

Effects of spironolactone and chlorthalidone on cardiovascular structure and function in chronic kidney disease

Edwards, Nicky; Price, Anna; Mehta, Samir; Hiemstra, Thomas F; Kaur, Amreen ; Greasley , Peter J. ; Webb, David J; Dhaun, Neeraj; MacIntyre, Iain M ; Farrah , Tariq ; Melville , Vanessa ; Herrey , Anna ; Slinn, Gemma; Wale, Becky; Rowland, Natalie; Wheeler, David; Wilkinson, Ian; Steeds, Richard; Ferro, Charles; Townend, Jon

DOI:
[10.2215/CJN.01930221](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01930221)

License:
None: All rights reserved

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Edwards, N, Price, A, Mehta, S, Hiemstra, TF, Kaur, A, Greasley , PJ, Webb, DJ, Dhaun, N, MacIntyre, IM, Farrah , T, Melville , V, Herrey , A, Slinn, G, Wale, B, Rowland, N, Wheeler, D, Wilkinson, I, Steeds, R, Ferro, C & Townend, J 2021, 'Effects of spironolactone and chlorthalidone on cardiovascular structure and function in chronic kidney disease', *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 16, no. 8, CJN.01930221. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01930221>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an accepted manuscript version of an article first published in *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. The final version of record is available at <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01930221>

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Effects of Spironolactone and Chlorthalidone on Cardiovascular Structure and Function in Chronic Kidney Disease; A Randomized, Open-Label Trial

Commented [MOU1]: Please enter this as a sub-title in the submission system

Nicola C Edwards PhD^{1,2}, Anna M Price MBChB^{1,3}, Samir Mehta MSc⁴, Thomas F Hiemstra PhD⁵, Amreen Kaur¹, Peter J. Greasley PhD⁶, David J Webb MD⁷, Neeraj Dhaun PhD^{7,8}, Iain M MacIntyre PhD^{7,8}, Tariq Farrah MB^{7,8}, Vanessa Melville MSc⁷, Anna Herrey PhD⁹, Gemma Slinn M.Phil⁴, Rebekah Wale PhD⁴, Natalie Ives MSc⁴, David C Wheeler MD¹⁰, Ian Wilkinson MD⁵, Richard P Steeds MD^{1,11}, Charles J Ferro MD^{1,3}, Jonathan N Townend MD^{1,11}

1. Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK
2. Department of Cardiology, Green Lane Cardiovascular Unit, Auckland, New Zealand
3. Department of Nephrology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK
4. Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
5. Cambridge Clinical Trials Unit, Division of Experimental Medicine and Immunotherapeutics, University of Cambridge, UK. Clinical Development Director. GlaxoSmithKline
6. Research and Early Development, Cardiovascular, Renal and Metabolism (CVRM) BioPharmaceuticals R&D, AstraZeneca, Gothenburg, Sweden
7. Center for Cardiovascular Science and Clinical Research Center, University of Edinburgh, UK
8. Department of Nephrology, NHS Lothian. Edinburgh. UK
9. Department of Cardiology, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
10. Department of Renal Medicine, University College London and George Institute for Global Health, Sydney, Australia UK
11. Department of Cardiology, Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UK

Corresponding author: Dr Nicola Edwards
Department of Cardiology,
Green Lane Cardiovascular Unit,
Auckland City Hospital

Auckland,

New Zealand,
Email: Nicolaed@adhb.govt.co.nz
Tel: +64 (0) 2108859250

Word count abstract: 240

Word count text: 3518

Number of Figures: 4

Number of Tables: 3

Abstract

Background and objectives: In a randomized double blind, placebo controlled trial, treatment with spironolactone in early-stage chronic kidney disease, reduced left ventricular mass and arterial stiffness compared to placebo. It is not known if these effects were due to blood pressure reduction or specific vascular and myocardial effects of spironolactone.

Design, setting, participants and measurements: A prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint (PROBE) study conducted in four UK centers (Birmingham, Cambridge, Edinburgh & London) comparing spironolactone 25mg to chlorthalidone 25mg once daily for 40 weeks in 154 subjects with non-diabetic stage 2 and 3 chronic kidney disease (eGFR 30-89ml/min/1.73m²). The primary endpoint was change in left ventricle mass on cardiac magnetic resonance. Subjects were on treatment with an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker and had **controlled blood pressure**.

Commented [CJASN2]: Defined as?

Results: There was no significant difference in left ventricular mass regression; at week 40 the adjusted mean difference for spironolactone compared to chlorthalidone was -3.8g (95% CI -8.1g, 0.5g), p=0.08. Office and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressures fell in response to both drugs with no significant differences between treatment. **Arterial stiffness parameters were also not significantly different between groups. Hyperkalemia (defined ≥ 5.4 mmol/L) occurred more frequently with spironolactone (12 vs. 2 subjects) but there were no cases of severe hyperkalemia (defined ≥ 6.5 mmol/L). A decline in eGFR $>30\%$ occurred more frequently with chlorthalidone (8 vs. 2 subjects).**

Commented [CJASN3]: For these endpoints-please present each of adjusted mean difference and p-value.

Conclusion: Spironolactone was not superior to chlorthalidone in reducing left ventricular mass, blood pressure or arterial stiffness in non-diabetic CKD.

Introduction

[Stage 1-3](#) Chronic kidney disease (CKD) [stage 1-3](#) affects more than 10% of the population of developed countries (1). The risk of cardiovascular disease is increased, with a graded inverse relationship to estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and far exceeds the risk of kidney failure (2). Part of this risk is due to accelerated atheroma, but traditional cardiovascular risk models designed to predict death perform poorly in CKD (3) and non-atherosclerotic changes including left ventricular fibrosis and hypertrophy and arteriosclerosis are important (4). Pathophysiological mechanisms underlying these processes are likely to include activation of the renin-angiotensin system, systemic inflammation and altered bone mineral metabolism.

