

The impact of diabetes and glucose-lowering therapies on hepatocellular carcinoma incidence and overall survival

Hydes, Theresa J.; Cuthbertson, Daniel J.; Graef, Suzanne; Berhane, Sarah; Teng, Mabel; Skowronska, Anna; Singh, Pushpa; Dhanaraj, Sofi; Tahrani, Abd; Johnson, Philip J.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.12.011)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Hydes, TJ, Cuthbertson, DJ, Graef, S, Berhane, S, Teng, M, Skowronska, A, Singh, P, Dhanaraj, S, Tahrani, A & Johnson, PJ 2022, 'The impact of diabetes and glucose-lowering therapies on hepatocellular carcinoma incidence and overall survival', *Clinical Therapeutics*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 257-268.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.12.011>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 *Purpose* UK hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) incidence has increased 60% over ten years. The
3 obesity and type 2 diabetes epidemics are contributing factors. In this paper we examine the
4 impact of diabetes and glucose lowering treatments on HCC incidence and overall survival
5 (OS).

6 *Methods* Data from 1064 patients diagnosed with chronic liver disease (CLD) (n=340) or HCC
7 (n=724) were collected between 2007-2012. Patients with HCC were followed up
8 prospectively. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression determined HCC risk factors.
9 Kaplan Meier curves were used to examine survival and cox proportional hazards analysis
10 estimated hazard ratios (HR) for death according to use of glucose lowering therapies.

11 *Findings* Diabetes prevalence was 39.6% and 10.6% within the HCC and CLD cohorts
12 respectively. The odds ratio (OR) for having HCC in patients with diabetes was 5.55 (p<0.001).
13 Univariate analysis demonstrated an increased association of HCC with age, sex, cirrhosis,
14 haemochromatosis, alcohol abuse, diabetes and Child's Pugh score. In multivariate analysis
15 age, sex, cirrhosis, Child's Pugh score, diabetes status and insulin use retained significance.
16 Diabetes status did not significantly impact OS in HCC, however in people with diabetes and
17 HCC, metformin treatment was associated with improved OS (mean survival 31 vs. 24 months,
18 p=0.016; HR for death 0.75, p=0.032).

19 *Implications* Diabetes is significantly associated with HCC in the UK. Metformin treatment is
20 associated with improved OS following HCC diagnosis. Treatment of diabetes should be
21 appropriately reviewed in high risk populations, with specific consideration as to the potential
22 hepatoprotective effects of metformin in HCC.

23

24 **KEYWORDS**

25 Diabetes

26 Hepatocellular carcinoma

27 Metformin

28 Insulin

29

30 **INTRODUCTION**

31 Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is associated with an increased risk of death from liver disease and
32 hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC),¹ in addition to extra-hepatic malignancies of the
33 gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, breast, ovaries, endometrium, uterus, bladder and kidneys.^{1,2}
34 Common lifestyle risk factors including increasing age, obesity, physical inactivity and

1 smoking all likely contribute to the overall increased cancer risk in patients with T2D. Although
2 the mechanistic process that links diabetes to cancer is not yet completely appreciated, such
3 biological mechanisms as hyperglycaemia, hyperinsulinemia/insulin resistance, increased
4 bioactivity of insulin-like growth factor 1, oxidative stress, dysregulation of sex hormones, and
5 chronic inflammation may drive the association.³

6 Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the malignancies whose incidence and mortality is
7 most rapidly increasing in the general population and patients with T2D. In the UK, the age-
8 standardised incidence rates for liver cancer have increased by 60%, and mortality rates have
9 increased by almost half over the last decade.⁴ The magnitude of the risk varies between studies
10 but is consistently higher (odds ratio (OR) 2-3) than age- and body mass index (BMI)-matched
11 controls without T2D.^{5,6} The risk of HCC appears to be related to T2D disease duration with
12 the greatest risk being in those who have had diabetes for >10 years.⁷ The development of HCC
13 in patients with T2D may also be related to a background of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
14 (NAFLD), often complicated by overweight/obesity. NAFLD has been found to lead to an
15 increased risk of HCC even in the absence of cirrhosis, and a greater proportion of these
16 individuals have components of the metabolic syndrome.⁸ There is also evidence that people
17 with T2D are more likely to develop cirrhosis in the context of NAFLD,^{8,9} putting them at
18 higher risk of HCC. The risk of HCC in T2D is likely dependent on its interaction with
19 obesity/BMI and may synergistically increase the risk of HCC in patients already at higher
20 background risk of HCC such as those with pre-existing chronic liver disease (CLD).¹⁰ In a
21 study of over 135,000 patients with NAFLD from four European primary care databases, the
22 strongest independent predictor of a diagnosis of HCC or cirrhosis was baseline diagnosis of
23 diabetes.¹¹ In addition to its association with a higher incidence of cancer and HCC, T2D also
24 adversely impacts upon the outcome associated with an increased cancer mortality.¹²

