### UNIVERSITY<sup>OF</sup> BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Combined effects of composite thermal energy storage and magnetic field to enhance productivity in solar desalination

Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, D.; Arulvel, S.; Anil Kumar, V.; Davies, P.A.; Arunkumar, T.; Sathyamurthy, Ravishankar; Kabeel, A.e.; Anand Vishwanath, M.; Sai Charan Reddy, D.; Dutta, Amandeep; Agrawal, Mayank; Vilas Hiwarkar, Vedant *DOI:* 

10.1016/j.renene.2021.07.124

License: Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, D, Arulvel, S, Anil Kumar, V, Davies, PA, Arunkumar, T, Sathyamurthy, R, Kabeel, AE, Anand Vishwanath, M, Sai Charan Reddy, D, Dutta, A, Agrawal, M & Vilas Hiwarkar, V 2021, 'Combined effects of composite thermal energy storage and magnetic field to enhance productivity in solar desalination', *Renewable Energy*, vol. 181, pp. 219-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.07.124

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

## Combined effects of composite thermal energy storage and magnetic field to enhance productivity in solar desalination

3 D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss<sup>1,2\*</sup>, S. Arulvel<sup>1</sup>, V. Anil Kumar<sup>1</sup>, P.A. Davies<sup>2</sup>, T. Arunkumar<sup>3</sup>,

Ravishankar Sathyamurthy<sup>4,5</sup>, A.E. Kabeel<sup>5</sup>, M. Anand Vishwanath<sup>1</sup>, D. Sai Charan Reddy<sup>1</sup>,
 Amandeep Dutta<sup>1</sup>, Mayank Agrawal<sup>1</sup>, Vedant Vilas Hiwarkar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mechanical Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore-632014,
Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>8</sup> <sup>2</sup>School of Engineering, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

<sup>3</sup>National Center for International Research on Photoelectric and Energy Materials, Yunnan

10 Province Engineering Research Center of Photocatalytic Treatment of Industrial Wastewater,

11 School of Chemical Sciences and Technology, Yunnan University, Kunming-650091, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology,

13 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>5</sup>Mechanical Power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Tanta University, Tanta,

15 Egypt

#### 16 Abstract

The conventional solar still is limited to a daily yield of approximately  $2 - 3.5 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{day}$ . To 17 18 increase the yield, this study investigates experimentally the combined effects of latent and sensible energy storage together with magnetization. Paraffin and novel high-thermal 19 conductivity nanomaterial (graphite plate) were used as latent and sensible heat storage 20 materials, respectively. There was an overall increase of 62% and 235% in the daytime and 21 night-time yield, respectively, giving a total yield of 5.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day compared to 3.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day 22 for a conventional still. Enviro-economic parameters like emissions, CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation and carbon 23 credit (CC) earned were also investigated. Energy matrices analysis and water quality checks 24 were performed to estimate the energy-payback time, life cycle conversion efficiency (LCCE) 25 and purity of desalinated water. The cost per liter of freshwater was found to be 3.7% cheaper 26 than for a conventional still and 69% cheaper than bottled water in India. Over a 30 year period, 27 40.3 Tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> will be mitigated contributing a CC and LCCE of \$402 and 0.52, 28 respectively. The proposed modified still is recommended as a substitute for conventional stills 29 and stills with simple energy storage. 30

*Keywords:* composite thermal energy storage; graphite plate-paraffin; modified still; ferrite
magnets; techno-enviro-economical; productivity.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss*E-mail address:* <u>dsilva.kongu@gmail.com</u>

Nomenclature	
AC	annual cost (\$)
AMC	annual maintenance and operational cost(\$)
ASV	annual salvage value (\$)
BIS	bureau of Indian standards
CC	carbon credit earned (\$)
CNT	carbon nanotube
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CPL	cost per liter (\$)
CRF	capital recovery factor
CuO	copper oxide
DO	dissolved oxygen (%)
DSC	Differential scanning calorimeter
FAC	fixed annual cost (\$)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GO	graphene oxide
LCCE	life cycle conversion efficiency
Μ	annual productivity (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
Ν	number of days
NO	nitric oxide
Р	present capital cost (\$)
PCM	phase change material
pН	parts of hydrogen
Ppm	parts per million
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
R&D	research and development
S	salvage value (\$)
SAS	School of Advanced Sciences
SFF	sinking fund factor
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulphur dioxide
Та	ambient temperature (°C)
TDS	total dissolved solids (mg/L)
TES	thermal energy storage
Tgraphite	temperature of graphite plate (°C)
Tg	temperature of glass (°C)
TiO <sub>2</sub>	titanium dioxide
Tpcm	temperature of phase change material (°C)
Tw	water temperature (°C)
USD	United States dollar (\$)
VIT	Vellore Institute of Technology
Y	Total years of operation

#### 37 1. Introduction

Freshwater is one of the basic requirements of all living creatures on the earth. Even though 38 73% of the earth is covered with water, only 3% is freshwater. The total freshwater available 39 for usage is estimated to be 0.36% [1,2]. Roughly one million people die each year due to lack 40 of access to good quality water [3]. Desalination technologies help to remedy this water crisis. 41 various desalination techniques include membrane desalination technologies 42 The (electrodialysis, reverse osmosis, forward osmosis) and thermal desalination technologies 43 (vapour compression evaporation, adsorption desalination, solar stills, multi-stage flash and 44 distillation) [4,5,14,6–13]. In general, membrane technologies and most of the thermal 45 desalination technologies are expensive, energy-intensive processes, as such not very feasible 46 in rural areas [15]. Among the various options, the solar still is a thermal desalination 47 technology that operates at zero fuel cost (as it is driven by solar energy) and as such one the 48 more economic technologies available [16–18]. 49

The conventional solar still is limited to a low daily yield of approximately 2–3.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, which is insufficient for an average family [19]. Enhancing this yield is an important research and development (R & D) area, wherein researchers are trying to incorporate advanced techniques like solar collectors, solar photovoltaic, thermal energy storage and solar pond. [20–23]. Among these, thermal energy storage is used to improve the daily yield by providing freshwater even during the night [24–26].

56 Generally, thermal energy can be stored by sensible heat (without phase change) and latent heat (with phase change) energy storage materials [27]. Many sensible heat energy storage 57 58 materials (Fig. 1) were used by researchers from the year 1981 to 2020, including jute cloth [28], black rubber mat [29], black gravel [30], aluminium plates [31,32], charcoal granules 59 60 [33], packed glass ball [34], granite [35], sponges [36,37], fins [38], sand [39], black rocks [40], dried sand [41], vertical jute cloth [42], dry cow dung [43], thermic fluid [44,45], bamboo 61 62 cotton [46], marble pieces [47] and fins [48]. In recent years (i.e. 2018 and 2019), research was carried using novel materials and combining more than one materials [49], [50], [51], [52], 63 [53], [54], [55], [56] to enhance the productivity. A detailed comparison of productivity 64 enhancement using these various materials is shown in Table. 1. It is evident that the sensible 65 heat energy storage materials gave a significant productivity improvement. However, emerging 66 high-thermal conductivity energy storage materials such as CNT and graphite plates (in the 67 bulk volume) have not yet been incorporated and investigated in the solar still. 68





#### Fig. 1. Historical evolution of thermal energy storage materials in solar desalination

71 Unlike sensible heat energy storage, latent heat energy storage in solar still gained interest relatively recently in 2003 [57], and slowly gained momentum since then [58–62]. There are 72 many reviews available about the integration of latent heat energy storage material in the solar 73 still [63,64], covering various types of latent heat energy storage materials such as paraffin, 74 lauric acid, glauber's salt and stearic acid [24,27]. Among these, paraffin is most widely used 75 owing to its low cost and favourable thermo-physical and chemical properties [26,63,65,66]. 76 77 Up till now, studies only looked at materials used individually. Composite thermal energy storage is a new concept that combines both sensible and latent heat energy storage in the solar 78 still. This technique was initiated in 2016 [67] and only a few studies have been reported so 79 80 far.

81 Shalaby et al. (2016) used wick and paraffin for composite thermal energy storage and achieved a 41% improvement in yield over a conventional still [67]. Kabeel et al. (2019) used 82 black gravel (as sensible heat energy storage material) and paraffin wax (as latent heat energy 83 storage material) to obtain an improvement of 37% [68]. These experiments show it to be a 84 promising technique that merits further investigation. Moreover, none of the studies 85 investigated the integration of recently evolved high thermal conductivity sensible heat energy 86 storage materials (like CNT and graphite) along with the latent heat energy storage materials 87 88 in a solar still.

Recently (in 2019) Indian researchers found that magnetizing (through ferrite magnets) the feed water enhances the distillate yield [69]. Usually, in conventional stills, the presence of Van der Waals force between the soluble salts and water molecules increases the boiling point elevation and leads to the low vapor pressure [70–75]. Whereas the still integrated with ferrite magnets induce a magnetic field which tends to break the Van der Waals force between the

water molecules and soluble salts [70–75]. This phenomenon increases the diffusion coefficient
of salt ions and mobility of water molecules, which in turn increase the vapor pressure and
enhances the evaporation process [70–75]. The integration of magnets increases the partial
pressure difference between the water and glass cover, which in turn increases the evaporative
heat transfer coefficient and enhances productivity by 49% [69]. However, the combined
effects of heat storage and magnetisation have yet to be investigated.

