

Machine learning approaches applied in spinal pain research

Falla, Deborah; Devecchi, Valter; Jiménez-Grande, David; Rügamer, David; Liew, Bernard X W

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jelekin.2021.102599](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2021.102599)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Falla, D, Devecchi, V, Jiménez-Grande, D, Rügamer, D & Liew, BXW 2021, 'Machine learning approaches applied in spinal pain research', *Journal of electromyography and kinesiology : official journal of the International Society of Electrophysiological Kinesiology*, vol. 61, 102599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2021.102599>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Machine Learning Approaches Applied in Spinal Pain Research

Deborah Falla¹, Valter Devecchi¹, David Jiménez-Grande¹,
David Rügamer², Bernard XW Liew³

¹. Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain (CPR Spine), School of Sport,
Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK

². Department of Statistics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

³. School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex,
Colchester, Essex, United Kingdom

Correspondence:

Professor Deborah Falla
Centre of Precision Rehabilitation for Spinal Pain
School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences,
College of Life and Environmental Sciences,
University of Birmingham,
Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.
Tel: +44 121 415 7253
Email: d.falla@bham.ac.uk

26 **Abstract**

27 The purpose of this narrative review is to provide a critical reflection of how analytical
28 machine learning approaches could provide the platform to harness variability of patient
29 presentation to enhance clinical prediction. The review includes a summary of current
30 knowledge on the physiological adaptations present in people with spinal pain. We discuss
31 how contemporary evidence highlights the importance of not relying on single features when
32 characterizing patients given the variability of physiological adaptations present in people
33 with spinal pain. The advantages and disadvantages of current analytical strategies in
34 contemporary basic science and epidemiological research are reviewed and we consider how
35 analytical machine learning approaches could provide the platform to harness the variability
36 of patient presentations to enhance clinical prediction of pain persistence or recurrence. We
37 propose that machine learning techniques can be leveraged to translate a potentially
38 heterogeneous set of variables into clinically useful information with the potential to enhance
39 patient management.

40

41 **The burden of musculoskeletal spinal pain**

42 The 2019 Global Burden of Disease Study has highlighted the enormous global
43 burden of spinal pain disorders. Low back pain (LBP) and neck pain (NP) disorders are the
44 largest contributors to all spinal pain disorders (Urwin et al. , 1998), hence, are the focus of
45 this review. The prevalence of LBP and NP increased between 15-20% from 2005 to 2015,
46 reaching a current estimate of 539 and 358 million people, respectively (Hurwitz et al. ,
47 2018). LBP and NP have been reported to be the 4th and 19th leading causes of disability in
48 2019 (Vos et al. , 2020), with current estimates of years lived with disability (YLDs) reported
49 to be a combined total of 94 million years (Hurwitz, Randhawa, 2018). Most new episodes of
50 spinal pain recover rapidly within the first 6 to 12 weeks from onset (Costa et al. , 2012, Hush
51 et al. , 2011), although up to 30% of individuals report incomplete recovery after one year
52 from baseline (Henschke et al. , 2008).

53 The societal and economic costs of spinal pain disorders are high, driven largely by a
54 small fraction of individuals with persistent pain (Carroll et al. , 2008). The total cost for LBP
55 was estimated at AUD \$9 billion in 2001 in Australia (Maetzel and Li, 2002, Walker et al. ,
56 2003), with similar proportions observed in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom
57 (Dagenais et al. , 2008, Maniadakis and Gray, 2000, van Tulder et al. , 1995) In the
58 Netherlands, the total health care cost in 1996 for NP was estimated at €485million
59 (Borghouts et al. , 1999). Considering the rising costs of health care, it is plausible that these
60 estimates would be higher today.

61 The high prevalence and significant burden of spinal pain disorders have resulted in a
62 proliferation of research over the past three decades (Wang and Zhao, 2018). However, even
63 though several treatments have been investigated for the management of spinal pain, the
64 long-term effect sizes of these interventions are modest at best (Foster, 2011, Patel et al. ,
65 2013). A critical factor that explains the small average treatment effect that has generated a

66 surge in research and clinical interest in the last decade is the concept of “heterogeneity”
67 (Foster et al. , 2013) or “variability” (van Dieen et al. , 2019) – two words with the same
68 meaning, but used in a different research context. Clinical heterogeneity is a term used to
69 reflect the wide range of individual responses to specific treatments. Physiological variability
70 is a term used to reflect the variation in individual and contextual physiological or
71 psychological responses to pain or injury. Surprisingly, little research has attempted to
72 directly bridge the study of physiological variability to develop therapeutic strategies and
73 decision-making systems that manage the issue of clinical heterogeneity.

74 Research in spinal pain disorders has evolved to encompass a breadth of scientific
75 disciplines, varying from basic science (Falla et al. , 2004, Hodges et al. , 1999) to
76 epidemiological research (Saragiotto et al. , 2016a). Basic science investigations have ranged
77 from studying individual muscle activity (Falla, Jull, 2004, Hodges, Cresswell, 1999), multi-
78 muscle synergies (Gizzi et al. , 2015, Liew et al. , 2020a, Liew et al. , 2018), motor-unit
79 (Falla et al. , 2010, Yang et al. , 2016a), spinal (Yu et al. , 2017) and supraspinal activation
80 (Hodges et al. , 2009, Jacobs et al. , 2010, Tsao et al. , 2008). Epidemiological research has
81 also encompassed a wide range of methodologies from cross-sectional diagnostic (Kim et al. ,
82 2018), longitudinal prognostic (Costa, Maher, 2012), longitudinal trajectory analysis
83 (Kongsted et al. , 2016), randomized controlled clinical trials (Griffin et al. , 2017, Marin et
84 al. , 2017, Saragiotto et al. , 2016b), stratified care (Foster, Hill, 2013, Kent et al. , 2010), and
85 causal mediation analysis (Lee et al. , 2015).

86 We argue that the dearth of translational research that maps variability from the bench
87 to patient heterogeneity at the bedside could come from the challenge of managing and using
88 high-dimensional multivariate data. The primary purpose of this review aims to address this
89 gap in translational spinal pain research that sits at the nexus of basic science and
90 epidemiology. We intend to achieve this aim by (1) reviewing current knowledge of the

91 physiological adaptations present in people with spinal pain, (2) the advantages and
92 disadvantages of current analytical strategies in contemporary basic science and
93 epidemiological research, (3) a critical reflection of new analytical machine learning (ML)
94 approaches that could provide the platform to harness variability in translational research, and
95 lastly (4) ending the review with a short commentary of the potential clinical implications
96 that could emanate from this review.

