

Values and clean sport

Mortimer, Hannah; Whitehead, Jean; Kavussanu, Maria; Gurpinar, Bahri; Ring, Chris

DOI:

doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1835221

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Mortimer, H, Whitehead, J, Kavussanu, M, Gurpinar, B & Ring, C 2020, 'Values and clean sport', Journal of Sports Sciences. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1835221

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an Accepted Manuscript version of the following article, accepted for publication in Journal of Sports Science. Hannah Mortimer, Jean Whitehead, Maria Kavussanu, Bahri Gürpinar & Christopher Ring (2020) Values and clean sport, Journal of Sports Sciences, DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2020.1835221. It is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
 •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 17. Apr. 2024

Journal of Sports Sciences Values and Clean Sport --Manuscript Draft--

Full Title:	Values and Clean Sport				
Manuscript Number:	RJSP-2020-0872R2				
Article Type:	Original Manuscript				
Keywords:	Anti-doping; Clean sport; spirit of sport; values				
Abstract:	The spirit of sport which encompasses intrinsic values associated with sport participation, is core to the World Anti-Doping Agency's (WADA) strategy for doping prevention. The contribution of these values in clean sport has yet to be established. In this study, athletes rated the importance of spirit of sport values (WADA, 2015) and sport values (Lee et al., 2000, 2008) and indicated their clean sport likelihood in a hypothetical scenario. Clean sport likelihood was positively predicted by the five spirit of sport values (ethics/fair play/honesty, respect for rules/laws, dedication/commitment, teamwork, community/solidarity), two sport value domains (morality, competence), and 11 sport values (contract maintenance, being fair, conscientiousness, sportspersonship, show skills, health/fitness, caring/compassion, team cohesion, achievement, tolerance, obedience). Clean sport likelihood was best predicted by moral values.				
Order of Authors:	Hannah Mortimer				
	Jean Whitehead				
	Maria Kavussanu				
	Bahri Gürpınar				
	Christopher Ring				
Response to Reviewers:	Robin Jackson BSS Associate Editor Journal of Sports Sciences Ref: Ms. No. RJSP-2020-0872R1 Title: Values and Clean Sport Dear Dr Jackson We would like to thank you for your guidance. Our revised manuscript is attached. Editor Reviewers have now commented on your paper. You will see that they are advising that the paper be accepted for publication. Before we can accept the paper, please provide details of your power analysis. *We have added our power analysis to the Participants section of our manuscript (P 9, L 5-8). Reviewer #2: The authors responded to all of my comments in an adequate way and I support publishing the manuscript in its current form. *Thank-you. Reviewer #4: Thank you for your diligence and willingness to take on suggestions from the Reviewers. I am satisfied with the additional information and changes made in response to my comments. *Thank-you Executive Editor:				

Please provide details of your power (or precision) analysis.

•See above

Manuscript - anonymous

Values and clean sport

KEYWORDS: doping; psychology; sport

ABSTRACT

1

13

2 The spirit of sport which encompasses intrinsic values associated with sport participation, is 3 core to the World Anti-Doping Agency's (WADA) strategy for doping prevention. The 4 contribution of these values in clean sport has yet to be established. In this study, athletes 5 rated the importance of spirit of sport values (WADA, 2015) and sport values (Lee et al., 6 2000, 2008) and indicated their clean sport likelihood in a hypothetical scenario. Clean sport likelihood was positively predicted by the five spirit of sport values (ethics/fair play/honesty, 7 8 respect for rules/laws, dedication/commitment, teamwork, community/solidarity), two sport 9 value domains (morality, competence), and II sport values (contract maintenance, being 10 fair, conscientiousness, sportspersonship, show skills, health/fitness, caring/compassion, team 11 cohesion, achievement, tolerance, obedience). Clean sport likelihood was best predicted by 12 moral values.

Introduction

1

2 The use of prohibited substances and methods to improve performance in sport, also 3 known as doping, constitutes a form of cheating by breaking the rules of sport to gain an 4 unfair advantage violates the spirit of sport (WADA, 2015) and personal moral standards 5 (e.g., Backhouse et al., 2016; Donovan et al., 2002; Engelberg et al., 2015; Murray, 2018). 6 Although doping has attracted attention from psychologists aiming to understand the 7 reasons why athletes intentionally use banned performance-enhancing substances and 8 methods (for reviews see Blank et al., 2016; Morente-Sanchez & Zabala, 2013; Ntoumanis et 9 al., 2014), there is limited research on doping in relation to values. Values, which act as 10 guiding principles in a person's life, are key elements of the spirit of sport (WADA, 2015) and 11 core components of recent clean sport educational programs, such as TrueSport (USADA, 12 2012), 100% ME (UKAD, 2018), and Sport Values in Every Classroom (WADA, 2019a). 13 However, the assumption that the spirit of sport construct and values are important for anti-14 doping and thus predict clean sport behavior is awaiting empirical support (for reviews see 15 Geeraets, 2017; Mazanov et al., 2019; Obasa & Bory, 2019; Ritchie, 2013). 16 17 Spirit of sport values 18 The desire to foster intrinsic values associated with participation in sport underpins 19 WADA's anti-doping strategy. The WADA Code 2015 (p. 14) states that "Anti-doping 20 programs seek to preserve what is intrinsically valuable about sport. This intrinsic value is often 21 referred to as the spirit of sport. ... The spirit of sport is reflected in values we find in and through 22 sport, including: ethics, fair play and honesty; health; excellence in performance; character and 23 education; fun and joy; teamwork; dedication and commitment; respect for rules and laws; respect 24 for self and other participants; courage; community and solidarity. Doping is fundamentally contrary 25 to the spirit of sport".

1 The spirit of sport is a cornerstone of anti-doping policy. The WADA Code 2015 (p. 30) 2 states "A substance or method shall be considered for inclusion on the Prohibited List if WADA 3 determines that the substance or method meets any two of the following three criteria" ... where 4 the third criterion is ... "use of the substance or method violates the spirit of sport". Despite the 5 prominence of the spirit of sport values in anti-doping policy, the importance of these values 6 to athletes and their relationships to clean (drug-free) sport have yet to be established (cf., 7 Mazanov & Huybers, 2016; Mazanov et al., 2019; Pugh & Pugh, 2020). Mazanov and 8 colleagues (Mazanov & Huybers, 2016; Mazanov, et al., 2019) have taken some preliminary 9 steps towards establishing how athletes and non-athletes understand the relative 10 importance of spirit of sport values to sport in general and the spirit of sport construct. 11 However, the relationship between the importance of spirit of sport values and clean sport 12 behavior has yet to be established. Accordingly, the current study was designed to shed light 13 on WADA's spirit of sport values in relation to athletes deciding whether to compete clean 14 (i.e., avoid using an illicit drug) in a situation with many incentives and no constraints. In 15 brief, we assessed the importance of spirit of sport values to athletes personally rather than 16 their perceived importance to sport in general (cf. Mazanov & Huybers, 2016; Mazanov, et 17 al., 2019). 18 19 Sport values 20 We also examined the relationship between spirit of sport values and Lee's sport values 21 (Lee & Cockman, 1995; Lee, et al., 2000, 2008) which are based on the Schwartz (1992) 22 theory of human values. Schwartz's (1992) theory of universal human values built on