25 There is increasing evidence that certain glucose lowering therapies may modify cancer risk
26 and outcomes. A recent meta-analysis suggests that treatment with metformin may be
27 associated with a lower risk of HCC and may beneficially influence HCC prognosis, whereas
28 treatment with insulin or sulphonylureas appears to be associated with a higher HCC risk.^{13,14}

29 There is similar evidence of a reduction in the incidence of liver cancer with thiazolidinediones,
30 with more potent protective effects occurring with a higher cumulative dose and longer
31 duration of treatment.^{15,16} Metformin particularly appears to have anti-neoplastic and tumour-
32 suppressing activity for a number of tumour types and thus appears to have a chemo-preventive
33 and chemo-therapeutic effect.¹⁷ Newer therapies, such as sodium-glucose co-transporter 2
34 (SGLT2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 receptor agonists, have only been

1 licensed and used in the last few years so their longer-term effects of hepatocarcinogenesis in
2 people are not yet known.

3 The aim of this study was to determine whether, and to what extent, diabetes represents a risk
4 factor for HCC, to assess the impact of concomitant diabetes on overall survival from HCC
5 and examine the influence of various glucose lowering therapies on HCC survival.

6

7 **PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS**

8

9 **Ethical approval**

10 Data was collected as part of a larger biomarker study. The study received approval by the
11 South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee (Reference 06/Q2707/182).

12

13 **Data collection**

14 We conducted a single-institution study at University Hospital Birmingham, a regional referral
15 centre within the UK. Data was collected from patients seen with either a diagnosis of CLD
16 (defined as NAFLD, alcohol-related liver disease, chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) or chronic
17 hepatitis C virus (HCV), genetic haemochromatosis, autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary
18 cholangitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis, or another cause of metabolic liver disease) or HCC
19 between January 2007 to March 2012. Patients with a diagnosis of HCC were followed up
20 prospectively to the end of the study.

21

22 **Demographic, biochemical and clinical data**

23 We collected data on demographics (age, sex, ethnicity), liver biochemistry (liver enzymes,
24 markers of liver synthetic function and serum alpha-fetoprotein), risk factors for HCC and CLD
25 including diabetes, severity of liver disease (Child's Pugh classification), HCC stage, treatment
26 received and survival. A diagnosis of cirrhosis was made using histology, imaging or via the
27 presence of features of decompensation or portal hypertension. HBV and HCV infection were
28 defined by the presence of Hepatitis B surface antigen or anti-HCV respectively. Alcohol abuse
29 was defined as drinking > 20g alcohol per day for women, or > 30g alcohol per day for men.
30 HCC was diagnosed by imaging (computerised tomography or magnetic resonance imaging)
31 or lesional liver biopsy with histopathological confirmation. All cases were reviewed in a
32 specialist regional liver unit by experienced radiologists and histopathologists as part of a
33 weekly multidisciplinary meeting.

34

1 **Diabetes and glucose-lowering therapies**

2 A diagnosis of diabetes was taken from the patient's medical records and where relevant,
3 details of glucose-lowering therapy (oral agents and subcutaneous insulin) were recorded.
4 Unfortunately we did not have access to data to allow differentiation between type 1 and type
5 2 diabetes. Treatment of diabetes was analysed by reviewing all drugs taken within the course
6 of the patients' disease to determine whether treatment had been administered and at what time
7 point. For a patient to be categorised as a user they were required to have been taking the drug
8 for at least 6 months. Patients were categorised according to the different types of anti-diabetes
9 treatment: i) metformin, ii) sulphonylureas, iii) insulin. The study was conducted before the
10 use of more contemporary glucose lowering therapies such as SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1
11 receptor agonists.