97 **Physiological adaptations in spinal pain**

98 There is an extensive body of literature describing neuromuscular and biomechanical
99 changes in people with spinal pain. Some of the more common changes in motor output
100 observed in people with chronic symptoms compared to asymptomatic individuals include
101 reduced strength and endurance (Conway et al. , 2018, Lindstroem et al. , 2012, Moreno
102 Catalá et al. , 2018, Sanderson et al. , 2019b; Bech et al., 2017) and poorer force steadiness
103 (Muceli et al. , 2011), as well as decreased range, speed, accuracy, variability, and smoothness
104 of movement (Alsubaie et al. , 2021, Dideriksen et al. , 2014, Falla et al. , 2017, Gizzi et al. ,
105 2019, Salehi et al. , 2021, Vaisiy et al. , 2015; Bauer et al., 2017). Studies utilizing
106 electromyography (EMG) have revealed various changes in muscle behaviour which likely
107 contribute to such variation in motor output including changes in motor unit behaviour (Falla,
108 Lindstrøm, 2010, Yang et al. , 2016b), delayed muscle responses to perturbations (Boudreau
109 and Falla, 2014, Falla, Jull, 2004, Hodges and Richardson, 1996, Knox et al. , 2018), an
110 altered distribution and loss of variability of muscle activity (Falla and Gallina, 2020, Falla et
111 al. , 2014, Sanderson et al. , 2019a), greater myoelectric manifestations of fatigue (Beneck et
112 al. , 2013, Falla et al. , 2003, Roy et al. , 1989), increased muscle co-activation (Bonilla-Barba
113 et al. , 2020, Falla et al. , 2013), and altered muscle synergies (Liew, Del Vecchio, 2018).
114 Additionally, there is evidence of changes in brain organization including the convergence of
115 brain representations for multiple muscles (Tsao et al. , 2011) and modification of the size and

116 location of cortical representations (Elgueta-Cancino et al. , 2018, Tsao, Galea, 2008).
117 Collectively this research suggests that there are some neuromuscular adaptations to pain that
118 may be more consistent amongst people with spinal pain even though there can be
119 considerable heterogeneity between individuals (Figure 1).

120 What is evident however from the existing literature is the massive amount of
121 discrepancy in study findings which is likely at least partly explained by the variation in
122 experimental methods, tasks examined, and clinical status of the patients tested (e.g. varying
123 levels of pain intensity/disability and presence or absence of psychological features) amongst
124 other factors. These discrepancies are evident from the conclusions of systematic reviews
125 examining changes in neuromuscular or biomechanical features in people with
126 musculoskeletal pain where heterogeneity across studies is identified such that meaningful
127 conclusions cannot be drawn (Sanderson et al. , 2021, Wernli et al. , 2020). However, such
128 discrepancy can also be attributed to the physiological variability described above. Indeed,
129 studies that have examined subject-specific responses often reveal variability in
130 neuromuscular adaptations in people with spinal pain. For example, in a recent study, we
131 showed that people with chronic non-specific LBP, on average, activate more cranial regions
132 of the lumbar erector spinae compared to asymptomatic individuals when they perform the Ito
133 test sustained until exhaustion (main effect for group; $F = 44.00$, $P < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.65$;
134 Figure 2A). However, when reviewing individual responses, it was evident that several of the
135 participants with chronic LBP performed the task with the same distribution of erector spinae
136 activity as seen in asymptomatic people i.e. a more diffuse distribution of activity (Figure 2B).
137 Given this variability, it is unlikely that the same findings of any study on people with spinal
138 pain could be entirely replicated on a completely different cohort.

139 Such variation between individuals may relate to several factors including the
140 redundancy of the muscle system, anthropometric features, the magnitude of pain intensity

141 and disability, extent of peripheral or central sensitisation, and the presence of psychological
142 features such as the extent of fear of movement. Although there is some evidence to support
143 an association between the extent of pain and/or disability and the extent of physiological
144 adaptations (Alsultan et al. , 2020, Falla et al. , 2011, Jacobs et al. , 2017, O'Leary et al. ,
145 2011, Salehi, Rasouli, 2021, Schabrun et al. , 2017) which can explain some variation in
146 amongst people with spinal pain, this relationship doesn't always hold (Jacobs et al. , 2016,
147 Steele et al. , 2014). Likewise, there are examples where the extent of psychological factors
148 such as fear of movement, catastrophizing, and anxiety are associated with physiological
149 features (Alsubaie, Martinez-Valdes, 2021, Alsultan, De Nunzio, 2020, Vaisy, Gizzi, 2015,
150 Van Damme et al. , 2014), but again, this is not always the case (Lima et al. , 2018, Veeger et
151 al. , 2020).

152 Evidence to support the individual-specific reorganization of the motor strategy to
153 complete a given task when in pain comes from experimental pain studies. Studies have
154 shown that the injection of a noxious stimulus in a single muscle can trigger subject-specific
155 adaptations allowing individuals to complete a motor task when in pain albeit with a unique
156 redistribution of muscular activity (Gizzi, Muceli, 2015, Hodges et al. , 2013). These findings
157 help to explain the individual responses seen in clinical populations.

158 What has become particularly evident from the body of research on physiological
159 adaptations in people with spinal pain is that we cannot rely on single features given the
160 variability of neuromuscular adaptations in people with spinal pain.

161 **Limitations of current analytical approaches**

162 **Scalar vs functional variables**

$$163 \quad Y = f(X) + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

164 In the majority of research undertaken in contemporary spinal pain research, data are
165 collected on one or more outcomes (Y , also termed as dependent variables), at each unique
166 value of a set of covariates (X , also termed predictors or independent variables). A statistical
167 model is created (Eqn 1), to estimate the function f which maps X to Y , and ϵ being the error.
168 There are two primary reasons why researchers may be interested in estimating f – for
169 inference or prediction.

170 Statistical inference (e.g. null-hypothesis significance testing), seeks primarily to
171 estimate the uncertainty of the relationship (i.e. f), producing estimates such as the
172 confidence interval. This paradigm is at the heart of much spinal pain research seeking to
173 either test competing theories of altered neuromuscular function with pain (Falla, Jull, 2004),
174 or to test the effectiveness of competing therapies on clinical outcomes (Poquet et al. , 2016,
175 Saragiotto, Machado, 2016a). Statistical prediction, on the other hand, focuses on how
176 accurate the outcome Y can be estimated based on knowing the value of the predictors X .
177 Statistical prediction has also been termed as prognostic modelling (Steyerberg et al. , 2013).
178 Statistical prediction is typically undertaken because the outcome cannot be easily measured,
179 but the predictors are more easily obtained. At the heart of statistical prediction is not
180 necessarily knowing the structure of the function f , but achieving a prediction of the outcome
181 that exceeds a clinically desirable accuracy threshold.

182 Regardless of whether statistics are used for inference or prediction purposes, many
183 traditional statistical methods, such as the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and linear
184 regression, rely on the presence of variables to lie on the scalar domain. Scalar variables are
185 those that take on discrete variables – e.g. range of motion (ROM) and maximal strength. In
186 contrast to scalar variables, functional variables are those with values that change as a
187 function of time and/or space (distance). It is argued that many variables collected in spinal
188 pain research are collected across time and space, thus making them functional. For example,

189 muscle activation magnitude can be collected over a gait cycle (van den Hoorn et al. , 2015)
190 and different regions of the lumbar spine (Murillo et al. , 2019), pain intensity can be
191 recorded daily for over a year (Kongsted et al. , 2017), and strength can be collected over a
192 joint's ROM (Suryanarayana and Kumar, 2005). The functional nature of many routinely
193 collected variables in spinal pain research precludes the use in their original form within
194 traditional statistical models.

195 To use functional variables in traditional statistics, they must first be transformed to
196 lie on the scalar domain. Some transformations include extracting the peak value, taking the
197 average, or finding the difference between the maximum and minimum value of functional
198 variables. The primary advantage of using scalar variables is that it opens up many more
199 statistical models to be available to the researcher. Another advantage of scalar variables is
200 the inherent interpretability of the model's solution – a necessity in inferential problems.
201 Interpretability is also a necessity if clinicians were to depend on such models for clinical
202 decision-making (2018). For example, in linear regression, the β coefficient can be easily
203 understood as a change in Y for a unit change in X . Despite its obvious advantages,
204 transforming functional to scalar variables removes a significant amount of information
205 contained within the original variables. This could lead to potential false-negative findings
206 during statistical inference or lack of an impact in improving a model's accuracy during
207 prediction.

