UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Culturally responsive supervision

Soni, Anita; Fong, Haley; Janda, Tara

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Soni, A, Fong, H & Janda, T 2021, 'Culturally responsive supervision: a reflective account on the value of acknowledging and working with difference within supervision', Educational and Child Psychology, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 24-34.

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

This is a pre-publication version of the following article: Soni, A., Fong, H. and Janda, T., 2021. Culturally responsive supervision: a reflective account on the value of acknowledging and working with difference within supervision. Educational and Child Psychology, 38(4).

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 18. Apr. 2024

Culturally responsive supervision: A reflective account on the value of acknowledging 1 and working with difference within supervision 2 3 Abstract Aims / Intention of the paper: This paper reflects on our use of a transcultural supervision 4 5 exercise within our triad consisting of a university supervisor and two trainee educational 6 psychologists. We consider the implication for our relationships, how we understand each other and our cultures and how we would use such activities in the future. 7 8 **Process of reflection**: We selected Gibbs' reflective cycle (1988) because it provides a 9 structured framework for critical reflection of a learning experience. 10 11 **Implications for theory / practice:** We found the implications are that this exercise enabled 12 us to recognise and appreciate our differences and therefore take an ethnorelative approach 13 where each of us had a greater understanding of each other and our perspectives. This helped 14 us recognise our ethnocentricism and when this might impact on our understanding of each 15 16 other and those we work with. 17 Conclusions: We concluded that this activity and others like it, where each of us share our 18 culture and is curious about the culture of those we undertake supervision with, is supportive 19 of developing safe spaces. From our perspectives, it enabled openness and subsequently a 20 deeper discussion of issues that provoked emotion including those of racism and inclusion. 21 22 Key words: culturally responsive supervision, transcultural supervision 23 24 25

Introduction

1

This reflective account seeks to explore how the three of us; Tara and Haley, trainee 2 3 educational psychologists and Anita, a university supervisor sought to deepen our supervisory relationships. This was through engagement in a transcultural supervision activity at the end 4 the first term of the 3-year doctoral level training course to become educational 5 psychologists. Culturally responsive supervision is highlighted in the Division of Educational 6 7 and Child Psychology (DECP) supervisory competency framework developed by Dunsmuir and Leadbetter (2010). For example, supervisors are expected to "[value] difference and 8 9 diversity" (2.10) and be able to "work trans-culturally and with difference" (5.15) (Dunsmuir & Leadbetter, 2010, p. 15, 18). We engaged in a transcultural supervision exercise to support 10 our reflection on the value of diversity and difference and subsequently this has been adopted 11 more broadly within the programme at the University of Birmingham. Whilst the activity 12 described in the article was undertaken in 2019, before the murder of George Floyd, the 13 Black Lives Matter movement has highlighted its potential value, relevance and currency. 14 We begin with a consideration of the benefits of culturally responsive supervision, followed 15 by an exploration of Hawkins and McMahon's (2020) interactional model of supervision. 16 This is followed by a developmental model of intercultural sensitivity to explore the factors 17 needed to enable culturally responsive supervision. We later learned of Burnham's et al 18 (2008) social GRRAACCEESS model and this discussed in relation to how it accompanied 19 our reflections of our cultures. We then describe a transcultural exercise we undertook 20 together to support us to share and recognise our cultural differences and in turn recognise 21 our similarities, and draw on Gibbs' reflective cycle (1988) to share our individual thoughts 22 and feelings about the experience, evaluate the strengths and limitations of the exercise and 23 analyse what we each learned. The article goes on to discuss the final two stages of Gibbs' 24 model of reflection, conclusions and action plans. This includes a discussion of how our 25

