UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Two-site recognition of Staphylococcus aureus peptidoglycan by lysostaphin SH3b

Gonzalez-Delgado, LS; Walters-Morgan, H; Salamaga, B; Robertson, AJ; Hounslow, AM; Jagielska, E; Šabała, I; Williamson, MP; Lovering, AL; Mesnage, S

DOI: 10.1038/s41589-019-0393-4

License: Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Gonzalez-Delgado, LS, Walters-Morgan, H, Salamaga, B, Robertson, AJ, Hounslow, AM, Jagielska, E, Sabała, I, Williamson, MP, Lovering, AL & Mesnage, S 2019, 'Two-site recognition of Staphylococcus aureus peptidoglycan by lysostaphin SH3b', *Nature Chemical Biology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 24-30. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-019-0393-4

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Post-prints are subject to Springer Nature re-use terms. See: https://www.nature.com/nature-research/editorial-policies/self-archiving-and-license-to-publish#terms-for-use

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	Two site recognition of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> peptidoglycan by lysostaphin SH3b
5	
6	
7	
8	Luz S. Gonzalez-Delgado ^{1,2†} , Hannah Waters-Morgan ^{3†} , Bartłomiej Salamaga ^{1,2} , Angus J
9	Robertson ^{1,2} , Andrea M. Hounslow ^{1,2} , Elżbieta Jagielska ⁴ , Izabela Sabala ⁴ ,
10	Mike P. Williamson ^{1,2} *, Andrew L. Lovering ³ * and Stéphane Mesnage ^{1,2} *
11	
12	
13	¹ Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Firth Court,
14	Western Bank, Sheffield, UK;
15	² Krebs Institute, University of Sheffield, Firth Court, Western Bank, Sheffield, UK;
16	³ Institute of Microbiology and Infection & School of Biosciences, University of
17	Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
18	⁴ International Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Warsaw, Poland
19	
20 21	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work
21	These authors contributed equally to this work
22	
23 24	* Corresponding authors
25 26	Email: <u>s.mesnage@sheffield.ac.uk;</u> <u>m.williamson@sheffield.ac.uk;</u> <u>a.lovering@bham.ac.uk</u>
26	
27	
28	Short title: Peptidoglycan recognition by lysostaphin

29 Abstract (150 words)

30 Lysostaphin is a bacteriolytic enzyme targeting peptidoglycan, the essential component of the 31 bacterial cell envelope. It displays a very potent and specific activity towards staphylococci, 32 including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Lysostaphin causes rapid cell 33 lysis and disrupts biofilms, and is therefore a therapeutic agent of choice to eradicate 34 staphylococcal infections. The C-terminal SH3b domain of lysostaphin recognizes 35 peptidoglycans containing a pentaglycine crossbridge and has been proposed to drive the 36 preferential digestion of staphylococcal cell walls. Here, we elucidate the molecular 37 mechanism underpinning recognition of staphylococcal peptidoglycan by the lysostaphin 38 SH3b domain. We show that the pentaglycine crossbridge and the peptide stem are recognized by two independent binding sites located on opposite sides of the SH3b domain, thereby 39 40 inducing a clustering of SH3b domains. We propose that this unusual binding mechanism 41 allows a synergistic and structurally dynamic recognition of S. aureus peptidoglycan and 42 underpins the potent bacteriolytic activity of this enzyme.

43 Introduction

44 Lysostaphin is a bacteriolytic enzyme produced and secreted by Staphylococcus simulans biovar staphylolyticus¹. This exotoxin has a potent activity against a wide range of 45 staphylococci including the opportunistic nosocomial pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus*². It 46 47 displays endopeptidase activity and cleaves the pentaglycine crossbridges present in the 48 essential component of the bacterial cell wall (peptidoglycan), leading to rapid cell lysis. In S. 49 simulans biovar staphylolvticus, immunity to lysostaphin is conferred by Lif, an aminoacyl 50 transferase that introduces serine residues into peptidoglycan crossbridges³. This modification 51 dramatically reduces susceptibility to lysostaphin.

52 Due to its powerful antistaphylococcal activity against both planktonic cells and biofilms ⁴, 53 lysostaphin has been extensively studied as a therapeutic agent to treat infections caused by 54 methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) ⁵⁻¹¹. Recent studies have reported the design of 55 lysostaphin variants with a reduced antigenicity and enhanced therapeutic efficacy ^{12,13} as well 56 as strategies to harness the bactericidal activity of this toxin ¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Collectively, the studies 57 published have demonstrated that lysostaphin represents a credible therapeutic agent to combat 58 staphylococcal infections, either alone or in combination with antibiotics ¹⁷.