4

Rokeach (1973), who identified personal values as an individual's central beliefs about which

goals or modes of conduct are preferable to alternatives. Values transcend situations and

serve as judgment criteria to guide decisions. Rokeach described a value system as the

23

24

- 1 hierarchy of the relative importance of an individual's values on a continuum. Hence
- 2 personal values are the appropriate variables to examine in studies of behavior because they
- 3 prioritize decisions. They are likely to be related to clean sport because they will set the
- 4 priorities for values, such as winning and fairness, which influence decision-making of
- 5 competitors.
- 6 Lee and Cockman (1995) identifyied 18 discrete personal values that were spontaneously
- 7 expressed by young competitors in discussions of three moral dilemmas in their own sport
- 8 (football or tennis). Lee et al (2000) then employed qualitative methods to select suitable
- 9 proxy items for these values and ensure that they were comprehensible. They constructed
- 10 the Youth Sport Value Questionnaire (YSVQ) to assess the value system of young
- 11 competitors across age, gender and sport type. A Canadian replication (MacLean & Hamm,
- 12 2008), which included an older sample, confirmed that the set of items was comprehensive.
- 13 International replication confirmed broad consistency at the extremes of the hierarchy with
- 14 national variation in the intermediate ranks (Gonçalves & Whitehead, 2013). In the present
- study our measurement of these 18 individual sport values supplements our measurement
- of the II individual spirit of sport values.
- 17 The Youth Sport Values Questionnaire-2 (YSVQ-2) was developed by Lee et al (2008)
- identified three higher order sport value domains: moral values (fairness, helpfulness,
- 19 contract maintenance, obedience, sportspersonship), competence values (achievement,
- showing skill, self-direction), and status values (winning, superiority, leadership, public
- 21 image). This model demonstrated a good confirmatory factor analysis fit with factor
- invariance across gender and nations (Hatzigeorgiadis & Whitehead (2013). Lee et al (2008)
- 23 found that moral sport values negatively predicted attitudes to cheating and gamesmanship.
- 24 This key finding has been replicated many times (Ádell, et al., 2019; Chan et al, 2013; Fukami
- et al, 2012; Gymnopoulou & Vatali, 2010; Lucidi et al, 2017; Stupuris, et al., 2013). Moral

- 1 sport values have also predicted other moral variables, including moral disengagement
- 2 (Šukys & Jansonienė, 2012), antisocial behaviour (Koumeli & Vitali, 2011; Šukys, 2010), and
- 3 observed cheating behaviour (Lucidi et al, 2017) in sport.
- 4 No studies, to our knowledge, have examined the relationship between sport values and
- 5 clean sport. However, there is preliminary evidence tha non-sport moral values are
- 6 negatively associated with doping likelihood (Ring & Hurst, 2019; Ring, Kavussanu, &
- 7 Gürpınar, 2020; Ring, Kavussanu, & Mazanov, 2019). Moreover, the values of respect for
- 8 rules/officials and social conventions in sport values are negatively associated with doping
- 9 behavior (Donahue et al., 2006) and intention (Barkoukis et al., 2011). Hence, we expected
- moral values in sport to be positively associated with clean sport.

- Clean sport
- 13 It has been argued that the anti-doping deterrence approach cannot eliminate doping
- 14 (Bowers & Paternoster, 2016), and, instead, anti-doping programs should adopt a
- preventative approach and promote healthy behavior and competition (e.g., Englar-Carlson
- et al., 2016). A positive approach to prevention is an emerging theme in the anti-doping
- strategies of national and international organizations (WADA, 2015). For instance, the
- 18 TrueSport program is a values-based educational program, undergirded by three core
- 19 principles (character building, sportsmanship, clean and healthy performance) and five values
- 20 (integrity, respect, courage, responsibility, teamwork), that seeks to promote a positive
- sport experience (USADA, 2012). Similarly, the 100% Me program is a values-based
- 22 educational program, grounded on five values (integrity, respect, determination, enjoyment,
- passion), that seeks to promote clean sport (UKAD, 2018).
- 24 Most psychosocial research on doping in sport has focused on identifying predictors of
- doping (Blank et al., 2016; Morente-Sanchez & Zabala, 2013; Ntoumanis et al., 2014).

1 Surprisingly, only a handful of studies (e.g., Bowers & Paternoster, 2016; Chan, Hardcastle,

et al., 2015; Chan, Lentillon-Kaestner, et al., 2015; Englar-Carlson et al., 2016) have

3 investigated the role of psychosocial factors in relation to doping avoidance, or the active

non-use of doping substances and methods when competing in sport. These studies thus

represent agentive non-doping. A similar construct is that of clean sport.

A definition of clean sport has yet to be agreed upon by the anti-doping community.

According to New Zealand's anti-doping agency "clean sport means athletes: compete on a

level playing field; are rewarded for their hard-work, talent and skills; value the spirit of sport;

respect and look after their bodies and their health; follow the anti-doping rules; and understand the

importance of a drug testing regime to catch cheats and protect clean athletes" (Drug Free Sport

New Zealand, 2020). The United States' anti-doping agency states that "clean competition

means reaching athletic potential through proper training, nutrition and rest, not through powders,

pills and energy drinks" (USADA, 2012). Finally, the Clean Sport Alliance (2019) defines clean

sport as "values: competing with integrity, morality, honesty and fairness". It is reasonable to

assume that anti-doping programs, policies and practices can be helped by evidence about

what underpins clean sport for athletes. In this study, we focused on investigating the extent

to which the likelihood that athletes would compete clean in a tempting hypothetical

situation was related to their values.

Present study

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The policies and practices of international and national anti-doping agencies afford values a

key role in their anti-doping activities and programs. Currently, there is no empirical

evidence linking the spirit of sport values with clean sport. Fortunately, it is possible to draw

upon evidence that links sport values to other forms of unethical thoughts and actions, such

as attitudes to cheating. Based on this literature, it may be expected that the spirit of sport

1 values will be linked with decision to compete clean and reject drug use (i.e., clean sport

2 likelihood).