208 **$p \gg n$ in the era of Big data**

209 In both statistical inference and prediction, when there are more covariates p , than the
210 sample size n , the model cannot be estimated with conventional fitting methods (e.g. OLS) as
211 the corresponding algorithm for parameter estimation suffers from a singular matrix. In
212 addition, traditional statistical models rely on the presence n being much greater than p , so
213 that the estimated model's solutions have a low variance. For inferential problems, a low

214 variance provides a study with adequate statistical power to detect a true effect; whilst for
215 prediction problems, a low variance allows the model to generalize well in performance
216 beyond the original data used to develop the model.

217 Technological advancement has meant that it is becoming easier to collect more data
218 than could easily exceed sample size. For example, up to 126 biomechanical variables can be
219 extracted from a single accelerometer (Benson et al. , 2018). The issue of big p is not
220 restricted to laboratory based research, but can be quite common in contemporary clinical
221 epidemiological research (Ford et al. , 2018). For example, a typical practice is to treat the
222 aggregate score of a psychological questionnaire into a single value (Miller et al. , 1991,
223 Sullivan et al. , 1995). For psychological assessments, it is quite conceivable that two
224 individuals can have the same aggregate score, but have different individual items' scores. A
225 previous study reported that individual item responses from a questionnaire resulted in the
226 identification of more clinical subgroups than using the aggregate score of the questionnaire
227 (Nielsen et al. , 2016). Whilst data aggregation techniques often simplify the subsequent
228 analysis, it may result in the loss of subject-specific information.

229 **Managing high-dimensional data using machine learning**

230 ML in spinal pain research has proliferated over the last decade (Azimi et al. , 2020,
231 Tagliaferri et al. , 2020), more so in LBP than in NP. ML has been used in research that
232 revolves around the themes of diagnosis and prediction, image segmentation, movement and
233 muscle assessment in spinal pain disorders, causal analysis, and identifying clinical
234 subgroups with homogeneous clinical characteristics. In the present section, we focus the
235 discussion on how ML can be used to manage the issues related to collinearity as well as
236 measuring functional variables in a high-dimensional space, and consequently optimize the
237 clinical prediction of the status and/or progression of spinal pain disorders.

238 **Contemporary machine learning models**

239 ML models for clinical prediction can be used to predict quantitative (e.g. pain
240 intensity on a visual analog scale) or qualitative (e.g. recovered vs non-recovered) outcomes.
241 The former is termed regression whilst the latter is termed classification. ML models vary in
242 their flexibility in estimating the function f which maps the predictors X to predict the
243 outcome Y . A model's flexibility is typically inversely related to the interpretability of the
244 function f . For example, an example of a low flexibility ML model is OLS, where the
245 outcome Y is a linear function of the estimated parameters (β coefficient). The low flexibility
246 means that the function f is explicitly known (i.e. β coefficient), but at the expense that
247 potentially non-linear relationships may be overlooked. For example, using stepwise linear
248 regression, a 1% point increase in neck disability index (NDI) at baseline resulted in a 0.9%
249 point increase at 12-month follow-up in a clinical cohort of individuals with cervical
250 radiculopathy (Liew et al. , 2020b). In contrast, some of the most flexible ML models such as
251 artificial neural networks (ANN), can model highly non-linear relationships, but at the
252 expense that the function f is essentially a "BlackBox". For example, one study reported that
253 artificial neural networks (ANN) (96.9%) resulted in more accurate prediction in 2-year post-
254 surgical satisfaction in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, compared to logistic regression
255 (88.4%) (Azimi et al. , 2014). Most ML research in spinal pain have used highly flexible ML
256 models - support vector machine (SVM) (Ashouri et al. , 2017, Jiang et al. , 2017,
257 Lamichhane et al. , 2021, Lee et al. , 2019, Silva et al. , 2015), and ANN (Fidalgo-Herrera et
258 al. , 2020, Hu et al. , 2018, Magnusson et al. , 1998). However, what constitutes the most
259 important variable or the magnitude of effect each variable has on the prediction remains
260 "hidden" in the ANN model.

261 The physiological data used for prediction in spinal pain ML studies typically consist
262 of temporal (Ashouri, Abedi, 2017, Fidalgo-Herrera, Martínez-Beltrán, 2020, Hu, Kim, 2018,

263 Magnusson, Bishop, 1998), spatial (Lamichhane, Jayasekera, 2021), and spatio-temporal
264 functional variables (Jiang, Luk, 2017). An important pre-processing step in many ML
265 methods is that the variables are required to lie on a scalar domain. Some studies use
266 Principal Components Analysis (PCA) (Ashouri, Abedi, 2017) on functional data to extract
267 scalar features, whilst others directly extract scalar features from the original variables
268 (Fidalgo-Herrera, Martínez-Beltrán, 2020, Jiang, Luk, 2017, Lamichhane, Jayasekera, 2021,
269 Magnusson, Bishop, 1998). An important limitation of using dimension reduction techniques
270 like PCA on functional data is a loss in spatial and/or temporal information, and often there is
271 no strong prior knowledge as to what are the most important features to select.

272 **Newer machine learning models**

273 Functional data boosting

274 Functional data boosting (FDboost) (Brockhaus et al. , 2020) is a ML method that
275 produces intrinsically interpretable model solutions. FDboost does not only allow modelling
276 linear, smooth non-linear, and random effects as known from classical statistics but can also
277 incorporate functional variables, both as an outcome or predictor without any pre-processing
278 or loss of information. These user-specified effects are estimated in FDboost using a gradient
279 boosting algorithm, which comes with an inherent variable selection. FDboost can thus also
280 deal with settings where $p \gg n$ due to its penalized estimation algorithm. For example, from
281 94 scalar and functional candidate covariates on 46 participants, FDboost was able to select 3
282 covariates to classify individuals with and without NP with an area under the Receiver
283 Operating Characteristic curve (AUC) of 80.8% (Liew et al. , 2020c). In a classification study
284 on LBP, FDboost was not only able to achieve excellent prediction performance ($> 90\%$
285 AUC), but it could quantify when within the movement cycle a covariate was driving the
286 prediction (Liew et al. , 2020d).

287 Patients in clinical research are traditionally assessed only at baseline or at few
288 follow-up time points (Costa, Maher, 2012). Recently, research has begun tracking daily
289 (Bedson et al. , 2019) and weekly (Irgens et al. , 2020) pain reports, as well as duration of
290 spinal motion (Lagersted-Olsen et al. , 2016) of patients by leveraging mobile phone short
291 messaging services or applications. In addition, the emergence of personal wearable sensor
292 technologies means that data can be collected almost continuously (Burns et al. , 2021). To
293 date, researchers have largely used dimension-reduction strategies such as clustering (Irgens,
294 Kongsted, 2020), to identify homogeneous clinical subgroups from their pain trajectory
295 patterns. We argue that the richness of pain trajectory patterns, or indeed any functional data,
296 in explaining and predicting the course of spinal pain can be better harnessed using
297 techniques such as FDboost.

298 Deep learning

299 Deep learning are a special field of ML where models consist of deep ANN (DNN),
300 i.e., neural networks with many hidden intermediate layers. An ANN has typically few
301 intermediate layers (e.g. 1-3), whereas DNNs are made by many more hidden layers (e.g. 11
302 to 19) (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2015). DNNs can deal with various model inputs such as
303 images, texts, and also functional data (Perdices et al. , 2021). The larger number of
304 intermediate layers in DNNs provides the capacity to learn multiple levels of abstraction of
305 the input data. DNNs have yielded outstanding results in a wide range of research fields,
306 including audio classification, natural language processing, or image recognition among
307 many others, and in some cases, outperforming traditional ML models (Esteva et al. , 2019,
308 Faust et al. , 2018). Although DNNs are still underexploited in spinal pain research, some
309 studies have applied them to LBP classification or chronic pain syndromes, both with good to
310 excellent performance (97% and 86%, respectively) (Hu, Kim, 2018, Santana et al. , 2019).