59 Lysostaphin is a modular hydrolase produced as a pre-proenzyme. It comprises a signal peptide, 15 N-terminal repeats of 13 amino acids, a catalytic domain with glycylglycyl 60 endopeptidase activity and a C-terminal peptidoglycan binding domain of 92 residues ³. The 61 specificity of lysostaphin towards staphylococci has been attributed to its binding domain, 62 which recognizes pentaglycine crossbridges ^{18,19}. Recent crystallographic studies have 63 confirmed early models and showed that the pentaglycine stem is recognized by a shallow 64 groove formed between strands β 1- β 2 and the RT loop, the binding specificity being essentially 65 conferred by steric hindrance ²⁰. Despite this exquisite recognition mechanism, the SH3b 66 domain displays a very weak affinity for the pentaglycine stems and binding has been shown 67 68 to be optimal with multimeric peptidoglycan fragments, suggesting a mechanism more complex than initially anticipated ^{20,21}. 69

Here, we combine NMR and X-ray crystallography to elucidate the mechanism underpinning the recognition of staphylococcal peptidoglycans by the lysostaphin SH3b domain. We show that the SH3b domain contains two binding sites located on opposite sides of the protein, allowing a mutually exclusive recognition of these two peptidoglycan moieties. The recognition of the pentaglycine crossbridge and the peptide stem is therefore shared by two independent SH3b domains, allowing protein clustering on the peptidoglycan. We propose that

- the combination of low affinity and high off-rate binding results in a synergistic and structurally
- 77 dynamic binding that is particularly suitable for the recognition of non-contiguous epitopes of
- 78 mature, physiological peptidoglycan. This unusual mechanism underpins the potent activity of
- 79 lysostaphin and its capacity to punch holes in the cell walls to cause rapid cell lysis.
- 80

81 **Results**

82

83 NMR analysis of SH3b-peptidoglycan interactions

84 We sought to investigate the mechanism underpinning SH3b-PG interaction using NMR 85 titrations with a panel of ligands of increasing complexity. Six ligands were produced, either 86 by solid-phase synthesis or purified from S. aureus PG following digestion by hydrolytic 87 enzymes (Supplementary Fig. 1). The ligands tested corresponded to a tetrasaccharide 88 (GlcNAc-MurNAc- GlcNAc-MurNAc; GMGM), a pentaglycine crossbridge (GGGGG; G5), 89 a tetrapeptide stem (AyQKA; P4), a tetrapeptide with the pentaglycine as a lateral chain P4-G5), a 90 (AγQK[GGGGG]A; disaccharide-peptide dimer (GlcNAc-MurNAc-91 AyQK[GGGGG]AA- GlcNAc-MurNAc-AyQK[GGGGGG]A; (GM-P4-G5)₂) and the peptide 92 AyQK[GGGGG]AA-AyQKA (P5-G5-P4) containing two peptide stems crosslinked via a 93 single pentaglycine crossbridge.

94 Complete resonance assignment of the doubly labelled SH3b domain was obtained using 95 standard triple resonance experiments (Supplementary Fig. 2). The six ligands were used to 96 measure chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) associated with main-chain and side-chain amides 97 (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 1).

In agreement with previous studies, our results showed that pentaglycine (G5) peptides interact with several residues located in a narrow cleft corresponding to the binding groove originally proposed for *Staphylococcus capitis* ALE-1, a close homolog of Lss. These included residues N405 to Y411, T429, G430, M453, D456 and Y472 (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Fig. 3a). CSPs of the signals corresponding to SH3b residues following addition of this ligand indicated a fast exchange rate with a weak binding affinity in the millimolar range ($K_D=890 \pm 160\mu M$).

104 S. aureus peptidoglycan is highly crosslinked and therefore contains mostly tetrapeptide stems 105 (P4). The SH3b domain bound to P4 peptides with a fast exchange rate and a low affinity 106 $(K_D=963 \pm 198\mu M)$, suggesting that this minimal ligand (like the G5 peptide) is not the 107 complete PG motif recognised by the SH3b domain. Surprisingly, residues presenting 108 pronounced chemical shifts upon binding to the P4 ligand were located on the side of the 109 protein opposite to the G5 binding cleft (e.g., N421, I425, A443, V440; Fig. 1b and 110 Supplementary Fig. 3b). Residue R427 side chain also showed a significant CSP. Two 111 hydrophobic residues presenting relatively large CSPs were buried in the structure, suggesting 112 that they were not directly in contact with the P4 ligand (V461 and L473). This observation implies that whereas binding at G5 is fairly rigid lock-and-key, binding at P4 is more of an induced fit interaction, with adaptation of the protein to fit its ligand.

115 As expected, a tighter binding was observed for two PG monomer ligands made of the 116 tetrapeptide stem with a pentaglycine lateral chain alone (P4-G5; $K_D = 98 \pm 42 \mu M$). The most 117 prominent CSPs corresponded to residues previously identified with the simple ligands G5 and 118 P4 in both cases (Fig. 1b,c). Several residues broadened and disappeared with the P4-G5 ligand 119 (N405, I425, V461, G462, Y472 and L473), indicating a slow to medium exchange rate 120 (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Titrations with a synthetic tetrasaccharide (GlcNAc-MurNac)₂ 121 revealed no interactions between the protein and the synthetic disaccharides, suggesting that 122 the sugars do not play a key role in the recognition of peptidoglycan by the SH3b domain.