12

13 **Statistical Analysis**

14 The Mann-Whitney U test and chi-square test were used to compare continuous and categorical
15 data respectively. Univariate and multivariate analysis was performed using logistic regression
16 to determine factors associated with HCC using the CLD group as controls. Kaplan Meier
17 analysis was used to compare survival for patients with and without diabetes, in addition to
18 different diabetic treatments. Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to estimate the hazard
19 ratio (HR) for death for HCC patients with different glucose lowering treatments. Propensity
20 score analysis was used to examine the impact of demographics, liver disease severity and
21 performance status on this relationship.

22

23 **RESULTS**

24

25 **Demographic details and co-morbidities**

26

27 *Overall population*

28 The cohort consisted of 1064 individuals (724 with HCC and 340 CLD controls). The study
29 flow chart is shown in Figure 1. The mean age of all patients within this study was 60.1 (+/-
30 14) years. The patient population was of mixed ethnicity: 79% of patients were white, 12%
31 Asian Indian, 3% Afro Caribbean, 3% Asian Oriental and 4% other ethnic origin.

32

33 *Comparison of patients with HCC and CLD (controls) (table 1)*

1 Patients with HCC were on average 11 years older than those with CLD (63.6 +/- 12.7 vs. 52.8
2 +/- 13.7 years). The majority of participants were male (81% in the HCC cohort and 63% in
3 the CLD control group). There were a number of co-morbid diseases present within both groups
4 due to the nature of the population selection. HBV infection was present in 14% and 20%,
5 HCV infection in 24% and 33%, alcohol-related liver disease was diagnosed in 37% and 28%
6 and NAFLD in 8 and 10% of the HCC group and CLD controls respectively. In total 71% and
7 47% of HCC patients and CLD controls had a diagnosis of cirrhosis. There was a significant
8 difference in the disease prevalence of diabetes between the HCC cohort and the CLD controls
9 (39 vs. 11%; $p < 0.001$).

11 *Comparison of patients with and without diabetes (table 2)*

12 For the HCC and CLD groups combined, patients with diabetes were on average 9 years older
13 (66.1 +/- 9.7 vs. 57 +/- 14.7 years) than those without diabetes with a similar sex distribution
14 between the two groups (81% and 72% male, diabetes vs. no diabetes). Ethnicity distribution
15 was also comparable. Co-morbidities differed in distribution within the two groups. HBV was
16 seen in 9% and 19%, HCV in 19% and 30%, haemochromatosis in 7% and 2%, primary biliary
17 cholangitis in 2% and 6%, and NAFLD in 18% and 5% of patients with or without diabetes
18 (all $p < 0.05$), i.e. metabolic disease was found more commonly in people with diabetes, and
19 viral hepatitis was found more commonly in people without diabetes. The frequency of alcohol
20 abuse was similar between groups (35% and 34%). The prevalence of cirrhosis was higher in
21 people with diabetes (70% vs. 61%, $p < 0.05$).

23 *Glucose-lowering therapies in patients with diabetes*

24 Some patients were treated with lifestyle intervention only (diet and exercise). Metformin was
25 the most commonly prescribed drug in 53%, subcutaneous insulin in 39% and sulphonylureas
26 in 36% of all patients. There were no significant differences in the diabetes therapies used
27 between group, including the prescription of insulin (41% of HCC group vs. 25% of CLD;
28 $p = 0.069$) (table 3). The use of lifestyle intervention alone however was more common in HCC
29 patients compared with CLD patients (30% vs. 14%; $p = 0.046$).

31 **Factors associated with incidence of HCC: case-control data**

33 *Demographic and clinical risk factors*

1 HCC patients and CLD controls were subjected to univariate analysis on factors known to
2 increase the risk of HCC. The presence of diabetes produced an OR of 5.55 (95% CI 3.81 –
3 8.08; $p < 0.001$). Other factors with ORs reaching significance included age, sex, cirrhosis,
4 haemochromatosis, alcohol abuse and Child's Pugh score (table 4). NAFLD was not
5 significantly associated with HCC risk, OR 0.86 (95% CI 0.55-1.34). Multivariate logistic
6 regression was performed to identify whether the role of diabetes in HCC retained
7 independence when additional variables were added to the model. All factors that had shown
8 significance within univariate analysis were added to the model. Factors maintaining
9 significance were age, sex, cirrhosis, Child's Pugh score, diabetes and insulin (table 4).