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are widely used in CKD to control blood pressure (BP) and reduce proteinuria but often fail to suppress the elevated levels of aldosterone which occur in CKD as a result of both aldosterone escape and breakthrough (5). In addition to hypertension, experimental studies have shown that aldosterone exerts numerous adverse cardiovascular effects including endothelial dysfunction, and pro-hypertrophic, inflammatory and fibrotic effects on the myocardium and arterial walls, particularly in the presence of sodium overload as occurs in CKD (6). In a randomized double blind, placebo controlled trial, the addition of spironolactone to ACE inhibitors or ARBs reduced left ventricular mass and arterial stiffness and improved left ventricular diastolic function with a satisfactory safety profile but it was unclear whether these beneficial effects were due to unique actions of spironolactone or BP reduction (7). This follow-up trial examined the effects of spironolactone compared with an active antihypertensive control drug, chlorthalidone in order to achieve equal BP control. Our hypothesis was that spironolactone would cause greater reduction in left ventricular mass and arterial stiffness as a result of its inhibition of the multiple adverse effects of aldosterone.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Treatment Regimens

A multi-center, prospective randomized open-label, blinded endpoint (PROBE) trial recruiting subjects with stage 2 and 3 CKD at four UK centers (Birmingham, Cambridge, Edinburgh & London) between June 2014–December 2016 (8). Participants were individually ~~randomised~~ [randomized](#) into the trial in a 1:1 ratio to either Spironolactone 25mg once daily or Chlorthalidone 25mg once daily for 40 weeks. Randomization was provided by a computer-generated programme at the Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit (BCTU), using a minimization algorithm to ensure balance between the arms with regard to the following important clinical variables: systolic BP (<130 mmHg, ≥130 mmHg), age (<55 years, ≥55 years) and gender (Male, Female). Follow up was completed by November 2017. Adherence to the Declaration of Helsinki, Ethical (West Midlands National Research Ethics Service September 2013 (13/WM/0304), Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (Clinical Trials Authorization No. 21761/0295/001-0001), US National Institutes of Health database (NCT02502981) approvals were obtained.

Participants

The rationale and detailed trial design have been reported in full (8). In brief, subjects were eligible for inclusion if they were aged ≥18 years, had stable CKD stage 2 or 3 (eGFR 30–89ml/min/1.73m²), were taking an ACE inhibitor or ARB with controlled BP using standard UK guideline blood pressure target values <130/80mmHg in 2015. Local investigators were encouraged not to change concomitant anti-hypertensive medication after study entry unless for a strong clinical indication. Aggregate blood pressure data by treatment arm were reviewed regularly by an independent Blood Pressure Monitoring Committee (BPMC). The committee was able to mandate changes in randomized treatment to ensure blood pressure in both arms was similar. Exclusion criteria included diabetes mellitus, left ventricular systolic

dysfunction (ejection fraction <50%) or severe valvular disease, atrial fibrillation, recent acute myocardial infarction or other adverse cardiovascular event and documented previous hyperkalemia or serum potassium at screening of >5.0mmol/L (8).

Outcome Measures

Investigations were performed at baseline (< 6 weeks prior to initiating study medication) and at 40 weeks post-randomisation, with a further 'run-out' study at 6 weeks after trial drugs ended (46 weeks). The primary endpoint was change in left ventricle mass at week 40; secondary endpoints have been reported (8) but included changes in aortic pulse wave velocity (aPWV), office and ambulatory BPs, and safety parameters (hyperkalemia, decline in kidney function of > 30% (requiring discontinuation of trial drugs). Analyses of cardiac MRI (NCE) and cfPWV (research nurses) data were performed by clinicians blinded to treatment allocation and clinical data.

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Studies were performed on 1.5-T (Avanto / Aera; Siemens, and Discovery™; GE) or 3T (Verio, Siemens) scanners. Left ventricular dimensions, function, and mass were assessed in accordance with validated methodology as previously described (9). Data were analysed in a Core Lab (Birmingham) using CVi 42® software (Circle Vascular Imaging, Canada).

Blood Pressure and Arterial Function: Office BP were recorded at each face-to-face clinical review using a semi-automated device. Three readings were performed in the seated position after 5 minutes of rest. The mean of the last 2 readings were used for analysis (8). Resting BP, 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring (Mobil-O-Graph; IEM GmbH, Stolberg, Germany),

applanation tonometry (SphygmoCor, AtCor Medical, Sydney) and pulse wave velocity were assessed as previously described (10,11).

Biochemical and safety monitoring: Routine hematological and biochemical parameters were recorded at weeks 1, 2, 4, 8, 24, 40 and 46 after randomization. Modification to the doses of both treatments was made according to protocols (**Table 1**).

Study Outcomes & Statistical Analysis

The initial design of the study used a co-primary endpoint of change in left ventricular mass and change in cfPWV to replicate the original CRIB II methodology (7). This required a sample size of 350 subjects. However, recruitment was significantly slower than anticipated, and following discussion with the funder and the Trial Steering Committee, the trial design was revised with a single primary endpoint of change in left ventricular mass. This decision was made blinded to all data and reflected the superior prognostic value of LV mass and technical precision to ensure the study was appropriately powered with a reduced sample size (8). Using a standard deviation of change in left ventricle mass of 13g (data from CRIB 2) (7), to detect a minimum relevant difference in left ventricular mass of 7g (12), 63 patients per arm were required to provide 85% power with 2-sided $\alpha=0.05$. After allowing for 15% drop-out, the total sample was 150 patients. The difference of 7g was chosen as the minimum clinically important difference because this value is at the limits of precision of measurement of left ventricular mass by cardiac magnetic resonance (13). Analyses were conducted as stated in our statistical analysis plan (**Supplementary Appendix: Statistical analyses**). In brief, estimates of differences between the groups for the primary and secondary endpoints are presented with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and p values from two-sided tests at the 5% significance level. All analyses were based on the intention to

Commented [CJASN4]: Please note that supplementary material is not systematically proof-read during the editing process. Accurate and legible supplementary materials are the responsibility of the authors, and will not undergo further revision or formatting prior to publication.

treat principle and used a model-based analysis with the minimization variables (age, systolic BP and gender) and baseline values (where available; e.g. left ventricular mass) included in the model as covariates. The chlorthalidone arm was the reference category. Continuous endpoints were analysed using a linear regression model to estimate an adjusted mean difference between groups at week 40. For PWV, systolic and diastolic office BP which were collected over multiple time-points, a secondary analysis using mixed effects linear regression models were performed. Categorical endpoints were analysed using a log-binomial regression model to estimate an adjusted relative risk. Sensitivity and exploratory analyses were performed; this included a per-protocol analysis and an analysis where missing data for the primary outcome were imputed using multiple imputation with chained equations. Fifty imputations were generated and imputed results were combined using Rubin's rule. Analyses were undertaken using Stata v15 and SAS v9.3.