123 Next, we studied the binding of SH3b domain to dimeric PG fragments made of two peptide 124 stems crosslinked by a pentaglycine chain. One of these ligands contained a single pentaglycine 125 chain (P5-G5-P4), whilst the other had two (GM-P5-G5-GM-P4-G5). The largest CSPs 126 associated with binding were those previously identified with simpler ligands (G5 and P4) (Fig. 127 1e,f and Supplementary Fig. 3e,f). The CSPs corresponding to the recognition of the P4/P5 or 128 G5 moieties were typical of a fast exchange rate, with an affinity of 100 \pm 34µM for the P5-129 G5-P4 ligand. In the case of the most complex ligand (GM-P5-G5-GM-P4-G5), we could not 130 determine any binding affinity since the protein started to precipitate in the presence of 4 131 equivalents of ligand resulting in the disappearance of the signals. One surface residues (W489) 132 located close to residues binding the peptide stem only displayed CSPs with dimeric ligands. Interestingly, the CSPs observed for the larger ligands had fewer large changes than were seen 133 134 for the simpler ligands (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Collectively, NMR titrations suggested that the SH3b domain recognises both the PG peptide
stems and crossbridges via distinct sets of residues located on opposite sides of the protein
surface.

- 138
- 139

140 Structure of the SH3b-S. aureus PG peptide stem complex

We attempted to co-crystallize the SH3b domain with a branched P4-G5 ligand and were successful in obtaining crystals that diffracted to 1.4 Å resolution. Our initial expectation was to observe this ligand binding to the surface of a single SH3b domain but upon solving the structure it was apparent that the G5 was recognized by one domain and P4 by another (symmetry-related copy) as shown in Fig. 2. The 1.4 Å high resolution, synchrotron set is able to trace three of the P4 units (γ QKA representing units 2-4) and a lower 2.5 Å home source set 147 is essentially identical but allows tracing of the full P4 ligand (A γ QKA). In our structures, the

148 pentaglycine bridge sits identically to that of other SH3b structures (5LEO), but then projects

149 the crossbridge link (K to G5) and stem peptide (AyQKA) into a pocket located on the opposite

150 side of a second SH3b monomer (Fig. 2a-c). The two SH3 domains make no strong interactions

151 with one another, but display a shape/surface complementarity that allows for close contact

around the shared ligand.

153 The P4 and G5 components of the ligand are at approximately 90° angles to one another, and 154 the carbon atoms of the K3 sidechain make favourable contacts with the hydrophobic 155 sidechains of Y407, T422', I424' and W489' (prime used to denote opposing SH3b). Units one 156 to three of the P4 peptidoglycan stem display a linear β -like conformation, with peptide bonds 157 hydrogen-bonding to residues from both SH3b domains: yO2 NH to carbonyl of K406, K3 NH 158 to carbonyl of D423', and K3 CO to NH of I425'. This arrangement makes for much stronger 159 contacts of the SH3b domains to P3/P4 in comparison to P1/P2, and would place the attached 160 physiological peptidoglycan saccharide units at the edge of both monomers. There is a clearly 161 defined pocket for the terminal D-Ala 4 (Fig. 2d), with the COO- group making a salt-bridge 162 to the sidechain of R427', and a hydrophobic pocket comprised of I424', I425', R433', H458', W460', P474' and W489' surrounding the methyl sidechain of the substrate. Sequence and 163 164 structure alignments between lysostaphin SH3b (SH3_5) and other proteins indicate that the 165 P4 D-Ala-carboxylate pocket is likely a conserved feature of wider superfamily members 166 (Supplementary Fig. 4), with relevance for both SH3_3 and SH3_4 subgroups. There are no 167 current structures for the latter, but the two SH3 4 domains of *Clostridium* phage lysin 168 phiSM101 each have a carboxylate ligand bound at this position, suggesting an important role for the residues equivalent to lysostaphin R427²². 169

170

171 Mutational analysis of the binding activity

172 We sought to confirm the contribution of the SH3b residues identified by NMR and X-ray 173 crystallography to the recognition of the crossbridge (G5) and peptide stem (P4) ligands. Six 174 single-site substitution mutant domains were produced and analysed by NMR (N405A, M453A, Y472S, I425A, R427M, W489L). ¹⁵N HSQC spectra of all mutant domains revealed 175 176 that these were properly folded, allowing us to measure CSP values in the presence of the 177 maximum concentration of ligand previously used (32 equivalents). As expected, all mutations 178 were associated with a reduction in CSPs when compared to the wild-type domain 179 (Supplementary Fig. 5). We characterised the reduction in binding affinity using a figure of 180 residual binding, which is defined as the ratio of chemical shift changes of mutant to WT, 181 averaged over all amino acids. Given the weak binding affinities, and the fact that the protein 182 concentration is always lower than the affinity, these correspond roughly to the expected 183 reduction in affinity. Domains with mutations in the residues involved in the interaction with 184 G5 still retained a relatively high residual binding, the N405A mutation having the most 185 pronounced effect (16% residual binding for the N405A mutant, 55.5% for M453A and 24.6% 186 for Y472S). Whilst the I425 still displayed 29.4% residual binding, the mutations R427M and 187 W489L had a major impact on binding to the P4 ligand (1.6% and 6.6% residual binding, 188 respectively). These results confirmed the contribution of the residues identified by NMR and 189 crystallography to the binding of minimal ligands. The limited impact of most of the mutations 190 studied on binding is in agreement with the X-ray and NMR results which revealed that the 191 recognition of peptidoglycan fragments by SH3b domains relies on a complex network of 192 interactions.