4

5

7

9

10

The present study is the first, to our knowledge, to investigate the role of spirit of sport

and sport values in relation to clean sport. We asked athletes to rate the importance of

WADA's spirit of sport values as a guiding principle in their life as an athlete, rate the

6 importance of Lee's (2000, 2008) sport values, and rate their likelihood of competing clean

in sport. It had three purposes. The first study purpose was to examine the relationships

8 between the spirit of sport values and clean sport likelihood. The second study purpose was

to examine the relationships between sport values and clean sport likelihood. The third

study purpose was to evaluate the extent of the relationship between the spirit of sport

11 construct and the sport value domain constructs (i.e., convergent validity).

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Method

Partici pants

Participants were 233 (91 males, 139 females) university athletes competing in team (n =

185, 79%) and individual (n = 48, 21%) sports in the UK. The team sports included American

football, basketball, cricket, football and netball, whereas the individual sports included

athletics, badminton, martial arts and swimming. At the time of data collection, the athletes,

who were aged between 18 and 25 years, had competed in their sport for 8.95 (SD = 7.46)

years. Their highest ever competitive standard in their sport was international (7%), national

(12%), regional (29%), club (43%), and university (17%).

Participants were recruited from sport clubs at the University of Birmingham, UK. We

chose to sample university student-athletes since substance use in this population is now

widely recognized (e.g., Erickson et al., 2019; Yusko et al., 2008). In the UK, these athletes

compete in events organized by the British Universities and Colleges Sport (BUCS), a

- 1 UKAD- and WADA- compliant organization. For instance, UKAD manages the Clean Sport
- 2 Accreditation for Universities, organizes anti-doping controls at BUCS events, and trains
- 3 anti-doping educators who provide Clean Sport education for student athletes at British
- 4 universities.
- 5 The GPower 3.1.5 (Faul et al., 2007) software indicated that with a sample size of 233,
- our study was powered at .80 to detect significant (p < .05) relationships between values
- 7 and clean sport likelihood using Pearson correlation analyses corresponding to a small-to-
- 8 medium (r = .19) effect size (Cohen, 1992).

Measures

Clean sport likelihood. Clean sport likelihood was measured using a hypothetical scenario describing key temptations to dope identified by previous research (e.g., Huybers, & Mazanov, 2012; Ring, et al., 2018, 2019a; Strelan & Boeckmann, 2006). Participants were presented with the following description: "Imagine that you are an athlete who is due to compete in the most important competition in your sport (e.g. Olympic Games, World Cup, Major Tournament). Winning the competition and being recognized as the most valuable competitor at the event will earn you great fame and fortune (e.g. cash, sponsorship, endorsements, TV deals, awards, book deals, publicity, public adoration), making you the most important athlete of your generation. The only way to make this happen is to take a magic pill that will make you perform like a superhuman athlete during the competition. You should also know that use of this illicit drug will never be detected and will never have any health side effects." Participants were then asked "how likely are you to compete clean (i.e., drug free)" and provided a rating on a 7-point scale anchored by I (not at all likely) and 7 (very likely), and "how probable is it that you would compete clean (i.e., drug free) and provided a rating on a 7-point scale anchored by I (not at all probable) and 7 (very probable). We used two ratings to increase measurement

- 1 reliability. The two ratings, which were positively correlated (r = .85, p < .001), were
- 2 averaged (α = .92), to provide a measure of clean sport likelihood.
- 3 Spirit of sport values. The importance of the spirit of sport values was measured by
- 4 presenting participants with WADA's (2015) 11 spirit of sport values: ethics, fair play and
- 5 honesty; health; excellence in performance; character and education; fun and joy; teamwork;
- 6 dedication and commitment; respect for rules and laws; respect for self and other participants;
- 7 courage; community and solidarity. They were instructed to "Read each value and think about
- 8 how important it is to you in competitive sport. Rate the importance of each value as a
- 9 guiding principle in your life as an athlete". They rated the importance of each value on a 9-
- point scale, with anchors of -I (opposed to my values), 0 (not important), 5 (important), 6
- (very important), and 7 (of supreme importance). This type of asymmetrical scale, which is
- recommended by Schwartz (1992) for measuring the importance of personal values,²
- because it allows for disagreement with some values, has been used by values researchers to
- assess the importance of individual values (e.g., Lee et al., 2000). The use of this scale also
- facilitated a comparison of the importance of personal values drawn from both spirit of sport
- and sport contexts. We computed the mean of the II ratings as measure of the importance
- of the spirit of sport values ($\alpha = .82$).
- Sport values. Portrait versions of the Youth Sport Values Questionnaire (YSVQ, Lee, et
- 19 al., 2000) and Youth Sport Values Questionnaire-2 (YSVQ-2; Lee, et al., 2008) were used to
- 20 measure 18 individual sport values and 3 sport value domains, respectively. The portrait
- scale format was developed by Schwartz to measure values more concretely and easily
- 22 (Roccas, Sagiv, & Navon, 2017). Participants were presented with descriptions of the values
- of different athletes and told to think about how much they were or were not like them.
- 24 They were asked to rate descriptions (e.g., "It is important to them that they win or beat other
- 25 people", "It is important to them that they try to be fair", "It is important to them that they improve

- their performance"), using a 6-point scale, anchored by I (not like me at all) and 6 (very much
- 2 like me). The items were phrased in the third person in a portrait format as used by
- 3 Schwartz (2012) to measure basic values indirectly. The YSVQ comprises single items
- 4 measuring 18 discrete values whereas the YSVQ-2 comprises 13 items measuring three
- 5 value domains: competence, moral, and status. In the present study, alpha coefficients for
- 6 the competence, moral and status value domains were .75, .78 and .59, respectively.

8

Procedure

- 9 Participants were informed about the study, and told that participation was voluntary,
- 10 honesty in responses was vital, and data would be confidential. After consenting, they
- 11 completed the measures using an online survey to ensure anonymity.

12

13

Data Analysis

- 14 Pearson correlations examined the relations between values and clean sport likelihood. The
- 15 correlation coefficient, r, was reported as the effect size, with .10, .30 and .50 reflecting
- small, medium and large associations (Cohen, 1992). Analysis of variance (ANOVA), with
- value as the within-participant factor, compared the importance of the different values
- within each measurement context (i.e., spirit of sport, sport). Partial eta-squared (η_p^2) was
- reported as the effect size, with .02, .13, and .25 reflecting small, medium and large effects
- 20 (Cohen, 1992). We report the multivariate solution to the ANOVAs. Significant effects
- were followed by post hoc comparisons (t tests). An effect was considered significant when
- 22 p < .05.