- 1 reflections of experiences, feelings and thoughts echo the literature on culturally responsive
- 2 supervision. The article concludes with our actions and implications, both practical and
- 3 ethical for future use of transcultural supervision activities on training courses for educational
- 4 psychologists and beyond.
- 5 <u>Culturally responsive supervision</u>
- 6 Culture can be difficult to define as it varies across disciplines and generations (Jahoda,
- 7 2012). Therefore, for the purpose of this article, we recognise the complexities of culture
- 8 viewing it as the 'sum total of the learned behaviours of a group of people who shared
- 9 patterns of values, beliefs, languages, ritual and customs, behaviours and interactions,
- 10 cognitive constructs, and affective understanding through process of socialisation in the
- 11 community and society' (Tsui et al., 2014, p. 239). Culture goes beyond externalised markers
- of difference and instead encompasses internal factors such as values and external factors
- such as behaviours and interactions.
- 14 This definition of culture highlights that no-one can know and understand all cultures, indeed
- we only understand our own individual culture fully. Therefore, it is important to recognise
- and acknowledge that there are cultural differences as well as similarities between people.
- More specifically, within a supervisory relationship, open discussions about cultural
- differences acknowledges the issues of diversity and highlights its importance. For example,
- 19 Tohidian and Quek's (2017) meta-analyses of various qualitative studies on multi-cultural
- 20 clinical supervision found that when supervisors appreciated difference and diversity and
- 21 openly discussed and explored racial identities, supervision was deemed as culturally
- responsive by supervisees. When supervision was culturally responsive, supervisees were
- enabled to identify their own cultural strengths and to understand the significance of culture
- 24 within their profession. Furthermore, Burkard et al. (2006) found all supervisees, from a

- 1 range of backgrounds, benefited from culturally responsive supervision. European and
- 2 American supervisees (from the dominant culture) felt less fear when talking about cultural
- 3 issues during supervision, and supervisees of ethnic minorities felt validated and supported.
- 4 Therefore, bringing culture to the forefront of supervision can also have different positive
- 5 effects on those from different backgrounds.
- 6 In addition, Burkard et al. (2006) found acknowledgement of culture within supervision has
- 7 an impact on those that supervisees work with, namely for educational psychologists,
- 8 children and young people, families and the staff. If culture and difference is discussed
- 9 between the supervisor and supervisee, it is likely that these issues would be raised when
- discussing the work with children, young people and their families. One participant shared
- that she felt empowered to discuss cross-cultural experiences because her own experience of
- culturally sensitive supervision demonstrated appropriate ways to discuss cultural issues.
- 13 Therefore, this could support anti-oppressive practice within the supervisee's work,
- 14 highlighting social injustice and explore ways to reduce it.
- An interactional model of supervision
- Supervision is an accepted part of educational psychology practice and is a key part of the
- training, and development of trainee educational psychologists (BPS, 2019). Whilst the term
- supervision is defined and operationalised differently across professions, within the helping
- 19 professions, there is broad agreement that:
- 20 *'Supervision is a joint endeavour in which a practitioner, with the help of a supervisor,*
- 21 attends to their clients, themselves as part of their client-practitioner relationships and
- 22 the wider systemic and ecological contexts, and by so doing improves the quality of
- 23 their work, transforms their client relationships, continuously develops themselves,
- their practice and the wider profession.' (Hawkins & McMahon, 2020, p.3).

- 1 In this context, supervision is a collaboration between two parties to support with the work
- 2 with clients and there are also multiple functions of supervision.
- 3 Hawkins and Smith (2006, as cited in Hawkins & Shohet, 2012) describe three functions;
- 4 developmental, resourcing and qualitative, which result from the interaction between the
- 5 supervisor and supervisee. The developmental function focuses on the supervisee's learning
- 6 and development of skills whilst the qualitative function enables the supervisor to provide
- 7 quality control to the supervisee's work through discussion, reflection and exploration of
- 8 differing perspectives on the supervisee's work together. The resourcing function emphasises
- 9 enabling the supervisee to reflect on how their work with clients affects them and their
- 10 emotional responses to this. These are important to prevent the supervisee from becoming
- 11 overwhelmed.
- Research on supervision of trainee educational psychologists by Hill *et al.* (2015) supports
- the importance of the interactional nature of supervision. In their study, trainee EPs reflected
- on the developmental nature of supervision, and that in the latter stages of their training and
- therefore experience, supervision became increasingly collaborative. This was beneficial as it
- enabled mutual learning, and both parties valued the process. Therefore, supervision is a bi-
- directional process which should allow for reflection, collaboration, learning, support, and
- 18 growth.
- 19 Since supervision is a process of mutual learning, both supervisor and supervisee will bring
- 20 their own unique perspective as they will have different experiences and may also come from
- 21 different backgrounds. In essence, the supervisor and supervisee may belong to different
- cultures which should be acknowledged and explored within supervision.