Results

Study Subjects

A total of 154 patients were randomized to either spironolactone (n= 77) or chlorthalidone (n = 77). Subjects were well matched at baseline (**Table 2**). Following randomization, a total of 16 subjects did not complete the study; 8 subjects in the spironolactone group (side effects n=3, medication concern n=2, follow up frequency n=2 and lost to follow up n=1) and 8 in the chlorthalidone group (side effects n=3, follow up frequency n=2, pregnant n=1, new medical finding unrelated to study n=1, randomization error n=1) (**Figure 1**). Fifty patients randomized to spironolactone remained on full dose medication and 12 changed to half-dose; in the chlorthalidone group 52 patients were on full dose medication, and 4 on half-dose. Adherence to treatment (defined as >70% medication taken by pill count) was 81% for spironolactone and 73% for chlorthalidone.

Primary endpoint

The effects of both drugs on left ventricular mass are shown in **Table 3** and **Figure 2**. Both spironolactone and chlorthalidone reduced left ventricular mass at week 40 (estimated marginal mean -8.3g (95% CI -11.3, -5.3) vs. -4.5g (95% CI -7.5, -1.5) respectively), however there was no significant difference between treatments (adjusted mean difference - 3.8g (95% CI: -8.1, 0.5), p=0.08). Sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation based on the 136 patients who had cardiac magnetic resonance studies at baseline (adjusted mean difference -3.8g (95% CI -8.2, 0.6) p=0.089) and a per-protocol analysis of subjects that received full doses of trial medications throughout the study (adjusted mean difference -3.1g (95% CI -8.2, 2.1) p=0.2) gave similar results. There was also no difference in left ventricular mass index (adjusted mean difference -1.5g/m² (95% CI -3.8, 0.7) p=0.2).

Secondary Outcomes: Blood Pressure

Both drugs reduced office and 24-hour ambulatory BPs over the treatment period with no significant differences between treatment groups; no changes in treatment were advised by the BP Monitoring Committee (Table 3 and Figure 3). For office systolic BP at week 40, the estimated marginal means for spironolactone and chlorthalidone were -11 mmHg (95% CI -14, -8) vs -8 mmHg (95% CI -12, -5) respectively, adjusted mean difference -3 mmHg (95% CI -7, 2), $p=0.3$. For office diastolic BP at week 40, the estimated marginal means were -6 mmHg (95% CI -8, -4) vs -3 mmHg (95% CI -5, -1) respectively, adjusted mean difference -2 mmHg (95% CI: -5, 0) $p=0.097$. Repeated measures analysis also revealed no significant differences between the treatment arms. Mean 24-hour ambulatory BPs also fell with each drug and were not significantly different between treatments at week 40; adjusted mean difference 2 mmHg (95% CI -2, 6, $p=0.3$) for systolic peripheral BP and 1 mmHg (95% CI -1, 4) $p=0.3$ for diastolic peripheral BP (Table 3).

Commented [MOU5]: Please verify that this is correct as edited

Commented [CJASN6]: No reference in text to Figure 3a and 3b. Please correct.

Other Secondary Outcome Measures

There was no significant difference between treatment arms in aPWV, AIx@75, left ventricular volumes, ejection fraction, UACR and NT pro-BNP (Table 3).

Commented [CJASN7]: Please spell out all abbreviations at 1st mention.

Blood pressure reduction and changes in left ventricular mass

This exploratory analysis suggested a possible graded relationship between changes in left ventricular mass from baseline versus changes in 24-hour ambulatory systolic BP (Figure 4).

Adverse Events and Side Effects

In accordance with the protocol, medication was reduced to half dose in 12 subjects in the spironolactone arm due to moderate hyperkalemia (6), decline in eGFR (4), hyponatremia (1)

and symptomatic hypotension (1). In the chlorthalidone group medication was reduced to half dose in 4 subjects due to a decline in eGFR (2), symptomatic hypotension (1) and malaise (1). Permanent discontinuation of the study drug was required in 11 subjects taking spironolactone; patient reported side effects (8), decline in eGFR (1), decline in eGFR and moderate hyperkalemia (1), hyponatremia (1) and 19 subjects taking chlorthalidone; patient reported side effects (10), decline in eGFR (8), hyponatremia (1). Hyperkalemia occurred in 12 subjects on spironolactone compared with 2 on chlorthalidone; mild hyperkalemia occurred in 10 on spironolactone and 2 on chlorthalidone; moderate hyperkalemia occurred in 1 subject on spironolactone (information missing for one participant). There were no episodes of severe hyperkalemia. Over 70% of the episodes of hyperkalemia occurred within 4 weeks of starting medication. The mean change in serum potassium at week 40 was $+0.2 \pm 0.5$ mmol/L with spironolactone and -0.3 ± 0.4 mmol/L with chlorthalidone, adjusted mean difference 0.5mmol/L (95% CI: 0.3, 0.6), $p < 0.001$. A severe decline in kidney function ($> 30\%$ fall in eGFR) requiring discontinuation from trial therapy occurred in 2 subjects on spironolactone and 8 on chlorthalidone. There were no significant differences between the treatment arms for eGFR at week 40, adjusted mean difference 2ml/min/1.73m² (95% CI 1.1, 4.3), $p=0.3$. There were no cases of symptomatic hypotension requiring discontinuation of treatment in either treatment arm.

Discussion

In subjects with early-stage, non-diabetic CKD, left ventricular mass and BP were both reduced with spironolactone and chlorthalidone, with no statistically significant difference between groups at the end of the 40 week treatment period. There were also no statistically significant differences between spironolactone and chlorthalidone on measures of left ventricular geometry or function, arterial stiffness, UACR or NT pro-BNP. The hypothesis that spironolactone would be superior to chlorthalidone in reducing left ventricular mass due to its non-hemodynamic, mineralocorticoid antagonist specific mediated anti-hypertrophic and inflammatory effects was not confirmed despite the use of modern cardiac magnetic resonance imaging techniques capable of measuring left ventricular mass to an accuracy of less than 10g. Both treatments were well tolerated with a low incidence of major adverse effects.