311 A disadvantage of DNNs in clinical research is their lack of interpretability. However,
312 there is an increasing number of post-modelling techniques, such as DeepLIFT (Shrikumar et
313 al. , 2017), that can inform end-users on the relative importance of each covariate in the
314 outcome prediction. An exciting new extension to DNNs that could generate high
315 performance, yet interpretable model solutions is the so-called “wide-and-deep”, or semi-
316 structured neural networks (Rügamer et al. , 2021). Semi-structured neural networks combine
317 a “BlackBox” DNN, alongside a wide and interpretable network. Semi-structured deep
318 regression follows this idea by embedding most of the commonly known statistical regression
319 models in a neural network (Rügamer, Shen, 2021). Semi-structured regression models would
320 fit well in a scenario whereby clinicians desire high interpretability of the relationship of
321 some variables (e.g. effect of fear on long-term disability) but require less interpretability on
322 the relationship of others (e.g. facial expression images of pain on long-term disability)
323 (Bargshady et al. , 2020). Variables can make a useful contribution to a model’s overall
324 predictive performance, but because the specific nature of such relationships to the outcome
325 may not be as important to a clinician, a “Blackbox” approach could be used to model these
326 variables.

327 **Emerging evidence of physiological predictors in spinal pain research**

328 Biomarkers such as biomechanical or electrophysiological variables can be related to
329 and influence the clinical presentation of people with spinal pain. Clinically, these markers
330 appear to be ideal candidate variables that could be leveraged for clinical prediction and
331 inform therapeutic management. For example, a study reported that 11 kinematic features
332 obtained during gait selected by Neighbourhood Component Analysis could discriminate
333 between individuals with and without NP with an accuracy of 90% (Jiménez-Grande et al. ,
334 2021) (Figure 3). In addition, another study reported that seven EMG functional variables
335 collected during a low-load lifting task could discriminate individuals with and without LBP

336 with the area under the receiver operator curve (AUC) of 90.4% (Liew, Rugamer, 2020d)
337 (Figure 4).

338 Although most studies have assessed people with current pain, preliminary findings
339 demonstrate that kinematic and neuromuscular features can also differentiate asymptomatic
340 people from people with recurrent pain who are in a period of remission (Devecchi et al. ,
341 2021, Liew, Rugamer, 2020d). For example, individuals in remission of NP could be
342 discriminated from asymptomatic controls with an AUC of 87%, using 6 variables, namely
343 velocity and smoothness of neck movement (in extension, flexion, and lateral flexion),
344 endurance in neck flexion, co-contraction of the neck flexors, and kinesiophobia (Devecchi et
345 al. , 2020) (Figure 5). In a further example, nine EMG functional variables were able to
346 discriminate between individuals in remission of their LBP against asymptomatic controls
347 with an AUC of 91.2% (Liew, Rugamer, 2020d). Interestingly, three EMG variables used to
348 discriminate healthy from individuals in remission, also were found to discriminate healthy
349 from individuals with LBP (Liew et al., 2020). This suggests that physical impairments may
350 persist despite pain resolution, which could place an individual at a greater risk of recurrence.
351 Overall, these findings promote the need to consider biomechanical and electrophysiological
352 biomarkers as potential predictors of pain persistence or recurrence.

353 **Clinical implications**

354 Given the significant variability in the physiological and psychological response to
355 spinal pain, an important issue is how ML can be used to leverage high-dimensional features
356 to optimize clinical management. We provide a few clinically oriented examples.

357 LBP and NP daily pain recovery trajectories exhibit substantial inter-subject variation
358 (Irgens, Kongsted, 2020, Kongsted, Hestbaek, 2017), which consequently benefit from the
359 derivation of clinical subgroups. It is acknowledged that for both LBP and NP, most of the

360 recovery occurs within the first six weeks (Carroll, Hogg-Johnson, 2008, Costa, Maher,
361 2012) and that those who experience a little reduction in symptoms during this period, go on
362 to experience persistent pain. A previous study reported that visual trajectory patterns could
363 be used as a qualitative predictor of 12 weeks recovery, providing indirect evidence that early
364 recovery phase pain trajectories could play an important role in long-term clinical prediction
365 (Myhrvold et al. , 2020). It may be that intensive pain recording during the first six weeks
366 after symptom onset could be used to objectively quantify “early recovery trajectory”, such
367 that each participant would have an associated functional trajectory. Such trajectories can
368 subsequently be used in functional data techniques like FDboost, to quantitatively predict
369 long-term recovery status.

370 Physiological variables have not been largely been considered as candidate predictors
371 when developing statistical models in spinal pain, perhaps based on the assumption that they
372 often exhibit prohibitively large inter-individual variability (Gizzi, Muceli, 2015, Hodges,
373 Coppieters, 2013). An excellent example is that of static spinal alignment and its poor
374 association with spinal pain (Hanten et al. , 2000, Mitchell et al. , 2008, Widhe, 2001).
375 Clinicians will have significant difficulty in distinguishing a patient from a healthy subject
376 from a static image of the patient’s spinal alignment. Yet, most clinicians would have little
377 difficulty identifying a person in pain from their movements. For example, individuals with
378 LBP consistently lift slower than healthy individuals (Nolan et al. , 2019). In addition, static
379 postures often correlate poorly with dynamic movements (Paterson et al. , 2015). We argue
380 that because dynamic movement variables provide greater subject-specific insights to a
381 complex system than static variables, the former would provide greater opportunities to
382 develop personalized management strategies.

383 **Conclusion**

384 Spinal pain disorders are highly prevalent and disabling, with significant individual
385 and societal costs. It is well established that pain can affect multiple levels of the human
386 physiological and psychological systems. However, physiological variables have rarely been
387 used directly in clinical epidemiological studies, likely due to two reasons – significant inter-
388 individual variation and high-dimensionality of the data. We presented the case in this review
389 of how ML techniques can be leveraged to translate a potentially heterogeneous set of
390 variables into clinically useful information that can ultimately improve patient management.

391 **Figure Legends**

392 **Figure 1:** Common physiological adaptations and changes in motor output observed in
393 people with spinal pain

394 **Figure 2: A.** Examples of a topographical map of lumbar erector spinae EMG amplitude
395 recorded from a control participant and person with chronic non-specific LBP as they
396 performed the Ito test sustained until exhaustion. The centroid of the EMG amplitude map is
397 depicted by the crosshair and the scale is indicated in μV . **B.** Absolute mean locations
398 (standard error) of the y-coordinate of the centroid of the EMG amplitude map for controls
399 (CON) and people with chronic non-specific LBP throughout the endurance contraction. Note
400 that people with chronic non-specific LBP, on average, activated more cranial regions of the
401 lumbar erector spinae compared to asymptomatic individuals when they perform the Ito test
402 sustained until exhaustion. **C.** When considering individual responses, it was evident that
403 several of the participants with chronic LBP performed the task with the same distribution of
404 erector spinae activity as seen in asymptomatic people i.e. a more diffuse distribution of
405 activity. Reprinted from Sanderson et al., 2019 with permission.