To summarise these findings, the following areas have not yet been investigated in solar 100 stills: (i) new (graphite plates and CNT) sensible heat energy storage materials; (ii) combination 101 of such materials with latent heat energy storage; (iii) magnetisation together with such 102 composite energy storage. The current study aims to address these research gaps. Thus, the 103 specific objectives are to: (i) analyse the productivity enhancement through composite thermal 104 energy storage technique along with the magnetizing effect together in solar still (modified 105 still); (ii) investigate the technical and economic feasibility of the proposed modified still, (iii) 106 conduct an enviro-economic analysis of it; (iv) perform energy matrices analysis associated 107 with the modified still, and (v) test the quality of water from the modified still to reassure that 108 the desalinated water quality is within the permissible limits of the Bureau of Indian Standards 109 (BIS). 110

111 112

 Table 1. Summary of existing literature in solar still integrated with sensible and latent heat
 energy storage materials (where data are provided)

Sl. No	Re	eference	Year	Types of energy storage	Materials used	Findings
	1.	[28]	1981	Sensible heat energy storage	Jute cloth	Productivity augmented by 34%
	2.	[29]	1998	Sensible heat energy storage	Black rubber mat	38% increase in the productivity
	3.	[30]	2001	Sensible heat energy storage	Black gravel and black rubber	20% improvement in the cumulative yield
	4.	[31,32]	2002	Sensible heat energy storage	Floating perforated aluminium black pla	Yield was enhanced by 40% te
	5.	[33]	2003	Sensible heat energy storage	Charcoal granules	Yield was enhanced by 15%
	6.	[57]	2003	Latent heat	Paraffin oil and paraffin wax	The efficiency was enhanced to 36.2%

7.	[34]	2005	Sensible heat energy storage	Packed glass ball	Productivity improved by 7.5%
8.	[35]	2007	Sensible heat energy storage	Black gravel granite	Productivity improved by 20% Productivity enhanced to 3.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup>
9.	[36–38]	2008	Sensible heat energy storage	Sponges	Productivity is 2.26 kg/m <sup>2</sup> . 15.3% higher productivity compared to the conventional still
10.	[39]	2009	Sensible heat energy storage	Sand	23% enhancement in the productivity
11.	[40]	2009	Sensible heat energy storage	Black rocks and metallic wiry sponges	Black rocks gave better productivity as compared to wiry sponges
12.	[41]	2010	Sensible heat energy storage	Sand	75% enhancement in the productivity
13.	[42]	2010	Sensible heat energy storage	Dry jute cloth	20% increase in the cumulative yield. Daily yield enhanced to 4 kg/m <sup>2</sup>
14.	[25]	2010	Sensible heat energy storage	Quartzite rocks, washed stones, bricks and mild steel	Quartzite rock gave the maximum yield comparing other materials.
15.	[58]	2014	Latent heat	Myristic acid, lauric	Lauric acid gave better yield
16.	[43]	2015	Sensible heat energy storage	dry cow dung	35% increase in the daily vield
17.	[44,45]	2016	Sensible heat energy storage	Salt encapsulated spherical plastic container and thermic fluid	The daily yield was enhanced by 66%
18.	[59]	2016	Latent heat	Paraffin	31% increase in the productivity
19.	[60]	2016	Latent heat energy storage	Paraffin	67.18% increase in the productivity
20.	[61]	2016	Latent heat	Paraffin	109% increase in the daily vield
21.	[62]	2016	Latent heat energy storage	Paraffin	Productivity increases by 49%. The daily yield was 2.1 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .
22.	[67]	2016	Sensible heat and latent heat energy storage	Paraffin	Daily yield increased to $3.7$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> .
23.	[46]	2017	Sensible heat energy storage	Jute, bamboo cotton, dry cotton and wool	Bamboo cotton yielded better results with 51.9% higher yield

24.	[47]	2017	Sensible hear energy storage	Sandstones, marble pieces	Sandstones gave higher productivity as compared to marbles
25.	[48]	2017	Sensible heat energy storage	Fins along with condensers	41.9% improvement in the daily yield
26.	[49]	2018	Sensible heat energy storage	Jute cloth and sand heat storage	15% improvement in the vield
27.	[50]	2018	Sensible heat energy storage	Absorber tube coated with graphite	80% improvement on the cumulative yield
28.	[27]	2018	Latent heat energy storage	Paraffin+CuO, Parffin+TiO <sub>2</sub> , Paraffin+GO	Paraffin with titanium oxide gave better productivity of 5.28 kg/m <sup>2</sup> compared to the GO and CuO
29.	[51]	2019	Sensible heat energy storage	Sand-filled coal powder and cotton cylinder	Yield improved by 30.9%
30.	[52,53]	2019	Sensible heat energy storage	Basalt stones Jute cloth	Yield improved by 33.37% Productivity enhanced by 18%
31.	[54]	2019	Sensible heat energy storage	Copper oxide nanoparticles coated absorber plate and sponges	41% improvement in the daily yield
32.	[55]	2019	Sensible heat energy storage	Pumice stones	Cumulative yield enhances by 28%
33.	[68]	2019	Latent heat and sensible heat energy storage	Paraffin and Black gravel	Achieved daily yield of 3.27 kg/m <sup>2</sup>
34.	[56]	2020	Sensible heat energy storage	Gravel coarse aggregate	Productivity enhanced to 4.21 kg/m <sup>2</sup>

#### 114 2. System description

Two solar stills (conventional and modified), each of 1m<sup>2</sup> area, were fabricated using 115 aluminium sheets and covered with a transparent glass cover of thickness 4 mm. The inclination 116 of the cover was at 12° (equal to the latitude of the Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India, where the still 117 was located). To reduce the heat loss from the system to the surroundings, a foam strap with 118 epoxy glue was used to fix the glass on the top of both solar stills. The inner walls of the solar 119 120 stills were coated with aluminium enamel and the base was coated with black synthetic enamel 121 paint to enhance the absorptivity and reflectivity of solar radiation onto the system. The modified still included ferrite magnets, graphite plates and paraffin arranged as follow (Fig. 2). 122



125

### Fig. 2. Schematic setup of modified solar still with composite energy storage and ferrite magnets

Fourteen graphite plates (each of 150 mm  $\times$  70 mm  $\times$  25 mm), which are insoluble in 126 water, were purchased from Triton Graphite, Gujarat, India and placed on the basin of the solar 127 still following the pattern suggested by Dumaka et al. (2019) [69] (Fig. 3). The specifications 128 129 of graphite plates are tabulated in Table 2. Ring-shaped hollow ferrite magnets of grade N42 (09 numbers) each of 6 cm outer diameter and 3.2 cm inner diameter with a magnetic field 130 strength of 90 mT were purchased from Magna Tronix, Chennai, India. The magnets were 131 arranged geometrically as suggested in the literature to provide a uniform distribution of 132 magnetic field strength across the basin [69,76,77]. The detailed specifications of the ferrite 133 magnet are given in Table 3. A small reservoir of 2.5 cm height was fabricated below the basin 134 to hold 10 kg latent heat energy storage material (paraffin). The melting and solidification 135 characteristics of the paraffin were tested using Nano DSC differential scanning calorimeter 136 from TA instruments in the School of Advanced Sciences (SAS), VIT University, Vellore, 137 India. As per the recommendations given in the literature [27,78,79] suggesting that the amount 138 of brine water should be less than the total volume of paraffin, 9 kg of saline water (tap water) 139 was fed inside the solar still. The specifications of the paraffin used in the study are tabulated 140 in Table 4. Experimental observations were carried out during March 2020 at the roof-top of 141

142 Renewable Energy Sources Laboratory-GDN block, VIT University (12.91° N, 79.13° E),

143 Tamil Nadu, India.

Table 2. Specification of graphite plates used in the present study

Parameters	Specifications
Grade and color	1 <sup>st</sup> grade and black color
Length x bredth x thickness (mm)	150 x 70 x 25
Thermal conductivity (W/mK)	390
Temperature	up to 2000°C
Thermal expansion (µm/m-K)	4.9
Young's Modulus (GPa)	21
Ultimate tensile strength (MPa)	18
Heat of vaporization	128 k-Cal/gm atom at 4612°C

145

146

Table 3. Thermal properties of ferrite magnet used in the modified still

Properties	Specifications
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.9 to 5.1
Thermal conductivity (W/mK)	4186.92
Electrical resistivity (ohm-cm)	106
Tensile strength (psi)	5000
Flexural strength (psi)	9000
Hardness (Mohs)	7
Curie temperature (°C)	450
Remanence (Br)	0.41-0.42
Intrinsic coercive force (Hcj)	250-260
Max. energy (kJ/m <sup>3</sup> )	32.0-33.0

147 148

 Table 4. Properties of the phase change material (paraffin)

Sl. No	Properties	Corresponding value
1	Melting temperature range	57-59°C
2	Density (solid/liquid)	820/770 kg/m <sup>3</sup>
3	Specific heat (solid/liquid)	2.9/2.51 kJ/kg
4	Latent heat of fusion	285 kJ/kg
5	Thermal conductivity	0.28 W/mK

K-type (KC-PVC-K-24-180) thermocouples have been used to detect the temperatures 150 of magnets, graphite, paraffin, inner glass, ambient and water in the solar still. The tap water 151 with pH: 7.7, TDS: 330 ppm, hardness: 254 mg/L, dissolved oxygen: 78%, fluoride: 1.9 mg/L, 152 chloride: 31.4 mg/L, electrical conductivity: 637 µs/m, calcium ions: 29.7 mg/L, magnesium 153 ions: 33 mg/L, sodium ions: 372 mg/L, potassium ions: 95 mg/L and sulphate ions: 37 mg/L 154 was used as the feed water. The temperatures of the various components of the solar still were 155 observed on an hourly basis from 9:00 h to 21:00 h. A plastic graduated cylinder was used to 156 measure the distillate output. The whole system was insulated with glass wool of 30 mm 157 thickness to lessen the heat loss further. The solarimeter and anemometer were used in the 158 experiment to measure the solar intensity and wind velocity, respectively. 159



160

Fig. 3. Experimental picture of the modified solar still showing graphite plates, ferrite magnets
 and paraffin

The technical details of the various measuring instruments including their range and 163 accuracy are tabulated in Table 5. To estimate the impacts of errors associated with the 164 experiments on the results and conclusions, an uncertainty analysis was carried out for the 165 experimental observation parameters such as various temperature components, hourly yield 166 and cumulative productivity, as per the procedure suggested in the literature [27,36,64,80]. The 167 internal uncertainty and the average of averages in the observations were found to be 0.0024 168 and 0.19 respectively, corresponding to an uncertainty percentage of 1.2%. The uncertainty 169 170 percentage of the experiment was thus found to be very low and consistent with that achieved by the other researchers e.g. Kabeel et al. [68] with 6.8%, Arunkumar et al. [54] with 1.88% 171

- and Rufuss et al. [27] with 2.06%. After the experiments, the desalinated water was taken for
- 173 quality testing in the Environmental and Water Resource Laboratory, VIT University, Vellore,
- 174 India.
- 175

Table 5. Accuracy and range measurement instruments used for the experiment

SI. No	Instrument	Accuracy	Range
1	Solarimeter	$\pm 2 \text{ W/m}^2$	$0 - 2000 \ W/m^2$
2	Thermocouple	$\pm 0.1$ °C	$0-1300^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
3	Graduated cylinder	$\pm 1$ ml	1000 ml
4	Gaussmeter	$0.1 \ mG \ / \ 0.01 \ \mu T$	0-2000 μΤ
5	Anemometer	±0.1 m/s	0.4-35 m/s
6	Differential scanning	Baseline stability	10 °C to 160 °C with the scan rate
	calorimetry (DSC)	$\pm 0.028 \ \mu Watts$	0.05 °C to 2°C/minute

#### 1763.Working principle

177 Fig. 4 shows the working principle of the modified still which has PCM, graphite plates

and ferrite magnets.