193

194 Binding of SH3b derivatives to purified PG sacculi

195 Due to the labour-intensive nature of NMR analyses and the fact that these analyses are limited 196 to study interactions with soluble substrates, we designed a quantitative *in vitro* binding assay 197 with peptidoglycan sacculi which represent the natural substrate of lysostaphin. The SH3b domain was fused to the monomeric fluorescent protein mNeonGreen ²³ to follow binding in 198 199 the presence of increasing amount of peptidoglycan (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2). 200 Recombinant proteins were purified using two chromatography steps including metal affinity 201 and gel filtration. As a first step we measured the binding of SH3b-mNeonGreen fusions to 202 peptidoglycan purified from the WT, *femB* and *femAB* mutants (containing five, three and one 203 glycine residue in crossbridges, respectively) (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 6). As expected, 204 binding occurred in a dose-dependent manner and binding to the *femB* mutant was clearly 205 reduced (1.71-fold change), whilst a more drastic reduction in binding was observed with the 206 femAB mutant (2.20-fold change). The residual, dose-dependent binding to the fem mutant 207 peptidoglycans is in agreement with our identification of a second site recognizing the peptide 208 stems. Fifteen recombinant fusions with mutations in residues previously identified were 209 purified and their binding activity was measured (Fig. 3b,c and Supplementary Fig. 6). Most 210 of the mutations clearly impaired binding to peptidoglycan to a level similar to the level of 211 binding displayed by the WT-mNeonGreen fusion to the *femB* peptidoglycan. The biggest 212 impact on binding was observed with mutations R427M and W489L impairing the recognition 213 of peptide stems (2.47- and 2.18-fold changes as compared to the WT, respectively). These

214 results therefore confirmed the role of the residues identified by NMR and X-ray 215 crystallography and provided the first evidence that peptide stem recognition by the lysostaphin 216 SH3b domain is critical for binding.

217

218 Impact of SH3b mutations on Lss activity

219 The SH3b mutations previously described (Supplementary Fig. 6) were introduced into the 220 mature lysostaphin enzyme. Recombinant proteins were purified and serial 2-fold dilutions 221 were spotted on agar plates containing autoclaved S. aureus cells as a substrate (Supplementary 222 Fig. 7). The enzymatic activity was detected as a clearing zone resulting from the solubilisation 223 of S. aureus cell walls. Four independent series of protein purifications were carried out, each 224 including a wild-type lysostaphin protein. One lysostaphin mutant (Lss M453A) showing an 225 aberrant circular dichroism spectrum could not be analysed; mutant R427M was also excluded 226 from the study as it did not bind to the nickel column. Amongst all the mutations tested, Y472S 227 and W489L led to the most important effects observed (9-fold decrease), whilst all the others 228 had only a limited impact. These results were in line with the binding assays, indicating that 229 no single mutation abolished enzymatic activity and supported our results indicating that the 230 recognition of the peptide stem is equally, if not more, important for binding and activity as is 231 binding to the pentaglycine bridge.

232 Discussion

The crystal structure reported here shows that SH3b has two well-defined binding sites for *S. aureus* peptidoglycan: a narrow groove that accommodates the G5 lateral chain, with a tightly