11 *Effects of glucose lowering therapies on the presence of HCC*

12 Univariate analysis was also performed to examine the relationship between treatment of
13 diabetes and HCC. In univariate analysis all treatments showed an increased OR for the
14 presence of HCC. However, when adjusted for diabetes, only insulin and diet retained an
15 increased OR to a significant level ($p < 0.05$) (table 5). Multivariate analysis allowed adjustment
16 for the effects of all diabetic treatments within the same model, along with the presence of
17 diabetes itself. When the model contained either diet or insulin alongside diabetes, the
18 independent effect of the two factors entered into the model was maintained. However, when
19 both insulin and diet were added together to the model, the significance of diabetes was lost
20 (table 5).

22 **Survival analysis for patients with HCC: prospective data**

23 The median follow up time for people with HCC was 25 months (range 0 – 139 months).
24 Kaplan Meier curve analysis showed no difference in survival when comparing people with
25 and without diabetes ($p = 0.56$) (Figure 2). The percentage of patients with cirrhosis (71% in
26 both groups) and features of hepatic decompensation at the time of HCC diagnosis was
27 comparable between groups, as was the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage and broad
28 treatment category (palliative or curative intent) (supplementary table 1).

29 The impact of glucose-lowering therapies on overall survival was also examined. Metformin
30 was associated with a beneficial effect on survival, with a mean survival of 31 months versus
31 24 months for other glucose-lowering therapies ($p = 0.016$) (Figure 3A). Metformin had a lower
32 HR for death (HR 0.75, 95% CI 0.57 – 0.98, $p = 0.032$) in contrast other glucose-lowering
33 therapies (insulin HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.69 – 1.19, $p = 0.453$; sulphonylureas HR 0.81, 95% CI
34 0.60 – 1.09, $p = 0.155$). The survival benefit from metformin lost statistical significance

1 however following a propensity score analysis which adjusted for Child's Pugh score,
2 performance status, age and gender (HR 0.77, 95% CI 0.59 - 1.00, p=0.055). Although, no
3 other treatment option had a significant effect on survival (Figure 3B, 3C), metformin taken in
4 combination with insulin, was associated with an increase in survival time compared with those
5 patients taking insulin alone; mean survival was 31.2 months in the combined group versus
6 21.4 months in the insulin alone group (p=0.008) (Figure 3D).

7 8 **DISCUSSION**

9 10 **Main findings**

11 In this cohort of patients with HCC and a CLD control group, we demonstrate a significant
12 association between diabetes and HCC. The absence of any pharmacological glucose lowering
13 therapy (*i.e.* dietary management) was significantly associated with developing HCC, as was
14 insulin use in a multivariate model, although we did not have the available data to analyse how
15 this relates to glycaemic control, or diabetes duration. Metformin use was not found to be
16 associated with HCC incidence. In those individuals who developed HCC, treatment with
17 metformin was associated with a longer overall survival: a more than 30% prolongation in
18 median survival time compared to other glucose-lowering therapies. The beneficial association
19 of metformin use and survival lost statistical significance however following propensity score
20 analysis.

21 22 **Comparison to the existing literature**

23 These findings support the substantial body of evidence, which has identified diabetes as a
24 significant risk factor for liver cancer.^{1,5-7,18,19} We did not however observe any difference in
25 survival according to diabetes status among individuals with HCC in contrast with other major
26 studies, and disease stage in terms of cirrhosis severity and BCLC cancer staging were
27 comparable between groups at the time of diagnosis.¹²

28 This study adds to our understanding of the influence of glucose-lowering therapies on the
29 development of cancer including HCC. Metformin was first demonstrated to be associated with
30 reduced cancer risk in people with diabetes in 2005, with the adjusted OR reducing
31 proportionately with increasing duration of exposure and cumulative dose dispensed.²⁰ Several
32 meta-analyses have demonstrated an attenuated risk of developing liver cancer in metformin
33 users of 50-60%, although significant heterogeneity was observed.^{6,13,14,21} A nationwide study
34 of nearly 100,000 HCC patients with matched controls demonstrated that each incremental year