180Fig. 4. Working principle of modified still showing a productivity enhancement with181graphite plates, paraffin (PCM) and ferrite magnets

The addition of graphite plates increases the evaporation of water molecules from the brine 182 to the inner surface of the glass cover. The magnetization of water molecules also plays an 183 important role in the evaporation of water molecules. Generally, in saline water, the water 184 molecules adhere to the salt ions through weak Van der Waals bonding. It is important to 185 weaken the bonding between the salt ions and water molecules to increase the mobility of salt 186 ions (from salinized water to the basin) and water molecules (from the basin to the inner surface 187 of the glass cover). This can be achieved through the electric field or magnetic field [69,81]. In 188 this study, the magnetic field is preferred based on previous studies in solar desalination 189 [69,82,83]. The magnetic field is also responsible for increasing the partial pressure difference 190 between water and glass cover, which should improve the evaporation process. 191

In addition, sensible heat storage materials in solar still absorb the heat energy from the 192 sun and store them in the form of sensible heat and release the heat during the nocturnal hours 193 without changing phase. Whereas the latent heat storage material works on the same 194 mechanism as the sensible heat storage materials, except for the fact that they change their state 195 of matter from solid to molten state while they absorb the heat and vice-versa when they release 196 197 the heat. These materials absorb and release the heat as the latent heat when they are in the melting and solidifying temperature range (usually  $\pm 3^{\circ}$ C from the melting and solidification 198 199 point) and as the sensible heat during the rest of time [27]. Thus, the composite energy storage materials helped to maintain the water temperature higher even during the late evening and 200 201 nocturnal hours to get maximum productivity.

202

#### 203 4. Results and Discussion

The results related to the investigations (i.e. technical, economic, enviro-economic, energy matrices analysis and water quality test) carried out in this study are discussed under the following sections.

207 **4.1.Technical investigations** 

The effects of climatic parameters during experimentation and temperature of the various components (glass, water, energy storage materials) associated with the conventional and modified still are discussed in the following sub-sections. Furthermore, the melting and solidification characteristics of the phase change material along with the hourly and daily yield of the conventional and modified still are discussed in detail. Also, to aid comparison, the productivity of the present study is compared against the existing literature at the end of this section.

#### 215 *4.1.1. Effect of climatic parameters*

The hourly variation of the solar intensity and wind velocity is depicted in Fig. 5. It was observed that the intensity gradually increased from 9:00 h and reached its maximum intensity (1056 W/m<sup>2</sup>) at 13:00 h and then seamlessly dips down to zero during the late evening hours. The peak intensities were observed during 13:00 h, 12:00 h, and 14:00 h corresponding to 1056 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 997 W/m<sup>2</sup> and 967 W/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. A parabolic trend was observed in the intensity profile of the solar radiation during the entire span of the experiment.



222

223

Fig. 5. Hourly variations of solar intensity and wind velocity during the experiment

The maximum, minimum and average wind velocity observed was 2.4 m/s, 0.9 m/s and 1.6 m/s, respectively. These velocities are sufficiently small not to affect the experimental observations [17,84].

#### *4.1.2. Effects of the glass and water temperatures*

The glass and water temperatures of the modified still were greater than the corresponding temperatures of the conventional still after 12:00 h (Fig. 6). This increase was due to the addition of ferrite magnets, graphite plates and phase change material (PCM) in the modified still. The better thermal conductivity of sensible heat energy storage material (which was in direct contact with water) along with the presence of PCM have considerably decreased the water temperature in the modified still during the initial stage of the experiment (i.e. till 12:00 h) as compared to the conventional still. During 9:00-12:00 h, the heat energy from the water was absorbed by the graphite plates, ferrite magnets and phase change material (i.e. during
charging of PCM). After 12:00 h, the absorbed heat was gradually released to the water which
in turn further increased the temperature of the water (discharging of PCM) [27,85]. Also, this
mechanism helped the water to maintain its temperature at a higher level even during nocturnal
hours.





241

242 243

### Fig. 6. Hourly variations of glass, water and ambient temperatures for conventional and modified still

A peak trend was observed from 15:00 h to 19:00 h showing the maximum variation in the 244 water temperature of the modified still as compared to the conventional still. During this time, 245 PCM was in the range of its peak solidification temperature wherein it released its latent heat 246 completely [27,85]. In addition to this, the graphite plates also released its heat to the water, 247 248 which accounted for a substantial increase in the water temperature. Due to these reasons, the water temperature was significantly higher for the modified still than the conventional still. In 249 250 summary, the integration of composite thermal energy storage along with ferrite magnets have 251 augmented the water temperature of the modified still.

#### 252 *4.1.3.* Effects of integrated energy storage materials

The temperature variations of the various storage materials in the modified still are presented in Fig. 7. The temperature of the phase change material was considerably low as compared to the magnet temperature during the first four hours of the experiment. This was because the melting characteristics (melting time) of the PCM was higher as compared to the magnet. Moreover, the magnet was in direct contact with the water which enabled the magnet to increase its temperature till 12:00 h.



259 260

261

Fig. 7. Temperature variations of composite thermal energy storage materials and ferrite magnets in the modified still.

262 Furthermore, it also acted as an additional heat source allowing the water to maintain a higher temperature during the cloudy hours. The maximum temperature of magnet, graphite 263 and PCM was observed to be 62°C, 72°C and 68°C, respectively at 14:00 h. A 16% and 6% 264 265 increase in the temperature was accounted for graphite plate comparing the magnet and PCM temperatures (at 14:00 h), respectively. During the late evening hours (17:00 h to 21:00 h), 266 graphite plate and PCM temperatures dominated the temperature of the magnet. There was a 267 2-4% increase in the temperatures of the PCM and graphite plate as compared to the magnet's 268 269 temperature.

The graphite plates consistently extend their supremacy over the other two materials throughout the experiment due to the high thermal conductivity. In summary, the melting and solidification characteristic of PCM (which is discussed below) moderated the temperature
variation across the storage unit beneath the basin. Furthermore, the energy storage units
temperature played a vital role in governing the water temperature to increase the temperature
difference with that of glass temperature and in turn, increased the productivity.

#### 276 *4.1.4.* Effects of melting and solidification characteristics of PCM

The melting and solidification characteristics of the latent heat energy storage material (i.e. paraffin) are depicted in Fig. 8. The melting commenced at 52°C and gradually reached its peak melting temperature of 64°C. There was a 20% difference in the temperature between the start and peak melting temperature of the paraffin. Thus, there was considerable latent heat absorbed by paraffin between 52°C and 64°C. The peak melting and solidification temperatures of the paraffin were found to be 64°C and 58°C, respectively.



283 284

#### Fig. 8. Melting and solidification characteristics of PCM

The solidification starts at 61°C and lasts till 53.5°C contributing a 7.5°C temperature difference with a 14.5% increase in the amount of latent heat released during solidification. The PCM has released its latent heat till 53.5°C during solidification, inducing a greater temperature difference between water and glass cover increasing the daily yield. Thus, the addition of latent heat energy storage material has acted as an additional heat source to increase the water temperature in the modified still as compared to the conventional still. The prolonged melting and solidification behavior of the paraffin made a significant contribution in melting and solidifying characteristics by absorbing and releasing considerably a higher amount of thelatent heat during the charging and discharging process, respectively.

*4.1.5. Effect of the temperature difference between water and glass cover* 

The temperature difference plays an important role in augmenting the hourly and cumulative yield of the solar still [27,35,42]. Fig. 9 depicts the difference in temperatures of water and glass cover for conventional and modified still. The maximum temperature difference of 26.5°C (at 14:00 h) was observed in the modified still whereas the maximum temperature difference observed in the conventional still was only 21°C (at 14:00 h). A 26% increase was observed in the peak temperature differences between the water and glass cover.



301



Fig. 9. Comparison of the temperature difference between water and glass cover for the conventional and modified still

At 9:00 h, 10:00 h, 11:00 h and 12:00 h, the temperature difference between water and glass cover was higher in the modified still as compared to the conventional still. There was a 4°C increase observed in the temperature difference of water and glass cover for the modified still over the conventional still from 9:00 h to 12:00 h. The main reason behind this variation was, the energy storage materials were charged during 9:00 h to 12:00 h, where the heat energy of the water was absorbed by the sensible and latent heat energy storage materials which in turn decreased the water temperature in the modified still as compared to the conventional still.

After 12:00 h, there was an increasing trend observed in the temperature difference between 311 the water and glass cover of the modified still. This was because, after 12:00 h, the energy 312 storage materials have released the heat back to the water, thus increasing the temperature of 313 the water in the modified still to be more than that of the conventional still (see Fig. 7) [27,85]. 314 Also, it was the same reason for achieving a higher temperature difference (9°C) even during 315 late evening hours in the modified still. In summary, the temperature difference between water 316 and glass cover was higher for the modified still after 12:00 h due to the integration of paraffin, 317 ferrite magnets and graphite plates - which helped the modified still to achieve better 318 productivity over the conventional still. 319

320

#### 4.1.6. Variation of hourly yield and daily productivity

The hourly and daily productivity comparison of the conventional and modified still is depicted in Fig. 10. The hourly yield of the conventional still was slightly higher than the modified still till 12:00 h. After 12:00 h, the hourly yield of the modified still exceeds the conventional still. The maximum hourly yield obtained for conventional and modified still was 0.6 and 0.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively contributing to a 26.6% enhancement in the modified still over the conventional still.

The results show that even in the late evening hours (i.e. from 17:00 h to 21:00 h), there 327 328 was a constant hourly output achieved in the modified still. The evening time productivity of the conventional and modified still ranged from 0.3 kg/h to 0.02 kg/h and 0.6 kg/h to 0.1 kg/h, 329 respectively. The daily average cumulative yield for the conventional and modified still was 330 found to be 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day and 2.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively. The percentage increase in the 331 average cumulative yield of the modified still accounted for 33% as compared to the 332 conventional still. The cumulative yield of conventional and modified still was 3.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day 333 and 5.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively. There was a 62% increase in the daily cumulative yield of the 334 modified still as compared to the conventional setup. Evening time productivity (i.e. from 335 17:00 h to 21:00 h) for the conventional still was accounted for less than one liter whereas it 336 was doubled (nearly 2 liters) for the modified still. There was a 235% increase in the yield 337 observed during evening time in the modified still as compared to the conventional still. This 338 variation was due to the fact that the magnetic field has a positive impact on the evaporation 339 rate due to the change in hydration shells of the water in the modified still [86]. The magnetic 340 field has increased the rate of evaporation, due to which the productivity enhancement was 341 increased to 62%, compared to only 50.3% enhancement in studies using graphite and PCM 342 but without any magnets [24]. This clearly indicates that a 12% increment in the enhancement 343 was due to the magnetic field. 344



346

Fig. 10. Variation of hourly and cumulative yield for the conventional and modified still

Effective separation of salt from the water was possible when the solutions were 347 circulated in a magnetic field. The variation in the hourly and cumulative yield was due to the 348 addition of magnets which reduced the surface tension and increased the evaporation rate of 349 the water. And, the integration of composite energy storage materials with the still acted as an 350 additional heat source and helped to maintain the water temperature higher even during late 351 evening and nocturnal hours. Thus, the variation in the hourly yield was because of the 352 combined effect of composite energy storage and magnetizing effect by the thermal energy 353 354 storage materials (paraffin and graphite plates) and ferrite magnets, respectively.