- defined geometry and thus little tolerance for amino acid variants; and a more open site for the
- 236 P4 peptide stem. One might therefore expect that the specificity for *S. aureus* PG arises entirely
- from the G5 site, which should be critical for binding. This is not what is indicated by the other
- results reported here.
- 239 The interpretation of CSPs, and of the affinities determined by fitting CSPs to a saturation 240 curve, is more complex than may at first appear. A perturbation of an ¹⁵N or ¹H nuclear shielding is typically caused by a change in the chemical environment at the nucleus, for 241 242 example due to a change in hydrogen bonding or a change in the position of neighboring 243 functional groups. Hydrogen bonding is highly directional, implying that an increased mobility 244 of a ligand within its binding site will result in significantly smaller CSPs. Increased mobility 245 will not necessarily result in weaker overall binding, because the loss in enthalpy due to a 246 weaker time-averaged hydrogen bond can easily be compensated by a gain in entropy. Smaller 247 CSPs can therefore indicate a more dynamic binding interaction, rather than simply weaker 248 binding. This phenomenon matches what is observed here (Supplementary Fig. 3). With a 249 simple G5 or P4 ligand that binds in a single site, there are some large CSPs, clearly defining 250 the location of the site (Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). With larger ligands, although the affinity is stronger (implying cooperative binding at both sites, see below), there are fewer large CSP 251 252 values. The most obvious interpretation is that within each site the ligand has greater mobility, 253 presumably because the physical linkage between the G5 and P4 groups prevents the larger ligands from binding optimally in both sites simultaneously. 254
- 255 There have been many analyses published of binding affinities of ligands that interact via two different sites. A powerful approach is the concept of effective concentration ^{24,25}. Consider a 256 ligand L1-L2 that binds at two sites, R1 and R2, with a flexible linker between L1 and L2 (Fig 257 258 4a). If the ligand detaches from site R2, then the rate at which L2 rebinds at R2 is given by 259 $k_{on} \times [L2]_{eff}$, where k_{on} is the rate constant for binding and $[L2]_{eff}$ is the effective concentration 260 of L2 at the R2 binding site (Fig 4b). For a short linker with optimal length and geometry, 261 [L2]_{eff} can be orders of magnitude larger than [L2], leading to much stronger binding than 262 would be seen in the absence of L1 (because the overall affinity for L2 is equal to the off-rate 263 divided by the on-rate, and we can assume that the off-rate is unaffected by the presence of the linker): in other words, to cooperative binding, such that the affinity for the intact ligand L1-264 265 L2 is much stronger than the affinity for L1 or L2 alone. Conversely, if the linker is too short

266 (Fig 4c), then L2 is unable to reach R2, and [L2]_{eff} is smaller than [L2], leading to a complete lack of cooperativity. If the binding sites R1 and R2 allow for some flexibility in geometry, 267 268 then we may have a situation as shown in Fig 4d, where binding of the ligand can be achieved 269 by allowing some mobility in the bound conformation at the cost of suboptimal binding 270 geometry. The cooperativity will not be as great as it would be with ideal geometry and thus 271 the affinity will be stronger but not by a large amount. This appears to be exactly the situation 272 observed here: affinity is 10× stronger, but CSPs are smaller. Furthermore, single site mutations 273 have relatively little effect on the affinity or on enzymatic activity, suggesting that the exact 274 shape or complementarity of the binding site is relatively unimportant, consistent with this 275 model.

276 It is a legitimate question to ask why SH3b should have evolved separate binding sites for G5 277 and P4, but located on the protein surface in such a way that simultaneous binding to both sites 278 is not possible. What is the biological advantage? Our data, and the interpretation derived here, 279 provide suggestions. The function of the SH3b domain is to attach lysostaphin to PG, in such 280 a way as to increase access of the catalytic domain to its G5 substrate. It is therefore not 281 desirable for the affinity for PG to be too strong, otherwise SH3b would detach too slowly and 282 not allow the catalytic domain to move from one G5 substrate to another. A good solution is to 283 have two binding sites, both with weak affinity and thus rapid off-rates, and organised so as to 284 provide a moderate degree of cooperativity in binding to the complex substrate. This allows the protein to 'walk' around the PG surface, continually keeping at least one site bound, but 285 permitting rapid searching on the PG surface. A very similar solution has been adopted by a 286 287 number of cellulases, which have two different cellulose binding domains arranged in tandem 26-29 288

289 A comparison of interactions at the two sites with different ligands (Fig. 1) suggests that the 290 larger ligands have weaker interactions at the G5 site, since the G5 interactions (red) decrease 291 more than do the P4 interactions (green). This result is at first sight counterintuitive, because 292 the lysostaphin catalytic domain specifically targets G5. However SH3b should not bind too 293 tightly to G5, because otherwise it would block access of the catalytic domain. It therefore 294 makes sense for the larger (and thus more cell-wall-like) fragments to favor binding of the 295 peptide stem, as long as some specificity for G5 is maintained, and as long as SH3b is not 296 locked into binding at any particular location. We may therefore describe the role of SH3b as 297 to contribute to the initial (weak) binding of the enzyme on the PG surface. This conclusion is 298 also consistent with the observation that the mutations more critical to binding affinity are 299 found in the P4 site, not the G5 site. Surprisingly, and in agreement with this result, the SH3b 300 domain still binds in a dose-dependent manner to the *femAB* peptidoglycan, containing 301 crossbridges made of a single glycine residue. This suggests that the recognition of the 302 pentaglycine stem is not essential for the binding of the enzyme with its substrate. Based on 303 this result, it is tempting to hypothesize that the catalytic activity of lysostaphin rather than the 304 binding itself is the major determinant for the specific hydrolysis of staphylococcal 305 peptidoglycan. In Staphylococcus carnosus, the femB mutation is associated with a 3000-fold increase in the MIC values for lysostaphin from 0.01 to 32 μ g/ml³⁰. Given the limited impact 306 307 of the SH3b mutations on binding, this result suggests that the high resistance to lysostaphin in 308 the *femB* mutant is not caused by the slight difference in binding activity of the penta- vs tri-309 glycine interpeptide bridge, but is mainly due to the decreased enzymatic activity of 310 lysostaphin.

