UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Temporal trends in routine predischarge pulse oximetry screening

Henderson, Amy ; Aguirre, Diana ; Singh, Anju; Ewer, Andrew

DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2021-322303

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Henderson, A, Aguirre, D, Singh, A & Éwer, A 2021, 'Temporal trends in routine predischarge pulse oximetry screening: 6 years' experience in a UK regional neonatal unit', *Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition*. https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2021-322303

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This article has been accepted for publication in Archives of disease in childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition, 2021 following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2021-322303

© Authors (or their employer(s)) 2021 Reuse of this manuscript version (excluding any databases, tables, diagrams, photographs and other images or illustrative material included where a another copyright owner is identified) is permitted strictly pursuant to the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC 4.0) http://creativecommons.org/https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Archives of **Disease in Childhood**

Temporal trends in routine predischarge pulse oximetry screening: 6 years' experience in a UK regional neonatal unit

Journal:	Archives of Disease in Childhood
Manuscript ID	fetalneonatal-2021-322303.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Henderson, Amy; New Cross Hospital, Neonates Aguirre, Diana; Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Neonatal Unit Singh, Anju; Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Neonatal Unit Ewer, Andrew; Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Neonatal Unit
Keywords:	Neonatology, Cardiology





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Temporal trends in routine predischarge pulse oximetry screening: 6 years' experience in a UK

regional neonatal unit

Amy Henderson,^{1*} Diana Aguirre,^{1*} Anju Singh,¹ Andrew K Ewer^{1,2}

- 1. Department of Neonatology Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust Birmingham B15 2TG UK
- 2. Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, College of Medical and Dental Sciences University of Birmingham Birmingham 2. FOR PRICE B15 2TT UK

*Joint first authors

Corresponding author:

Professor A K Ewer Neonatal Unit Birmingham Women's Hospital Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2TG

Email: a.k.ewer@bham.ac.uk

Key words: newborn infant, pulse oximetry, screening, congenital heart defects

Word count:	Abstract	254
	Main text	2588
References:	24	

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate the continued impact of pulse oximetry screening (POS) in a regional neonatal unit (NNU) and identify trends in screening outcomes in comparison with our previous experience.

Design: Retrospective review of admissions between April 2013 and March 2019 (the current study) and comparison with previously published data (the 2014 study).

Patients: All infants >34 weeks completed gestation admitted to NNU as a result of positive POS.

Outcome measures: Indication for admission, diagnosis, investigations and management.

Results: There were 49 375 livebirths and 253 NNU admissions as a result of positive POS (0.5% of livebirths; compared to 0.8% in 2014). 247/253 (97.6%) of those admitted had a significant diagnosis requiring medical intervention (compared to 79% in 2014) and the proportion of healthy babies (with transitional circulation) admitted decreased from 21% to 2.4%.

22 (9%) babies admitted as a result of a positive POS were found to have a previously undiagnosed congenital heart defect (CHD) of which 8 were critical defects (CCHD). This accounted for 73% of all undiagnosed CCHD undergoing POS. The antenatal detection rate of CCHD was 75% compared to 46% in 2014. No baby died or collapsed on the postnatal ward during the study period. The proportion of babies with CCHD identified before discharge improved from 94% to 99%.

Conclusions: Routine POS, in addition to antenatal screening and postnatal examination, continues to contribute to the improvement of our overall CCHD detection rates. We have demonstrated an overall reduction in the admission of healthy babies and therefore workload following a positive test.