23

24

Results

Our first study purpose was to examine the relationships between the spirit of sport values

2 and clean sport likelihood. Pearson correlations showed that the composite spirit of sport

3 construct was positively related to clean sport likelihood, with a small effect size (**Table 1**).³

Correlations involving the individual spirit of sport values (**Table 2**) indicated that five

individual values were positively associated with clean sport likelihood. The effect sizes of

the relationships were: medium for ethics/fair play/honesty; and small for respect for

rules/laws, dedication/commitment, teamwork, and community/solidarity. It is worth noting

that three of the four most and least important values were dissociated from the decision to

compete clean. Instead, it tended to be the spirit of sport values that were of middlemost

importance (i.e., ethics/fair play/honesty, respect for rules/laws) that were most closely

associated with clean sport likelihood.

Our second study purpose was to examine the relationships between sport values and clean sport likelihood. Pearson correlations showed that moral values were positively related (medium effect), competence values were positively related (small effect), and status values were unrelated (no effect) to clean sport likelihood (**Table I**). Correlations involving the individual sport values (**Table 3**) revealed that I I values were positively associated with clean sport likelihood. The effect sizes of the relationships were: medium for contract maintenance and being fair; and small for conscientiousness, sportspersonship, show skills, health/fitness, caring/compassion, team cohesion and achievement, tolerance, and obedience.

Our third study purpose was to investigate the convergent validity of the *spirit of sport* construct by examining its relationship with sport values (**Table I**). Pearson correlations indicated that the *spirit of sport* construct was positively related with all three sport value domains, with the effects sizes being medium for moral and competence values but small for status values.

Discussion

- 2 Our study investigated whether spirit of sport values and sport values were associated with
- 3 clean (drug-free) sport likelihood. Our purposes were to examine the relationships between
- 4 spirit of sport values, sport values, and clean sport likelihood.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

Spirit of sport values

Our first study purpose was to examine the relationship between the spirit of sport and clean sport likelihood. The spirit of sport was positively associated with clean sport likelihood with a small effect size, thereby providing some, albeit limited, support for the predictive validity of the spirit of sport as a construct. To explore this issue further we examined the individual values that make up the spirit of sport, and found that only five spirit of sport values were associated with higher clean sport likelihood; most were unrelated to clean sport likelihood. The top five spirit of sport values, in terms of rank order, were ethics/fair play/honesty, respect for rules/laws, dedication/commitment, teamwork, and community/solidarity. Exploratory factor analysis of the spirit of sport values revealed that a moral factor showed a stronger, albeit still small, correlation with clean sport likelihood than the composite construct (see Supplementary Material). Notably, only a small proportion of variance in clean sport likelihood was accounted for by the composite spirit of sport construct (r = .18; 3.2%), but more was explained by a moral factor within the data (r = .22; 4.8%), and the largest proportion was accounted for by the individual value concerning ethics, honesty, fairplay (r = .29; 8.4%). These data indicate that it is a mistake to assume that a global constellation of values (i.e., WADA's 11 spirit of sport values), with their mixed motivational content, will have a specific influence on clean sport likelihood. In sum, our findings show that clean sport programs should target moral values.

1 The spirit of sport and some intrinsic values of sport, adopted from the Canadian Centre 2 for Ethics in Sport and incorporated into WADA's Anti-Doping Code in 2003, continue to 3 be used by WADA to classify anti-doping violations (Ritchie, 2014). Research has 4 demonstrated that the relative importance of the individual values to the spirit of sport 5 construct varies across competitive standards and countries (Mazanov & Huybers, 2016; 6 Mazanov et al., 2019). They found that the three most important values were ethics/fair 7 play/honesty, respect for self and others, and teamwork, whereas the three least important 8 values were courage, performance excellence, and character and education. It is worth 9 noting that their rankings are broadly consistent with the rankings concerning the relative 10 importance of spirit of sport values to the life of an athlete (see **Table 2**). 11 Notably, the current study showed that only a small selection of individual spirit of sport 12 values were related to clean sport. Specifically, the most relevant spirit of sport values for 13 clean sport were those comprising ethics/fair play/honesty, respect for rules/laws (cf. 14 Barkoukis et al., 2011; Donahue et al., 2006), and dedication/commitment, all of which 15 capture the importance of moral foundations (Graham et al., 2011; Hofman et al., 2014) for 16 the athletes. Accordingly, our findings are compatible with the argument that the decision to 17 compete clean is a moral one, and, therefore, for this reason, we conclude that moral values

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

Sport values

are most important for clean sport.

Our second study purpose was to examine the relationship between sport values and clean sport likelihood. At the level of the sport domains, the moral (15%) and competence (4%) values accounted for a cumulative 19% of variance in clean sport likelihood. At the level of individual values, the strongest two relationships with clean sport were for morally-relevant values: contract maintenance and being fair. These values had middle ranks in the sport value

- system. As with the spirit of sport values, these findings are consistent with our expectation
- 2 that moral values would relate to clean sport likelihood. In line with the definition of clean
- 3 sport as competing with integrity, morality, honesty and fairness (Clean Sport Alliance,
- 4 2019), the current findings suggest that the decision to avoid drugs and compete clean is a
- 5 choice informed by moral values.
- The hierarchy of individual sport values (**Table 3**) resembles those reported by Lee and
- 7 colleagues (Lee et al, 2000; Whitehead et al, 2013); the top three values (enjoyment,
- 8 achievement, sportspersonship) and the bottom two values (conformity, winning) are the
- 9 same. Indeed, studies have typically found agreement at the extremes of the hierarchy
- 10 coupled with variation in the intermediate ranks. In line with past evidence (Whitehead et
- al., 2013), our findings confirm that sport values are important to athletes, and, moreover,
- that moral and competence values are more important to athletes than status values. This
- greater importance ascribed to moral sport values may help explain why athletes are likely
- 14 to compete clean.
- We now interpret findings for the sport value domains in relation to the first test in
- sport of Katz's (1960) value-expressive theory of attitudes. Lee et al (2008) hypothesized that
- moral and competence values would predict prosocial attitudes of respect for sport
- 18 conventions and commitment to sport participation while moral values (negatively) and
- status values would predict antisocial attitudes to cheating and gamesmanship. Their path
- 20 model has generalized to other dependent variables. Our findings extend this model to
- 21 doping in sport.
- Our moral and competence sport values related directly to clean sport likelihood in line
- 23 with established relationships between moral and competence values, on the one hand, and
- 24 prosocial attitudes (Fukami et al, 2012; Lee et al, 2008; Whitehead, Lee, & Hatzigeorgiadis,
- 25 2002) and prosocial behavior (Sukys, 2010; Stupuris et al, 2013), on the other hand. These