311 The networks of contacts between ligand and two sets of SH3 domains strongly suggest that 312 our two-site model for P4-G5 (and therefore true, complex sacculus ligand) is physiologically 313 relevant. The observed pocket for the terminal P4 D-Ala is highly complementary in both shape 314 and contact type; a lack of adjoining pocket for a second D-Ala of a pentapeptide is in keeping with the dominance of tetrapeptides in staphylococcal peptidoglycan ³¹. Residues responsible 315 316 for P4 recognition are conserved in several homologues present in the PDB despite the relative 317 low sequence identity across the entire domain -S. *capitis* ALE-1 (code 1R77, 83% sequence identity, ¹⁹), *Staphylococcus* phage GH15 lysin (2MK5, 49%, ³²) and phage phi7917 lysin 318 319 (5D76, 30%, unpublished). These related structures have features that validate our 320 identification of the P4 binding site (overlays shown in Supplementary Fig. 4): in ALE-1 a 321 purification tag places a lysine in an identical position to the K3 crosslink, and in phi7917 a 322 muramyldipeptide cleavage product has bound in this region. Our identification of a "second" 323 binding site supplementing the "traditional" pentaglycine cleft may have implications for other 324 SH3b and SH3-like domains that are used in varying architectures to recognize peptidoglycan 325 in organisms that do not utilize the G5 crossbridge.

326 No major difference exists between the structure of the SH3b domain in its apo form (4LXC) 327 and in complex with the P4-G5 ligand (this work), suggesting that the ligand displays a 328 dynamic structure to "fit" within the binding clefts present on the SH3b domain. Previous NMR 329 studies support this idea and have shown that the D-Lac, L-Ala, and D-Glu adopt a limited 330 number of conformers, whereas the L-Lys-D-Ala termini are disordered (with no NOE contacts 331 observed ³³). Further relaxation measurements using the P4-G5 ligand could be carried out to 332 test this hypothesis but it appears difficult to extrapolate relaxation experiments to a complex 333 molecule such as peptidoglycan.

The data presented here provide an explanation as to why the binding activity of the SH3b domain of lysostaphin is not affected by exogenous pentaglycine or its own catalytic products ²¹; our model suggests that the muropeptide interactions are only satisfied when presented by non-contiguous epitopes of mature, physiological peptidoglycan. The location of these sites on different faces of the SH3b molecule ensures that both are unlikely to be contacted by a soluble, torsionally less-restricted fragment. We hypothesize that this will be an excellent method for processive degradation of the peptidoglycan.

341 The structure of the SH3b domain in complex with the P4-G5 peptide shed light on the NMR analyses previously published ³⁴ and those described in this manuscript, revealing the existence 342 of two independent binding sites on opposite sides of the SH3b domain. The binding 343 344 mechanism described here is consistent with the formation of large protein aggregates during titration with complex PG fragments. Recognition of the same PG peptide stem by independent 345 346 SH3b domains (referred to as "clustering") leads to an effective increase in enzyme 347 concentration at the cell surface. In agreement with this hypothesis, the direct observation of 348 S. aureus cell wall digestion by lysostaphin using atomic force microscopy revealed the 349 existence of nanoscale perforations that precede cell lysis³⁵.

350

351 Materials and methods

352

353 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions.

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Supplementary Table 3. *E. coli* Lemo21 (DE3) and NEB5 α strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) or M9 minimal medium containing 1 g/L of ¹⁵NH₄Cl (and 2 g/L ¹³C₆-glucose where necessary), supplemented with ampicillin at a concentration of 100 µg/ml.

358

359 Construction of recombinant plasmids for protein production.

360 Both plasmids expressing the full length lysostaphin (pET-Lss) and the SH3b domains with a non-cleavable N-terminal His-tag for NMR studies (pET-SH3b) have been previously 361 described ^{36,37}. The plasmid encoding the SH3b-mNeonGreen fusion (pET-SH3b-NG) was 362 constructed by Gibson assembly using a DNA synthetic fragment (Integrated DNA 363 Technology) cloned into the vector pET2818 cut with NcoI and BamHI. Plasmid pET-SH3b-364 TEV used to produce the SH3b domain without a tag for X-ray crystallography was constructed by 365 366 Gibson assembly using a synthetic DNA fragment (Integrated DNA Technology) cloned into 367 pET2817 digested with NcoI and BamHI. The amino acid sequences of the wild-type 368 recombinant proteins are described in Supplementary Fig 8.

369

370 Site-directed mutagenesis.

Mutagenesis of plasmids pET-SH3b and pET-Lss was performed using the GeneArt® Site-Directed Mutagenesis System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). All primers used in this study are described in the Supplementary Table 4. The same pair of oligonucleotides was used to introduce mutations in both plasmids, except for mutations N405D and W489L which required distinct pairs of oligonucleotides to build mNeonGreen fusions and lysostaphin mutants.