*4.1.7. Productivity comparison of the present study with existing literature* 

A detailed comparison of the daily yield of the present study with the existing literature is 356 summarized in Table 6. Shalaby et al. (2016) [67] used paraffin as the latent heat storage 357 358 material under Egyptian climatic conditions and achieved productivity of 3.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day which was 46.2% lesser than the productivity of the present study. In the same year, Mousa and 359 Gujrathi (2016) [62] also have performed their study using paraffin as the latent heat storage 360 material in Oman and obtained a daily yield of 2.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day which was 61.8% lesser than the 361 362 productivity achieved by the current study. Rufuss et al. (2018) [27] performed experiments 363 using nanoparticles i.e. titanium dioxide and copper oxide enhanced paraffin under Indian climatic conditions and achieved a daily yield of 4.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day and 5.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively 364

365 which are 4% and 11.3% lesser than the productivity of the present study. Kabeel et al. (2019) [68] have experimented with both latent and sensible heat energy storage materials i.e. by using 366 paraffin and black gravel, respectively under Egyptian climatic conditions and reported a daily 367 yield of 3.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day which was 40.5% lesser than the present study's productivity. Diwakar 368 369 et al. (2020) [56] and Sharshir et al. (2020) [87] have, respectively used gravel coarse aggregate and linen wicks with carbon black nanoparticles as sensible heat storage materials and achieved 370 371 a daily cumulative yield of 4.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day and 5.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, respectively corresponding to 372 31% and 4.3% less yield than the current study.

To summarise, the productivity of the current study (5.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/day) is greater than previous results, due to the combined effect of magnets and composite energy storage materials.

Table 6. Productivity comparison of the present study with the existing literature (where data
 are provided)

Sl. No	Authors and	Type of	Type of	Location	Productivity
	references	energy	Energy		(kg/m²/day)
		storage	storage		
		techniques	material		
1	Shalaby et al.	Latent heat	Paraffin	Egypt	3.76
	(2016) [67]	energy			
		storage			
2	Mousa and	Latent heat	Paraffin	Oman	2.1
	Gujarathi.,	energy			
	2016 [62]	storage			
3	Rufuss et al.,	Latent heat	Paraffin and	India	5.28
	[27]	energy	CuO		
		storage	nanoparticle		
4	Diwakar et al.	Sensible heat	Gravel coarse	India	4.21
	(2020) [56] e		aggregate		
		storage			
5	Rufuss et al.,	Latent heat	Paraffin	India	4.94
	2018 [27]	energy	enhanced with		
		storage	TiO <sub>2</sub>		
			nanoparticles		

6	A.E. Kabeel	Latent heat	Paraffin and	Egypt	3.27
	et al. 2019	and sensible	Black gravel		
	[68]	heat energy			
		storage			
7	Sharshir et	Sensible heat	linen wicks	Egypt	5.26
	al., 2020 [87]	energy	and carbon		
		storage	black		
			nanoparticles		
8	Present study	Latent heat	Paraffin,	India	5.5
		and sensible	Ferrite		
		heat energy	Magnets,		
		storage	Graphite plates		

#### 378 **4.2.Economic investigations**

The economic parameters such as capital cost, annual cost, salvage value, annual maintenance cost and cost per liter for the conventional and modified still were discussed in the following section. A detailed cost per liter comparison of the present study with the existing literature was also presented at the end of this section

#### 383 *4.2.1. Economic analysis*

Various costs and economic parameters such as fixed annual cost (FAC), annual maintenance and operational cost (AMC), annual productivity (M), productivity percentage and cost per liter (CPL) associated with the experiments were calculated using the following formulae (Eqs. 1-9) suggested in the literature [27,88]

388  $FAC = P \times CRF$  (1) 389  $SFF = \frac{i}{(i+1)^{y-1}}$  (2) 390  $S = 0.2 \times P$  (3)

$$ASV = SFF \times S \tag{4}$$

- $AMC = 0.15 \times FAC \quad (5)$
- $AC = FAC + AMC ASV \quad (6)$

where P, CRF, SFF, S, AC are the present capital cost, capital recovery factor, sinking fund
factor, salvage value and annual cost, respectively. The capital recovery factor (CRF) and total
years of operation (y) are assumed to be 0.17 and 10 years, respectively [27]. The average
productivity (M) was calculated by

$$M = c \times n \qquad (7)$$

399 where 'c' is the distillate yield per day and 'n' is considered to be approximately 250 days. The 400 productivity percentage can be calculated using the ratio of the product of annual productivity 401 and selling price of water (\$0.2/l) to the annual cost [27]. Then, the cost per liter (CPL) of 402 distilled water can be calculated by the ratio of annual cost and average annual productivity

403 Productivity (%) = 
$$\frac{\text{Annual productivity } \times \text{ selling price of the water}}{\text{annual cost}}$$
 (8)  
404  $CPL = \frac{AC}{M}$  (9)

The total cost acquired for the conventional and modified still was \$82 and \$126, 405 respectively which includes the cost of the basin, stand, insulation, adhesives, glass cover, 406 magnets (for modified still only), graphite plate (for modified still only), paraffin (for modified 407 still only), paints and fabrication costs (see details in Table 7). The total cost of the modified 408 still was 55% greater than the conventional still, due to the integration of ferrite magnets, 409 410 graphite plates and paraffin which contribute to 4.7%, 24% and 6.3%, respectively in the total cost of the modified still. The largest cost component (up to 24%) corresponded to the graphite 411 plates, because of the manufacturing complexity associated with the graphite material. Thus, it 412 is summarized that even though there was a 55% increase in the total cost of the modified still 413 as compared to the conventional still, the other economic parameters like cost per liter and 414 annual productivity are duly important for arriving at a decision about the economic feasibility 415 of the entire experiment. 416

The various costs associated with the conventional and modified still are shown in Table 8. The fixed annual cost (FAC) and salvage value were \$22.2 and \$25, respectively which contributed to a 54% increase in the value comparing the conventional still. The increase in FAC and salvage of the modified still was due to the direct accounting of present capital cost in calculating the FAC (see Eq. 1).

Furthermore, the present capital cost was directly proportional to the FAC and salvage 422 value. The AMC of the modified still was 55.3% higher than the conventional still because of 423 the integration of graphite plates and ferrite magnets. This also includes the additional 424 maintenance area/operation cost on the inclusion of PCM materials (paraffin) underneath the 425 basin. The average annual productivity of the modified still was significantly higher (62%) as 426 compared to the conventional still due to the higher distillate yield per day in the modified still 427  $(5.5 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{day})$  which confirms its advantage in terms of yearly performance. There was a 33% 428 429 increase in the productivity percentage of the modified still in comparison with the conventional still contributing to 840% and 807%, respectively. 430

Table 7. Capital	cost of the expe	eriment (convers	sion rate of INR	274.76 per US	\$ was used)
1		<b>`</b>		1	- /

Components	Conventional	Modified	
	still (US\$)	still	
		(US\$)	
Basin	25	25	
Insulation	7	7	
Stand	12	12	
Transparent cover	8	8	
Graphite plates	0	30	
Ferrite magnets	0	6	
Aluminium paint	3.31	3.31	
Adhesive gum	2.65	2.65	
Foam strap	4	4	
Paraffin wax	0	8	
Fabrication cost	20	20	
Total cost	82	126	

433 Table 8 shows that the CPL of conventional and modified still was \$0.018 and \$0.017, respectively. The CPL of the modified still was 3.7% lower than the CPL of the conventional 434 still. Even though the total cost of modified still (See Table 7) was 55% higher than the 435 conventional still, the CPL was 3.7% lesser for the modified still as against the conventional 436 still. This variation was due to the combined accounting of annual cost, maintenance cost and 437 average annual productivity in arriving at the CPL. Thus, the modified still gives economically 438 cheaper water as compared to the conventional still. The comparison of CPL of the present 439 study with the existing literature is certainly important to quantify the obtained result and hence 440 the following section compares the CPL of the current study with the literature. 441

### Table 8. Cost analysis of modified still with integrated sensible and latent heat energy storage in comparison with conventional still

Parameters in US\$	Conventional	Modified still
	still	
Present capital cost (P)	81	125.9
Capital Recovery Factor(CRF)	0.17	0.17
Fixed Annual Cost (FAC)	14.3	22.2
Salvage value(S)	16.2	25.1

Sinking Fund Factor (SFF)	0.04	0.04
Annual Salvage Value (ASV)	0.7	1
Annual Maintenance Operational Cost (AMC)	2.1	3.3
AC (Annual Cost)	15.7	24.5
M (Average Annual Productivity) in liters	850	1375
Productivity (%)	807.6	840.5
CPL (Cost of distilled water Per Liter)	0.018	0.017

#### 4.2.2. Cost per liter comparison of the present study with existing literature

Table 9 compares the cost per liter (CPL) of the present study with the existing literature. 446 The CPL of the present study was \$0.017 which was found to be 78%, 81%, 40%, 37%, 32%, 447 87%, 71%, 6%, and 0.8%, respectively cheaper than the CPL of freshwater from the solar still 448 with paraffin [67], wick [67], paraffin [60], titanium dioxide nanoparticles enhanced paraffin 449 [27], copper oxide nanoparticles enhanced paraffin [27], graphene oxide enhanced paraffin 450 [27], gravel coarse aggregate [56], paraffin with black gravel [68] and linen wicks with carbon 451 black nanoparticles [87]. This huge range of the percentage difference (min: 0.3% and max: 452 78%) in the CPL of the present study with other studies may be due to the capital cost of the 453 studies, which includes fabrication cost, availability and price of the materials required for 454 455 fabrication (basin material, insulation and stand) in the respective location during the year of 456 experimentation. Also, the difference may be due to the rate of interest and years of operation 457 assumed for the economic analysis.

Thus, it is concluded from the above assessment that the CPL of the modified still is 458 459 considerably cheaper. The main reason is the combined stimulus of the improved daily yield and an annual yield of the modified still due to the integration of magnets, graphite plates and 460 461 PCM materials.

