	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.55	0.04	0.03	
	S		0.11	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.29	0.46	0.07	0.00	Age, S4SF
Fasting insulin	E	DE	0.31	0.18	0.28	0.11	<b>1.13</b>	0.07	0.66	0.70	0.31	0.00	
	S		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.17	0.91	0.10	0.00	0.05	0.23	Sex, BMI
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.08	0.70	0.16	0.00	0.11	0.09	
Fasting glucose	S		0.01	0.37	0.15	0.02	<b>1.54</b>	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.00	Sex, age, S4SF
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.00	0.36	0.22	0.32	<b>1.42</b>	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.00	
	S		0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.09	0.00	0.12	0.30	0.11	Age, S4SF
Total cholesterol	E	AE	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.04	
	S		0.07	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.43	0.05	0.00	0.14	0.22	0.00	Sex, age, S4SF
	E	AE	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	
LDL-cholesterol	S		0.93	<b>1.83</b>	0.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	Sex, S4SF
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	<b>1.09</b>	<b>1.88</b>	0.70	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	
	S		0.00	1.00	<b>1.09</b>	0.73	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.00	Sex, S4SF
Triglycerides	E	AE	0.00	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.42</b>	0.58	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	
	S		0.28	0.16	0.15	0.00	0.27	0.01	0.00	0.19	0.02	0.00	Sex, S4SF
	E	AE <sup>a</sup>	0.21	0.05	0.13	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.01	0.00	

Note: Bold and underlined numbers: LOD > 1 suggestive evidence for linkage. S: standard method, E: extended method, E<sub>MZDConly</sub>: only phenotypic data of MZDC twins were incorporated, E<sub>MZMConly</sub>: only phenotypic data of MZMC twins were incorporated. <sup>a</sup> Scalar effect.

- ACDC/Adiponectin Polymorphisms are associated with severe childhood and adult obesity. *Diabetes*, 55, 545–550.
- Chen, W., Li, S., Cook, N. R., Rosner, B. A., Srinivasan, S. R., Boerwinkle, E., & Berenson, G. S. (2004). An autosomal genome scan for loci influencing longitudinal burden of body mass index from childhood to young adulthood in white sibships: The Bogalusa Heart Study. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 28, 462–469.
- Domali, E., & Messinis, I. E. (2002). Leptin in pregnancy. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, 12, 222–230.
- Florez, J. C., Burtt, N., de Bakker, P. I., Almgren, P., Tuomi, T., Holmkvist, J., Gaudet, D., Hudson, T. J., Schaffner, S. F., Daly, M. J., Hirschhorn, J. N., Groop, L., & Altshuler, D. (2004). Haplotype structure and genotype-phenotype correlations of the sulfonylurea receptor and the islet ATP-sensitive potassium channel gene region. *Diabetes*, 53, 1360–1368.
- Gable, D. R., Matin, J., Whittall, R., Cakmak, H., Li, K. W., Cooper, J., Miller, G. J., & Humphries, S. E. (2007). Common adiponectin gene variants show different effects on risk of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes in European subjects. *Annals of Human Genetics*, 71, 453–466.
- Gil-Campos, M., Canete, R. R., & Gil, A. (2004). Adiponectin, the missing link in insulin resistance and obesity. *Clinical Nutrition*, 23, 963–974.
- Golay, A., & Ybarra, J. (2005). Link between obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 19, 649–663.
- Gomes, I., Collins, A., Lonjou, C., Thomas, N. S., Wilkinson, J., Watson, M., & Morton, N. (1999). Hardy-Weinberg quality control. *Annals of Human Genetics*, 63, 535–538.
- Grundy, S. M. (2006). Drug therapy of the metabolic syndrome: minimizing the emerging crisis in polypharmacy. *Nature Reviews: Drug Discovery*, 5, 295–309.
- Gurnell, M. (2005). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and the regulation of adipocyte function: Lessons from human genetic studies. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 19, 501–523.
- Hara, K., Boutin, P., Mori, Y., Tobe, K., Dina, C., Yasuda, K., Yamauchi, T., Otabe, S., Okada, T., Eto, K., Kadowaki, H., Hagura, R., Akanuma, Y., Yazaki, Y., Nagai, R., Taniyama, M., Matsubara, K., Yoda, M., Nakano, Y., Tomita, M., Kimura, S., Ito, C., Froguel, P., & Kadowaki, T. (2002). Genetic variation in the gene encoding adiponectin is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes in the Japanese population. *Diabetes*, 51, 536–540.