Introduction

Routine newborn predischarge pulse oximetry screening (POS) is a simple, non-invasive tool for identifying critical congenital heart defects (CCHD) which would otherwise be missed by antenatal ultrasound and postnatal physical examination.¹ POS is acceptable to parents and clinical staff,² has been shown to be cost effective in various health care settings^{3,4} and meets the criteria for routine newborn screening.^{5,6}

Meta-analysis of 437 000 screened babies has shown consistent test accuracy with moderate sensitivity and high specificity for the detection of CCHD.⁶ Non-critical CHD⁷ and non-cardiac conditions such as respiratory disorders and sepsis are also detected.⁸

In 2011, POS was added to the USA recommended screening panel ⁹ and by 2018 all US states had adopted screening.¹⁰ Analysis of over 27 million US births showed that introduction of POS reduced neonatal mortality from CCHD by 33% and from other cardiac causes by 21%.¹¹ Many other countries have also adopted or recommended POS including Austria, Canada, Germany, Poland, Nordic countries, Spain, Latin American countries, China, Israel, New Zealand, Saudi Arabia, Abu Dhabi, Kuwait, Qatar and Sri Lanka.^{10,12}

Currently in the UK although over 50% of all neonatal units (NNU) perform POS,¹³ the National Screening Committee (NSC) has not yet recommended its routine use; citing concerns regarding cost, insufficient evidence of improved outcomes, and potential harms such as unnecessary investigations and admissions, longer hospital stay and parental anxiety.^{14,15}

POS was initially set up at Birmingham Women's Hospital as part of the PulseOx study,⁷ following the end of the study screening continued as routine postnatal care.

We previously reported our initial experience of POS admissions between April 2010 and July 2013 (the 2014 study), demonstrating that in addition to identifying babies with CCHD, POS also picked up babies with important non-cardiac conditions, many of which were potentially life-threatening diagnoses⁸

In this study we evaluated the continued impact of POS over a longer period in order to compare with our previous experience⁸ and to further address the concerns raised by the NSC.

Methods

Routine POS was performed on all eligible babies >34 completed weeks gestation (including homebirths¹⁶) as previously described⁸ using the pulseOx algorithm.⁷ Briefly, functional oxygen saturations were measured in the right hand and either foot using a hand-held pulse oximeter and reusable probe, usually around 4-8 hours of age but as early as 2 hours in homebirths and early discharges. An abnormal result is defined by a saturation of <95% in either limb (or a difference of > 2% between the two readings) on 2 occasions or any saturation <90%. Babies who were admitted to NNU before screening took place (e.g. antenatal diagnosis or early onset of symptoms) were excluded.

All test positive babies were reviewed by the neonatal team and, if further investigation or treatment was required, admitted to NNU. All those admitted as a result of POS between 1st April 2013 and 31st March 2019 were identified through the Badgernet neonatal database. Data on clinical diagnosis, investigations, management, length of stay and other outcomes were collected.

The patient database from the tertiary paediatric cardiac centre at Birmingham Children's Hospital and the local mortality database were also interrogated to identify false negatives for CCHD.

This study was registered with the Birmingham Women's and Children's Hospital Research and Development Department and in accordance with the UK National Research Ethics Service guidance, neither individual informed consent nor formal research ethics committee review was required as the study was undertaken by the direct clinical care team using information previously collected in the course of routine care.

Results

There were 49 375 livebirths during the study period and no parents declined POS. Babies born in hospital were screened on delivery suite or postnatal ward, most commonly the latter.

224 babies were born following an antenatal diagnosis of CCHD. Of these, 57 (25%) were diagnosed in locally-booked women and the rest booked elsewhere and were transferred to our Fetal Medicine centre for ongoing care. All babies with an antenatally-diagnosed CCHD were admitted to NNU shortly after birth and a further 8 babies with CCHD were admitted because of early-onset symptoms (figure 1). None of these babies underwent POS. For locally-booked women CCHD antenatal detection rate was 75% (57/76; figure 1). In the 2014 study the antenatal detection rate was 46% (table 1). A total of 5262 babies >34 completed weeks gestation were admitted and 253 (5%) were as a result of a positive POS (figure 2) i.e. 0.5% of all livebirths (compared with 0.8% in 2014). This equates to around 3.5 admissions per month (compared with 5.2 in 2014) table 1.