- 1 Applying a developmental model of intercultural sensitivity to supervision
- 2 One model which illustrates the process by which an individual becomes more culturally
- 3 sensitive is the developmental model of intercultural sensitivity (Brinkmann & Weerdenberg,
- 4 1999, as cited in Hawkins & Shohet, 2012). This model includes six stages which can be
- 5 applied to supervision:
- 6 (1) Denial: viewing own culture as the real one
- 7 (2) Defence: viewing own culture as the good one and does not believe in cultural
- 8 differences
- 9 (3) Minimisation: viewing parts of own culture as universal
- 10 (4) Acceptance: recognising that own culture is one of many cultures
- 11 (5) Cognitive Adaptation: being able to see the world through the lens of another
- 12 (6) Behavioural Adaption: being able to adapt behaviour to other cultures
- 13 The model notes that the first two stages, that is *denial* and *defence* are ethnocentric leading
- to supervision that is not culturally sensitive. The later stages move towards ethnorelativism,
- that is supervision which includes cross-cultural practice and understanding. However, whilst
- this model offers a useful framework, it does not give guidance on how, in this case a
- supervisor and supervisee, can move from ethnocentric supervision to ethnorelative
- 18 supervision.
- 19 Tsui et al. (2014) highlight that culturally sensitive supervision relies on the supervisor and
- 20 supervisee being aware of their own culture. This awareness leads to reflection on how
- 21 culture influences the supervision process and in turn work with clients, including a
- recognition that each is likely to have assumptions or biases which will impact their work.
- Furthermore, it can also help an individual to reflect on their reactions and emotional
- responses to their practice and the role culture plays in this.

- 1 Tohidian and Quek (2017) note that it is important for supervisors to take an active role in
- 2 engaging supervisees with discussions around culture and difference, and this can only occur
- 3 if both the supervisor and supervisee feel comfortable in sharing information about their
- 4 culture. Within a supervisory relationship, the supervisor is likely to hold the power and so it
- 5 is important for them to initiate information sharing and to create a safe space for these
- 6 discussions. For instance, Burkard et al. (2006) found that having a safe space allowed
- 7 supervisees to feel comfortable and encouraged them to talk about cultural issues. A
- 8 supportive environment accepts multiple perspectives and holds the uncomfortable feelings
- 9 that a supervisee may experience when discussing culture (Dressel *et al.*, 2006).
- Additionally, Hird et al. (2001) found that when supervisors were willing to share and reflect
- on their own culture and biases, supervisees also felt comfortable in sharing too. Therefore,
- 12 how the space and environment is perceived and presented are key because it determines
- whether the supervisee feels safe, secure and respected in order to share.
- 14 It must also be noted that much of the research in the helping professions view 'culture' as
- synonymous with 'ethnicity' (Tsui et al., 2014). However we have defined culture to include
- aspects such as values, behaviours, customs, cognitive constructs and although ethnicity does
- influence these areas, it does not do so in isolation. For example, religion (Saroglou & Cohen,
- 18 2011) and social class (Kraus, Piff & Keltner, 2011) can also be a key part of an individual's
- 19 culture as it can impact their values, behaviours and customs.
- 20 Soheilian et al. (2014) explored the experiences of supervision from the perspective of
- supervisees and they found that certain cultural topics such as ethnicity and gender were more
- 22 commonly discussed whilst other topics such as socioeconomic status and sexual orientation
- 23 were not often discussed. These topics may not be discussed because they may not be deemed
- as 'visible' compared to someone's ethnicity or gender.