1 increase in metformin use resulted in a 7% reduction in HCC risk.²² The preventative role of
2 metformin against incident HCC has been contested however, as is the case in this study.^{7,23–25}
3 A meta-analysis identified that the protective effects observed for metformin use were not
4 supported by randomised control trial data.²³ Furthermore a retrospective cohort study of nearly
5 96,000 people with T2D failed to show that users of metformin benefited from protection
6 against all cancers including HCC compared to those taking sulphonylureas.²⁴ We did however
7 observe a significant association between metformin use and improved survival from HCC,
8 and these findings are consistent with the existing literature.^{26–28} In contrast, use of insulin and
9 insulin secretagogues (e.g. sulphonylureas) has been associated with an increased risk of liver
10 (and other including colorectal, lung, stomach and pancreatic) cancer, consistent with the
11 findings presented here.^{6,13,21,29} Whether these relationships are causal or influenced by the
12 duration or severity of diabetes, or associated of obesity, remains unclear. The relationship of
13 risk with glycaemic control in T2D is also not fully understood, although one study highlighted
14 the additional risk observed in the group with poor metabolic control.³⁰
15 Despite having been used for nearly a century,³¹ metformin is still recommended in all
16 guidelines as first-line therapy for T2D.³² The mechanism of its glucose lowering action maybe
17 mediated through its ability to activate the AMP-activated protein kinase in peripheral insulin-
18 sensitive tissues, stimulating skeletal muscle glucose uptake and inhibiting hepatic
19 gluconeogenesis. However, the upstream regulator of AMPK is liver kinase B1 (LKB1), a
20 tumour suppressor gene, and it appears that metformin can suppress tumour formation and
21 inhibit cell growth by inhibiting the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway through
22 an LKB1-AMPK dependent mechanism.³³ This negative correlation between AMPK activity
23 and proliferation of HCC (assessed with Ki67 level, a proliferation marker and tumour size)
24 has been shown in cell lines, rodent models and in clinical samples.³⁴ The molecular pathway
25 appears to involve phosphorylation and inactivation of Sirtuin1, the p53 deacetylase,
26 promoting p53 acetylation and apoptosis of HCC cells.³⁵
27 Of note we found that the prevalence of patients with HCV infection was lower in patients with
28 diabetes (19%), than those without (30%). This is not consistent with the literature, which has
29 found that HCV can increase insulin resistance.³⁶

30

31 **Importance of the study**

32 The prevalence of liver disease is increasing dramatically with fourfold increases in the UK
33 standardised mortality rate since 1970.³⁷ While much of this overall mortality relates to excess
34 alcohol, the exponential rise in the prevalence of overweight and obesity, and in parallel T2D,

1 cannot be overlooked. With 63% of UK adults now classified as overweight or obese, NAFLD
2 (i.e. hepatic steatosis associated with obesity, T2D and other components of the metabolic
3 syndrome) is becoming increasingly common. NAFLD represents a disease spectrum ranging
4 from simple steatosis (fatty infiltration), non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis and
5 cirrhosis. In the next decade, NAFLD is predicted to become the primary cause of liver
6 transplantation.³⁸ It is reckoned that 40-70% of people with T2D have NAFLD, a risk factor
7 for HCC, so considering the current obesity/T2D epidemic, the high prevalence of NAFLD
8 may partly explain the doubling of rates of HCC in the last few decades and their projected rise
9 by 38% by 2035.³⁹ The frequent co-existence of NAFLD and T2D likely also contributes to
10 the higher incidence and risk of mortality from liver cancer and cirrhosis that is approximately
11 two fold higher in patients with T2D.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ Furthermore, additional risk factors may also be
12 evident with a synergistic effect. The risk of developing CLD including cancer is supra-additive
13 when obesity and excess alcohol intake co-exist,⁴⁴ while T2D magnifies the risk of cirrhosis,
14 liver cancer and liver-related deaths for people with other aetiologies of liver disease, including
15 viral hepatitis.^{10,45} Increased recognition of the significant role of diabetes in the development
16 of end stage liver disease and liver cancer is therefore a priority.

17

18 **Clinical implications**

19 Clearly the liver-related complications are significant in T2D but as the absolute risk of HCC
20 remains small it currently is not a complication that is screened for. There is no universally
21 accepted algorithm to screen for NAFLD-related liver fibrosis in individuals with obesity,
22 metabolic syndrome and T2D with discordance between international guidelines (NICE,
23 EASD/EASO/EASL and AASLD).⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ The American Diabetes Association recommend that
24 patients with T2D/prediabetes with elevated liver enzymes or fatty liver on ultrasound should
25 be evaluated for presence of NASH and liver fibrosis.⁴⁹ Further studies on the cost-
26 effectiveness of case finding for liver fibrosis in this setting are required, and this may provide
27 a positive step forward to improve HCC screening in this higher risk cohort. Given the balance
28 of evidence generally in favour for a chemo-preventive role against HCC (and other
29 malignancies) among patients with diabetes, and improved survival, metformin should be
30 continued in patients even with cirrhosis (excluding those with decompensation) to provide
31 this benefit.

32

33 **Study strengths and limitations**

1 To the best of our knowledge this is the first prospective UK study to look at diabetes as a risk
2 factor for liver cancer survival, and the first prospective UK study to examine the role of
3 diabetic therapies on cancer risk and survival in the setting for HCC specifically. A significant
4 strength is that the data was collected prospectively with a 5 year follow up. We acknowledge
5 some limitations to the study, partly a reflection of the time period in which the data was first
6 collected. Most significantly we were unable to assess if the relationships observed between
7 diabetes and HCC were independent of body mass index (BMI) and the presence of NAFLD.
8 This was due to the fact that at the time of data collection, only a minority of participants had
9 BMI data recorded, and a significant proportion were labelled as having an unknown cause of
10 liver disease, many of which in hindsight probably had NAFLD. Unfortunately, we were
11 unable to access this data retrospectively. Furthermore, data pertaining to glycaemic control,
12 specific diabetes sub-type (most likely >90% had T2D) and disease duration was also not
13 available in the majority of people with diabetes so we could not examine the impact of this on
14 the observed effects of diabetes therapies on HCC incidence and survival. This may be
15 particularly pertinent to the relationship between insulin and HCC incidence as this may be
16 confounded by poorer glycaemic control or longer disease duration. Similarly, it may be true
17 for those not on any glucose lowering treatment that this reflected chronic poor/sub-optimal
18 glycaemic control. The study was undertaken before widespread prescription and availability
19 of more contemporary glucose-lowering therapies such SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor
20 agonists (that may also modulate liver steatosis +/- fibrosis) and so their impact on HCC could
21 not be assessed.

22

23 **CONCLUSIONS**

24 We demonstrate a significant association between HCC and diabetes, but highlight the
25 significant improvement in overall survival in those people with HCC treated with metformin.
26 These data highlight an emerging, but thus far frequently overlooked, epidemiologically
27 significant complication of the diabetes and obesity pandemics. The study findings raise
28 important questions about the value of closer screening for CLD, cirrhosis and even HCC in
29 people with diabetes and the potentially hepatoprotective effects of metformin.

30

31 **Ethics approval and consent to participate:** Data was collected as part of a larger biomarker
32 study. The study received approval by the South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee
33 (Reference 06/Q2707/182). The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of
34 Helsinki.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

Acknowledgements: None

Disclosure of funding support: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interests: None

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Kaplan Meier analysis demonstrating no survival difference for HCC patients with or without diabetes ($p=0.561$, log rank test).

Figure 2. Kaplan Meier analysis demonstrating survival for HCC patients prescribed (A) metformin ($p=0.016$), (B) insulin ($p=ns$) and (C) sulphonylureas ($p=ns$) compared to other diabetic treatments, and (D) HCC patients prescribed insulin and metformin combined, compared with insulin alone ($p=0.008$).

References

1. Rao Kondapally Seshasai, S. *et al.* Diabetes Mellitus, Fasting Glucose, and Risk of Cause-Specific Death. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **364**, 829–841 (2011).
2. Allen, A. M., Hicks, S. B., Mara, K. C., Larson, J. J. & Therneau, T. M. The risk of incident extrahepatic cancers is higher in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease than obesity – A longitudinal cohort study. *J. Hepatol.* **71**, 1229–1236 (2019).
3. Wang, M., Yang, Y. & Liao, Z. Diabetes and cancer: Epidemiological and biological links. *World J. Diabetes* **11**, 227–238 (2020).
4. *Cancer Research UK Statistics (2015-2017)*.
5. Rousseau, M. C., Parent, M. É., Pollak, M. N. & Siemiatycki, J. Diabetes mellitus and cancer risk in a population-based case-control study among men from Montreal, Canada. *Int. J. Cancer* **118**, 2105–2109 (2006).
6. Wang, P., Kang, D., Cao, W., Wang, Y. & Liu, Z. Diabetes mellitus and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* vol. 28 109–122 (2012).
7. Miele, L. *et al.* Diabetes and insulin therapy, but not metformin, are related to

- 1 hepatocellular cancer risk. *Gastroenterol. Res. Pract.* **2015**, (2015).
- 2 8. Mittal, S. *et al.* Hepatocellular Carcinoma in the Absence of Cirrhosis in United States
3 Veterans Is Associated With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Clin. Gastroenterol.*
4 *Hepatol.* **14**, 124-131.e1 (2016).
- 5 9. Younossi, Z. M. *et al.* Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-
6 analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* **64**, 73–84
7 (2016).
- 8 10. Dyal, H. K. *et al.* Diabetes Mellitus Increases Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in
9 Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Patients: A Systematic Review. *Digestive Diseases and*
10 *Sciences* vol. 61 636–645 (2016).
- 11 11. Alexander, M. *et al.* Risks and clinical predictors of cirrhosis and hepatocellular
12 carcinoma diagnoses in adults with diagnosed NAFLD: real-world study of 18 million
13 patients in four European cohorts. *BMC Med.* **17**, 95 (2019).
- 14 12. Wang, Y. G. *et al.* Diabetes mellitus and poorer prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma:
15 A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* **9**, (2014).
- 16 13. Zhou, Y.-Y. *et al.* Systematic Review with Network Meta-Analysis: Antidiabetic
17 Medication and Risk of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* **19**, 33743 (2016).
- 18 14. Zhang, Z. J. *et al.* Metformin for liver cancer prevention in patients with type 2
19 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **97**,
20 2347–2353 (2012).
- 21 15. Huang, M.-Y. *et al.* The role of thiazolidinediones in hepatocellular carcinoma risk
22 reduction: a population-based cohort study in Taiwan. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **7**, 1606–
23 1616 (2017).
- 24 16. Chang, C. H. *et al.* Association of thiazolidinediones with liver cancer and colorectal
25 cancer in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Hepatology* **55**, 1462–1472 (2012).
- 26 17. He, H. *et al.* Metformin, an old drug, brings a new era to cancer therapy. *Cancer*
27 *Journal (United States)* vol. 21 70–74 (2015).
- 28 18. Davila, J. A., Morgan, R. O., Shaib, Y., McGlynn, K. A. & El-Serag, H. B. Diabetes
29 increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States: a population based
30 case control study. *Gut* **54**, 533–539 (2005).
- 31 19. Koh, W. P., Wang, R., Jin, A., Yu, M. C. & Yuan, J. M. Diabetes mellitus and risk of
32 hepatocellular carcinoma: Findings from the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Br. J.*
33 *Cancer* **108**, 1182–1188 (2013).
- 34 20. Evans, J. M. M., Donnelly, L. A., Emslie-Smith, A. M., Alessi, D. R. & Morris, A. D.

- 1 Metformin and reduced risk of cancer in diabetic patients. *Br. Med. J.* **330**, 1304–1305
2 (2005).
- 3 21. Singh, S., Singh, P. P., Singh, A. G., Murad, M. H. & Sanchez, W. Anti-diabetic
4 medications and the risk of hepatocellular cancer: A systematic review and meta-
5 analysis. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* vol. 108 881–891 (2013).
- 6 22. Chen, H. P. *et al.* Metformin decreases hepatocellular carcinoma risk in a dose-
7 dependent manner: Population-based and in vitro studies. *Gut* **62**, 606–615 (2013).
- 8 23. Thakkar, B., Aronis, K. N., Vamvini, M. T., Shields, K. & Mantzoros, C. S.
9 Metformin and Sulfonylureas in Relation to Cancer Risk in Type II Diabetes Patients:
10 A Meta-analysis using primary data of published studies. *Metabolism: Clinical and*
11 *Experimental* vol. 62 922–934 (2013).
- 12 24. Tsilidis, K. K. *et al.* Metformin does not affect cancer risk: A cohort study in the U.K.
13 clinical practice research datalink analyzed like an intention-to-treat trial. *Diabetes*
14 *Care* **37**, 2522–2532 (2014).
- 15 25. Home, P. D. *et al.* Experience of malignancies with oral glucose-lowering drugs in the
16 randomised controlled ADOPT (A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial) and
17 RECORD (Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiovascular Outcomes and Regulation of
18 Glycaemia in Diabetes) clinical trials. *Diabetologia* **53**, 1838–1845 (2010).
- 19 26. Schulte, L. *et al.* Treatment with metformin is associated with a prolonged survival in
20 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int.* **39**, 714–726 (2019).
- 21 27. Yin, M., Zhou, J., Gorak, E. J. & Quddus, F. Metformin Is Associated With Survival
22 Benefit in Cancer Patients With Concurrent Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review
23 and Meta-Analysis. *Oncologist* **18**, 1248–1255 (2013).
- 24 28. Zhou, J. *et al.* Meta-analysis: The efficacy of metformin and other anti-hyperglycemic
25 agents in prolonging the survival of hepatocellular carcinoma patients with type 2
26 diabetes. *Ann. Hepatol.* **19**, 320–328 (2020).
- 27 29. Chang, C. H., Lin, J. W., Wu, L. C., Lai, M. S. & Chuang, L. M. Oral insulin
28 secretagogues, insulin, and cancer risk in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J. Clin. Endocrinol.*
29 *Metab.* **97**, E1170–E1175 (2012).
- 30 30. Li, C. I. *et al.* Hyperglycemia and chronic liver diseases on risk of hepatocellular
31 carcinoma in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes - National cohort of Taiwan
32 Diabetes Study. *Int. J. Cancer* **136**, 2668–2679 (2015).
- 33 31. Bailey, C. J. Metformin: historical overview. *Diabetologia* vol. 60 1566–1576 (2017).
- 34 32. Davies, M. J. *et al.* Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A