376

377 Purification of recombinant Lss protein and Lss-SH3b protein domains.

378 Cells were grown to an optical density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.7 in LB or M9 media for NMR 379 analyses and protein production was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG. After 4h, induced 380 cells were harvested and resuspended in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, 500m M NaCl, pH 8.0) 381 and crude lysates were obtained by sonication (3×30 s, 20% output; Branson Sonifier 450). 382 Soluble proteins were loaded onto a HiTrap IMAC column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) 383 charged with Ni²⁺ or Zn²⁺ ions for SH3b (alone or fused to mNeonGreen) and full-length lysostaphin, respectively. His-tagged proteins were eluted with a 20 column volume linear
gradient of buffer B (500 mM imidazole, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.0).
Recombinant His-tagged proteins were concentrated and purified by size-exclusion
chromatography on a Superdex 75 HR column (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). For NMR
experiments, proteins were purified using 50 mM Na₂HPO₄ (pH 6.0). All purified proteins were
analysed by SDS-PAGE.

- 390 For crystallography experiments, the SH3b domain was produced using plasmid pET-SH3b-TEV.
- 391 The N-terminal tag was removed using recombinant TEV protease (0.5 mg of TEV per mg of
- 392 SH3b protein). Digestions were performed at 37°C overnight in buffer C (150 mM NaCl, 50
- 393 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.35). Following digestion, proteins were loaded onto a HiTrap IMAC
 394 column, and cleaved SH3b proteins were recovered in the flow through. Proteins
 395 concentrations were determined using absorbance at 280 nm.
- The characterization of all recombinant proteins is described in the supplementary informationsection (Supplementary Fig. 8-12).
- 398

399 Purification of S. aureus PG sacculi

- 400 PG sacculi were isolated from exponentially growing S. aureus cells as previously described
- 401 ³⁸. Pure PG was freeze-dried and resuspended at a final concentration of 25 mg/ml.
- 402

403 **Peptidoglycan digestions for NMR titration assays**

- 404 S. aureus PG was digested with mutanolysin (Sigma). To purify PG dimers (GM-P5-G5-GM-405 P4-G5), 180 mg of PG were digested with 2.5 mg of mutanolysin in a final volume of 5 ml 406 using 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). After overnight incubation the enzyme was heat-407 inactivated. Half of the sample was used to purify dimers. The pH of the other half of digestion 408 was adjusted to 7.5 and it was further digested with 2 mg of EnpA to generate disaccharide-409 peptides. EnpA was heat-inactivated.
- 410

411 **Purification of peptidoglycan fragments by rp-HPLC**

412 Prior to rp-HPLC analysis and fractionation, soluble peptidoglycan fragments were reduced 413 with sodium borohydride to eliminate double peaks corresponding to the α-and β-anomers as 414 previously described ³⁸. Fractionation of material corresponding to the digestion of 50 mg of 415 PG was carried out on a Hypersil GOLD aQ column (C18; 21 × 250 mm, Thermo Scientific) 416 and separated at a flow rate of 10 ml/min using 10 mM ammonium phosphate (pH 5.5) as a 417 mobile phase (buffer A). After a short isocratic step (2 column volumes), PG fragments were 418 eluted with a 15 column volume methanol linear gradient (0 to 30%) in buffer A. Individual 419 peaks were collected, freeze-dried and analysed by mass spectrometry. The fractions 420 corresponding to the major dimer (GM-P5-G5-GM-P4-G5) were desalted by HPLC using a 421 water-acetonitrile gradient, freeze-dried and resuspended in MilliQ water.

422

423 **Production of peptidoglycan fragments by chemical synthesis**

The tetrasaccharide (GMGM) was described previously ³⁹. All peptides and branched peptides (>95% purity) were purchased from Peptide Protein Research Ltd. (UK) Purity was assessed by HPLC and mass spectrometry. The characterization of all ligands is described in the supplementary information section. The pentaglycine peptide was purchased from SIGMA Aldrich (ref. G5755).

- 429
- 430

431 Crystallography and structure determination

432 Crystallisation was initiated via standard screening in sitting drop 96-well clover-leaf 433 crystallography trays at 18 mg/ml with 3.41 mM A γ QK[GGGGG]A in a 1:2 drop ratio of screening 434 agent to protein solution. The trays were incubated at 18 °C. Tetragonal bipyramidal crystals 435 formed within the first 48 hours in 100 mM Bis-tris pH 5.5, 25% (w/v) poly-ethylene glycol 3350 436 and 200 mM ammonium sulphate.