- Later we learned of Burnham et al's (2008) social GRRAACCEESS (Gender Race Religion
- 2 Age Ability Class Culture Ethnicity Education Sexuality Spirituality) acronym or visual
- tapestry. It describes aspects of personal and social identity (Partridge, 2019) and can be used
- as an adaptable framework to promote critical reflection of cultural practices and discourses
- 5 (Dallos and Draper, 2015). Although this acronym may be considered overly simplistic, it
- argues that each aspect is interconnected, important and constitutes an individual's
- 7 experience in all aspects of their life (Burnham et al, 2008). In a given context, each aspect of
- 8 the acronym can vary along the dimensions of voiced-to-unvoiced and visible-to-invisible
- 9 (Burnham et al, 2008). For example, age may be considered a visible social aspect as it is
- visually present whereas sexuality can be considered an invisible aspect. In retrospect we
- loosely used the social GRRAACCEESS framework to support our reflection on similarity
- and difference, self-reflexivity and relational reflexivity, developing cultural differentiation
- (our own sense of culture through self-reflexivity) and identifying cultural integration
- 14 (patterns of coordination through relational reflexivity).
- Our reflections on the exercise using Gibbs' reflective cycle
- Reflection involves recalling a specific experience and analysing and evaluating the event as
- it occurs (Fakude & Bruce, 2003). It can help develop a new perspective and support
- professional development (Berglund, Sjögren, & Ekesbergh 2012). Gibbs' reflective cycle is
- a tool which can support critical reflection of an experience and has six stages which are
- outlined in Figure 1. We chose Gibbs' (1988) reflective cycle because it offers a clear
- 21 structure, includes thoughts and feelings, supports understanding of what is done within work
- and enables planning for the future. However, it is important to note it does not include an
- 23 acknowledgement of our assumptions or values. When we used it to reflect, we were mindful
- 24 not to be influenced by each other's reflections and only shared our evaluations to bring them
- 25 together in this article.

1	
2	Insert Figure 1 here.

1. Description of the exercise

- We engaged in a transcultural supervision exercise together in December 2019, towards the end of Tara and Haley's first term in the first year of the course. This exercise described by Hawkins and Shohet (2012) encourages supervisors and supervisees to reflect on how they are bound in their own cultural assumptions, including those that are unconscious, and how they can work across differences in culture. In essence each of us described our own cultural background, taking a wider view of culture. We took it in turns to be the one to share and to be the one to listen and reflect back. We then had a discussion of the similarities and differences between each of us (see Appendix A for an overview of the steps in the process which were adapted to be undertaken as a three).
 - 2. Our feelings about the exercise
- Haley: Initially, I felt unsure what to expect of the activity as it was something that I had never done before. However, once Anita had shared her experiences, I gained a better understanding of what was expected of me. Additionally, I was aware that I could share however much I wanted to, which made me feel comfortable and safe. Being able to share information about myself felt liberating. Furthermore, hearing about the experiences and backgrounds of Anita and Tara made me feel that I knew more about them and what made them who they are.
- 22 Anita: When considering engaging in the exercise, I was excited to try it with Haley and
- Tara, whilst simultaneously nervous about revealing aspects of my identity and culture, and

- asking them to do the same with me. I was keen to ensure that this went beyond our visible
- differences. Coincidentally, each of us could be described as Black, Asian, minority ethnic
- 3 (BAME), in itself a troubling term which homogenises a wide and diverse group of people,
- and it was important to me that this activity went beyond this visible difference to the
- 5 majority. Indeed it is interesting to reflect I asked them to engage in this exercise at the end of
- 6 a busy term, maybe indicating I felt I had my hand on the door handle ready to leave. As the
- 7 supervisor, I was aware of the danger of going first but also of the pressure of asking Tara or
- 8 Haley to go first, and making the right decision felt important. Once we had all spoken,
- 9 listened and reflected, as Haley says, I felt we had moved our supervisory relationships in a
- 10 new direction and deepened them.
- 11 **Tara:** Prior to engaging in transcultural supervision, I felt apprehensive as I was unfamiliar
- with the process. Plus, at the time, I viewed supervision as predominately educative and was
- aware of the power dynamics between Anita as our supervisor, and Haley and I. Therefore,
- the thought of revealing personal information about myself to others, particularly Anita, was
- unnerving. These feelings of apprehension soon diminished once we started to engage in the
- activity. I felt privileged and enjoyed listening to Anita's and Haley's accounts about their
- cultural background. I found it interesting to learn about their culture and their journey to this
- point. Additionally, it was a great opportunity to reflect on my own culture and how this may
- impact my worldview, beliefs and values.