- Heald, A. H., Cruickshank, J. K., Riste, L. K., Cade, J. E., Anderson, S., Greenhalgh, A., Sampayo, J., Taylor, W., Fraser, W., White, A., & Gibson, J. M. (2001). Close relation of fasting insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1) with glucose tolerance and cardiovascular risk in two populations. *Diabetologia*, 44, 333–339.
- Janssen, J. A., & Lamberts, S. W. (2002). The role of IGF-I in the development of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Is prevention possible? *European Journal of Endocrinology*, 146, 467–477.
- Kissebah, A. H., Sonnenberg, G. E., Myklebust, J., Goldstein, M., Broman, K., James, R. G., Marks, J. A., Krakower, G. R., Jacob, H. J., Weber, J., Martin, L., Blangero, J., & Comuzzie, A. G. (2000). Quantitative trait loci on chromosomes 3 and 17 influence phenotypes of the metabolic syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97, 14478–14483.
- Koerner, A., Kratzsch, J., & Kiess, W. (2005). Adipocytokines: leptin—the classical, resistin—the controversial, adiponectin—the promising, and more to come. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 19, 525–546.
- Krosnick, A. (2000). The diabetes and obesity epidemic among the Pima Indians. *New Jersey Medicine*, 97, 31–37.
- Kulkarni, R. N. (2004). The islet beta-cell. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*, 36, 365–371.
- Lander, E., & Kruglyak, L. (1995). Genetic dissection of complex traits: Guidelines for interpreting and reporting linkage results. *Nature Genetics*, 11, 241–247.
- Laukkanen, O., Pihlajamaki, J., Lindstrom, J., Eriksson, J., Valle, T. T., Hamalainen, H., Ilanne-Parikka, P., Keinanen-Kiukaanniemi, S., Tuomilehto, J., Uusitupa, M., & Laakso, M. (2004). Polymorphisms of the SUR1 (ABCC8) and Kir6.2 (KCNJ11) genes predict the conversion from impaired glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 89, 6286–6290.
- Lee, P. D., Giudice, L. C., Conover, C. A., & Powell, D. R. (1997). Insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1: Recent findings and new directions. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 216, 319–357.
- Loos, R., Derom, C., Vlietinck, R., & Derom, R. (1998). The East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey (Belgium): A population-based register. *Twin Research*, 1, 167–175.
- Loos, R. J., Katzmarzyk, P. T., Rao, D. C., Rice, T., Leon, A. S., Skinner, J. S., Wilmore, J. H., Rankinen, T., & Bouchard, C. (2003). Genome-wide linkage scan for the metabolic syndrome in the HERITAGE Family Study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 88, 5935–5943.
- Luke, A., Wu, X., Zhu, X., Kan, D., Su, Y., & Cooper, R. (2003). Linkage for BMI at 3q27 region confirmed in an African-American population. *Diabetes*, 52, 1284–1287.

- Meier, U., & Gressner, A. M. (2004). Endocrine regulation of energy metabolism: Review of pathobiochemical and clinical chemical aspects of leptin, ghrelin, adiponectin, and resistin. *Clinical Chemistry*, *50*, 1511–1525.
- Menzaghi, C., Ercolino, T., Di Paola, R., Berg, A. H., Warram, J. H., Scherer, P. E., Trischitta, V., & Doria, A. (2002). A haplotype at the adiponectin locus is associated with obesity and other features of the insulin resistance syndrome. *Diabetes*, *51*, 2306–2312.
- Morley, M., Molony, C. M., Weber, T. M., Devlin, J. L., Ewens, K. G., Spielman, R. S., & Cheung, V. G. (2004). Genetic analysis of genome-wide variation in human gene expression. *Nature*, *430*, 743–747.
- Muoio, D. M., & Lynis Dohm, G. (2002). Peripheral metabolic actions of leptin. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *16*, 653–666.
- Neale, M. C., Boker, S. M., Xie, G., & Maes, H. H. (2002). *Mx: Statistical Modeling*. Richmond, VA: VCU Department of Psychiatry.
- O’Rahilly, S., Barroso, I., & Wareham, N. J. (2005). Genetic factors in type 2 diabetes: The end of the beginning? *Science*, *307*, 370–373.
- Paracchini, V., Pedotti, P., & Taioli, E. (2005). Genetics of leptin and obesity: A HuGE review. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *162*, 101–114.
- Pollin, T. I., Tanner, K., O’Connell, J. R., Ott, S. H., Damcott, C. M., Shuldiner, A. R., McLenithan, J. C., & Mitchell, B. D. (2005). Linkage of plasma adiponectin levels to 3q27 explained by association with variation in the APM1 gene. *Diabetes*, *54*, 268–274.
- Qi, L., Doria, A., Manson, J. E., Meigs, J. B., Hunter, D., Mantzoros, C. S., & Hu, F. B. (2006). Adiponectin genetic variability, plasma adiponectin, and cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*, *55*, 1512–1516.
- Rankinen, T., Zuberi, A., Chagnon, Y. C., Weisnagel, S. J., Argyropoulos, G., Walts, B., Perusse, L., & Bouchard, C. (2006). The human obesity gene map: The 2005 update. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*, *14*, 529–644.
- Rea, R., & Donnelly, R. (2004). Resistin: An adipocyte-derived hormone. Has it a role in diabetes and obesity? *Diabetes Obesity and Metabolism*, *6*, 163–170.
- Saltiel, A. R. (2001). New perspectives into the molecular pathogenesis and treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Cell*, *104*, 517–529.
- Schulz, S., Hackel, C., & Weise, W. (2000). Hormonal regulation of neonatal weight: Placental leptin and leptin receptors. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, *107*, 1486–1491.
- Scott, L. J., Mohlke, K. L., Bonnycastle, L. L., Willer, C. J., Li, Y., Duren, W. L., Erdos, M. R., Stringham, H. M., Chines, P. S., Jackson, A. U., Prokunina-Olsson, L., Ding, C. J., Swift, A. J., Narisu, N., Hu, T., Pruim, R., Xiao, R., Li, X. Y., Conneely, K. N., Riebow, N. L., Sprau, A. G., Tong, M., White, P. P., Hetrick, K. N., Barnhart, M. W., Bark, C. W., Goldstein, J. L., Watkins, L., Xiang, F., Saramies, J., Buchanan, T. A., Watanabe, R. M., Valle, T. T., Kinnunen, L., Abecasis, G. R., Pugh, E. W., Doheny, K. F., Bergman, R. N., Tuomilehto, J., Collins, F. S., & Boehnke, M. (2007). A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. *Science*, *316*, 1341–1345.
- Souren, N. Y., Paulussen, A. D., Loos, R. J., Gielen, M., Beunen, G., Fagard, R., Derom, C., Vlietinck, R., & Zeegers, M. P. (2007). Anthropometry, carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey: Heritabilities. *Diabetologia*, *50*, 2107–2116.
- Souren, N. Y., Paulussen, A. D. C., Steyls, A., Loos, R. J. F., Stassen, A. P., Gielen, M., Smeets, H. J., Beunen, G., Fagard, R., Derom, C., Vlietinck, R., Geraedts, J. P., & Zeegers, M. P. (2008). Common SNPs in LEP and LEPR associated with birth weight and type 2 diabetes related metabolic risk factors in twins. *International Journal of Obesity*, *32*, 1233–1239.
- Stephens, R. H., McElduff, P., Heald, A. H., New, J. P., Worthington, J., Ollier, W. E., & Gibson, J. M. (2005). Polymorphisms in IGF-binding protein 1 are associated with impaired renal function in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*, *54*, 3547–3553.
- Sutton, B. S., Weinert, S., Langefeld, C. D., Williams, A. H., Campbell, J. K., Saad, M. F., Haffner, S. M., Norris, J. M., & Bowden, D. W. (2005). Genetic analysis of adiponectin and obesity in Hispanic families: the IRAS Family Study. *Human Genetics*, *117*, 107–118.
- Ukkola, O., Sun, G., & Bouchard, C. (2001). Insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) and IGF-binding protein 1 (IGFBP1) gene variants are associated with overfeeding-induced metabolic changes. *Diabetologia*, *44*, 2231–2236.
- Vasseur, F., Meyre, D., & Froguel, P. (2006). Adiponectin, type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome: Lessons from human genetic studies. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine*, *8*, 1–12.
- Wolk, K., Larsson, S. C., Vessby, B., Wolk, A., & Brismar, K. (2004). Metabolic, anthropometric, and nutritional factors as predictors of circulating insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1 levels in middle-aged and elderly men. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *89*, 1879–1884.
- Yan, L., Figueroa, D. J., Austin, C. P., Liu, Y., Bugianesi, R. M., Slaughter, R. S., Kaczorowski, G. J., & Kohler, M. G. (2004). Expression of voltage-gated potassium channels in human and rhesus pancreatic islets. *Diabetes*, *53*, 597–607.