In experimental work, spironolactone effectively inhibits multiple deleterious actions of aldosterone including vascular, myocardial, endothelial, pro-inflammatory, fibrotic and hypertrophic effects (6,14). It is also highly effective in reducing mortality and hospitalization for populations with heart failure due to reduced ejection fraction (<35%) and after acute myocardial infarction (15-16). In CRIB 2, spironolactone reduced left ventricular mass over 40 weeks by a mean of 14g in patients with CKD stage 2-3 compared to no change with inactive placebo.⁷ Similar reductions in left ventricular mass with spironolactone were also reported in the 4E study in which the use of eplerenone and enalapril produced additive reductions in left ventricular mass in hypertensive subjects with left ventricular hypertrophy (17). These effects were similar to those of the present study. Our new finding however, that chlorthalidone, which activates rather than inhibits the renin angiotensin system, also reduces left ventricular mass to an extent not different to spironolactone, suggests that these actions are a result of BP reduction rather than other effects of mineralocorticoid antagonism. This

conclusion is strengthened by the association between the changes in systolic pressure and left ventricular mass. The study illustrates the importance of comparing the effects of mineralocorticoid receptor blockers with active BP lowering control drugs, a design feature yet to be used in important trials of new non-steroidal mineralocorticoid receptor blockers (18).

Change in left ventricular mass was chosen as the primary outcome for this study because of the adverse prognostic importance of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension and CKD irrespective of BP (19-22), the non-dichotomous graded relationship between left ventricular mass and prognosis in essential hypertension (23) and the positive prognostic value of a reduction in left ventricular mass in essential hypertension, independent of baseline left ventricular mass and degree of BP reduction (24,25). In the Framingham study, left ventricular mass was second only to age in its ability to predict cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (19). While a meta-analysis has questioned the validity of using left ventricular mass as a surrogate for total mortality in CKD, many of the studies used the less accurate technique of echocardiography for measurement of left ventricular mass and few were large enough or of adequate duration to ascertain relevant changes (26). In a 5 year study in kidney failure, subjects classified as 'responders' each 1g reduction in left ventricular mass was associated with a 1% fall in cardiovascular mortality (27). There are no similar studies in early-stage CKD despite its much higher prevalence and presence of abnormalities of left ventricular mass, myocardial fibrosis and cardiac function (28-30). Our study shows that left ventricular mass in early-stage CKD is responsive to BP reduction irrespective of the mode of action of drug therapy, even when starting BP is controlled at Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes target and left ventricular mass is not in a range categorised as hypertrophy (31).

The possible relationship between BP reduction and fall in left ventricular mass provides support for a primarily BP mediated mode of action. The findings extend those of Simpson et al. who showed that in a group of subjects with left ventricular hypertrophy, left ventricular mass was reduced by BP lowering even when starting BP was in the normotensive range (32).

The BP lowering effects of both spironolactone and chlorthalidone on top of baseline treatment with an ACE inhibitor or ARB in this group of patients with CKD are of importance in their own right. Neither agent is in common use in CKD; spironolactone because of concerns about effects on serum potassium and kidney function and chlorthalidone principally because of concerns about efficacy of thiazide-like drugs in CKD. Our data suggest that both agents are similarly effective in lowering BP and well tolerated in subjects with CKD. The appropriate target for BP in patients with CKD remains uncertain. Evidence for positive effects of lowering BP on the progression of kidney disease remains inconclusive while the effects on cardiovascular endpoints in CKD are probably similar to those shown in the general population (33). Sub-group analysis of SPRINT trial subjects with CKD at baseline showed no effect modification by CKD status with a substantial reduction in the composite cardiovascular outcome of cardiovascular mortality and deaths (34). In a meta-analysis of the effects of intensive BP lowering on mortality in over 15,000 patients with predominantly later (stage 3-5) stage CKD, intensive treatments with a mean reduction in systolic BP of 16mmHg reduced all-cause mortality by 14% compared to control groups on standard therapy (35). Our finding, that intensive BP reduction reduces left ventricular mass in subjects with CKD, provides a plausible pathophysiological mechanism for this result.

With respect to safety and tolerability, although the number of events was small, hyperkalemia was more common with spironolactone, while clinically significant reductions in kidney function were more common with chlorthalidone. The effect of chlorthalidone on

office systolic BP in this study was similar to that observed in subjects with CKD treated with chlorthalidone in the ALLHAT study in whom systolic BP was reduced by approximately 11 mmHg over a 6-year treatment period (36). Several recent meta-analyses of the effects of mineralocorticoid receptor blockers in stage 3-4 CKD have shown similar reductions in BP to those seen in this study with spironolactone (37-39). In addition, these studies suggest possible beneficial effects on kidney function with consistent reductions in proteinuria though there were small excess risks of hyperkalemia (38-40). Thus, although spironolactone has not shown specific effects on the endpoints of our study, it remains an effective treatment option for patients with early-stage CKD that reduces BP, left ventricular mass and proteinuria and appears safe providing the starting potassium is not elevated and potassium is monitored during the weeks following its introduction. Problems with hyperkalemia in CKD may be circumvented by co-prescription of potassium binding agents (41).

There are limitations to our study. Recruitment was slower and more challenging than anticipated. This required us to change to a single primary endpoint of left ventricular mass to ensure the study was adequately powered to detect a clinically significant outcome. The 119 subjects who completed paired cardiac magnetic resonance studies provided 83% power to detect a 7g difference in LV mass with an alpha of 0.05. As some patients of our patients had dose reductions, the study might be regarded as under-powered. We were concerned about our ability to detect small changes in left ventricular mass, even with the use of cardiac magnetic resonance and it is reassuring that recently published data have confirmed the precision of cardiac magnetic resonance in the measurement of left ventricular mass (12). While the study was not powered to detect changes in left ventricular mass smaller than 7g, changes of this magnitude are of doubtful clinical significance and below the limits of accuracy of the measurement technique. We acknowledge that our results do not definitively exclude the possibility that spironolactone is superior to chlorthalidone in the reduction of LV

mass at an equal blood pressure; a larger study would be required to provide conclusive data. Patients with diabetes and previous serum potassium >5mmol/L were excluded on safety grounds, meaning that the low rates of hyperkalemia observed with spironolactone may not be generalisable to all subjects with early-stage CKD. We acknowledge that discontinuation of treatment and half dose treatment in both arms could have contributed to missing the treatment effect although a per-protocol analysis of all patients with no change to treatment schedule gave similar results for the primary analyses.