437 Crystals were cryo-protected using the above conditions (inclusive of AyOK[GGGGG]A to 438 maintain the ligand:protein complex), and an additional 20% v/v ethylene glycol. Two datasets 439 were collected (Supplementary Table 5): a high resolution set at the IO3 beamline, Diamond Light 440 Source, Oxford, and a second set on a Rigaku Micromax home source. Data were processed with 441 XiaII/XDS⁴⁰. The B-factors for the high-resolution set are higher than expected, but match that 442 of the Wilson B, and the dataset has a normal intensity distribution. An initial model was solved using the existing apo structure 5LEO²⁰ as a molecular replacement model in PHASER⁴¹, and the 443 corresponding structure autobuilt using PHENIX⁴², with the ligand added via visual inspection of 444 the difference map. The structure was updated and refined using COOT ⁴³, PHENIX ⁴² and PDB-445 redo⁴⁴, resulting in a final structure with an R/Rfree of 19.9%/23.0%. 446

447

448 NMR experiments

NMR experiments were conducted on Bruker Avance I 800 and DRX-600 spectrometers at
298K. 2D NHSQC experiments were carried out using the b_hsqcetf3gpsi pulse program

451 (Bruker) with relaxation delay 1 s, 128 complex increments (ca. 1 h 18 m per spectrum). Lss-

- 452 SH3b proteins were quantified by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm and adjusted to a
- 453 concentration of 60 μ M in 50 mM Na₂HPO₄ (pH 6.0). All ligands were quantified by NMR
- 454 based on the intensity of methyl protons using trimethylsilylpropanoic acid (TSP) as a standard.
- 455 ¹⁵N HSQC experiments and chemical shift perturbation (CSP) analyses were performed as
- 456 previously described ³⁹.
- 457

458 **PG binding assays**

459 The PG binding activity of SH3b domains was studied using in-gel fluorescence. Protein 460 amounts equivalent to 3 µg of the wild-type recombinant SH3b-mNeonGreen were adjusted 461 based on the fluorescence intensity of the bands corresponding to the full length proteins. 462 Following incubation in the presence of increasing amounts of PG (0-400 µg) in a final volume of 40 µl for 20 min at room temperature, PG and bound proteins were pelleted at $17,000 \times g$ 463 464 for 5 minutes. Twenty µl of supernatant corresponding to unbound proteins were loaded on an 465 SDS-PAGE and scanned using a BioRad Chemidoc XRS+ system. Fluorescence intensity was 466 quantified using the ImageJ software. The percentage of binding was determined using the 467 signal intensity measured in the absence of PG as a reference.

468

469 Lysostaphin activity assays

470 *S. aureus* SH1000 ⁴⁵ was grown to an optical density OD_{600} of 1.0. Cells were harvested, 471 resuspended in distilled water, autoclaved and incorporated in agar plates at a final OD_{600} of 472 0.5. Five µl corresponding to serial dilutions of the recombinant lysostaphin proteins were 473 spotted on the plates containing autoclaved cells as a substrate and incubated overnight at 474 37 °C. Lytic activities were detected as clearing zones and compared by determining the lowest 475 amount of enzyme giving a detectable digestion of the substrate

476

477 Data availability

478 Structural data have been deposited in the Protein DataBank (PDB) with coordinate accession 479 numbers 6RK4 (high-resolution set) and 6RJE (home source set). All other data generated or 480 analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary 481 information files) or are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request." 482

17

483 **References**

- Schindler, C.A. & Schuhardt, V.T. Lysostaphin: a new bacteriolytic agent for the *Staphylococcus*.
 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 51, 414-21 (1964).
- 486
 487
 2. Schindler, C.A. & Schuhardt, V.T. Purification and properties of Lysostaphin--a lytic agent for *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 97, 242-50 (1965).
- Thumm, G. & Götz, F. Studies on prolysostaphin processing and characterization of the
 lysostaphin immunity factor (Lif) of *Staphylococcus simulans* biovar *staphylolyticus*. *Mol Microbiol* 23, 1251-65 (1997).
- 491 4. Wu, J.A., Kusuma, C., Mond, J.J. & Kokai-Kun, J.F. Lysostaphin disrupts *Staphylococcus aureus* 492 and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms on artificial surfaces. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 47, 493 3407-14 (2003).
- 494 5. Climo, M.W., Patron, R.L., Goldstein, B.P. & Archer, G.L. Lysostaphin treatment of experimental
 495 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* aortic valve endocarditis. *Antimicrob Agents*496 *Chemother* 42, 1355-60 (1998).
- 497 6. Dajcs, J.J. *et al.* Lysostaphin treatment of methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* keratitis in
 498 the rabbit. *Am J Ophthalmol* 130, 544 (2000).
- Johnson, C.T. *et al.* Hydrogel delivery of lysostaphin eliminates orthopedic implant infection by
 Staphylococcus aureus and supports fracture healing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115, E4960-E4969
 (2018).
- Kiri, N., Archer, G. & Climo, M.W. Combinations of lysostaphin with beta-lactams are synergistic
 against oxacillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 46, 2017 20 (2002).
- 505 9. Kokai-Kun, J.F., Chanturiya, T. & Mond, J.J. Lysostaphin as a treatment for systemic
 506 Staphylococcus aureus infection in a mouse model. J Antimicrob Chemother 60, 1051-9 (2007).
- 507 10. