# UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

## Corrigendum to "Heterogeneous reaction of $N_2O_5$ with airborne TiO<sub>2</sub> particles and its implication for stratospheric particle injection" published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6035–6048, 2014

Tang, M. J.; Telford, P. J.; Pope, F. D.; Rkiouak, L.; Abraham, N. L.; Archibald, A. T.; Braesicke, P.; Pyle, J. A.; McGregor, J.; Watson, I. M.; Cox, R. A.; Kalberer, M.

DOI: 10.5194/acp-14-8233-2014

License: Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Tang, MJ, Telford, PJ, Pope, FD, Rkiouak, L, Abraham, NL, Archibald, AT, Braesicke, P, Pyle, JA, McGregor, J, Watson, IM, Cox, RA & Kalberer, M 2014, 'Corrigendum to "Heterogeneous reaction of N O with airborne TiO particles and its implication for stratospheric particle injection" published in Atmos. Chem.<sup>2</sup>Pflys., 14, 6035–6048, 2014', *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, vol. 14, no. 16, pp. 8233-8234. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8233-2014

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### **General rights**

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.





### Corrigendum to

## "Heterogeneous reaction of $N_2O_5$ with airborne TiO<sub>2</sub> particles and its implication for stratospheric particle injection" published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6035–6048, 2014

M. J. Tang<sup>1,2</sup>, P. J. Telford<sup>1,4</sup>, F. D. Pope<sup>3</sup>, L. Rkiouak<sup>1,5</sup>, N. L. Abraham<sup>1,4</sup>, A. T. Archibald<sup>1,4</sup>, P. Braesicke<sup>1,4,\*</sup>, J. A. Pyle<sup>1,4</sup>, J. McGregor<sup>6</sup>, I. M. Watson<sup>2</sup>, R. A. Cox<sup>1</sup>, and M. Kalberer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

<sup>2</sup>School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1RJ, UK

<sup>3</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK <sup>4</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, NCAS, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3RA, UK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK

<sup>\*</sup>now at: IMK-ASF, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Correspondence to: M. Kalberer (markus.kalberer@atm.ch.cam.ac.uk)

In the original paper "Heterogeneous reaction of  $N_2O_5$ with airborne TiO<sub>2</sub> particles and its implication for stratospheric particle injection" (published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6035–6048, 2014), an error was made in calculating the heterogeneous loss rates of  $N_2O_5$  on TiO<sub>2</sub> aerosol particles and therefore the uptake coefficients of  $N_2O_5$  onto TiO<sub>2</sub> particles. This error was due to a mistake when we calculated the reaction times in the flow tube. The correct reaction times are all a factor of 1.5 smaller than those used in the original paper, and thus the correct heterogeneous loss rates and uptake coefficients of  $N_2O_5$  are a factor of 1.5 larger than those reported in the original paper. The fourth sentence in the abstract should be changed to "The uptake coefficient of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> onto TiO<sub>2</sub>,  $\gamma$ (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), was determined to be ~1.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> at low RH, increasing to ~4.5 × 10<sup>-3</sup> at 60 % RH." The updated Table 1 with corrected values is provided in this corrigendum.

This error does not influence the main discussion and conclusions in the original paper, especially also not the modelling part of the paper where we consider two scenarios with two different  $\gamma(N_2O_5)$  (i.e.,  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ), which cover all values reported in Table 1.

RH (%)	$k_a (\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$(\times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma(\mathrm{N_2O_5}) \\ (\times 10^{-3}) \end{array}$	Average $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ (× 10 <sup>-3</sup> )
5±1	$4.53 \pm 2.43$	$4.39\pm0.26$	$1.73\pm0.93$	$1.83\pm0.32$
	$3.96 \pm 0.87$	$3.79\pm0.06$	$1.74\pm0.39$	
	$3.66 \pm 1.67$	$3.02\pm0.10$	$2.03\pm0.92$	
$12 \pm 2$	$3.59\pm0.60$	$2.75\pm0.16$	$2.18\pm0.36$	$2.01 \pm 0.27$
	$4.29\pm0.54$	$3.80\pm0.52$	$1.89\pm0.24$	
	$3.98\pm0.33$	$2.89\pm0.33$	$2.30\pm0.20$	
	$2.76\pm0.36$	$2.70\pm0.14$	$1.71\pm0.23$	
$23\pm 2$	$9.39\pm0.30$	$1.75\pm0.17$	$0.90\pm0.29$	$1.02 \pm 0.20$
	$3.41\pm0.42$	$4.89\pm0.21$	$1.16\pm0.02$	
$33\pm 2$	$1.50\pm0.56$	$2.27\pm0.16$	$1.10\pm0.41$	
	$1.91\pm0.45$	$2.01\pm0.12$	$1.59\pm0.38$	$1.29\pm0.26$
	$1.61\pm0.39$	$2.23\pm0.09$	$1.20\pm0.30$	
$45 \pm 3$	$1.94\pm0.39$	$1.59\pm0.33$	$2.04\pm0.41$	$2.28 \pm 0.51$
	$3.80\pm0.90$	$3.00\pm0.05$	$2.12\pm0.47$	
	$4.37\pm0.84$	$2.86\pm0.05$	$2.55\pm0.50$	
	$3.99\pm0.87$	$2.75\pm0.02$	$2.43\pm0.53$	
$60\pm3$	$7.83 \pm 2.10$	$2.86\pm0.06$	$4.62 \pm 1.23$	$4.47 \pm 2.04$
	$5.76 \pm 1.56$	$2.24\pm0.09$	$4.34 \pm 1.17$	

**Table 1.** Loss rate of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> on TiO<sub>2</sub> ( $k_a$ ), total surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub> particles in the flow tube ( $S_a$ ) and uptake coefficients of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> onto TiO<sub>2</sub> aerosols,  $\gamma$ (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) at different relative humidities. All the errors shown here are 1 $\sigma$  statistically.