- 3. Our evaluation of the exercise
- 21 Haley: A number of things worked well, which included how open we all were about
- 22 engaging in the activity. Additionally, because we had supervisions as a three prior to
- 23 engaging in the transcultural supervision exercise, it helped us to feel more comfortable with
- each other. It would have been useful to have had the chance to think about the activity

- 1 beforehand so that I could think about all aspects of my culture that I could have possibly
- shared. This is because there are so many areas of my life which are part of my identity and
- 3 culture but could be unconscious. We could have also spent some time talking about these
- 4 differences and similarities and subsequently how it would impact supervision.
- 5 Anita: In some ways, I was glad we had a break from our supervisory relationship for a
- 6 couple of weeks afterwards, as I did wonder whether I had revealed too much and over-
- shared. However, I also felt that Tara, Haley and I now had moved to a different stage in our
- 8 relationship, having a greater appreciation of what was different as well as having similarities
- 9 to draw on. In terms of evaluation, I agree with Haley that we could have considered further
- 10 how this exercise could impact on supervision, and that would have been helpful to return to
- in a further session. It helped cement a belief that this was a good exercise to do, which led to
- greater understanding, tolerance and interest in each other.
- 13 Tara: I agree with Haley that it would have been useful to think about what aspects of my
- culture I wanted to share. On reflection, I am unsure if the things that I mentioned were most
- important to me in terms of my culture or just modelled from Anita's response. Although it
- was useful to have Anita model her answer, I found the aspects of my cultural background
- that I discussed were very similar. I found that having the three of us do this exercise together
- in supervision positively impacted on my relationships with both Anita and Haley. It helped
- 19 reframe my thinking around supervision, by seeing it as supportive as well as educative and
- administrative. Additionally, I felt that engaging in the activity with Haley strengthened our
- 21 relationship.

- 4. Our analysis of the impact of the exercise
- Haley: As mentioned previously, having had some time to get to know each other prior to
- engaging in transcultural supervision really helped to make me feel comfortable and safe.

- 1 This enabled me to share areas I may not have done if we had completed this activity earlier
- on. Additionally, because Anita (our supervisor) shared first, this broke down the barriers and
- 3 the power imbalance. Since Anita felt comfortable and was willing to share her background,
- 4 then I felt more willing to share mine as well.
- 5 Anita: In the immediacy, both Tara and Haley became 'whole' with their multiple identities
- 6 more clearly visible, helping me see beyond the student aspect of their identity, whilst
- 7 helping me to remember there may be many more aspects as yet hidden from view. I saw
- 8 each of them as a person to learn from and with, and could have greater curiosity about their
- 9 views of the world, based on their own unique experiences. I reflected later that both Haley
- and Tara were more open with me, about views that they may not have easily felt able to
- share, and our supervisions contained more emotional content.
- 12 Tara: I believe that Haley and I being open and willing to engage in transcultural supervision
- helped stimulate our discussions as a trio. As Haley mentioned, having a safe and open
- environment was key in feeling comfortable to reveal personal information about myself and
- about my culture. Additionally, having a clear structure to follow provides some boundaries
- which helped increase the sense of safety. On reflection, having the social GRRAACCEESS
- 17 (Burnham et al, 2008) to reflect on prior to the supervision would have worked great as
- prompts to think about what culture means to me.

Discussion

- 20 Our initial feelings about the transcultural supervision exercise align with the uncomfortable
- 21 feelings highlighted by Dressel et al. (2006), although this was identified to be felt by the
- supervisee rather than all who participate. However as suggested by Hird *et al.* (2001), it is
- 23 important for supervisors to be willing to share and reflect on their own culture, in order to

- support the supervisees to feel comfortable in sharing. This crucially relied on each of us
- being aware of our own cultures (Tsui et al., 2014).
- 3 In terms of the evaluation of the exercise, the timing for transcultural supervision exercises is
- 4 important to consider, and one we discussed later as a team of supervisors and tutors. There
- 5 can be concerns that it may be too early and it should only take place when a safe space has
- 6 been created where supervisors and supervisees feel open to sharing aspects of their culture.
- 7 Tohidian and Quek (2017) place responsibility on the supervisor to create a safe space,
- 8 however if drawing upon Hawkins and Shohet's (2012) and Hill et al's (2015) proposition of
- 9 the interactional nature of supervision, then it is both parties who need to negotiate when this
- should take place. As noted, it would have been helpful to have had some time and structure
- for preparation with Burnham et al's (2008) social GRRAACCEESS offering a useful
- framework for us to each reflect on our culture, rather than as in our case where the
- supervisor's model may have dominated.
- In analysing the impact of the exercise, using the developmental model of intercultural
- sensitivity (Brinkmann & Weerdenberg, 1999, as cited in Hawkins & Shohet, 2012), each of
- us had to confront our potentially ethnocentric stance of defence or minimisation, where we
- would be tempted to view our own culture as good or universal as we had to identify and
- 18 reflect on our own culture. The exercise forced us towards acceptance and cognitive
- adaptation as each of us were engaged in looking at the world through the lens of each other.
- 20 Actions and implications
- 21 We reflected that we individually, without discussing it with each other, took actions after
- 22 engaging in this work. At an individual level, each of us feels a benefit from it and have gone
- on to share it more widely in our own spheres with our placement supervisors and the local
- 24 authority. We gained a greater appreciation for our own cultures and those of others which

- gave a foundation to return to when thinking about the different perspectives and cultural
- values that are likely to be at play when seeking to understand children, young people, their
- families and the staff who work with them.
- 4 We consider there are a number of implications from undertaking this exercise and seeking to
- 5 develop culturally sensitive supervision. We explore implications in terms of ethical
- 6 considerations, in relation to power, at a practical level and it's potential for use within EP
- 7 training courses and beyond.
- 8 Ethical considerations
- 9 There are ethical issues to consider when undertaking this activity to promote transcultural
- supervision. Linked to the British Psychological Society's Code of Ethics and Conduct
- Framework (BPS, 2018), it is important that the four key ethical principles i.e., respect,
- competence, responsibility, and integrity, remain at the forefront. Practically speaking,
- individuals may be put in a vulnerable position as they do not know how much information
- should be shared about themselves. With the four ethical principles in mind, we suggest that
- the instigator of the activity, which could be the supervisee or supervisor, should set
- boundaries around this process and emphasise that each person can share as much or as little
- as they wish. This should be respected throughout, with no additional probing or prompting
- of the individual who is sharing. Again, this emphasises that each person can share what they
- wish with no consequences. Another boundary that needs to be considered is that of
- 20 confidentiality within the group or supervisory relationship.
- With regards to power imbalance, there are issues to consider when a supervisor, who tends
- 22 to be the individual with greater power instigates this activity with a supervisee, who often
- has less power. Again, working within the BPS Code of Ethics and Conduct (BPS, 2018),
- power issues need to be acknowledged and addressed. For example, individuals are fully