- 1 findings suggest that sport values exert similar effects on clean sport and other forms of
- 2 proactive moral behavior in sport (see Kavussanu & Stanger, 2017).
- 3 The status values⁴ were not expected to relate to clean sport likelihood; this is because
- 4 they predict antisocial attitudes and should relate to doping rather than clean sport. The
- 5 initial finding that status values (positively) and moral values (negatively) predicted antisocial
- attitudes of cheating and gamesmanship has been replicated (Ádell, Castillo, & Alvarez, 2019;
- 7 Chan et al, 2013; Fukami et al, 2012; Gymnopoulou & Vatali, 2010; Lucidi et al, 2017;
- 8 Whitehead, Lee, & Hatzigeorgiadis, 2003).
- 9 Research should explore reasons why values exert their putative effect. Lee et al (2008)
- 10 found that the effects of competence and status values on prosocial and antisocial attitudes
- were mediated by task and ego orientation, respectively. The inclusion of these goal
- orientations not only raised the proportion of variance explained in prosocial attitudes but
- suggested a cognitive mechanism for the effect. That is, competence values, being self-
- referenced, could promote a task-oriented view of success which in turn promotes
- prosocial attitudes. Given that the proportion of variance in clean sport likelihood explained
- by moral values was modest, and that sport is an achievement situation, it would seem
- 17 appropriate to include task orientation along with prosocial attitudes in predictive models to
- 18 help explain clean sport likelihood.
- Value theory also gives guidance on salient variables to include in value-change
- interventions. The Schwartz (1992, 2012) circumplex model of value conflict maps intrinsic
- 21 conflicting and compatible relationships among human values. Bardi and Schwartz (2013)
- 22 explain how a conflict between the opposing values of winning and fairness may be
- 23 addressed by promoting a competence value which is both compatible with fairness and of
- 24 greater importance than winning in the competitor's value system.

Our third study purpose was to examine the relationship between the *spirit of sport*

construct and the sport value domains. This construct was related to all three sport value

domains, showing it to be a value construct with a somewhat generalized nature. Some

conceptually related individual values showed similar relationships with clean sport

likelihood. For instance, fun and joy (spirit of sport) and enjoyment (sport) showed a very low

relationship, while ethics, fair play, honesty (spirit of sport) and being fair (sport) had medium

relationships.

Implications for anti-doping

The current study found that WADA's *spirit of sport* values did not act as might have been anticipated, insofar as half of them did not relate to clean sport likelihood. However, in line with our own expectations, medium-sized relationships with clean sport likelihood were found for values with moral content. The implication for policy makers in anti-doping organizations is that their educational programs that seek to promote clean sport should identify and focus more on their values with moral content.

A number of national anti-doping organisations explicitly refer to values in their programs, however, it remains to be established whether the values they promote are related to clean sport likelihood. For instance, USADA's (2012) *TrueSport* program mentions respect, integrity, teamwork, courage and responsibility, UKAD's (2018) *100%* Me program mentions respect, integrity, passion, determination and enjoyment, and WADA's (2019a) *Sport Values in Every Classroom* program mentions respect, equity and inclusion.

Respect is mentioned in two of WADA's *spirit of sport* values: respect for rules and laws was positively related to clean sport likelihood, however, respect for self and others was not. Another couple of USADA's values are also *spirit of sport* values: teamwork was positively related whereas courage was unrelated to clean sport likelihood. Moreover,

to clean sport likelihood. It also is possible that the abovementioned anti-doping agencies'
stated values may be synonyms for either *spirit of sport* or sport values. However, this only
applies to two values. USADA's and UKAD's integrity, is a synonym for honesty and
character (two *spirit of sport* values), with only the former positively associated with clean
sport likelihood. Finally, UKAD's determination, a synonym for dedication and commitment
(a *spirit of sport* value), was positively associated to clean sport likelihood. In sum, our data

enjoyment (a sport value) and its synonym (fun and joy, a spirit of sport value) were unrelated

provide evidence for some but not all values highlighted by these anti-doping agencies.

9 Although values can play a role in the development of new clean sport programs, the selection of specific values to include could be improved by evidence concerning clean sport.

Limitations and future directions

The current findings provide novel insights into the nature of values in sport and their links with clean sport. Nonetheless, they should be interpreted in light of potential study limitations. First, we measured the importance of the *spirit of sport* and sport values in university athletes who are subject to anti-doping controls in their competitions. Although some of these athletes competed at international and national levels, it would be informative to replicate the current findings in top-level competitors, such as elite athletes in professional and non-professional sport, in a variety of countries and cultures (cf., Mazanov et al, 2019). It is possible that the hierarchies of both *spirit of sport* and sport values vary in elite and professional athletes, which, in turn, may influence the relative strength of the relationships between values and clean sport. Second, we only measured one aspect of clean sport, namely, the decision to avoid using a drug to improve performance in a hypothetical scenario and its relation with *spirit of sport* values. Research could assesses the importance of the many other features of clean sport articulated by anti-doping organizations (e.g., Drug

- 1 Free Sport New Zealand, 2020; USADA, 2012). Finally, we examined a range of values in
- 2 sport, however, we did not examine many of the values that have been adopted by sport
- 3 organizations. It would be interesting to examine the values of other sporting organizations,
- 4 such as national anti-doping organizations (e.g., UKAD, USADA,) and international sporting
- 5 agencies (e.g., WADA, IOC), to determine the extent to which their values relate to the
- 6 spirit of sport and clean sport.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Conclusion

9 Values-based education and doping prevention are key elements of WADA's (2019b)

International Standard for Education. Unfortunately, the evidence to guide and inform policy

and practice in doping prevention is scarce (e.g., Hurst et al., 2020). Accordingly, we sought

to improve understanding of the spirit of sport construct in relation to clean sport. We found

mixed evidence for the validity of the spirit of sport. The convergent validity of the spirit of

sport construct was supported by its positive correlations with moral and competence sport

values domains. Although the predictive validity of the spirit of sport construct was supported

by its small positive correlation with clean sport likelihood, it accounted for very little

variance in clean sport likelihood.⁵ Closer inspection of the individual spirit of sport values

indicated that the importance of ethics, fair play, honesty, respect, dedication and

commitment to athletes was able to predict proactive moral action (i.e., higher clean sport

likelihood) in the context of doping. Our findings argue that value-based anti-doping

educational interventions (WADA, 2019b) could benefit by promoting the importance of

moral values in athletes, especially honesty, respect and commitment from the spirit of sport,

together with key sport values, such as contract maintenance, fairness, conscientiousness,

and sportspersonship.