Conclusion

In patients with stable non-diabetic CKD stage 2 and 3 with controlled BP, on treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs, we found no evidence that spironolactone was superior to chlorthalidone in its effects on left ventricular mass. While previous experimental studies have shown spironolactone to mediate a wide variety of potentially beneficial anti-proliferative and anti-fibrotic effects on cardiac and vascular tissues, we could not demonstrate evidence for such pleiotropic effects over and above BP reduction. Both treatments effectively reduced BP to levels below present CKD guideline target recommendations and had a low level of adverse effects. While the specific class of anti-hypertensive may not be critical, intensively reducing systolic BP to further reduce left ventricular mass in CKD may be associated with long term prognostic benefit and requires further investigation.

Disclosures: None. The views are those of the authors and not of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

Funding: Study funded by British Heart Foundation (SP/12/8/29620)

Acknowledgements: This study carried out in Birmingham at the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)/Wellcome Trust Birmingham Clinical Research Facility, NIHR Cambridge BRC and the University of Edinburgh Clinical Research Center. TFH is supported by the NIHR Cambridge BRC. AMP is supported by a British Heart Foundation Clinical Research Fellowship (FS/13/30/29994, 16/73/32314).

Data Sharing Statement: i) Individual de-identified participant data (clinical data acquired in the trial) and ii) related study documents (study protocol, statistical analysis plan) will be available for Researchers requesting data the Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit.

References

1. Sarnak MJ, Levey AS, Schoolwerth AC, Coresh J, Culleton B, Hamm LL, McCullough PA, Kasiske BL, Kelepouris E, Klag MJ, Parfrey P, Pfeffer M, Raij L, Spinosa DJ, Wilson PW: Kidney disease as a risk factor for development of cardiovascular disease: a statement from the American Heart Association Councils on Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, High Blood Pressure Research, Clinical Cardiology, and Epidemiology and Prevention. *Hypertension*, 42: 1050-1065, 2003
10.1161/01.HYP.0000102971.85504.7c
2. Thomas B, Matsushita K, Abate KH, Al-Aly Z, Ärnlöv J, Asayama K, Atkins R, Badawi A, Ballew SH, Banerjee A, Barregård L, Barrett-Connor E, Basu S, Bello AK, Bensenor I, Bergstrom J, Bikbov B, Blosser C, Brenner H, Carrero JJ, Chadban S, Cirillo M, Cortinovis M, Courville K, Dandona L, Dandona R, Estep K, Fernandes J, Fischer F, Fox C, Gansevoort RT, Gona PN, Gutierrez OM, Hamidi S, Hanson SW, Himmelfarb J, Jassal SK, Jee SH, Jha V, Jimenez-Corona A, Jonas JB, Kengne AP, Khader Y, Khang YH, Kim YJ, Klein B, Klein R, Kokubo Y, Kolte D, Lee K, Levey AS, Li Y, Lotufo P, El Razek HMA, Mendoza W, Metoki H, Mok Y, Muraki I, Muntner PM, Noda H, Ohkubo T, Ortiz A, Perico N, Polkinghorne K, Al-Radaddi R, Remuzzi G, Roth G, Rothenbacher D, Satoh M, Saum KU, Sawhney M, Schöttker B, Shankar A, Shlipak M, Sileft ventriclea DAS, Toyoshima H, Ukwaja K, Umesawa M, Vollset SE, Warnock DG, Werdecker A, Yamagishi K, Yano Y, Yonemoto N, Zaki MES, Naghavi M, Forouzanfar MH, Murray CJL, Coresh J, Vos T: Global Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes of Reduced GFR. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 28: 2167-2179, 2017 10.1681/asn.2016050562
3. Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR, Jafar TH, Heerspink HJ, Mann JF, Matsushita K, Wen CP: Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. *Lancet*, 382: 339-352, 2013
10.1016/s0140-6736(13)60595-4
4. Edwards NC, Moody WE, Chue CD, Ferro CJ, Townend JN, Steeds RP: Defining the natural history of uremic cardiomyopathy in chronic kidney disease: the role of cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*, 7: 703-714, 2014
10.1016/j.jcmg.2013.09.025
5. Bomback AS, Klemmer PJ: The incidence and implications of aldosterone breakthrough. *Nat Clin Pract Nephrol*, 3: 486-492, 2007 10.1038/ncpneph0575
6. Brown NJ: Aldosterone and vascular inflammation. *Hypertension*, 51: 161-167, 2008
10.1161/hypertensionaha.107.095489
7. Edwards NC, Steeds RP, Stewart PM, Ferro CJ, Townend JN: Effect of spironolactone on left ventricular mass and aortic stiffness in early-stage chronic kidney disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 54: 505-512, 2009
10.1016/j.jacc.2009.03.066
8. Hayer MK, Edwards NC, Slinn G, Moody WE, Steeds RP, Ferro CJ, Price AM, Andujar C, Dutton M, Webster R, Webb DJ, Semple S, MacIntyre I, Meleft ventricelle V, Wilkinson IB, Hiemstra TF, Wheeler DC, Herrey A, Grant M, Mehta S, Ives N, Townend JN: A randomized, multicenter, open-label, blinded end point trial comparing the effects of spironolactone to chlorthalidone on left ventricular mass in patients with early-stage chronic kidney disease: Rationale and design of the SPIRO-CKD trial. *Am Heart J*, 191: 37-46, 2017 10.1016/j.ahj.2017.05.008
9. Kawel-Boehm N, Maceira A, Valsangiacomo-Buechel ER, Vogel-Claussen J, Turkbey EB, Williams R, Plein S, Tee M, Eng J, Bluemke DA: Normal values for cardiovascular