- informed of the process and what it is entails before deciding to take part or not in the
- 2 activity. When the four ethical principles are adhered to, a safe space should be created which
- allows the individual to feel comfortable in being honest about their participation.
- 4 Practical implications
- The reflections detailed in this paper have implications for those who wish to undertake the
- 6 activities or one similar within a supervisory relationship. Timing is an important factor to
- 7 consider when thinking about engaging in a transcultural supervision exercise. We suggest
- 8 that participants must feel comfortable within the supervisory relationship before practicing
- 9 the exercise. We believe that the exercise enhanced our supervisory relationships, completing
- it approximately three months in. Considering the timing within the day is also important,
- ensuring the meeting is scheduled at a suitable time for both participants as disclosure about
- personal aspects of oneself can be emotionally demanding. Therefore, we suggest having
- time to individually reflect and debrief after engaging in transcultural supervision.
- The use of the social GRRAACCEESS (Burnham et al, 2008) model can be used as a guiding
- framework to aid reflection on one's culture. Sharing the model prior to the meeting would
- help ensure that individuals have ownership of what aspects they feel comfortable voicing
- and aspects they want to remain invisible.
- Whilst we conducted this activity in person, we have found this activity can be conducted
- online or via video call. However, as above, it is essential to allow time after the activity to
- debrief and a safe space should have been developed.
- 21 EP training course implications
- 22 As a wider level, we have developed the practice at the University of Birmingham, by firstly
- having a go at this exercise with the trainee educational psychologists. This has occurred
- during the restrictions resulting from the Covid-19 pandemic and so was conducted online.

- Whilst there has been no formal evaluation of this practice, some trainee educational
- 2 psychologists chose to undertake the activity with their placement supervisors and have
- requested further information on the activity. We have also shared it with those who attend
- 4 the training course for placement supervisors and it was noted to be a practical and useful tool
- 5 at the end of the session.
- 6 Conclusions
- 7 In conclusion, we found that culturally responsive supervision enables deeper supervisory
- 8 relationships and in turn the discussion of more complex issues and therefore recommend
- engaging in transcultural exercises to promote this in all types of supervision of and with EPs.
- However it is important to remember, that in order for culturally sensitive supervision to take
- place, a safe space is needed where all parties feel able to be honest and authentic in their
- thoughts, feelings and views about themselves. Whilst the development of this safe space
- 13 needs to begin before using transcultural supervision exercises, the exercises in themselves
- support the development of it. These exercises are not easy and are emotionally demanding
- and so it is vital to have time to prepare and reflect beforehand and afterwards. It supported
- all of us to become more aware of our own cultures, the cultures of other in the supervisory
- 17 relationship and how these impact on our worldview, values and beliefs.

References

- 19 Berglund, M., Sjögren, R. & Ekebergh, M. (2012). Reflect and learn together when two
- supervisors interact in the learning support process of nurse education. *Journal of*
- 21 *Nursing Management, 20*(2), 152-158. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 22 2834.2011.01368.x
- 23 British Psychological Society (2018). *Code of ethics and conduct.*
- 24 https://www.bps.org.uk/sites/www.bps.org.uk/files/Policy/Policy%20-

1	%20Files/BPS%20Code%20of%20Ethics%20and%20Conduct%20%28Updated%20
2	<u>July%202018%29.pdf</u>
3	British Psychological Society (2019). Standards for the accreditation of Doctoral
4	programmes in educational psychology in England, Northern Ireland & Wales.
5	https://www.bps.org.uk/sites/www.bps.org.uk/files/Accreditation/Educational%20Acc
6	reditation%20Handbook%202019.pdf
7	Burkard, A. W., Johnson, A. J., Madson, M. B. Pruitt, N. T., Contreras-Tadych, D. A.,
8	Kozlowski, J. M & Knox, S. (2006). Supervisor cultural responsiveness and
9	unresponsiveness in cross-cultural supervision. Journal of Counselling
10	Psychology, 53(3), 288-301. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.53.3.288
11	Burnham, J., Palma, D. A. & Whitehouse, L. (2008). Learning as a context for differences
12	and differences as a context for learning, Journal of Family Therapy, 30 (4), 529–542.
13	Dallos, R., & Draper, R. (2015). An Introduction to Family Therapy: Systemic Theory and
14	Practice (4 th ed.). Berkshire: Open University Press/McGraw Hill Education.
15	Dunsmuir, S. & Leadbetter, J. (2010). Professional supervision: Guidelines for practice for
16	educational psychologists. https://www.ucl.ac.uk/educational-
17	psychology/resources/DECP%20Supervision%20report%20Nov%202010.pdf
18	Dressel, J. L., Consoli, A. J., Kim, B. S. & Atkinson, D. R. (2007). Successful and
19	unsuccessful multicultural supervisory behaviors: A Delphi poll. Journal of
20	Multicultural Counselling and Development, 35(1), 51-64.
21	Fakude, L. & Bruce, J. (2003). Journaling: A quasi-experimental study of student nurses'
22	reflective learning ability. 26(2), 49-55. doi:10.4102/curationis.v26i2.783