1 References

- Adell, F.L., Castillo, I., & Álvarez, O. (2019). Personal and sport values, goal orientations, and
- moral attitudes in youth basketball. Revista di Psicologia del Deporte/ Journal of Sport
- 4 Psychology, 28 (Suppl. 1), 100-106
- 5 Backhouse, S. H., Whitaker, L., Patterson, L., Erickson, K., & McKenna, J. (2016). Social
- 6 psychology of doping in sport: A mixed-studies narrative synthesis. WADA.
- 7 Bardi, A., & Schwarz, S. H. (2013). How does the value structure underlie value conflict? In:
- 8 J. Whitehead, H. Telfer, & J. Lambert (Eds). Values in youth sport and physical education.
- 9 (pp. 137-151). Routledge.
- 10 Barkoukis, V., Lazuras, L., Tsorbatzoudisa, H., & Rodafinois, A. (2011). Motivational and
- sportspersonship profiles of elite athletes in relation to doping behavior. Psychology of
- 12 Sport & Exercise, 12, 205-212.
- 13 Blank, C., Kopp, M., Niedermeier, M., Schnitzer, M., & Schobersberger, W. (2016).
- Predictors of doping intentions, susceptibility, and behaviour of elite athletes: A meta-
- analytic review. SpringerPlus, 5, 1333.
- Bowers, L., & Paternoster, R. (2016). Inhibiting doping in sports: deterrence is necessary,
- but not sufficient. Sport, Ethics & Philosophy, 11, 132-151.
- 18 Chan, D., Hardcastle, S., Dimmock, J., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., Donovan, R., Burgin, M., &
- 19 Hagger, M. (2015). Modal salient belief and social cognitive variables of anti-doping
- behaviors in sport: Examining an extended model of the theory of planned behavior.
- 21 Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 16, 164-174.
- 22 Chan, D., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., Dimmock, J., Donovan, R., Keatley, D., Hardcastle, S., &
- Hagger, M. (2015). Self-control self-regulation, and doping in sport: A test of the
- strength-energy model. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 37, 199-206.

- 1 Chan, Y., Whitehead, I., Hatieorgiadis A., & Chow, B. (2013). Sport values and ethical
- 2 attitudes in young Hong Kong golfers. Paper presented at the ISSP 13th Word Congress on
- 3 Sport Psychology. Beijing.
- 4 Clean Sport Alliance (2019). Clean sport insight forum. Retrieved from:
- 5 https://www.cleansportalliance.org/
- 6 Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 155-159.
- 7 Donahue, E.G., Miquelon, P., Valois, P., Goulet, C., Buist, A., & Vallerand, R.J. (2006). A
- 8 motivational model of performance-enhancing substance use in elite athletes. Journal of
- 9 Sport & Exercise Psychology, 28, 511-520.
- 10 Donovan, R.J., Egger, G., Kapernick, V., & Mendoza, J. (2002). A conceptual framework for
- achieving performance enhancing drug compliance in sport. Sports Medicine, 32, 269-
- 12 **284**.
- 13 Drug Free Sport New Zealand (2020). What is clean sport. Retrieved from
- 14 https://drugfreesport.org.nz /for-athletes/introduction-to-anti-doping/what-is-clean-
- 15 sport/
- 16 Engelberg, T., Moston, S., & Skinner, J. (2015). The final frontier of anti-doping: A study of
- 17 athletes who have committed doping violations. Sport Management Review, 18, 268-
- 18 **279**.
- 19 Englar-Carlson, M., Gleaves, J., Macedo, E., & Lee, H. (2016). What about the clean athletes?
- The need for positive psychology in anti-doping research. Performance Enhancement &
- 21 Health, 4, 116-122.
- 22 Erickson, K., Stanger, N., Patterson, L., & Backhouse, S.H. (2019). Substance use in university
- 23 sport: A cross-national study of student-athlete substance use behaviors and perceived
- responses to witnessing substance use. *Performance Enhancement & Health*, 7, 100151.

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical
- 2 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior
- 3 Research Methods, 39, 175-191.
- 4 Fukami, K., Kondo, A., Ishidate, K., Fukami, M., & Mizouochi, F. (2012). Social attitudes in
- 5 sport of high school students: verification of measurements- composition. *The Ohman*
- 6 Research Bulletin of Physical Education, Nihon University, 45, 1-8
- 7 Geeraets, V. (2017). Ideology, doping and the spirit of sport. Sport, Ethics & Philosophy, 12,
- 8 255-271.
- 9 Graham, J., Nosek, B. A., Haidt, J., Iyer, R., Koleva, S., & Ditto, P. H. (2011). Mapping the
- moral domain. Journal of Personality & Social Psychology, 101, 366-385.
- Gymnopoulou, V. & Vatali, D. (2009). Relationships among goal orientations and values in
- adolescent students. In: Proceedings of 10th Thematic Congress of Northern Greece Physical
- Education Teachers Association. (p. 53). Thessaloniki.
- Hofmann, W., Wisneski, D. C., Brandt, M. J., & Skitka, L. J. (2014). Morality in everyday life.
- 15 Science, 345, 1340-1343.
- Hurst, P., Ring, C., & Kavussanu, M. (2020). An evaluation of UK Athletics' Clean Sport
- intervention in preventing doping in junior elite athletes. Performance Enhancement &
- 18 Health, 7, 100155.
- 19 Huybers, T., & Mazanov, J. (2012). What would Kim do: A choice study of projected athlete
- doping considerations. *Journal of Sport Management*, 26, 322-334.
- 21 Katz, D. (1960). The functional study of attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 24, 163-204.
- 22 Kavussanu, M., & Stanger, N. (2017). Moral behaviour in sport. In: P. Beek, R. Oudejans, & V.
- Hutter (Eds). Special issue in sport psychology, current opinion in psychology, 16, 185-192.

- 1 Koumleli, X., & Vitali, D. (2011). Relationship among values and prosocial and antisocial
- behaviour in high school students. In: Proceedings of 10th Thematic Congress of Northern
- 3 Greece Physical Education Teachers Association. (p. 19). Thessaloniki,
- 4 Lee, M. J. (1996) Young people, sport and ethics: An examination of fairplay in sport. Sports
- 5 Council.
- 6 Lee, M. J., & Cockman, M. (1995). Values in children's sport: Spontaneously expressed values
- 7 among young athletes. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 30, 337-350.*
- 8 Lee, M. J., Whitehead, J., & Balchin, N. (2000). The measurement of values in youth sport:
- 9 Development of youth sport values questionnaire. Journal of Sport and Exercise
- 10 Psychology, 22, 307-326.
- Lee, M. J., Whitehead, J., Ntoumanis, N., & Hatzigeorgiadis, A. (2008). Relationships among
- values, achievement orientations, and attitudes in youth sport. Journal of Sport and
- 13 Exercise Psychology, 30, 588–610.
- Lucidi, F., Zelli, A., Mallia, L., Nicolais, G., Lazuras, L., & Hagger, M. S. (2017). Moral attitudes
- predict cheating and gamesmanship behaviors among competitive tennis players.
- 16 Frontiers in Psychology, 8.
- 17 Mazanov, J., & Huybers, T. (2016). Societal and athletes' perspectives on doping use in sport.
- In: V. Barkoukis, L. Lazuras, & H. Tsorbatzoudis (Eds), The psychology of doping in sport
- 19 (pp. 140-150). Routledge.
- 20 Mazanov, J., Huybers, T., & Barkoukis, V. (2019). Universalism and the spirit of sport:
- 21 Evidence from Greece and Australia. Sport in Society, 22, 1240-1257.
- 22 Morente-Sanchez. J., & Zabala, M. (2013). Doping in sport: a review of elite athletes'
- 23 attitudes, beliefs, and knowledge. Sports Medicine, 43, 395-411.
- 24 Murray, T.H. (2018). Good sport: Why our games matter and how doping undermines them.
- 25 OUP.