Table 9. Comparison of CPL of the present study with the existing literature (where data are 462

provided)

		-			
Sl. No	Authors and references	Type of energy	Type of energy	Country	<b>CPL (\$)</b>
		storage	storage material		
		technique			
1.	Shalaby et al., 2016 [67]	Latent heat	Paraffin	Egypt	0.08
2.	Shalaby et al., 2016 [67]	Latent heat and sensible heat	Paraffin and wick	Egypt	0.09

3.	Kabeel and Abdelgaied.,	Latent heat	Paraffin	Egypt	0.03
	2016 [60]				
4.	Rufuss et al., 2018 [27]	Latent heat	Paraffin	India	0.03
5.	Rufuss et al., 2018 [27]	Latent heat	Paraffin enhanced	India	0.028
			with TiO <sub>2</sub>		
			nanoparticles		
6.	Rufuss et al., 2018 [27]	Latent heat	Paraffin enhanced	India	0.026
			with CuO		
			nanoparticles		
7.	Rufuss et al., 2018 [27]	Latent heat	Paraffin enhanced	India	0.13
			with GO		
			nanoparticles		
8.	Diwakar et al. 2020 [56]	Sensible heat	Gravel coarse	India	0.06
			aggregate		
9.	Kabeel et al., 2019 [68]	Latent heat and	Paraffin and Black	Egypt	0.01
		sensible heat	gravel		
10.	Sharshir et al., 2020 [87]	Sensible heat	linen wicks and	Egypt	0.01
			carbon black		
			nanoparticles		
11.	Present study	Latent heat and	Paraffin, Ferrite	India	0.017
		sensible heat	Magnets, Graphite		
			plates		

465 4.2.3. Comparison of the CPL from the present study with the cost of bottled water in
466 India

Generally, the cost of bottled water and the selling price of bottled water in India are \$0.06 per liter and \$0.22 per liter, respectively [27,89]. These values are 69% and 91.6% higher than the CPL of the freshwater obtained from the modified still studied here. Thus, the CPL from the modified still was 69% and 91.6%, respectively cheaper than the bottled water cost and typical selling price of bottled water in India.

472 4

#### 4.3. Enviro-economic investigation

The enviro-economic analysis estimates the amount of carbon dioxide, oxides of sulphur and nitrogen emitted for a lifetime from the modified still (Eqs. 10-15). Furthermore, it gives the details about the total embodied energy of the system along with the details of the total 476 carbon dioxide mitigated and the corresponding carbon credit earned. These parameters can be 477 calculated by the following formulae suggested in the literature [90–93]. Various 478 environmental parameters like total embodied energy, emissions ( $CO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ , and NO), the total 479 amount of  $CO_2$  mitigated and the carbon credit earned from the modified still are discussed 480 under the following section:

- 481 The total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted for a lifetime is calculated using the following formula 482  $CO_2$  emitted for a lifetime = Embodied energy × 1.58 (10)
- 483 Similarly,

484

- SO<sub>2</sub> emitted for a lifetime = Embodied energy  $\times$  0.012 (11)
- 485 NO emitted for a lifetime = Embodied energy  $\times$  0.005 (12)

486 The net carbon dioxide mitigated for the lifetime can be calculated using

487 Net CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation for lifetime

488 = [(Embodied energy (out)  $\times$  n)-Embodied energy] (13)

where 'n' is the total number of years. The embodied energy of the system and the carboncredit earned by the system is calculated by

491 Embodied energy (out) =  $\frac{\text{Annual yield } \times \text{ latent heat}}{3600}$  (14)

492 Carbon credit earned = Net  $CO_2$  mitigation for lifetime × 9.99 (15)

493 *4.3.1. Total embodied energy* 

The embodied energy along with the concomitant energy density and mass of various 494 materials used in the modified solar still [56,69,90-96] are tabulated in Table 10. The 495 estimation of total embodied energy is necessary to estimate the CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO emissions 496 497 and other enviro-economic parameters. The glass had a total mass of 1.1 kg with the highest 498 energy density of 1127 kWh/kg as compared to the other associated components and it carried the embodied energy of 45 kWh. Mild steel stand, frame and clamp had the maximum 499 500 embodied energy of 180.5 kWh due to the heavier mass (19 kg) and energy density (of 9.5 kWh/kg) of the individual structures. Both the basin and latent heat energy vessel beneath the 501 502 basin were made up of aluminium with an equivalent mass, energy densities and embodied energy of 4 kg, 13.5 kWh/kg and 54.2 kWh, respectively. The basin liner had a mass of 0.2 kg 503 504 with an energy density of 25.1 kWh/kg contributing to 1.6% of the total embodied energy (6.2 kWh/kg). The other components such as insulation gasket and insulators had a total energy 505 506 density of 8.8 kWh/kg and occupied 2.2% of the total embodied energy. The enhancement techniques additionally used in the modified solar still are graphite plates, ferrite magnets and 507 paraffin wax which contribute embodied energy of 25.4 kWh, 18.3 kWh and 0.48 kWh, 508 respectively. Thus, arriving at the total embodied energy for the whole modified system (of 509

510 393.3 kWh) by the summation of the embodied energy of all the individual system components.

- 511 The estimated embodied energy from this analysis will be taken as an input for estimating the
- 512 various enviro-economic parameters in the rest of the enviro-economic analysis.
- 513

 Table 10. The total embodied energy of the modified still [56,69,90–96]

		Energy	
	Mass	density	Embodied
Components	(kg)	(kWh/kg)	energy (kWh)
Glass	1.1	11127	45
MS stand + Frame + Clamp	19	9.5	180.5
Basin (Aluminium)	4	13.5	54.2
Basin liner	0.2	25.1	6.2
Insulation gasket	1.8	3.3	5.9
Insulator	1.5	1.9	2.8
Graphite Plate (14 Nos)	2.8	9.0	25.4
Ferrite Magnets (10 Nos)	2	9.1	18.3
Latent heat energy storage material holde	er		
beneath the basin (Aluminium)	4	13.5	54.2
Paraffin wax	10	0.04	0.4
Total Embodied energy			393.3

514

#### 515 *4.3.2.* Carbon dioxide emission, mitigation and carbon credit earned

Table 11 presents various details about the emissions (CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO), CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation, 516 carbon credit, energy payback time and life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified still. 517 518 The amount of CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO emitted for a lifetime from the modified still were found to be 621.4 Tonnes, 4.7 Tonnes and 1.9 Tonnes, respectively. The energy payback time will be 519 520 lesser for the systems with low embodied energy since the embodied energy is directly proportional to the energy payback time and the net CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation. In summary, it is advisable 521 to use materials with less embodied energy value to reduce emissions like CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and NO 522 and minimise the energy payback time. 523

524	Table 11. Carbon dioxide emission, CO2 Mitigation, Carbon credit earned and energy matrices
525	for the modified still

Sl. No	Parameters	Values
1	Embodied energy	393.3
2	Emission of CO <sub>2</sub> for lifetime	621.4

3	Emission of SO <sub>2</sub> for lifetime	4.7
4	Emission of NO for lifetime	1.9
5	Net carbon dioxide mitigation during	13
	10 <sup>th</sup> year (in Tonnes)	
6	Carbon credit earned during 10 <sup>th</sup>	130
	year (in US\$)	
7	Net carbon dioxide mitigation during	19.8
	15 <sup>th</sup> year (in Tonnes)	
8	Carbon credit earned during 15 <sup>th</sup>	198
	year (USD)	
9	Energy payback time (Years)	0.4
10	Energy production factor	2.1
11	Life cycle conversion efficiency	0.2

#### 526 *4.3.3.* Carbon dioxide Mitigation

The net  $CO_2$  mitigated from the modified still is presented in Fig. 11. Carbon dioxide mitigated increased from 6.2 Tonnes to 40.2 Tonnes over the years (i.e. from 5 years to 30 years), respectively. Also, there was a constant increase of 7 Tonnes in the net  $CO_2$  mitigated in the current year over the preceding year.



Fig. 11. Yearly variation of the net carbon dioxide mitigated from the modified still

There was a 110% increase in the net carbon dioxide mitigated for the first five years (6.2 Tonnes) as compared to net CO<sub>2</sub> mitigated for the tenth year (13 Tonnes). This percentage further reduced to 52.4%, 34.3%, 25.5% and 20.3% at the end of the 15<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, and 30<sup>th</sup> years, respectively corresponding to a net CO<sub>2</sub> mitigated value of 19.8 Tonnes, 26.6 Tonnes, 33.4 Tonnes and 40.2 Tonnes. Ideally, for a solar desalination system, the average total number of years can be considered as 15 years [91] and citing that, at the end of the 15<sup>th</sup> year, the total CO<sub>2</sub> mitigated from the modified still of the present study will be 19.8 Tonnes.

540 *4.3.4. Carbon credit earned* 

The overall carbon credit earned for the modified still in the present study is shown in Fig. 12. The carbon credit is the net amount of  $CO_2$  mitigated which can be sold for monetary value. The carbon credit earned for the mitigation of 6.2 Tonnes (during 5<sup>th</sup> year), 13 Tonnes (during 10<sup>th</sup> year), 19.8 Tonnes (during 15<sup>th</sup> year), 26.6 Tonnes (during 20<sup>th</sup> year), 33.4 Tonnes (during 25<sup>th</sup> year) and 40.2 Tonnes (during 30<sup>th</sup> year) of carbon dioxide was \$61, \$130, \$198, \$266,

546 \$324 and \$402, respectively.



547

548

Fig. 12. Yearly variation of the carbon credit earned for the modified still

Thus, the modified still with paraffin, graphite plates and ferrite magnets used in the present study earns \$66 (on average) more than the credit earned in the present year in comparison with the credit earned after the preceding five years.

#### 552 **4.4. Energy matrices analysis**

This section includes a discussion about the energy payback time, energy production factor and life cycle conversion efficiency. The various components of energy matrices include energy production factor, energy payback time and life cycle conversion efficiency (Eqs. 16-18). The energy matrices are calculated using the formulae suggested in the studies [56,90– 93]. The energy payback time is the period that takes to repay the amount of energy used by the setup. The energy production factor is the inverse of energy payback time.

559

500	Embodied energy	(16)
560	Energy payback time = $\frac{1}{\text{Amount of energy production per year}}$	(16)
561	Energy production factor is calculated by the formula given below	
562	Amount of Energy produced per year	(17)
562	Energy production factor – Embodied Energy	(1)
563	The life cycle conversion efficiency can be calculated by	
564	Life cycle conversion efficiency	
	Not carbon dioxido mitigated for lifetime	

_
_

566

Parameters like energy production factor, energy payback time and life cycle conversion efficiency are used in energy matrices. A system with higher embodied energy will consume more energy and in turn emits a higher amount of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere which results in increasing the energy payback time [56,90]. The energy payback time and energy production factor for the modified system were found to be 0.4 years (5.4 months or 164 days) and 2.1, respectively (Table 12). The year-wise life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified solar still is shown in Fig. 13.