- 1 Gibbs, G. (1988) Learning by Doing: A Guide to Teaching and Learning Methods. Oxford:
- 2 Further Education Unit, Oxford Polytechnic.
- 3 Hawkins, P. & McMahon, A. (2020). Supervision in the helping professions. (5th ed.).
- 4 London: Open University Press
- 5 Hawkins, P. & Shohet, R. (2012). Supervision in the helping professions. (4th ed.). England:
- 6 Open University Press.
- 7 Hill, V., Bond, C., Atkinson, C., Woods, K., Gibbs, S., Howe, J. & Morris, S. (2015).
- 8 Developing as a practitioner: How supervision supports the learning and development
- 9 of trainee educational psychologists in three-year doctoral training. *Educational &*
- 10 *Child Psychology*, 22(3), 118-130.
- Hird, J. S., Cavalieri, C. E., Dulko, J. P., Felice, A. A. & Ho, T. A. (2001). Visions and
- realities: Supervisee perspectives of multicultural supervision. *Journal of*
- 13 *Multicultural Counselling and Development, 29*(2), 114-130.
- 14 https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1912.2001.tb00509.x
- Jahoda, G. (2012). Critical reflections on some recent definitions of "culture". Culture &
- 16 *Psychology*, 18(3), 289-303.
- 17 Kraus, M. W., Piff, P. K. & Keltner, D. (2011). Social class as culture: The convergence of
- resources and rank in the social realm. Current Directions in Psychological
- 19 *Science*, 20(4), 246-250. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721411414654
- 20 Partridge, K. (2019). Practice Supervisor Development Programme Resources and tools:
- 21 Social GGRRAAACCEEESSS and the LUUUTT model. Devon: Practice Supervisor
- 22 Development Programme.

1	Saroglou, V. & Cohen, A. B. (2011). Psychology of culture and religion: Introduction to the
2	JCCP special issue. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 42(8), 1309-1319.
3	https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022111412254
4	Soheilian, S. S., Inman, A. G., Klinger, R. S., Isenberg, D. S. & Kulp, L. E. (2014).
5	Multicultural supervision: Supervisees' reflections on culturally competent
6	supervision. Counselling Psychology Quarterly, 27(4), 379-392.
7	https://doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2014.961408
8	Tohidian, N. B. & Quek, K. M. T. (2017). Processes that inform multicultural supervision: A
9	qualitative meta-analysis. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 43(4), 573-590.
10	https://doi.org/10.1111/jmft.12219
11	Tsui, M., O'Donoghue, K. & Ng, A. K. T. (2014). Culturally competent and diversity-
12	sensitive clinical supervision: An international perspective. In C. E., Watkins Jr., & D.
13	L., Milne (Eds.), The Wiley international handbook of clinical supervision. (pp. 238–
14	254). Oxford: John Wiley & Sons.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1 Appendix A An exercise to enable culturally responsive supervision 2 Hawkins and Shohet (2012) suggests this exercise (amongst others) to promote cultural 3 4 awareness within supervision; 1. Person A will explain to Person B about their cultural background. Cultural 5 background is interpreted as many aspects of a person's life that they think is relevant. 6 7 2. After listening carefully, Person B will recount what has been heard. 8 9 3. Person A clarifies any misunderstandings and can add further information. 10 11 4. Person B will then share and reflect how this will relate to the supervisory 12 relationship. 13 14 5. The roles are then reversed whereby Person B shared their culture with Person A and

16 the above steps are repeated. 17 6. Finally, differences and similarities between cultures are considered and discussed. 18