- magnetic resonance in adults and children. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*, 17: 29, 2015 10.1186/s12968-015-0111-7
10. Wilkinson IB, Fuchs SA, Jansen IM, Spratt JC, Murray GD, Cockcroft JR, Webb DJ: Reproducibility of pulse wave velocity and augmentation index measured by pulse wave analysis. *J Hypertens*, 16: 2079-2084, 1998 10.1097/00004872-199816121-00033
 11. Savage MT, Ferro CJ, Pinder SJ, Tomson CR: Reproducibility of derived central arterial waveforms in patients with chronic renal failure. *Clin Sci (Lond)*, 103: 59-65, 2002 10.1042/cs1030059
 12. Bhuya AN, Bai W, Lau C, Davies RH, Ye Y, Bulluck H, McAlindon E, Culotta V, Swoboda PP, Captur G, Treibel TA, Augusto JB, Knott KD, Seraphim A, Cole GD, Petersen SE, Edwards NC, Greenwood JP, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Hughes AD, Rueckert D, Moon JC, Manisty CH: A Multicenter, Scan-Rescan, Human and Machine Learning cardiac magnetic resonance Study to Test Generalizability and Precision in Imaging Biomarker Analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*, 12: e009214, 2019 10.1161/circimaging.119.009214
 13. Moody WE, Edwards NC, Chue CD, Taylor RJ, Ferro CJ, Townend JN, Steeds RP: Variability in cardiac MR measurement of left ventricular ejection fraction, volumes and mass in healthy adults: defining a significant change at 1 year. *Br J Radiol*, 88: 20140831, 2015 10.1259/bjr.20140831
 14. Briet M, Schiffrin EL: Aldosterone: effects on the kidney and cardiovascular system. *Nat Rev Nephrol*, 6: 261-273, 2010 10.1038/nrneph.2010.30
 15. Pitt B, White H, Nicolau J, Martinez F, Gheorghiane M, Aschermann M, van Veldhuisen DJ, Zannad F, Krum H, Mukherjee R, Vincent J: Eplerenone reduces mortality 30 days after randomization following acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 46: 425-431, 2005 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.04.038
 16. Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ, Cody R, Castaigne A, Perez A, Palensky J, Wittes J: The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med*, 341: 709-717, 1999 10.1056/nejm199909023411001
 17. Pitt B, Reichel N, Willenbrock R, Zannad F, Phillips RA, Roniker B, Kleiman J, Krause S, Burns D, Williams GH: Effects of eplerenone, enalapril, and eplerenone/enalapril in patients with essential hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy: the 4E-left ventricular hypertrophy study. *Circulation*, 108: 1831-1838, 2003 10.1161/01.Cir.0000091405.00772.6e
 18. Ruilope LM, Agarwal R, Anker SD, Bakris GL, Filippatos G, Nowack C, Kolkhof P, Joseph A, Mentenich N, Pitt B: Design and Baseline Characteristics of the Finerenone in Reducing Cardiovascular Mortality and Morbidity in Diabetic Kidney Disease Trial. *Am J Nephrol*, 50: 345-356, 2019 10.1159/000503712
 19. Levy D, Garrison RJ, Savage DD, Kannel WB, Castelli WP: Prognostic implications of echocardiographically determined left ventricular mass in the Framingham Heart Study. *N Engl J Med*, 322: 1561-1566, 1990 10.1056/nejm199005313222203
 20. Vakili BA, Okin PM, Devereux RB: Prognostic implications of left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am Heart J*, 141: 334-341, 2001 10.1067/mhj.2001.113218
 21. Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Harnett JD, Kent GM, Murray DC, Barré PE: The prognostic importance of left ventricular geometry in uremic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 5: 2024-2031, 1995
 22. Zoccali C, Benedetto FA, Mallamaci F, Tripepi G, Giacone G, Stancanelli B, Cataliotti A, Malatino LS: Left ventricular mass monitoring in the follow-up of dialysis patients:

- prognostic value of left ventricular hypertrophy progression. *Kidney Int*, 65: 1492-1498, 2004 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00530.x
23. Schillaci G, Verdecchia P, Porcellati C, Cuccurullo O, Cosco C, Perticone F: Continuous relation between left ventricular mass and cardiovascular risk in essential hypertension. *Hypertension*, 35: 580-586, 2000 10.1161/01.hyp.35.2.580
 24. Devereux RB, Wachtell K, Gerds E, Boman K, Nieminen MS, Papademetriou V, Rokkedal J, Harris K, Aurup P, Dahlöf B: Prognostic significance of left ventricular mass change during treatment of hypertension. *Jama*, 292: 2350-2356, 2004 10.1001/jama.292.19.2350
 25. Verdecchia P, Schillaci G, Borgioni C, Ciucci A, Gattobigio R, Zampi I, Reboldi G, Porcellati C: Prognostic significance of serial changes in left ventricular mass in essential hypertension. *Circulation*, 97: 48-54, 1998 10.1161/01.cir.97.1.48
 26. Badve SV, Palmer SC, Strippoli GFM, Roberts MA, Teixeira-Pinto A, Boudville N, Cass A, Hawley CM, Hiremath SS, Pascoe EM, Perkovic V, Whalley GA, Craig JC, Johnson DW: The Validity of Left Ventricular Mass as a Surrogate End Point for All-Cause and Cardiovascular Mortality Outcomes in People With CKD: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 68: 554-563, 2016 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.03.418
 27. London GM, Pannier B, Guerin AP, Blacher J, Marchais SJ, Darne B, Metivier F, Adda H, Safar ME: Alterations of left ventricular hypertrophy in and survival of patients receiving hemodialysis: follow-up of an interventional study. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 12: 2759-2767, 2001
 28. Edwards NC, Hirth A, Ferro CJ, Townend JN, Steeds RP: Subclinical abnormalities of left ventricular myocardial deformation in early-stage chronic kidney disease: the precursor of uremic cardiomyopathy? *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*, 21: 1293-1298, 2008 10.1016/j.echo.2008.09.013
 29. Edwards NC, Moody WE, Yuan M, Hayer MK, Ferro CJ, Townend JN, Steeds RP: Diffuse interstitial fibrosis and myocardial dysfunction in early chronic kidney disease. *Am J Cardiol*, 115: 1311-1317, 2015 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.02.015
 30. Park M, Hsu CY, Li Y, Mishra RK, Keane M, Rosas SE, Dries D, Xie D, Chen J, He J, Anderson A, Go AS, Shlipak MG: Associations between kidney function and subclinical cardiac abnormalities in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 23: 1725-1734, 2012 10.1681/asn.2012020145
 31. Taler SJ, Agarwal R, Bakris GL, Flynn JT, Nilsson PM, Rahman M, Sanders PW, Textor SC, Weir MR, Townsend RR: KDOQI US commentary on the 2012 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for management of blood pressure in CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis*, 62: 201-213, 2013 10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.03.018
 32. Simpson HJ, Gandy SJ, Houston JG, Rajendra NS, Davies JI, Struthers AD: Left ventricular hypertrophy: reduction of blood pressure already in the normal range further regresses left ventricular mass. *Heart*, 96: 148-152, 2010 10.1136/hrt.2009.177238
 33. Moody WE, Ferro CJ, Townend JN: SPRINTing towards trials of blood pressure reduction to reduce CKD progression? *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*, 2: 229-230, 2016 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcw035
 34. Cheung AK, Rahman M, Reboussin DM, Craven TE, Greene T, Kimmel PL, Cushman WC, Hawfield AT, Johnson KC, Lewis CE, Oparil S, Rocco MV, Sink KM, Whelton PK, Wright JT, Jr., Basile J, Beddhu S, Bhatt U, Chang TI, Chertow GM, Chonchol M, Freedman BI, Haley W, Ix JH, Katz LA, Killeen AA, Papademetriou V, Ricardo AC, Servilla K, Wall B, Wolfgram D, Yee J: Effects of Intensive BP Control in CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 28: 2812-2823, 2017 10.1681/asn.2017020148