406 **Figure 3:** Classification performance of curvilinear (left) and rectilinear gait (right). **A.**
407 Curvilinear and rectilinear tasks performed by subjects wearing reflective markers on their
408 head, trunk, shank, ankle, and foot to capture body kinematics **B.** Accuracy of the classifiers
409 (SVM, K-NN, and LDA) using the gait kinematic features selected by the feature filter,
410 Neighbour component analysis (NCA). The two data tips marked show the highest accuracy
411 achieved for each gait. **C.** Optimal hyperplane learned by SVM based on jerk data extracted
412 from head movement during gait. Reprinted from Jiménez-Grande et al., 2021 with
413 permission.

414 **Figure 4:** Mapping electromyography (EMG) alterations in individuals with LBP compared
415 to controls in a lifting task, onto resultant class probabilities. FDboost first identifies the time-
416 varying β -coefficient of each functional predictor, which represents the change in log odds
417 for a unit change in predictor value from the control group. Second, the cumulative change
418 over time in log-odds is determined for each functional predictor, and the cumulative change
419 over predictors are combined additively and transformed to class probabilities. * reflects the
420 instance where the EMG differences between groups are maximally different, which
421 corresponds to the instance where the β -coefficient has the highest magnitude.

422 **Figure 5:** Classification conducted to discriminate individuals in remission of neck pain and
423 asymptomatic controls. **A** Feature selection and their importance are obtained from a random
424 forest algorithm (selected features presented in black). **B** Example of one individual (test
425 observation represented by the grey circle) classified in one of the two groups using the k-
426 nearest neighbor (KNN) classifier. To classify the test observation, the closest k individuals
427 (circles pointed by the arrows) in the Control or Neck Pain Remission groups were
428 considered ($k = 5$). Classification conducted using the features previously selected (A). For
429 the graphical purpose, the high-dimensional space obtained from the six selected features has

430 been reduced using locally linear embedding (LLE). MQ, movement quality (obtained from
431 velocity and smoothness of neck movements); SCM, sternocleidomastoid muscle.

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451 **References**

- 452 Towards trustable machine learning. *Nat Biomed Eng.* 2018;2:709-10.
- 453 Alsubaie AM, Martinez-Valdes E, De Nunzio AM, Falla D. Trunk control during repetitive
454 sagittal movements following a real-time tracking task in people with chronic low back pain.
455 *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2021;57:102533.
- 456 Alsultan F, De Nunzio AM, Rushton A, Heneghan NR, Falla D. Variability of neck and trunk
457 movement during single- and dual-task gait in people with chronic neck pain. *Clin Biomech*
458 (Bristol, Avon). 2020;72:31-6.
- 459 Ashouri S, Abedi M, Abdollahi M, Dehghan Manshadi F, Parnianpour M, Khalaf K. A novel
460 approach to spinal 3-D kinematic assessment using inertial sensors: Towards effective
461 quantitative evaluation of low back pain in clinical settings. *Comput Biol Med.* 2017;89:144-
462 9.
- 463 Azimi P, Benzel EC, Shahzadi S, Azhari S, Mohammadi HR. Use of artificial neural
464 networks to predict surgical satisfaction in patients with lumbar spinal canal stenosis: clinical
465 article. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2014;20:300-5.
- 466 Azimi P, Yazdanian T, Benzel EC, Aghaei HN, Azhari S, Sadeghi S, et al. A Review on the
467 Use of Artificial Intelligence in Spinal Diseases. *Asian Spine J.* 2020;14:543-71.
- 468 Bargshady G, Zhou X, Deo RC, Soar J, Whittaker F, Wang H. Enhanced deep learning
469 algorithm development to detect pain intensity from facial expression images. *Expert Syst*
470 *Appl.* 2020;149:113305.
- 471 Bauer CM, Rast FM, Ernst MJ, Meichtry A, Kool J, Rissanen SM, Suni JH, Kankaanpää M.
472 The effect of muscle fatigue and low back pain on lumbar movement variability and
473 complexity. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2017 Apr;33:94-102.
- 474 Bech KT, Larsen CM, Sjøgaard G, Holtermann A, Taylor JL, Sjøgaard K. Voluntary
475 activation of the trapezius muscle in cases with neck/shoulder pain compared to healthy
476 controls. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2017 Oct;36:56-64.
- 477 Bedson J, Hill J, White D, Chen Y, Wathall S, Dent S, et al. Development and validation of a
478 pain monitoring app for patients with musculoskeletal conditions (The Keele pain recorder
479 feasibility study). *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2019;19:24.
- 480 Beneck GJ, Baker LL, Kulig K. Spectral analysis of EMG using intramuscular electrodes
481 reveals non-linear fatigability characteristics in persons with chronic low back pain. *J*
482 *Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2013;23:70-7.

- 483 Benson LC, Clermont CA, Osis ST, Kobsar D, Ferber R. Classifying running speed
484 conditions using a single wearable sensor: Optimal segmentation and feature extraction
485 methods. *J Biomech.* 2018;71:94-9.
- 486 Bonilla-Barba L, Florencio LL, Rodríguez-Jiménez J, Falla D, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C,
487 Ortega-Santiago R. Women with mechanical neck pain exhibit increased activation of their
488 superficial neck extensors when performing the cranio-cervical flexion test. *Musculoskelet
489 Sci Pract.* 2020;49:102222.
- 490 Borghouts JAJ, Koes BW, Vondeling H, Bouter LM. Cost-of-illness of neck pain in The
491 Netherlands in 1996. *Pain.* 1999;80:629-36.
- 492 Boudreau SA, Falla D. Chronic neck pain alters muscle activation patterns to sudden
493 movements. *Exp Brain Res.* 2014;232:2011-20.
- 494 Brockhaus S, Rügamer D, Greven S. Boosting Functional Regression Models with FDboost.
495 *J Stat Softw.* 2020;94.
- 496 Burns D, Boyer P, Razmjou H, Richards R, Whyne C. Adherence Patterns and Dose
497 Response of Physiotherapy for Rotator Cuff Pathology: Longitudinal Cohort Study. *JMIR
498 Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2021;8:e21374.
- 499 Carroll LJ, Hogg-Johnson S, van der Velde G, Haldeman S, Holm LW, Carragee EJ, et al.
500 Course and prognostic factors for neck pain in the general population: results of the Bone and
501 Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. *Spine (Phila
502 Pa 1976).* 2008;33:S75-82.
- 503 Conway R, Behennah J, Fisher J, Osborne N, Steele J. A Comparison of Isolated Lumbar
504 Extension Strength Between Healthy Asymptomatic Participants and Chronic Low Back Pain
505 Participants Without Previous Lumbar Spine Surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2018;43:E1232-
506 e7.
- 507 Costa LCM, Maher CG, Hancock MJ, McAuley JH, Herbert RD, Costa LO. The prognosis of
508 acute and persistent low-back pain: a meta-analysis. *Can Med Assoc J.* 2012;184:E613-24.
- 509 Dagenais S, Caro J, Haldeman S. A systematic review of low back pain cost of illness studies
510 in the United States and internationally. *Spine J.* 2008;8:8-20.
- 511 Devecchi V, Alalawi A, Rushton A, Heneghan N, Falla D. Motor control changes in people
512 with recurrent neck pain. *Proceedings of the XXIII Congress of the International Society of
513 Electrophysiology and Kinesiology. Virtual Congress 2020.* p. 69-70
- 514 Devecchi V, Rushton AB, Gallina A, Heneghan NR, Falla D. Are neuromuscular adaptations
515 present in people with recurrent spinal pain during a period of remission? a systematic
516 review. *PLoS ONE.* 2021;16:e0249220.