- 1 Ntoumanis, N., Ng, J.Y.Y, Barkoukis, V., Backhouse, S. (2014). Personal and psychosocial
- 2 predictors of doping use in physical activity settings: A meta-analysis. Sports Medicine,
- 3 *44*, 1603-1624.
- 4 Obasa, M., & Borry, P. (2019). The landscape of the "Spirit of sport": A systematic review.
- 5 Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 16, 443-453.
- 6 Pugh, J., & Pugh, C. (2020). Neurostimulation, doping, and the spirit of sport. Neuroethics.
- 7 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12152-020-09435-7
- 8 Ritchie, I. (2013). The construction of a policy: The World Anti-Doping Code's 'spirit of
- 9 sport' clause. Performance Enhancement & Health, 2, 194-200.
- 10 Ring, C., & Hurst, P. (2019). The effects of moral disengagement mechanisms on doping
- 11 likelihood are mediated by guilt and moderated by moral traits. Psychology of Sport &
- 12 Exercise, 40, 33-41.
- Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., & Gürpınar, B. (2020). Basic values predict doping likelihood. *Journal*
- of Sports Sciences, 38, 357-365.
- Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., & Mazanov, J. (2019). Self-other judgments of doping likelihood and
- anticipated guilt in hypothetical situations. Psychology of Sport & Exercise, 41, 46-53.
- 17 Ring, C., Kavussanu, M., Simms, M., & Mazanov, J. (2018). Effects of situational costs and
- benefits on projected doping likelihood. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, 34, 88-94.
- 19 Roccas, S., Sagiv, L., & Navon, M. (2017). Methodological issues in studying personal values.
- In: S. Roccas & Sagiv, L. (Eds.) Values and behaviour: Taking a cross-cultural perspective.
- 21 (pp. 15-50). Springer.
- 22 Rokeach, M. (1973). The nature of human values. Free Press.
- 23 Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical
- 24 advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology,
- 25 **25**, 1-65.

- Schwartz, S. H., Cieciuch, J., Vecchione, M., Davidov, E., Fischer, R., Beierlein, C., ... Konty,
- 2 M. (2012). Refining the theory of basic individual values. Journal of Personality & Social
- 3 Psychology, 103, 663-688.
- 4 Strelan, P., & Boeckmann, R. J. (2006). Why drug testing in elite sport does not work:
- 5 Perceptual deterrence theory and the role of personal moral beliefs. Journal of Applied
- 6 Social Psychology, 36, 2909-2934.
- 7 Stupuris, T., Šukys, S., & Tilindienė, I. (2013). Relationship between adolescent athletes'
- 8 values and behavior in sport and perceived coach's character development
- 9 competency. Education, Physical Training, Sport, 4, 37-45.
- 10 Šukys, S. (2010) Adaptation and validation of the Prosocial and Antisocial Behavior in Sport
- 11 Scale and Youth Sport Scale for Lithuanians. Education, Physical Training, Sport, 78, 97-
- 12 **I04**.
- 13 Šukys, S. & Jansonienė, A.J. (2012). Relationship between athletes' values and moral
- disengagement in sport, and differences across gender, level and years of involvement.
- 15 Education, Physical Training, Sport, 84, 55-61.
- 16 UKAD (2018). 100% ME. London, UKAD. Retrieved from
- 17 https://www.ukad.org.uk/athletes/100-me
- 18 USADA (2012). TrueSport. USADA. Retrieved from http://truesport.org
- 19 WADA (2015). World anti-doping code. WADA. Retrieved from https://www.wada-
- 20 <u>ama.org/en/what-we-do/the-code</u>
- 21 WADA (2019a). Sport values in every classroom. WADA. Retrieved from https://www.wada-
- 22 ama.org/en/what-we-do/education-prevention/sport-values-in-every-classroom
- 23 WADA (2019b). Proposed 2021 international standard for education. WADA. Retrieved from
- 24 https://www.wada-ama.org/en/resources/the-code/proposed-2021-international-
- 25 standard-for-education

- 1 Whitehead, J. (2016). The effect of value profiles on antisocial and prosocial attitudes and
- 2 achievement orientations in youth sport. International Convention on Science,
- 3 Education and Medicine, Santos, Brazil.
- 4 Whitehead, J., Telfer, H., & Lambert, J. (2013). Values in youth sport and physical education.
- 5 Routledge.
- 6 Whitehead, J., & Gonçalves, C. E. (2013). Are sport values similar in other nations:
- 7 Exploring cross-cultural value systems. In: J. Whitehead, H. Telfer, & J. Lambert (Eds.)
- 8 Values in youth sport and physical education. (pp.104-118). Routledge.
- 9 Whitehead, J., Lee, M.J., & Hatzigeorgiadis, A. (2003). Goal orientations as mediators of the
- influence of values on ethical attitudes: generalization of the model. Journal of Sports
- 11 Sciences, 21, 4, 364-365.

- 12 Yusko, D.A., Buckman, J.F., White, H.R., & Pandina, R.J. (2008). Alcohol, tobacco, illicit
- drugs, and performance enhancers: A comparison of use by college student athletes
- and nonathletes. Journal of American College Health, 57, 281-289.