The maximum life cycle efficiency achieved for the proposed modified still was 0.52 at the end of the 30<sup>th</sup> year. The life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified still during the 10<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, and 30<sup>th</sup> year was found to be 0.17, 0.26, 0.34, 0.43 and 0.52, respectively contributing to an increase of 52%, 30%, 29% and 20% in the life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified still for each year, respectively over the preceding five years.



581 582

Fig. 13. Yearly variation of life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified still Table 12. Energy Matrices Analysis

Sl.No	Energy Matrices	Values
1	Energy payback time (Years)	0.45
2	Energy production factor	2.19
3	Life cycle conversion efficiency	0.26

583

#### 584 **4.5.Water quality test**

To estimate the quality of water after desalination, the desalinated water was tested for 585 586 various quality test parameters. If these quality parameters exceed the safety limits, it may lead to various problems like gas bubbles, heart illness, lung infection, skin disease, laxative effect, 587 588 somatic damage to the living tissues and neuro affliction in humans [97]. Hence, testing of the water quality after desalination is inevitable. The quality of water before and after desalination 589 590 was tested at the Environmental and Water Resource Laboratory, VIT University, Vellore, India, and the results are shown in Table 13. The results are compared against the maximum 591 permissible limits of drinking water as per the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS), New Delhi, 592 India and World Health Organisation (WHO) standards. 593

Water quality	Before	After	After	Maximum
parameters	desalination	desalination	desalination	permissible limits
		(conventional	(modified	of the drinking
		still)	still)	water according to
				BIS [98] and WHO
				[98,99]
рН	7.7	7.3	6.9	8.5
TDS (ppm)	330	150	51	500
Hardness (mg/L)	254	81	41	200
Dissolved oxygen	78	62	46	60
(%)				
Fluoride (mg/L)	1.9	0.9	0.3	1.5
Chloride (mg/L)	31.4	19.3	16.2	250
Electrical	637	389	227	1500
conductivity (µs/m)				
Calcium ions (mg/L)	29.7	14.3	8.2	200
Magnesium ions	33	9.4	3.7	200
(mg/L)				
Sodium ions (mg/L)	372	8	1.5	400
Potassium ions	95	3.7	2	250
(mg/L)				
Sulphate ions	37	3	1.2	12
(mg/L)				

Table 13. Comparison of the quality of the water before and after desalination

The pH value of the desalinated water was reduced from 7.7 (saline water) to 7.3 for the 597 conventional still and further to 6.9 for the modified still. There was a 5.4% decrease observed 598 in the pH of the modified still as compared to the conventional still. The total dissolved solids 599 in the desalinated water were reduced from 330 ppm to 51 ppm for the modified still 600 601 contributing an 84.5% decrease. There was a 66% decrease in the TDS observed in the modified still as compared to the conventional still. The hardness of the conventional still is 602 603 found to be 81 mg/L which is decreased from 254 mg/L (in saline water) contributing to a percentage decrease of 68%. There was a further decrease of 83% observed in the hardness of 604 605 the desalinated water from the modified still. The percentage of dissolved oxygen in the saline

water was 78% and after desalination, the percentage was reduced to 62% and 46% for the desalinated water in the conventional and modified still, respectively contributing a 20.5% and 41% decrement from the original value. There was a significant reduction observed in fluoride and chloride ions of the desalinated water (0.3 mg/L and 16.2 mg/L) from modified still as compared to saline water (1.9 mg/L and 31.4 mg/L) corresponding to an 84% and 48% decrease from the initial corresponding values.

There was a decrement of 66% and 16% in the fluoride and chloride content of the 612 desalinated water from the modified still, respectively as compared to the conventional still. 613 Similarly, there was a 71%, 88%, 99%, 97% and 96% decrement observed in the calcium, 614 magnesium, sodium, potassium and sulphate ions, respectively in the modified still as 615 compared to the initial value (saline water). Comparing with the conventional still; modified 616 still showed a 42%, 60%, 81%, 45% and 60% decrease in calcium, magnesium, sodium, 617 618 potassium and sulphate ions, respectively. This decrease in the salt ion has considerably reduced the electrical conductivity of the modified still to 64% and 41% as compared to the 619 saline water and desalinated water in the conventional still. 620

All the test parameters of the desalinated water sample are within the permissible limits as
prescribed by the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS), New Delhi, India and World Health
Organisation (WHO) standards [98,99].

#### 624 5. Future research potential

The literature shows that the integration of high thermal energy storage materials in solar 625 still needs a judicial selection of material. The material should possess high thermal 626 conductivity, latent heat and it should be environmentally benign. For example, the 627 performance of CNT and their derivatives in solar still would be good enough to use in 628 629 desalination applications. However, CNT is more dangerous to humans because of their noxious nature, dispersion property and toxic characteristics. Hence, the discovery of an eco-630 631 friendly energy storage material would be an important breakthrough. Literature suggests that marine biological shells are one such eco-friendly energy storage material. The use of waste 632 biological shells may earn some revenues to countries that rely on seafood. Hence, it is 633 suggested to research the use of such materials like crab shell, sea shell and oyster shell 634 particles [100] as future energy storage materials in desalination applications. In addition, 635 future research should be carried out into optimising the geometrical arrangement of the 636 637 magnets and the magnetic field strength.

638

#### 639 6. Conclusion

Experimental investigations on the effects of integrated composite thermal energy storage (both sensible and latent heat) and magnetizing effects (ferrite magnets) were investigated on single slope solar still and compared against the conventional still. Economic analysis, enviroeconomic analysis and energy matrices analysis were also performed. Furthermore, the desalinated water quality was also tested and compared with the BIS standards. Based on the experiments, the following conclusions were drawn:

The cumulative yield of the modified still was enhanced to  $5.5 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{day}$  from  $3.4 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{day}$  (for a conventional still) contributing to a 62% increase in productivity. The evening time productivity (from 17:00 h to 21:00 h) of the modified still increased by 235% due to the addition of integrated energy storage materials and ferrite magnets. The modified still yielded the highest annual productivity of 1375 liters as compared to the conventional still.

The cost per liter (CPL) of the purified water from the modified still was \$0.017, which 651 was 3.7% less than the CPL of the purified water from a conventional still. The CPL of 652 freshwater from the modified still was 69% cheaper than the cost of bottled water cost in India. 653 The life cycle conversion efficiency of the modified still was found to be 0.26 and 0.52 at the 654 end of the 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> years, respectively. There will be 19.8 Tonnes and 40.3 Tonnes of 655 carbon dioxide mitigated from the modified still at the end of the 15<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> year, respectively 656 earning a carbon credit of \$198 and \$402. The water quality test reassures that the quality of 657 658 the desalinated water is under the permissible limits of the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS).

The study concludes that the integration of ferrite magnets, graphite plates and paraffin together in a solar still enhanced the performance of the desalination system from technical, economical, enviro-economic perspectives. Thus, the modified still is recommended as a potential candidate in solar desalination application as it outperforms the conventional still in all the aspects (technical, economical, enviro-economic aspects).

#### 664 Acknowledgement

The authors duly acknowledge the Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT), Vellore, India forproving the necessary facilities and support for the successful completion of the project.

#### 667 **References**

A. Boretti, L. Rosa, Reassessing the projections of the World Water Development
Report, Npj Clean Water. 2 (2019) 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-019-0039-9.

- 670 [2] S. Anvari, O. Mahian, H. Taghavifar, S. Wongwises, U. Desideri, 4E analysis of a
- 671 modified multigeneration system designed for power, heating/cooling, and water
- 672 desalination, Appl. Energy. 270 (2020) 115107.

- 673 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115107.
- A. Colmenar-Santos, E. Palomo-Torrejón, F. Mur-Pérez, E. Rosales-Asensio, Thermal
  desalination potential with parabolic trough collectors and geothermal energy in the
  Spanish southeast, Appl. Energy. 262 (2020) 114433.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114433.
- 678 [4] G. Xiao, X. Wang, M. Ni, F. Wang, W. Zhu, Z. Luo, K. Cen, A review on solar stills
  679 for brine desalination, Appl. Energy. 103 (2013) 642–652.
- 680 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.029.
- 681 [5] A. Askalany, E.S. Ali, R.H. Mohammed, A novel cycle for adsorption desalination
  682 system with two stages-ejector for higher water production and efficiency,
- 683 Desalination. 496 (2020) 114753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114753.
- 684 [6] C. Ghenai, D. Kabakebji, I. Douba, A. Yassin, Performance analysis and optimization
  685 of hybrid multi-effect distillation adsorption desalination system powered with solar
- thermal energy for high salinity sea water, Energy. 215 (2021) 119212.
- 687 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119212.
- M.W. Shahzad, M. Burhan, L. Ang, K.C. Ng, Adsorption desalination-Principles,
  process design, and its hybrids for future sustainable desalination, in: Emerg. Technol.
  Sustain. Desalin. Handb., Elsevier, 2018: pp. 3–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12815818-0.00001-1.
- 692 [8] R. Kannan, C. Selvaganesan, M. Vignesh, B.R. Babu, M. Fuentes, M. Vivar, I.
- 693 Skryabin, K. Srithar, Solar still with vapor adsorption basin: Performance analysis,
  694 Renew. Energy. 62 (2014) 258–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.07.018.
- 695 [9] A. Al-Ansari, H. Ettouney, H. El-Dessouky, Water-zeolite adsorption heat pump
  696 combined with single effect evaporation desalination process, Renew. Energy. 24
  697 (2001) 91–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481(00)00192-0.
- [10] A. Kasaeian, F. Rajaee, W.M. Yan, Osmotic desalination by solar energy: A critical
  review, Renew. Energy. 134 (2019) 1473–1490.
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.09.038.
- [11] K.C. Ng, K. Thu, Y. Kim, A. Chakraborty, G. Amy, Adsorption desalination: An
  emerging low-cost thermal desalination method, Desalination. 308 (2013) 161–179.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.07.030.
- 704 [12] M.A. Alaei Shahmirzadi, S.S. Hosseini, J. Luo, I. Ortiz, Significance, evolution and
- recent advances in adsorption technology, materials and processes for desalination,
- water softening and salt removal, J. Environ. Manage. 215 (2018) 324–344.
- 707 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.03.040.