- 517 Dideriksen JL, Gizzi L, Petzke F, Falla D. Deterministic accessory spinal movement in
518 functional tasks characterizes individuals with low back pain. *Clin Neurophysiol.*
519 2014;125:1663-8.
- 520 Elgueta-Cancino E, Schabrun S, Hodges P. Is the Organization of the Primary Motor Cortex
521 in Low Back Pain Related to Pain, Movement, and/or Sensation? *Clin J Pain.* 2018;34:207-
522 16.
- 523 Esteva A, Robicquet A, Ramsundar B, Kuleshov V, DePristo M, Chou K, et al. A guide to
524 deep learning in healthcare. *Nat Med.* 2019;25:24-9.
- 525 Falla D, Gallina A. New insights into pain-related changes in muscle activation revealed by
526 high-density surface electromyography. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2020;52:102422.
- 527 Falla D, Gizzi L, Parsa H, Dieterich A, Petzke F. People With Chronic Neck Pain Walk With
528 a Stiffer Spine. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2017;47:268-77.
- 529 Falla D, Gizzi L, Tschapek M, Erlenwein J, Petzke F. Reduced task-induced variations in the
530 distribution of activity across back muscle regions in individuals with low back pain. *Pain.*
531 2014;155:944-53.
- 532 Falla D, Jull G, Hodges PW. Feedforward activity of the cervical flexor muscles during
533 voluntary arm movements is delayed in chronic neck pain. *Exp Brain Res.* 2004;157:43-8.
- 534 Falla D, Lindstrøm R, Rechter L, Boudreau S, Petzke F. Effectiveness of an 8-week exercise
535 programme on pain and specificity of neck muscle activity in patients with chronic neck pain:
536 a randomized controlled study. *Eur J Pain.* 2013;17:1517-28.
- 537 Falla D, Lindstrøm R, Rechter L, Farina D. Effect of pain on the modulation in discharge rate
538 of sternocleidomastoid motor units with force direction. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2010;121:744-
539 53.
- 540 Falla D, O'Leary S, Farina D, Jull G. Association between intensity of pain and impairment in
541 onset and activation of the deep cervical flexors in patients with persistent neck pain. *Clin J*
542 *Pain.* 2011;27:309-14.
- 543 Falla D, Rainoldi A, Merletti R, Jull G. Myoelectric manifestations of sternocleidomastoid
544 and anterior scalene muscle fatigue in chronic neck pain patients. *Clin Neurophysiol.*
545 2003;114:488-95.
- 546 Faust O, Hagiwara Y, Hong TJ, Lih OS, Acharya UR. Deep learning for healthcare
547 applications based on physiological signals: A review. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.*
548 2018;161:1-13.

- 549 Fidalgo-Herrera AJ, Martínez-Beltrán MJ, de la Torre-Montero JC, Moreno-Ruiz JA, Barton
550 G. Artificial intelligence prediction of the effect of rehabilitation in whiplash associated
551 disorder. PLoS ONE. 2020;15:e0243816.
- 552 Ford JJ, Richards MC, Surkitt LD, Chan AYP, Slater SL, Taylor NF, et al. Development of a
553 Multivariate Prognostic Model for Pain and Activity Limitation in People With Low Back
554 Disorders Receiving Physiotherapy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2018;99:2504-12.e12.
- 555 Foster NE. Barriers and progress in the treatment of low back pain. BMC Med. 2011;9:108.
- 556 Foster NE, Hill JC, O'Sullivan P, Hancock M. Stratified models of care. Best Pract Res: Clin
557 Rheumatol. 2013;27:649-61.
- 558 Gizzi L, Muceli S, Petzke F, Falla D. Experimental Muscle Pain Impairs the Synergistic
559 Modular Control of Neck Muscles. PLoS ONE. 2015;10:e0137844.
- 560 Gizzi L, Röhrle O, Petzke F, Falla D. People with low back pain show reduced movement
561 complexity during their most active daily tasks. Eur J Pain. 2019;23:410-8.
- 562 Griffin A, Leaver A, Moloney N. General Exercise Does Not Improve Long-Term Pain and
563 Disability in Individuals With Whiplash-Associated Disorders: A Systematic Review. J
564 Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2017;47:472-80.
- 565 Hanten WP, Olson SL, Russell JL, Lucio RM, Campbell AH. Total head excursion and
566 resting head posture: normal and patient comparisons. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2000;81:62-
567 6.
- 568 Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Bleasel J, et al.
569 Prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain in Australian primary care: inception
570 cohort study. BMJ. 2008;337:a171.
- 571 Hodges P, Cresswell A, Thorstensson A. Preparatory trunk motion accompanies rapid upper
572 limb movement. Exp Brain Res. 1999;124:69-79.
- 573 Hodges PW, Coppieters MW, MacDonald D, Cholewicki J. New insight into motor
574 adaptation to pain revealed by a combination of modelling and empirical approaches. Eur J
575 Pain. 2013;17:1138-46.
- 576 Hodges PW, Galea MP, Holm S, Holm AK. Corticomotor excitability of back muscles is
577 affected by intervertebral disc lesion in pigs. Eur J Neurosci. 2009;29:1490-500.
- 578 Hodges PW, Richardson CA. Inefficient muscular stabilization of the lumbar spine associated
579 with low back pain. A motor control evaluation of transversus abdominis. Spine.
580 1996;21:2640-50.

- 581 Hu B, Kim C, Ning X, Xu X. Using a deep learning network to recognise low back pain in
582 static standing. *Ergonomics*. 2018;61:1374-81.
- 583 Hurwitz EL, Randhawa K, Yu H, Côté P, Haldeman S. The Global Spine Care Initiative: a
584 summary of the global burden of low back and neck pain studies. *Eur Spine J*. 2018;27:796-
585 801.
- 586 Hush JM, Lin CC, Michaleff ZA, Verhagen A, Refshauge KM. Prognosis of acute idiopathic
587 neck pain is poor: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*.
588 2011;92:824-9.
- 589 Irgens P, Kongsted A, Myhrvold BL, Waagan K, Engebretsen KB, Natvig B, et al. Neck pain
590 patterns and subgrouping based on weekly SMS-derived trajectories. *BMC Musculoskelet*
591 *Disord*. 2020;21:678.
- 592 Jacobs JV, Henry SM, Nagle KJ. Low back pain associates with altered activity of the
593 cerebral cortex prior to arm movements that require postural adjustment. *Clin Neurophysiol*.
594 2010;121:431-40.
- 595 Jacobs JV, Lyman CA, Hitt JR, Henry SM. Task-related and person-related variables
596 influence the effect of low back pain on anticipatory postural adjustments. *Hum Mov Sci*.
597 2017;54:210-9.
- 598 Jacobs JV, Roy CL, Hitt JR, Popov RE, Henry SM. Neural mechanisms and functional
599 correlates of altered postural responses to perturbed standing balance with chronic low back
600 pain. *Neuroscience*. 2016;339:511-24.
- 601 Jiang N, Luk KD, Hu Y. A Machine Learning-based Surface Electromyography Topography
602 Evaluation for Prognostic Prediction of Functional Restoration Rehabilitation in Chronic Low
603 Back Pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:1635-42.
- 604 Jiménez-Grande D, Farokh Atashzar S, Martinez-Valdes E, Marco De Nunzio A, Falla D.
605 Kinematic biomarkers of chronic neck pain measured during gait: A data-driven
606 classification approach. *J Biomech*. 2021;118:110190.
- 607 Kent P, Keating JL, Leboeuf-Yde C. Research methods for subgrouping low back pain. *BMC*
608 *Med Res Methodol*. 2010;10:62.
- 609 Kim J-H, van Rijn RM, van Tulder MW, Koes BW, de Boer MR, Ginai AZ, et al. Diagnostic
610 accuracy of diagnostic imaging for lumbar disc herniation in adults with low back pain or
611 sciatica is unknown; a systematic review. *Chiropr Man Ther*. 2018;26:37.
- 612 Knox MF, Chipchase LS, Schabrun SM, Romero RJ, Marshall PWM. Anticipatory and
613 compensatory postural adjustments in people with low back pain: a systematic review and
614 meta-analysis. *Spine J*. 2018;18:1934-49.