35. Malhotra R, Nguyen HA, Benavente O, Mete M, Howard BV, Mant J, Odden MC, Peralta CA, Cheung AK, Nadkarni GN, Coleman RL, Holman RR, Zanchetti A, Peters R, Beckett N, Staessen JA, Ix JH: Association Between More Intensive vs Less Intensive Blood Pressure Lowering and Risk of Mortality in Chronic Kidney Disease Stages 3 to 5: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*, 177: 1498-1505, 2017 10.1001/jamainternmed.2017.4377
36. Rahman M, Pressel S, Davis BR, Nwachuku C, Wright JT, Jr., Whelton PK, Barzilay J, Batuman V, Eckfeldt JH, Farber M, Henriquez M, Kopyt N, Louis GT, Saklayen M, Stanford C, Walworth C, Ward H, Wiegmann T: Renal outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients treated with an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or a calcium channel blocker vs a diuretic: a report from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). *Arch Intern Med*, 165: 936-946, 2005 10.1001/archinte.165.8.936
37. Bolignano D, Palmer SC, Navaneethan SD, Strippoli GF: Aldosterone antagonists for preventing the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*: Cd007004, 2014 10.1002/14651858.CD007004.pub3
38. Currie G, Taylor AH, Fujita T, Ohtsu H, Lindhardt M, Rossing P, Boesby L, Edwards NC, Ferro CJ, Townend JN, van den Meiracker AH, Saklayen MG, Oveisi S, Jardine AG, Delles C, Preiss DJ, Mark PB: Effect of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists on proteinuria and progression of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Nephrol*, 17: 127, 2016 10.1186/s12882-016-0337-0
39. Ng KP, Arnold J, Sharif A, Gill P, Townend JN, Ferro CJ: Cardiovascular actions of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in patients with chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst*, 16: 599-613, 2015 10.1177/1470320315575849
40. Yang CT, Kor CT, Hsieh YP: Long-Term Effects of Spironolactone on Kidney Function and Hyperkalemia-Associated Hospitalization in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. *J Clin Med*, 7, 2018 10.3390/jcm7110459
41. Agarwal R, Rossignol P, Romero A, Garza D, Mayo MR, Warren S, Ma J, White WB, Williams B: Patiromer versus placebo to enable spironolactone use in patients with resistant hypertension and chronic kidney disease (AMBER): a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, 394: 1540-1550, 2019 10.1016/s0140-6736(19)32135-x

Table 1. Treatment Modification for Potassium, eGFR and Sodium levels

Result	Action
Potassium (mmol/L)	
Subjects on chlorthalidone	
>3.5	No action
3.0-3.5	Remeasure serum potassium in 2 weeks. Dietary advice
<3.0	Stop drug
Subjects on spironolactone	
5.5-5.9	Reduce to alternate days
6.0-6.5	Stop for 1 week and recommence on alternate day if potassium <5mmol/L on repeat check
>6.5	Stop trial drug
eGFR reduction (%)	
<25	No action
25-30	Stop trial drug and restart after one week on alternate days
>30	Stop trial drug
Sodium (mmol/L)	
>135	No action
135-130	Re-measure serum sodium in 2 weeks
<125	Stop trial drug

Table 2. Baseline Patient Clinical Characteristics

	Spirolactone (n=77)	Chlorthalidone (n=77)
Age (yrs.)	57 (14)	56 (15)
Male, n (%)	53 (69)	53 (69)
BMI	29.5 (5.0)	27.6 (3.8)
Smoking		
Never smoked	37 (48)	37 (48)
Ex-Smoker	35 (46)	29 (38)
Current smoker	5 (6)	11 (14)
Office systolic BP (mmHg)	134 (14)	135 (14)
Office diastolic BP (mmHg)	80 (10)	81 (9)
Heart rate (bpm)	72 (14)	71 (12)
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.45 (0.46)	1.32 (0.35)
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)	52 (16)	57 (15)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.6 (1.3)	14.6 (1.6)
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	191.4 (37.9)	183.7 (40.4)
Serum potassium (mmolmeq/l)	4.4 (0.4)	4.5 (0.3)
Number of patients on treatment with:		
ACE inhibitors	42 (55)	43 (56)
Angiotensin-receptor blockers	35 (45)	34 (44)
Beta-blockers	13 (17)	13 (17)
Calcium-channel blockers	33 (43)	23 (30)
Alpha blockers	13 (17)	6 (8)
Statins	31 (40)	35 (45)
Etiology of kidney disease: n (%)		
Known pathology	60 (78)	59 (77)
Primary glomerulonephritis	29 (38)	20 (26)
Interstitial nephropathies	5 (6)	10 (13)
Hereditary nephropathy	15 (19)	16 (21)
Kidney vascular disease	2 (3)	4 (5)
Hypertensive nephropathy	4 (5)	3 (4)
Secondary glomerulonephritis	0	3 (4)
Other multi system disease	2 (3)	2 (3)
Other	3 (4)	1 (1)
Cardiovascular history: n (%)		
Previous myocardial infarction	1 (1)	4 (5)
Previous hypertension	67 (87)	64 (83)
Previous stroke	1 (1)	1 (1)

Commented [MOU8]: Please add units

Commented [CJASN9]: Please present data as whole numbers.