Median age at admission was 6.1 hrs with 90% admitted within 12 hours and 95% within 24 hours. All babies were immediately assessed by a senior clinician in order to establish the cause of the low saturations.

247/253 (97.6%) of admitted babies had a significant condition which required treatment (table 2). 148 (58%) babies had a respiratory illness and 19 had persistent pulmonary hypertension. 53 (21%) were diagnosed with sepsis including 3 with (blood) culture positive for Group B Streptococcus (GBS). All cases of culture negative sepsis had a significant rise in inflammatory markers (table 2). 19 of these babies had positive skin swabs for GBS and 6 for E Coli and 42 had a lumbar puncture (all CSF samples were sterile). The other significant non-cardiac conditions are listed in figure 2.

239/253 babies (94%) received supplemental oxygen during the admission; 122 low-flow oxygen, 90 high-flow oxygen, 10 received CPAP and 17 (7%) received invasive ventilation. Median duration of admission was just under 5 days (4 days 23 hours).

Only 6 (2.4%) of the admitted babies had no pathological diagnosis – i.e. transitional circulation.

Echocardiography was performed according to clinical indication. In total, 67 babies (26%) had an echocardiogram and a previously unsuspected cardiac condition was identified in 22 (8 critical, 9 serious and 5 significant defects; tables 3, 4) or 9% of all test positive babies admitted. Sensitivity of POS for the detection of CCHD was 73% (compared with 60% in 2014). Three babies with an unidentified CCHD had a negative POS result (Figure 1). Two were identified on postnatal examination and one baby had a negative result for all screening tests (antenatal ultrasound, postnatal examination and POS) and was discharged home. In the latter baby CCHD was diagnosed while still asymptomatic, following readmission for another reason. This was the only baby with a CCHD to be discharged undiagnosed during the study (98.7% overall detection rate). There were no neonatal deaths from CCHD during the study and none presented with acute circulatory collapse on the postnatal ward.

Discussion

We report our six year experience of POS in order to make direct comparison with our previous findings in 2014 and identify trends in admission diagnoses, particularly in the light of improving antenatal detection of CCHD.

Overall, the proportion of babies with CCHD identified before discharge improved from 94% (in 2014) to 98.7%. Over the two periods there was an increase in antenatal detection of CCHD– 46% in 2014 to 75% in this study and the proportion of babies with CCHD identified by POS has therefore decreased. However, 19 babies with CCHD were still missed by antenatal screening of which POS identified eight. POS also detected nine babies with serious CHD who were missed by antenatal ultrasound (figure 1). This equates to over one CCHD identified by POS per year and almost three major (critical plus serious) CHDs per year. Our antenatal detection rate of 75% is higher than the UK national average (around 50% for cardiac defects requiring intervention within one year, regional range 34.3% - 65.9%.).¹⁷ Although the absolute number of babies with CCHD identified by POS per year has decreased, the overall test sensitivity was 73% (compared with 60% in 2014). In hospitals with a lower antenatal detection rate POS is likely to identify a higher proportion of CCHD babies.

We previously reported that 29% of test positive babies underwent echocardiography and 48% of these had a diagnosis requiring treatment or cardiac follow-up.⁸ In the present study 26% of POS test positive babies had an echocardiogram and 54% had a significant diagnosis (CHD or PPHN; table 4). Our consistent practice has been only to perform echocardiograms in test positive babies in whom an alternative diagnosis for hypoxaemia has not been established. As we previously reported,⁸ this compares favourably with the number of babies undergoing echocardiography for murmur. ¹⁸

The proportion of babies with CCHD that were false negative (i.e. missed by POS) decreased from 40% (6/15) in 2014 to 27% (3/11) in this study. Two of the three false negative babies had aortic obstruction - the defects most commonly missed by POS.¹⁹ However, the proportion of aortic obstruction identified by POS in our centre increased from 43% in 2014 to 60%, although overall numbers are small.