1	1	Notes
J	1	INOLES

2 1. Rokeach distinguished between two common uses of the term value. He observed that 3 people may say that an object has a value or they may refer to personal values. 4 Sometimes the wider literature considers the merits of sport as if it were an object. WADA appears to take that interpretation when it seeks to preserve what is 5 6 intrinsically valuable about sport. In this article we focus exclusively on personal values. 7 8 2. The questionnaires are available from the corresponding author. We created portrait 9 versions of the questionnaires and used a 6-point rating scale to match the PVQ-RR 10 (Schwartz et al., 2012). We reworded some of the moral value items to militate against 11 potential misunderstanding. The item "it is important to them that they do what they are 12 told" correlated poorly (rs = .12 to .17) with the original four moral items and reduced 13 coefficient alpha ($\alpha = .65$). This item measures obedience (Lee et al., 2000, p. 318), 14 rather than morality, and, therefore, we replaced it with a contract maintenance item 15 from the original YSVQ namely, "it is important to them that they don't spoil the event or 16 competition" (Lee et al., 2000, p. 315). 17 18 3. After examining our first study purpose, the pattern of correlations suggested that there 19 might be a moral factor in the spirit of sport values that would predict clean sport 20 likelihood better than the composite spirit of sport construct. Accordingly, we conducted

21 an exploratory factor analysis of the individual spirit of sport values (see Table SI,

Supplementary Material). Importantly, we found that clean sport likelihood correlated

somewhat better with a moral spirit of sport factor (r = .22) than the composite spirit of

sport construct (r = .18).

25

22

- 4. The sport status values correspond conceptually to the basic self-enhancement values of
- 2 Schwartz (2012). These self-enhancement values have been found by Ring, Kavussanu
- and Gürpınar (2020) to predict doping likelihood.

- 5 5. The spirit of sport construct accounted for 3% of the variance in clean sport likelihood. In
- 6 terms of individual values, the ethics/fair play honesty spirit of sport value explained 8%,
- 7 which is similar to the fairness sport value (8%) but less than the contract maintenance
- 8 sport value (14%).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlations between clean sport likelihood, *spirit of sport* values (overall construct), and sport values (core domains).

	М	SD	I	2	3	4
I. Clean Sport Likelihood	5.00	1.89	-			
2. Spirit of Sport Values	5.16	0.91	.18**	-		
3. Competence Sport Values	5.01	0.68	.20**	.40***	-	
4. Moral Sport Values	5.03	0.67	.39***	.45***	.44***	-
5. Status Sport Values	3.96	0.79	.02	.25***	.36***	.15*

Note: ANOVA (3 sport values) indicated that the sport values differed in their perceived likeness to the person, F(2, 231) = 193.93, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .627$, with moral and competence values judged to be more like the person than status values. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for individual *spirit of sport* values, similarities with and differences from other *spirit of sport* values, and their Pearson correlations with clean sport likelihood and the overall *spirit of sport* construct.

Individual Spirit of Sport Value	М	SD	Not Different From	Clean Sport Likelihood	Spirit of sport
I. Health	5.82	1.31	-	.04	.52***
2. Respect for self & others	5.59	1.28	3, 4, 5	.11	.60***
3. Dedication & commitment	5.58	1.46	2, 4, 5	.16**	.69***
4. Fun & joy	5.45	1.50	2, 3, 5, 6	02	.47***
5. Teamwork	5.40	1.53	2, 3, 4, 6	.13*	.60***
6. Ethics, fair play, honesty	5.27	1.33	4, 5	.29***	.58***
7. Respect for rules & laws	5.00	1.67	8	.18**	.58***
8. Courage	4.92	1.58	7	.05	.71***
9. Character & education	4.61	1.58	10, 11	.09	.64***
10. Performance excellence	4.59	1.76	9, 11	.00	.50***
11. Community & solidarity	4.48	1.57	9, 10	.13*	.71***

Note: Spirit of sport value range = -1 to 7. The individual spirit of sport values have been ranked from most important to least important. ANOVA (11 spirit of sport values) indicated that the values differed in importance, F(10, 223) = 34.12, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .605$. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001. Participants judged that the spirit of sport was close to being "very important" to them as a guiding principle in their life as an athlete. Every individual spirit of sport values was positively correlated (large effect sizes) with the composite spirit of sport construct: the three highest correlations were community/solidarity, courage, and dedication/commitment whereas the three lowest correlations were fun/joy, performance excellence, and health.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for individual sport values (Lee et al., 2000), similarities with and differences from other sport values, and their Pearson correlations with clean sport likelihood.

Individual Sport Value	М	SD	Not Different From	Clean Sport Likelihood
I. Enjoyment	5.32	0.86	2	.05
2. Achievement	5.21	18.0	1, 3, 4	.18**
3. Sportspersonship	5.19	0.89	2, 4	.25***
4. Caring/compassion	5.17	0.80	2, 3	.20**
5. Self-actualization	5.02	0.95	6, 7, 8, 9, 10	.01
6. Show skills	5.01	0.97	5, 7, 8, 9, 10	.25***
7. Conscientiousness	4.97	1.00	5, 6, 8, 9, 10	.25***
8. Contract maintenance	4.97	0.87	5, 6, 7, 9, 10	.38***
9. Companionship	4.92	1.00	5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11	.06
10. Being fair	4.88	0.99	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12	.29***
11. Health & fitness	4.81	1.02	9, 10, 12, 13, 14	.21***
12. Team cohesion	4.77	1.00	10, 11, 13, 14	.19**
13. Excitement	4.69	0.98	11, 12, 14, 15	.09
14. Tolerance	4.67	0.88	12, 13, 15	.14*
15. Public image	4.57	1.11	13, 14	.06
16. Obedience	3.92	1.13	-	.13*
17. Conformity	2.99	1.24	18	08
18. Winning	2.92	1.37	17	09

Note: Sport value range = 1 to 6. The individual sport values have been ranked from most important to least important. ANOVA (18 sport values) indicated that the values differed in importance, F(17, 216) = 47.73, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .790$. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001.

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Exploratory factor analysis of *spirit of sport* values and factor correlations with clean sport likelihood

Spirit of sport Value	Factor I	Factor 2	Factor 3
Ethics, fair play, honesty	.008	533	.188
Health	.251	149	.168
Character & education	.575	033	.153
Teamwork	.143	152	.389
Dedication & commitment	.521	4 59	085
Respect for rules & laws	-0.31	941	101
Respect for self & others	111	4 00	.474
Courage	. 4 72	072	.348
Community & solidarity	.334	.027	.584
Performance excellence	.741	.104	077
Fun & joy	036	.041	.564
Factor correlation matrix			
Factor I	_		
Factor 2	299	_	
Factor 3	.390	439	-
Correlation with clean sport likelihood	.095	.221**	.143*

Note: * p < .05, ** p < .01

Values and clean sport

Hannah Mortimer, Jean Whitehead, Maria Kavussanu, Bahri Gürpınar, Christopher Ring University of Birmingham, UK, University of Brighton, UK, Akdeniz University, Turkey

*Corresponding author:

Christopher Ring

School of Sport, Exercise & Rehabilitation Sciences

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

Email: c.m.ring@bham.ac.uk