- A.S. Alsaman, A.A. Askalany, K. Harby, M.S. Ahmed, A state of the art of hybrid
  adsorption desalination-cooling systems, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 58 (2016) 692–
  703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.266.
- 711 [14] N. Ghaffour, T.M. Missimer, G.L. Amy, Technical review and evaluation of the
- economics of water desalination: Current and future challenges for better water supply
- sustainability, Desalination. 309 (2013) 197–207.
- 714 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.10.015.
- [15] D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, S. Iniyan, L. Suganthi, P.A. Davies, T. Akinaga, Analysis
   of solar still with nanoparticle incorporated phase change material for solar
- of solar still with nanoparticle incorporated phase change material for solar
- 717desalination application, in: ISES Sol. World Congr. 2015, International Solar Energy
- 718
   Society, Daegu; South Korea, 2015: pp. 1271–1280.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.18086/swc.2015.10.44.
- 720 [16] T. Arunkumar, K. Raj, D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, D. Denkenberger, G. Tingting, L.
- 721 Xuan, R. Velraj, A review of efficient high productivity solar stills, Renew. Sustain.
- 722 Energy Rev. 101 (2019) 197–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2018.11.013.
- R. Sathyamurthy, H.J. Kennady, P.K. Nagarajan, A. Ahsan, Factors affecting the
  performance of triangular pyramid solar still, Desalination. 344 (2014) 383–390.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2014.04.005.
- 726 [18] J.A. Andrés-Mañas, L. Roca, A. Ruiz-Aguirre, F.G. Acién, J.D. Gil, G. Zaragoza,
- Application of solar energy to seawater desalination in a pilot system based on vacuum
- multi-effect membrane distillation, Appl. Energy. 258 (2020) 114068.
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114068.
- 730 [19] A.A. El-Sebaii, E. El-Bialy, Advanced designs of solar desalination systems: A
- review, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 49 (2015) 1198–1212.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.161.
- 733 [20] M.R. Karimi Estahbanati, M. Feilizadeh, K. Jafarpur, M. Feilizadeh, M.R. Rahimpour,
- Experimental investigation of a multi-effect active solar still: The effect of the number
  of stages, Appl. Energy. 137 (2015) 46–55.
- 736 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.09.082.
- 737 [21] M.R. Karimi Estahbanati, A. Ahsan, M. Feilizadeh, K. Jafarpur, S.S. Ashrafmansouri,
- 738 M. Feilizadeh, Theoretical and experimental investigation on internal reflectors in a
- single-slope solar still, Appl. Energy. 165 (2016) 537–547.
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.12.047.
- 741 [22] P. Zanganeh, A.S. Goharrizi, S. Ayatollahi, M. Feilizadeh, H. Dashti, Efficiency
- improvement of solar stills through wettability alteration of the condensation surface:

- 743 An experimental study, Appl. Energy. 268 (2020) 114923.
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114923.
- [23] S. Shoeibi, N. Rahbar, A. Abedini Esfahlani, H. Kargarsharifabad, Application of
  simultaneous thermoelectric cooling and heating to improve the performance of a solar
  still: An experimental study and exergy analysis, Appl. Energy. 263 (2020) 114581.
- 748 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114581.
- [24] S.W. Sharshir, G. Peng, L. Wu, F.A. Essa, A.E. Kabeel, N. Yang, The effects of flake
  graphite nanoparticles, phase change material, and film cooling on the solar still
- 751 performance, Appl. Energy. 191 (2017) 358–366.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.01.067.
- [25] K.K. Murugavel, S. Sivakumar, J.R. Ahamed, K.K.S.K. Chockalingam, K. Srithar,
  Single basin double slope solar still with minimum basin depth and energy storing
- 755 materials, Appl. Energy. 87 (2010) 514–523.
- 756 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.07.023.
- A. Elfasakhany, Performance assessment and productivity of a simple-type solar still
  integrated with nanocomposite energy storage system, Appl. Energy. 183 (2016) 399–
  407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.09.002.
- D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, L. Suganthi, S. Iniyan, P.A. Davies, Effects of
   nanoparticle-enhanced phase change material (NPCM) on solar still productivity, J.

762 Clean. Prod. 192 (2018) 9–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.201.

- 763 [28] M.S. Sodha, A. Kumar, G.N. Tiwari, R.C. Tyagi, Simple multiple wick solar still:
  764 Analysis and performance, Sol. Energy. 26 (1981) 127–131.
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(81)90075-X.
- [29] B.A. Akash, M.S. Mohsen, O. Osta, Y. Elayan, Experimental evaluation of a singlebasin solar still using different absorbing materials, Renew. Energy. 14 (1998) 307–
  310. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-1481(98)00082-2.
- [30] A.S. Nafey, M. Abdelkader, A. Abdelmotalip, A.A. Mabrouk, Solar still productivity
  enhancement, Energy Convers. Manag. 42 (2001) 1401–1408.
- 771 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(00)00107-2.
- 772 [31] A.S. Nafey, M. Abdelkader, A. Abdelmotalip, A.A. Mabrouk, Enhancement of solar
  773 still productivity using floating perforated black plate, Energy Convers. Manag. 43
- 774 (2002) 937–946. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(01)00079-6.
- P. Valsaraj, An experimental study on solar distillation in a single slope basin still by
  surface heating the water mass, Renew. Energy. 25 (2002) 607–612.
- 777 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481(01)00094-5.

- 778 [33] M.M. Naim, M.A. Abd El Kawi, Non-conventional solar stills. Part 1. Non-
- conventional solar stills with charcoal particles as absorber medium, Desalination. 153

780 (2003) 55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(02)01093-7.

- 781 [34] Z.S. Abdel-Rehim, A. Lasheen, Improving the performance of solar desalination
- 782 systems, Renew. Energy. 30 (2005) 1955–1971.
- 783 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2005.01.008.
- [35] M. Sakthivel, S. Shanmugasundaram, Effect of energy storage medium (black granite
  gravel) on the performance of a solar still, Int. J. Energy Res. 32 (2008) 68–82.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/er.1335.
- 787 [36] V. Velmurugan, M. Gopalakrishnan, R. Raghu, K. Srithar, Single basin solar still with
  788 fin for enhancing productivity, Energy Convers. Manag. 49 (2008) 2602–2608.

789 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2008.05.010.

- 790 [37] V. Velmurugan, C.K. Deenadayalan, H. Vinod, K. Srithar, Desalination of effluent
  791 using fin type solar still, Energy. 33 (2008) 1719–1727.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2008.07.001.
- [38] V. Velmurugan, K.J. Naveen Kumar, T. Noorul Haq, K. Srithar, Performance analysis
  in stepped solar still for effluent desalination, Energy. 34 (2009) 1179–1186.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2009.04.029.
- [39] A.A. El-Sebaii, S.J. Yaghmour, F.S. Al-Hazmi, A.S. Faidah, F.M. Al-Marzouki, A.A.
  Al-Ghamdi, Active single basin solar still with a sensible storage medium,
- 798 Desalination. 249 (2009) 699–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.02.060.
- [40] S. Abdallah, M.M. Abu-Khader, O. Badran, Effect of various absorbing materials on
  the thermal performance of solar stills, Desalination. 242 (2009) 128–137.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.03.036.
- [41] F.F. Tabrizi, A.Z. Sharak, Experimental study of an integrated basin solar still with a
  sandy heat reservoir, Desalination. 253 (2010) 195–199.
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.10.003.
- M. Sakthivel, S. Shanmugasundaram, T. Alwarsamy, An experimental study on a
  regenerative solar still with energy storage medium Jute cloth, Desalination. 264
  (2010) 24–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.06.074.
- [43] H.N. Panchal, Performance analysis of solar still with cow dung cakes and blue metal
  stones, Front. Energy. 9 (2015) 180–186. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11708-015-0361-y.
- 810 [44] D.G.H. Samuel, P.K. Nagarajan, R. Sathyamurthy, S.A. El-agouz, E. Kannan,
- 811 Improving the yield of fresh water in conventional solar still using low cost energy
- storage material, Energy Convers. Manag. 112 (2016) 125–134.

- 813 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2015.12.074.
- 814 [45] P. Patel, R. Kumar, Comparative Performance Evaluation of Modified Passive Solar
- Still Using Sensible Heat Storage Material and Increased Frontal Height, Procedia
  Technol. 23 (2016) 431–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protcy.2016.03.047.
- [46] M. Bhargva, A. Yadav, Experimental investigation of single slope solar still using
  different wick materials: a comparative study, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1276 (2019) 012042.
  https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1276/1/012042.
- [47] H. Panchal, D.K. Patel, P. Patel, Theoretical and experimental performance analysis of
  sandstones and marble pieces as thermal energy storage materials inside solar stills,
- 822 Int. J. Ambient Energy. 39 (2018) 221–229.
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1080/01430750.2017.1298059.
- [48] K. Rabhi, R. Nciri, F. Nasri, C. Ali, H. Ben Bacha, Experimental performance analysis
  of a modified single-basin single-slope solar still with pin fins absorber and condenser,
  Desalination. 416 (2017) 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.04.023.
- [49] A.E. Kabeel, S.A. El-Agouz, R. Sathyamurthy, T. Arunkumar, Augmenting the
  productivity of solar still using jute cloth knitted with sand heat energy storage,
  Desalination. 443 (2018) 122–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.05.026.
- [50] A.E. Kabeel, M. Abdelgaied, A. Eisa, Enhancing the performance of single basin solar
  still using high thermal conductivity sensible storage materials, J. Clean. Prod. 183
  (2018) 20–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.144.
- 832 [51] P. Dumka, A. Sharma, Y. Kushwah, A.S. Raghav, D.R. Mishra, Performance
- [51] P. Dumka, A. Sharma, Y. Kushwah, A.S. Raghav, D.R. Mishra, Performance
  evaluation of single slope solar still augmented with sand-filled cotton bags, J. Energy
  Storage. 25 (2019) 100888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2019.100888.
- [52] A.F. Mohamed, A.A. Hegazi, G.I. Sultan, E.M.S. El-Said, Enhancement of a solar still
  performance by inclusion the basalt stones as a porous sensible absorber: Experimental
  study and thermo-economic analysis, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells. 200 (2019)
- 839 109958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2019.109958.
- 840 [53] K. V. Modi, J.G. Modi, Performance of single-slope double-basin solar stills with
- small pile of wick materials, Appl. Therm. Eng. 149 (2019) 723–730.
- 842 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.12.071.
- 843 [54] T. Arunkumar, D. Murugesan, K. Raj, D. Denkenberger, C. Viswanathan, D. Dsilva
  844 Winfred Rufuss, R. Velraj, Effect of nano-coated CuO absorbers with PVA sponges in
- solar water desalting system, Appl. Therm. Eng. 148 (2019) 1416–1424.
- 846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.10.129.
- 847 [55] A. Bilal, B. Jamil, N.U. Haque, M.A. Ansari, Investigating the effect of pumice stones

- sensible heat storage on the performance of a solar still, Groundw. Sustain. Dev. 9
- 849 (2019) 100228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2019.100228.
- R. Dhivagar, M. Mohanraj, K. Hidouri, Y. Belyayev, Energy, exergy, economic and
  enviro-economic (4E) analysis of gravel coarse aggregate sensible heat storage-
- assisted single-slope solar still, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. (2020) 1–20.
- 853 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-020-09766-w.
- M.M. Naim, M.A. Abd El Kawi, Non-conventional solar stills. Part 2. Nonconventional solar stills with energy storage element, Desalination. 153 (2003) 71–80.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(02)01095-0.
- 857 [58] A.A.F. Al-hamadani, S.K. Shukla, A. Dwivedi, Experimental Investigation and
  858 Thermodynamic Performance Analysis of a Solar Distillation System with PCM
  859 Storage: Energy and Exergy, Distrib. Gener. Altern. Energy J. 29 (2014) 7–24.
- 860 https://doi.org/10.1080/21563306.2014.11442728.
- 861 [59] M. Asbik, O. Ansari, A. Bah, N. Zari, A. Mimet, H. El-Ghetany, Exergy analysis of
  862 solar desalination still combined with heat storage system using phase change material
- 863 (PCM), Desalination. 381 (2016) 26–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.11.031.
- [60] A.E. Kabeel, M. Abdelgaied, Improving the performance of solar still by using PCM
  as a thermal storage medium under Egyptian conditions, Desalination. 383 (2016) 22–
  28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.01.006.
- [61] A.E. Kabeel, M. Abdelgaied, M. Mahgoub, The performance of a modified solar still
  using hot air injection and PCM, Desalination. 379 (2016) 102–107.