In 2014 we calculated identification of one CCHD for every 2873 screens performed.⁸ This number had decreased to one CCHD every 6171 screens for the reasons stated above but still compares favourably with other reported screened cohorts (e.g. one CCHD every 24 231 screens in New Jersey).²⁰

Importantly, the overall proportion of babies admitted to NNU following a positive POS has fallen from 0.8% of livebirths in 2014 to 0.5% - a fall in admissions with positive POS from 5.2 to 3.5 babies

per month (table 1). This reduction, particularly the reduction of admissions of healthy babies, may have occurred as a result of greater experience with POS. In 2014, 42% of babies admitted and diagnosed with transitional circulation were hypothermic; it is possible that active thermal care on the postnatal wards prior to a repeat screen, reduced admission rates. In addition, staff are more familiar with POS and perhaps have greater confidence observing babies with borderline saturations and a normal examination on the postnatal ward to allow the saturations to normalise without needing NNU admission.

In 2014 the majority of test positive babies had non-cardiac conditions requiring intervention (71%); in this study this increased to 89%. This was mainly due to a reduction in the admission of healthy babies with transitional circulation as the frequency of admission for non-cardiac causes did not increase. In both cohorts the main non-cardiac conditions were respiratory and infective. The number of screens performed to identify one non-cardiac condition has remained similar i.e. one non-cardiac condition per 219 screens compared with every 175 screens in 2014. Our data show that overall admission rate and therefore NNU workload as a result of POS, has reduced compared to 2014.

As in our previous cohort, no baby on the postnatal ward presented with collapse as a result of cardio-respiratory or early-onset infective conditions. In addition, there were no unexpected neonatal deaths from these conditions in either study period. We have previously described the benefit of earlier screening in order to prevent collapse in the first day of life.¹⁹ Studies where screening takes place later describe more babies with CCHD presenting with symptoms or collapse before screening takes place and identify fewer babies with non-cardiac conditions (which tend to present within 24 hours after birth).¹⁹ These factors also contribute to the higher false positive rate seen in earlier screening^{5,6} but it should be remembered that a false positive (any test positive baby who does not have CCHD) is still hypoxaemic, often with a potentially serious condition.

Our data compare favourably with the largest reported UK cohort undergoing POS which was published in 2020 and analysed data from over 138 000 babies (76 232 screened using POS) over an 11 year period (2001-2011).²¹ Only data on CCHD detection were reported and a comparison between two screening, and one non-screening, hospitals was made. The rate of post-discharge diagnosis in the screened population was 7/100,000 and 13/100,000 in the unscreened population. The rate in the unscreened population was almost twice as high as the screened and both were higher than our post-discharge diagnosis rate of 2/100 000. In the 2020 study screened babies underwent postductal saturation testing only, which is likely to miss more babies with CCHD.^{10,19} The

proportion of non-cardiac conditions identified was not reported and so no comparison can be made.

As we have previously stated, it is clear from our study that as antenatal screening improves, this reduces the number of babies with CCHD who require postnatal diagnosis, therefore decreasing the number potentially detected by POS. Our antenatal detection rate (75%) is much higher than the national average but 1 in 4 CCHD cases are still missed. Assuming a CCHD rate of 1-1.8/1000 livebirths,²² this equates to 175 - 350 UK babies missed per year with an antenatal detection rate of 75%, or 350 - 630 babies with the current detection rate of 50%.¹⁷

Although not target conditions for POS, detecting serious CHDs and significant respiratory and infective diagnoses are important additional benefits, as recognised by clinicians.^{14,15} POS unequivocally improves outcomes for CCHD,¹¹ but there are no published data on the test accuracy of POS for detecting non-cardiac conditions, or evidence to indicate improved outcome for these disorders. However, clinical common sense would suggest that earlier detection of potentially life-threatening conditions whilst the baby is still asymptomatic and the initiation of prompt treatment would halt disease progression and likely reduce morbidity and mortality. Additionally, babies with suspected sepsis identified by POS comprise a small proportion of all newborns requiring investigation and treatment for suspected sepsis. Estimates suggest that up to $20\%^{24}$ may receive antibiotics as a result of adherence to the current NICE guidance for early onset sepsis²³ – a significantly greater proportion than the 0.3% of screened babies diagnosed with sepsis as a result of POS.