Values are mean ± standard deviation for continuous data. Categorical data is number (percentage).

ACE; angiotensin converting enzyme; BMI; Body mass index; diastolic BP; diastolic blood pressure; eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, systolic BP; Systolic blood pressure.

Table 3. Treatment Effects on Outcome Measures.

	Spironolactone		Chlorthalidone		Adjusted effect difference (95% CI)	P value
	Week 0	Week 40	Week 0	Week 40		
Primary outcome variable						
Left ventricular mass (g)	131 (28)	124 (24)	124 (33)	122 (37)	-3.8 (-8.1, 0.5)	0.08
Change in LV mass	-	-9 (11)	-	-4 (12)		
Left ventricular mass index (g/m ²)	66 (12)	62 (11)	64 (14)	63 (15)	-1.5 (-3.8, 0.7)	0.2
Change in LV mass index	-	-4 (6)	-	-2 (7)		
Secondary outcome variables						
cfPWV (ms)	7.4 (1.8)	7.3 (1.9)	7.6 (2.2)	7.50 (2.0)	0.04 (-0.4, 0.5)	0.9
Change in cfPWV	-	0.02 (1.3)	-	-0.16 (1.5)		
Serum potassium	4.4 (0.4)	4.6 (0.4)	4.5 (0.3)	4.2 (0.4)	0.45 (0.32, 0.58)	<0.01
Change in serum potassium	-	0.2 (0.5)	-	-0.3 (0.4)		
Office systolic BP (mmHg)	134 (11)	124 (15)	136 (14)	127 (14)	-3 (-7, 2)	0.3
Change in systolic BP	-	-10 (15)	-	-9 (16)		
Office diastolic BP (mmHg)	82 (8)	76 (11)	81 (10)	78 (8)	-2 (-5, 0)	0.1
Change in diastolic BP	-	-6 (9)	-	-3 (10)		
Left ventricular function (strain %)	-15.9 (2.1)	-15.8 (2.5)	-15.6 (2.7)	-15.4 (2.5)	0.05 (-0.9-1.0)	0.9
Change in strain	-	-0.1 (2.3)	-	-0.2 (2.6)		
eGFR	52 (16)	50 (17)	57 (15)	53 (17)	2 (-1, 4)	0.3
Change in eGFR	-	-2 (8)	-	-5 (8)		
Exploratory outcomes						
aPWV (m/s)	7.2 (1.8)	7.4 (1.9)	7.5 (2.2)	7.6 (1.9)	0.03 (-0.4, 0.4)	0.9
AIx @75 (%)	23.3 (11.3)	24.0 (11.2)	25.8 (10.8)	23.5 (11.6)	1.9 (-0.8, 4.7)	0.2
24h Central systolic BP (mmHg) †	116 (9)	111 (11)	117 (13)	110 (12)	2 (-2, 6)	0.3
24h Central diastolic BP (mmHg) †	80 (8)	77 (9)	81 (10)	76 (9)	1 (-1, 4)	0.3

24h Peripheral systolic BP (mmHg)	127 (11)	122 (13)	128 (13)	121 (14)	2 (-2, 6)	0.3
24h Peripheral diastolic BP (mmHg)	79 (8)	75 (9)	80 (9)	75 (8)	1 (-1, 4)	0.3
UACR (mg/mmol)*	6 [1-39]	5 [2-18]	5 [2-49]	2 [1-17]	2 (1, 3)*	0.05
Left ventricular EF (%)	74 (6)	74 (7)	73 (6)	72 (7)	0 (-2, 2)	0.7
NTpro BNP (ng/L)*	74 [41-161]	78 [38-171]	114 [39-189]	66 [31-162]	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)*	0.2

Values are mean (standard deviation) for parametric data otherwise median and [interquartile range]. Significance $p < 0.05$; chlorthalidone is the reference group.

*Data were logged prior to analysis then exponentiated so the adjusted effect size for these outcomes is the ratio of geometric means.

†Blood pressure values given are derived from 24hr ambulatory blood pressure monitoring.

cfPWV; carotid-femoral pulse wave analysis, UACR; urinary albumin creatinine ratio. aPWV; Aortic pulse wave velocity adjusted mean systolic supine blood pressure. AIX@75; Augmentation index corrected for a heart rate of 75 beats per minute. Diastolic BP; Diastolic blood pressure. left ventricular EDV; Left ventricular end diastolic volume; left ventricular ESV; Left ventricular end systolic volume; LVEF; left ventricular ejection fraction; NT pro BNP; N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide. Systolic BP; Systolic blood pressure

Figure Legends

Figure 1. CONSORT diagram; Eligibility, Randomization and Follow-up

*Side effects leading to patient withdrawal of Spironolactone; Inflamed gums, symptomatic hyponatremia and worsening of polymyalgia rheumatica and back and leg pain. Side effects leading to patient withdrawal of Chlorthalidone; Dizziness and headache, too many unwanted side effects from being on irbesartan necessary for the trial, patient concern about kidney function.

Figure 2. Change in Left Ventricular Mass and Left Ventricular Mass Index in Patients Treated with Spironolactone and Chlorthalidone.

On intention to treat analysis there was no significant difference in either drug for change in left ventricular mass ($p=0.080$) or left ventricular mass indexed to BSA ($p=0.185$) using a linear regression model adjusted for minimization variables and baseline left ventricular mass.

Figure 3. Changes in Office Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure over follow up

Change in blood pressures associated with spironolactone (red) and chlorthalidone (blue) from initiation to end of treatment at week 40 followed by re-assessment 4 weeks after cessation of the trial drug. Data are mean and 95% CI

Figure 4. Change in Left Ventricular Mass and Change in 24 hour Ambulatory Systolic Blood Pressure After 40 Weeks of Treatment with Spironolactone or Chlorthalidone.

Supplemental material:

Table of Contents: Supplementary Appendix; Statistical Analysis

Commented [CJASN10]: Please note that supplementary material is not systematically proof-read during the editing process. Accurate and legible supplementary materials are the responsibility of the authors, and will not undergo further revision or formatting prior to publication.