- 615 Kongsted A, Hestbaek L, Kent P. How can latent trajectories of back pain be translated into
616 defined subgroups? *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2017;18:285.
- 617 Kongsted A, Kent P, Axen I, Downie AS, Dunn KM. What have we learned from ten years of
618 trajectory research in low back pain? *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2016;17:220.
- 619 Lagersted-Olsen J, Thomsen BL, Holtermann A, Søgaard K, Jørgensen MB. Does objectively
620 measured daily duration of forward bending predict development and aggravation of low-
621 back pain? A prospective study. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2016;42:528-37.
- 622 Lamichhane B, Jayasekera D, Jakes R, Glasser MF, Zhang J, Yang C, et al. Multi-modal
623 biomarkers of low back pain: A machine learning approach. *NeuroImage: Clinical.*
624 2021;29:102530.
- 625 Lee H, Hubscher M, Moseley GL, Kamper SJ, Traeger AC, Mansell G, et al. How does pain
626 lead to disability? A systematic review and meta-analysis of mediation studies in people with
627 back and neck pain. *Pain.* 2015;156:988-97.
- 628 Lee J, Mawla I, Kim J, Loggia ML, Ortiz A, Jung C, et al. Machine learning-based prediction
629 of clinical pain using multimodal neuroimaging and autonomic metrics. *Pain.* 2019;160:550-
630 60.
- 631 Liew BXW, De Nunzio AM, Srivastava S, Falla D. Influence of low back pain and its
632 remission on motor abundance in a low-load lifting task. *Sci Rep.* 2020a;10:17831.
- 633 Liew BXW, Del Vecchio A, Falla D. The influence of musculoskeletal pain disorders on
634 muscle synergies-A systematic review. *PLoS ONE.* 2018;13:e0206885.
- 635 Liew BXW, Peolsson A, Rugamer D, Wibault J, Löfgren H, Dederling A, et al. Clinical
636 predictive modelling of post-surgical recovery in individuals with cervical radiculopathy: a
637 machine learning approach. *Sci Rep.* 2020b;10:16782.
- 638 Liew BXW, Rugamer D, Stocker A, De Nunzio AM. Classifying neck pain status using
639 scalar and functional biomechanical variables - development of a method using functional
640 data boosting. *Gait Posture.* 2020c;76:146-50.
- 641 Liew X, Rugamer D, De Nunzio A, Falla D. Interpretable machine learning models for
642 classifying low back pain status using functional physiological variables. *Eur Spine J.*
643 2020d;29:1845-59.
- 644 Lima M, Ferreira AS, Reis FJJ, Paes V, Meziat-Filho N. Chronic low back pain and back
645 muscle activity during functional tasks. *Gait Posture.* 2018;61:250-6.
- 646 Lindstroem R, Graven-Nielsen T, Falla D. Current pain and fear of pain contribute to reduced
647 maximum voluntary contraction of neck muscles in patients with chronic neck pain. *Arch
648 Phys Med Rehabil.* 2012;93:2042-8.

- 649 Maetzel A, Li L. The economic burden of low back pain: a review of studies published
650 between 1996 and 2001. *Best Pract Res: Clin Rheumatol.* 2002;16:23-30.
- 651 Magnusson ML, Bishop JB, Hasselquist L, Spratt KF, Szpalski M, Pope MH. Range of
652 motion and motion patterns in patients with low back pain before and after rehabilitation.
653 *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1998;23:2631-9.
- 654 Maniadakis N, Gray A. The economic burden of back pain in the UK. *Pain.* 2000;84:95-103.
- 655 Marin TJ, Van Eerd D, Irvin E, Couban R, Koes BW, Malmivaara A, et al. Multidisciplinary
656 biopsychosocial rehabilitation for subacute low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
657 2017;6:Cd002193.
- 658 Miller RP, Kori S, Todd D. The Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia. Unpublished report. 1991.
- 659 Mitchell T, O'Sullivan PB, Burnett AF, Straker L, Smith A. Regional differences in lumbar
660 spinal posture and the influence of low back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2008;9:152.
- 661 Moreno Catalá M, Schroll A, Laube G, Arampatzis A. Muscle Strength and Neuromuscular
662 Control in Low-Back Pain: Elite Athletes Versus General Population. *Front Neurosci.*
663 2018;12:436.
- 664 Muceli S, Farina D, Kirkesola G, Katch F, Falla D. Reduced force steadiness in women with
665 neck pain and the effect of short term vibration. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2011;21:283-90.
- 666 Murillo C, Martinez-Valdes E, Heneghan NR, Liew B, Rushton A, Sanderson A, et al. High-
667 Density Electromyography Provides New Insights into the Flexion Relaxation Phenomenon
668 in Individuals with Low Back Pain. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:15938.
- 669 Myhrvold BL, Irgens P, Robinson HS, Engebretsen K, Natvig B, Kongsted A, et al. Visual
670 trajectory pattern as prognostic factors for neck pain. *Eur J Pain.* 2020;24:1752-64.
- 671 Nielsen AM, Vach W, Kent P, Hestbaek L, Kongsted A. Using existing questionnaires in
672 latent class analysis: should we use summary scores or single items as input? A
673 methodological study using a cohort of patients with low back pain. *Clin Epidemiol.*
674 2016;8:73-89.
- 675 Nolan D, O'Sullivan K, Newton C, Singh G, Smith BE. Are there differences in lifting
676 technique between those with and without low back pain? A systematic review. *Scand J Pain.*
677 2019.
- 678 O'Leary S, Falla D, Jull G. The relationship between superficial muscle activity during the
679 cranio-cervical flexion test and clinical features in patients with chronic neck pain. *Man Ther.*
680 2011;16:452-5.