Some babies may potentially be harmed following POS as it may lead to overdiagnosis, delayed discharge and parental anxiety. Over the two study periods we report a significant reduction in the number of healthy babies admitted to NNU as a result of a positive screen, to only 2.4%, which equates to only 0.02% (1 in 5 000) of the screened population.

POS was universally accepted by parents and we are not aware of concerns from parents regarding testing. In the PulseOx study a full psychological analysis of mothers' responses to POS was obtained which found no evidence of increased anxiety, even in mothers of babies with a false positive result.²

There are limitations to our study. Babies were identified by interrogation of a neonatal database which relies on accurate data input. It is possible that some babies were incorrectly coded. To reduce the risk of misclassifying data entry, multiple data sources were investigated and cross-referenced and the clinical notes of all babies included were carefully analysed. We accept that

some babies with a CCHD may have presented or died out of region and therefore we may not have captured them in our analysis but it is likely that there would be notification of this event.

Conclusions

The repeat evaluation of the POS programme in our hospital has highlighted a number of important findings. As our experience with POS has developed this has resulted in fewer test positives requiring admission and fewer healthy babies admitted. As the proportion of babies with antenatally detected CCHD increases, the number of babies with these conditions picked up by POS decreases; however, POS still regularly picks up babies with CHD who are at risk of discharge without diagnosis (approximately 10% of test positives). The majority of babies identified by early POS have respiratory or infective diagnoses, most of which are likely to benefit from earlier treatment.

Our data strongly suggest that the harms are negligible and are significantly outweighed by the benefits of POS.

What is already known on this subject?

- Early detection of CCHD can improve outcome and prevent postnatal collapse
- Some CCHD is missed by routine antenatal ultrasounds and postnatal examination •
- Pulse oximetry screening identifies CCHD not detected by routine antenatal ultrasound and • postnatal physical examination.

What this study adds

- Over time, experience with POS leads to fewer admissions particularly in babies who are • healthy.
- Pulse oximetry still detects babies with CCHD that are missed by antenatal ultrasound but . the numbers decrease as antenatal detection rates improve.
- Babies with important non-cardiac conditions remain the majority of babies detected by POS. 0.0

Contributors

AH and DA collated the data, performed the initial analysis, wrote the first version of the manuscript, edited subsequent versions and contributed equally to this paper. AS and AKE designed and initiated the study, edited and completed the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the health care assistants and midwives at Birmingham Women's Hospital who provide the pulse oximetry screening service.

We dedicate this paper to the memory of Dr Vishna Rasiah (1971-2020) whose work has guided our practice.

References

1. Ewer AK. Pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart defects. Should it be routine? Arch Dis Child Fetal and Neonatal Ed 2014;99:F93-F95.

2. Powell R, Pattison HM, Bhoyar A, Furmston AT, Middleton LJ, Daniels JP, Ewer AK. Pulse oximetry as a screening test for congenital heart defects in newborn infants: an evaluation of acceptability to mothers. Arch Dis Child 2012; Epub 2012 May 18.

3. Roberts TE, Barton P, Auguste P, Middleton LJ, Furmston AT, Ewer AK. Pulse oximetry as a screening test for congenital heart disease in newborn infants: a cost effectiveness analysis. Arch Dis Child 2012;97:221-226. Epub 2012 Jan 13.

4. Grosse SD, Peterson C, Abouk R, Glidewell J, Oster ME. Cost and Cost-Effectiveness Assessments of Newborn Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease Using Pulse Oximetry: A Review. *Int. J. Neonatal Screen.* 2017, *3*(4), 34; <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijns3040034</u>

5. Thangaratinam S, Brown K, Zamora J, Khan KS, Ewer AK. Pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart defects (CCHD) in asymptomatic newborns: a systematic review and meta analysis. Lancet 2012;379(9835):2459-64. Epub 2012 May 2.

6. Plana MN, Zamora J, Suresh G, et al. Pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart defects. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;3:CD011912.

7. Ewer AK, Middleton LJ, Furmston AT, *et al*. Pulse oximetry as a screening test for congenital heart defects in newborn infants (PulseOx): a test accuracy study. Lancet 2011;378:785-94.

8. Singh A, Rasiah SV, Ewer AK. The impact of routine predischarge pulse oximetry screening in a regional neonatal unit. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2014;99(4):F297–F302

9. Mahle WT, Martin GR, Beekman RH III, et al Endorsement of Health and Human Services recommendation for pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease. Pediatrics 2012;129:190-2.

10. Martin GR, Ewer AK, Gaviglio A, Hom LA, Saarinen A, Sontag M, Burns KM, Kemper AR and Oster ME. Updated Strategies for Pulse Oximetry Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease. Pediatrics 2020;146:e20191650; DOI: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1650.

11. Abouk R, Grosse SD, Ailes EC, et al. Association of US State Implementation of Newborn Screening Policies for Critical Congenital Heart Disease With Early Infant Cardiac Deaths. JAMA 2017;318:2111–8.

12. <u>https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/research/metabolism-systems/pulse-oximetry-screening-saving-babies-lives.aspx</u>

13. Brown S, Liyanage S, Mikrou P, Singh A, Ewer AK. Newborn pulse oximetry screening in the UK: a survey of practice in 2020. Lancet 2020;396:881. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31959-0.

14. Oddie S, Stenson B, Wyllie J, Ewer AK. UK consultation on pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart defects in newborns. Lancet 2019;394:103–104. <u>doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31515-6</u>.

15. Ewer AK, Deshpande S, Stenson S, Evans C, Upton M, Oddie S. The potential benefits and harms of universal newborn pulse oximetry screening. Response to the UK National Screening Committee public consultation. Arch Dis Child 2019; doi:10.1136/archdischild-2019-317859

16. Cawsey MJ, Noble S, Cross-Sudworth F, Ewer AK. Feasibility of pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart defects in homebirths. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2016 doi:10.1136/archdischild-2015-309936.

17. National Congenital Heart Disease Audit (NCHDA) 2020 Summary Report (2018/19 data)

18. Singh A, Desai T, Miller P, Rasiah SV. Benefits of predischarge echocardiography service for postnatal heart murmurs. Acta Paediatrica 2012;101:e333-6.

19. Ewer AK, Martin GR. Newborn pulse oximetry screening: which algorithm is best? Pediatrics 2016;138:e20161206.

20. Garg LF, Van Naarden Braun K, Knapp MM et al,. Results from New Jersey statewide critical congenital heart defects screening programme. Pediatrics 2013;132:e314-23.

21. Banait N, Ward-Platt M, Abu-Harb M, Wyllie J, Miller N, Harigopal S. Pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease: a comparative study of cohorts over 11 years, J Mat Fetal Neonatal Med 2020;33:2064-2068, DOI: <u>10.1080/14767058.2018.1538348</u>

22. Ewer AK, Furmston AT, Middleton LJ, *et al*. Pulse oximetry as a screening test for congenital heart defects in newborn infants: a test accuracy study with evaluation of acceptability and cost-effectiveness. Health Technol Assess 2012 Jan;16(2):1-184.

23. Clinical guideline [CG149]. Neonatal infection (early onset): antibiotics for prevention and treatment. 2012 https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg149.

24. Goel N, Shrestha S, Smith R, *et al.* Screening for early onset neonatal sepsis: NICE guidance-based practice versus projected application of the Kaiser Permanente sepsis risk calculator in the UK population *Arch Dis Child - Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2020;**105**:118-122.

Table 1: Cohort comparisons

	2010–2013 (40 months)	2013-2019 (72 muis)
Livebirths	25 859	49 375
Admission +ve POS	208 (0.8%)	253 (0.5%)
Admission/month +ve POS	5.2	3.4
AN diagnosis CCHD	46%	75%
CCHD identified by POS	9	8
Major CHD identified by POS	12	17
Screens per CCHD	2873	6171
Screens per major CHD	2155	2904
Screens per non-cardiac Δ	175	219
CCHD identified pre discharge	94%	98.7%
+ve POS undergoing Echo	29%	26%
Abnormal Echos (for +ve POS)	48%	54%
'Healthy' +ve POS admissions	43 (21%)	6 (2.4%)

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/adc

Table 2: Definitions of non-cardiac diagnoses in babies with test positive pulse oximetry

Congenital Pneumonia	Raised inflammatory markers (CRP > 10 mg/L) +/- positive culture, radiological changes on chest x-ray, oxygen requirement (for longer than 2 hours), antibiotics for \geq 5 days
Meconium aspiration syndrome	History of meconium staining of liquor, respiratory distress, oxygen requirement (for longer than 2 hours), radiological changes on chest x-ray
Sepsis	Raised inflammatory markers (CRP > 10 mg/L) +/- positive culture, antibiotics for \ge 5 days
TTN requiring oxygen	Tachypnoea with radiological changes of fluid retention, oxygen requirement (for more than 2 hours), no rise in inflammatory markers or positive culture

Congenital pneumonia, meconium aspiration syndrome or TTN requiring oxygen were classified as significant respiratory Illness

Table 3: Definitions of Congenital Heart Defects (CHD) From Ewer et al Lancet 2011

Non-Significant	Presence of any one of the following at birth no longer detected at 6 months: Small PDA; small PFO/ASD); muscular VSD; mildly abnormal turbulence at branch pulmonary artery.
Significant	Above defects persisting for longer than 6 months of age. Also any cardiac lesion which requires regular monitoring beyond 6 months or requiring drug treatment but not categorised as serious or critical.
Serious	Any defect not defined as critical which requires intervention or results in death between 1 month and 1 year of age.
Critical	All infants with hypoplastic left heart, pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum, simple transposition of the great arteries or interruption of the aortic arch.
	All infants dying or requiring surgery within the first 28 days of life with the following conditions: coarctation of the aorta; aortic valve stenosis; pulmonary valve stenosis; tetralogy of Fallot; pulmonary atresia with ventricular septal defect; total anomalous pulmonary venous connection.
Non-significant and CHD	significant CHD are classified as Minor and serious and critical as Major
PDA – Patent Ductu PFO – Patent Foram	nen Ovale
ASD – Atrial Septal	Defect
VSD – ventricular Se	eptal Defect

Table 4: Significant echocardiographic findings in babies with test positive pulse oximetry screening

Transposition of Great Arteries	2
Critical pulmonary stenosis	1
Pulmonary Atresia	2
Pulmonary stenosis	1
Coarctation of aorta	3
Truncus arteriosus	1
Atrio-ventricular septal defect	2
Tetralogy of Fallot	2
Aortic stenosis	1
Tricuspid valve abnormality	1
Patent Ductus Arteriosus	2
Ventricular Septal Defect	3
Atrial Septal Defect	1
Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn (PPHN)*	14

* PPHN defined clinically with pre and post ductal difference in saturations with echocardiogram findings of significant tricuspid regurgitation and evidence or right to left shunt across the patent foramen ovale (PFO) and/or patent ductus arteriosus (PDA).

Five babies with PPHN were diagnosed clinically and did not have echocardiography.



Figure 2: Outcomes of POS test positives