869 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2015.11.007.

- [62] H. Mousa, A.M. Gujarathi, Modeling and analysis the productivity of solar
  desalination units with phase change materials, Renew. Energy. 95 (2016) 225–232.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.04.013.
- [63] V.P. Katekar, S.S. Deshmukh, A review of the use of phase change materials on
  performance of solar stills, J. Energy Storage. 30 (2020) 101398.
- 875 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2020.101398.
- [64] T. Arunkumar, K. Raj, D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, D. Denkenberger, G. Tingting, L.
  Xuan, R. Velraj, A review of efficient high productivity solar stills, Renew. Sustain.
  Energy Rev. 101 (2019) 197–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.11.013.
- 879 [65] D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, V. Rajkumar, L. Suganthi, S. Iniyan, Studies on latent heat
- 880 energy storage (LHES) materials for solar desalination application-focus on material
- 881 properties, prioritization, selection and future research potential, Sol. Energy Mater.
- Sol. Cells. 189 (2019) 149–165. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOLMAT.2018.09.031.

- 883 [66] D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, S. Arulvel, S. Iniyan, L. Suganthi, Numerical study of
- titanium oxide nanoparticle enhanced energy storage material in solar desalination,
- 885 Mater. Today Proc. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.06.448.
- [67] S.M. Shalaby, E. El-Bialy, A.A. El-Sebaii, An experimental investigation of a vcorrugated absorber single-basin solar still using PCM, Desalination. 398 (2016) 247–
  255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.07.042.
- [68] A.E. Kabeel, G.B. Abdelaziz, E.M.S. El-Said, Experimental investigation of a solar
  still with composite material heat storage: Energy, exergy and economic analysis, J.
- 891 Clean. Prod. 231 (2019) 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.200.
- [69] P. Dumka, Y. Kushwah, A. Sharma, D.R. Mishra, Comparative analysis and
  experimental evaluation of single slope solar still augmented with permanent magnets
  and conventional solar still, Desalination. 459 (2019) 34–45.
- 895 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2019.02.012.
- [70] A.K. Singh, Structure, Synthesis, and Application of Nanoparticles, in: Eng.
  Nanoparticles, Elsevier, 2016: pp. 19–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-8014066.00002-9.
- 899 [71] R.G. Keil, L.M. Mayer, Mineral Matrices and Organic Matter, in: Treatise
  900 Geochemistry Second Ed., Elsevier Inc., 2013: pp. 337–359.

901 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.01024-X.

- 902 [72] J.S. Lewis, Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology (Third Edition), 2003.
- 903 [73] H.M.E. Hisham T. El-Dessouky, Fundamentals of Salt Water Desalination, Elsevier,
  904 2002. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-50810-2.x5000-3.
- 905 [74] H.D. Holland, K.K. Turekian, Treatise on Geochemistry, 2003.
  906 https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-1-28326-2.
- 907 [75] J. Feher, Quantitative Human Physiology: An Introduction, in: Am. J. Med. Sci., 2012.
- 908 [76] M. Dubey, D.R. Mishra, Experimental evaluation of double slope solar still augmented
  909 with ferrite ring magnets and a black cotton cloth, Int. J. Ambient Energy. (2020) 1–
- 910 12. https://doi.org/10.1080/01430750.2020.1722746.
- 911 [77] R. Dhivagar, M. Mohanraj, Performance improvements of single slope solar still using
- graphite plate fins and magnets, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. (2021) 1–18.
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11737-5.
- 914 [78] A.A. El-Sebaii, A.A. Al-Ghamdi, F.S. Al-Hazmi, A.S. Faidah, Thermal performance
- of a single basin solar still with PCM as a storage medium, Appl. Energy. 86 (2009)
  1187–1195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2008.10.014.
- 917 [79] A.E. Kabeel, M.A. Teamah, M. Abdelgaied, G.B. Abdel Aziz, Modified pyramid solar

- still with v-corrugated absorber plate and PCM as a thermal storage medium, J. Clean.
- 919 Prod. 161 (2017) 881–887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.195.
- 920 [80] D. Dsilva Winfred Rufuss, S. Iniyan, L. Suganthi, Combined Effect of Heat Storage,
- 921 Reflective Material, and Additional Heat Source on the Productivity of a Solar Still—
- 922 Techno-Economic Approach, J. Test. Eval. 46 (2018).
- 923 https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1520/JTE20170013.
- [81] K.N. Knust, D. Hlushkou, U. Tallarek, R.M. Crooks, Electrochemical Desalination for
  a Sustainable Water Future, ChemElectroChem. 1 (2014) 850–857.
- 926 https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.201300236.
- 927 [82] M. Mehdizadeh Youshanlouei, S. Yekani Motlagh, H. Soltanipour, The effect of
  928 magnetic field on the performance improvement of a conventional solar still: a
- numerical study, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. (2021) 1–14.
- 930 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12947-1.
- [83] Y. Wang, H. Wei, Z. Li, Effect of magnetic field on the physical properties of water,
  Results Phys. 8 (2018) 262–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2017.12.022.
- 933 [84] A.A. El-Sebaii, Effect of wind speed on active and passive solar stills, Energy
  934 Convers. Manag. 45 (2004) 1187–1204.
- 935 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2003.09.036.
- [85] T. Arunkumar, D. Denkenberger, A. Ahsan, R. Jayaprakash, The augmentation of
  distillate yield by using concentrator coupled solar still with phase change material,
- 938
   Desalination. 314 (2013) 189–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.01.018.
- [86] L. Holysz, A. Szczes, E. Chibowski, Effects of a static magnetic field on water and
  electrolyte solutions, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 316 (2007) 996–1002.
- 941 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.08.026.
- 942 [87] S.W. Sharshir, M.A. Eltawil, A.M. Algazzar, R. Sathyamurthy, A.W. Kandeal,
- 943 Performance enhancement of stepped double slope solar still by using nanoparticles
- and linen wicks: Energy, exergy and economic analysis, Appl. Therm. Eng. 174 (2020)

- 946 [88] H.E.S. Fath, M. El-Samanoudy, K. Fahmy, A. Hassabou, Thermal-economic analysis
  947 and comparison between pyramid-shaped and single-slope solar still configurations,
  948 Desalination. 159 (2003) 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(03)90046-4.
- 949 [89] Chandra Bhushan, The structure and economics of the Indian bottled water industry,

950 Frontline. (2006) Volume: 23.

951 https://doi.org/http://www.frontline.in/static/html/fl2307/stories/20060421006702300.
952 htm.

<sup>945 115278.</sup> https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.115278.

- 953 [90] P. Joshi, G.N. Tiwari, Energy matrices, exergo-economic and enviro-economic
- analysis of an active single slope solar still integrated with a heat exchanger: A
- 955 comparative study, Desalination. 443 (2018) 85–98.
- 956 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.05.012.
- [91] K.S. Reddy, H. Sharon, Active multi-effect vertical solar still: Mathematical modeling,
  performance investigation and enviro-economic analyses, Desalination. 395 (2016)
  99–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2016.05.027.
- 960 [92] A. Kumar Singh, Samsher, Material conscious energy matrix and enviro-economic
  961 analysis of passive ETC solar still, Mater. Today Proc. (2020).
- 962 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.05.117.
- 963 [93] D.B. Singh, Exergo-economic, enviro-economic and productivity analyses of N
- 964 identical evacuated tubular collectors integrated double slope solar still, Appl. Therm.
- 965 Eng. 148 (2019) 96–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2018.10.127.
- 966 [94] Ferrite Magnets/Ceramic Magnets Datasheet, (n.d.).
- 967 https://www.eclipsemagnetics.com/media/wysiwyg/datasheets/magnet\_materials\_and\_
  968 assemblies/ferrite\_magnets-ceramic\_magnets\_datasheet\_v1.pdf (accessed July 24,
  969 2020).
- 970 [95] Magnetic properties of ferrite magnets, (n.d.).
- 971 https://www.magnetexpert.com/magnetic-properties-of-ferrite-magnets-i699 (accessed
  972 July 24, 2020).
- 973 [96] A. Agarwal, R.M. Sarviya, Characterization of Commercial Grade Paraffin wax as
  974 Latent Heat Storage material for Solar dryers, in: Mater. Today Proc., Elsevier Ltd,
- 975 2017: pp. 779–789. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2017.01.086.
- 976 [97] Nayla Hassan Omer, Water Quality Parameters, in: Water Qual. Assessments Policy.
  977 IntechOpen., IntechOpen, 2019. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.89657.
- 978 [98] T. Arunkumar, K. Raj, M. Chaturvedi, A. Thenmozhi, D. Denkenberger, G. Tingting,
- 979 A review on distillate water quality parameter analysis in solar still, Int. J. Ambient

```
980 Energy. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1080/01430750.2019.1587722.
```

- 981 [99] A. Shanmugasundharam, G. Kalpana, S.R. Mahapatra, E.R. Sudharson, M.
- 982 Jayaprakash, Assessment of Groundwater quality in Krishnagiri and Vellore Districts
- 983 in Tamil Nadu, India, Appl. Water Sci. 7 (2017) 1869–1879.
- 984 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-015-0361-4.
- [100] S. Arulvel, A. Elayaperumal, M.S. Jagatheeshwaran, Discussion on the feasibility of
  using proteinized/deproteinized crab shell particles for coating applications: Synthesis
  and characterization, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 4 (2016) 3891–3899.

988 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.08.031.