- 681 Patel S, Friede T, Froud R, Evans DW, Underwood M. Systematic review of randomized
682 controlled trials of clinical prediction rules for physical therapy in low back pain. *Spine*.
683 2013;38:762-9.
- 684 Paterson KL, Clark RA, Mullins A, Bryant AL, Mentiplay BF. Predicting Dynamic Foot
685 Function From Static Foot Posture: Comparison Between Visual Assessment, Motion
686 Analysis, and a Commercially Available Depth Camera. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
687 2015;45:789-98.
- 688 Perdices D, Vergara JELd, Ramos J. Deep-FDA: Using Functional Data Analysis and Neural
689 Networks to Characterize Network Services Time Series. *IEEE Trans Netw Service Manag*.
690 2021;18:986-99.
- 691 Poquet N, Lin CW, Heymans MW, van Tulder MW, Esmail R, Koes BW, et al. Back schools
692 for acute and subacute non-specific low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
693 2016;4:Cd008325.
- 694 Roy SH, De Luca CJ, Casavant DA. Lumbar muscle fatigue and chronic lower back pain.
695 *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1989;14:992-1001.
- 696 Rügamer D, Shen R, Bukas C, Sousa LBdAe, Thalmeier D, Klein N, et al. deepregression: a
697 Flexible Neural Network Framework for Semi-Structured Deep Distributional Regression.
698 *ArXiv*. 2021;abs/2104.02705.
- 699 Salehi R, Rasouli O, Saadat M, Mehravar M, Negahban H, Shaterzadeh Yazdi MJ. Cervical
700 movement kinematic analysis in patients with chronic neck pain: A comparative study with
701 healthy subjects. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*. 2021;53:102377.
- 702 Sanderson A, Cescon C, Heneghan NR, Kuithan P, Martinez-Valdes E, Rushton A, et al.
703 People With Low Back Pain Display a Different Distribution of Erector Spinae Activity
704 During a Singular Mono-Planar Lifting Task. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2019a;1:65.
- 705 Sanderson A, Martinez-Valdes E, Heneghan NR, Murillo C, Rushton A, Falla D. Variation in
706 the spatial distribution of erector spinae activity during a lumbar endurance task in people
707 with low back pain. *J Anat*. 2019b;234:532-42.
- 708 Sanderson A, Wang SF, Elgueta Cancino E, Martinez Valdes E, Sanchis-Sanchez E, Liew B,
709 et al. The effect of experimental and clinical musculoskeletal pain on spinal and supraspinal
710 projections to motoneurons and motor unit properties in humans: a systematic review. *Eur J*
711 *Pain*. 2021.
- 712 Santana AN, Cifre I, de Santana CN, Montoya P. Using Deep Learning and Resting-State
713 fMRI to Classify Chronic Pain Conditions. *Front Neurosci*. 2019;13.
- 714 Saragiotto BT, Machado GC, Ferreira ML, Pinheiro MB, Abdel Shaheed C, Maher CG.
715 Paracetamol for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016a:Cd012230.

- 716 Saragiotto BT, Maher CG, Yamato TP, Costa LO, Costa LC, Ostelo RW, et al. Motor Control
717 Exercise for Nonspecific Low Back Pain: A Cochrane Review. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*.
718 2016b;41:1284-95.
- 719 Schabrun SM, Elgueta-Cancino EL, Hodges PW. Smudging of the Motor Cortex Is Related
720 to the Severity of Low Back Pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2017;42:1172-8.
- 721 Shrikumar A, Greenside P, Kundaje A. Learning important features through propagating
722 activation differences. *International Conference on Machine Learning: PMLR*; 2017. p.
723 3145-53.
- 724 Silva L, Vaz JR, Castro MA, Serranho P, Cabri J, Pezarat-Correia P. Recurrence
725 quantification analysis and support vector machines for golf handicap and low back pain
726 EMG classification. *J Electromyog Kinesiol*. 2015;25:637-47.
- 727 Simonyan K, Zisserman A. Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image
728 Recognition. 2015.
- 729 Steele J, Bruce-Low S, Smith D, Jessop D, Osborne N. Lumbar kinematic variability during
730 gait in chronic low back pain and associations with pain, disability and isolated lumbar
731 extension strength. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2014;29:1131-8.
- 732 Steyerberg EW, Moons KGM, van der Windt DA, Hayden JA, Perel P, Schroter S, et al.
733 Prognosis Research Strategy (PROGRESS) 3: Prognostic Model Research. *PLoS Med*.
734 2013;10:e1001381.
- 735 Sullivan MJL, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: Development and
736 validation. *Psychol Assess*. 1995;7:524-32.
- 737 Suryanarayana L, Kumar S. Quantification of isometric cervical strength at different ranges
738 of flexion and extension. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2005;20:138-44.
- 739 Tagliaferri SD, Angelova M, Zhao X, Owen PJ, Miller CT, Wilkin T, et al. Artificial
740 intelligence to improve back pain outcomes and lessons learnt from clinical classification
741 approaches: three systematic reviews. *npj Digit Med*. 2020;3:93.
- 742 Tsao H, Danneels LA, Hodges PW. Smudging the motor brain in young adults with recurrent
743 low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011;36:1721-7.
- 744 Tsao H, Galea MP, Hodges PW. Reorganization of the motor cortex is associated with
745 postural control deficits in recurrent low back pain. *Brain*. 2008;131:2161-71.
- 746 Urwin M, Symmons D, Allison T, Brammah T, Busby H, Roxby M, et al. Estimating the
747 burden of musculoskeletal disorders in the community: the comparative prevalence of
748 symptoms at different anatomical sites, and the relation to social deprivation. *Ann Rheum
749 Dis*. 1998;57:649-55.

- 750 Vaisy M, Gizzi L, Petzke F, Consmüller T, Pflingsten M, Falla D. Measurement of Lumbar
751 Spine Functional Movement in Low Back Pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2015;31:876-85.
- 752 Van Damme B, Stevens V, Van Tiggelen D, Perneel C, Crombez G, Danneels L.
753 Performance based on sEMG activity is related to psychosocial components: differences
754 between back and abdominal endurance tests. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2014;24:636-44.
- 755 van den Hoorn W, Hodges PW, van Dieën JH, Hug F. Effect of acute noxious stimulation to
756 the leg or back on muscle synergies during walking. *J Neurophysiol*. 2015;113:244-54.
- 757 van Dieën JH, Reeves NP, Kawchuk G, van Dillen LR, Hodges PW. Motor Control Changes
758 in Low Back Pain: Divergence in Presentations and Mechanisms. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
759 2019;49:370-9.
- 760 van Tulder MW, Koes BW, Bouter LM. A cost-of-illness study of back pain in The
761 Netherlands. *Pain*. 1995;62:233-40.
- 762 Veeger TTJ, van Trigt B, Hu H, Bruijn SM, van Dieën JH. Fear of movement is not
763 associated with trunk movement variability during gait in patients with low back pain. *Spine*
764 *J*. 2020;20:1986-94.
- 765 Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi M, Abbasifard M, et al. Global burden of
766 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis
767 for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020;396:1204-22.
- 768 Walker BF, Muller R, Grant WD. Low back pain in Australian adults: the economic burden.
769 *Asia Pac J Public Health*. 2003;15:79-87.
- 770 Wang B, Zhao P. Worldwide research productivity in the field of back pain: A bibliometric
771 analysis. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e11566.
- 772 Wernli K, Tan JS, O'Sullivan P, Smith A, Campbell A, Kent P. Does Movement Change
773 When Low Back Pain Changes? A Systematic Review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
774 2020;50:664-70.
- 775 Widhe T. Spine: posture, mobility and pain. A longitudinal study from childhood to
776 adolescence. *Eur Spine J*. 2001;10:118-23.
- 777 Yang C-C, Su F-C, Yang P-C, Lin H-T, Guo L-Y. Characteristics of the Motor Units during
778 Sternocleidomastoid Isometric Flexion among Patients with Mechanical Neck Disorder and
779 Asymptomatic Individuals. *PLoS ONE*. 2016a;11:e0167737-e.
- 780 Yang CC, Su FC, Yang PC, Lin HT, Guo LY. Characteristics of the Motor Units during
781 Sternocleidomastoid Isometric Flexion among Patients with Mechanical Neck Disorder and
782 Asymptomatic Individuals. *PLoS ONE*. 2016b;11:e0167737.

783 Yu CX, Li B, Xu YK, Ji TT, Li L, Zhao CJ, et al. Altered functional connectivity of the
784 periaqueductal gray in chronic neck and shoulder pain. *Neuroreport*. 2017;28:720-5.
785