- 1 consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European
2 Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care* vol. 41 2669–2701
3 (2018).
- 4 33. Saraei, P., Asadi, I., Kakar, M. A. & Moradi-Kor, N. The beneficial effects of
5 metformin on cancer prevention and therapy: A comprehensive review of recent
6 advances. *Cancer Manag. Res.* **11**, 3295–3313 (2019).
- 7 34. Cheng, J. *et al.* AMP-activated protein kinase suppresses the in vitro and in vivo
8 proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS One* **9**, 93256 (2014).
- 9 35. Lee, C. W. *et al.* AMPK promotes p53 acetylation via phosphorylation and
10 inactivation of SIRT1 in liver cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **72**, 4394–4404 (2012).
- 11 36. White, D. L., Ratziu, V. & El-Serag, H. B. Hepatitis C infection and risk of diabetes: a
12 systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hepatol.* **49**, 831–844 (2008).
- 13 37. Williams, R. Liver disease in the UK: Startling findings & urgent need for action. *J.*
14 *Hepatol.* **63**, 297–299 (2015).
- 15 38. Estes, C., Razavi, H., Loomba, R., Younossi, Z. & Sanyal, A. J. Modeling the
16 epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates an exponential increase in
17 burden of disease. *Hepatology* **67**, 123–133 (2018).
- 18 39. Smittenaar, C. R., Petersen, K. A., Stewart, K. & Moitt, N. Cancer incidence and
19 mortality projections in the UK until 2035. *Br. J. Cancer* **115**, 1147–1155 (2016).
- 20 40. Zoppini, G. *et al.* Mortality From Chronic Liver Diseases in Diabetes. *Am. J.*
21 *Gastroenterol.* **109**, 1020–1025 (2014).
- 22 41. Pang, Y. *et al.* Diabetes, Plasma Glucose, and Incidence of Fatty Liver, Cirrhosis, and
23 Liver Cancer: A Prospective Study of 0.5 Million People. *Hepatology* **68**, 1308–1318
24 (2018).
- 25 42. Campbell, P. T., Newton, C. C., Patel, A. V., Jacobs, E. J. & Gapstur, S. M. Diabetes
26 and Cause-Specific Mortality in a Prospective Cohort of One Million U.S. Adults.
27 *Diabetes Care* **35**, 1835–1844 (2012).
- 28 43. Schlesinger, S. *et al.* Diabetes mellitus, insulin treatment, diabetes duration, and risk of
29 biliary tract cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma in a European Cohort. *Ann. Oncol.*
30 **24**, 2449–2455 (2013).
- 31 44. Glyn-Owen, K., Böhning, D., Parkes, J., Roderick, P. & Buchanan, R. The combined
32 effect of alcohol and body mass index on risk of chronic liver disease: A systematic
33 review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Liver Int.* (2020).
- 34 45. Wild, S. *et al.* Type 2 diabetes and risk of hospital admission or death for chronic liver

- 1 diseases. *J. Hepatol.* **64**, 1358–1364 (2016).
- 2 46. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease*
3 *(NAFLD): assessment and management.* (2016).
- 4 47. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), European Association for the
5 Study of Diabetes (EASD) & European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO).
6 EASL–EASD–EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-
7 alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J. Hepatol.* **64**, 1388–1402 (2016).
- 8 48. Chalasani, N. *et al.* The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease:
9 Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.
10 *Hepatology* **67**, 328–357 (2018).
- 11 49. Association, A. D. Comprehensive medical evaluation and assessment of
12 comorbidities: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. *Diabetes Care* **43**, S37–
13 S47 (2020).
- 14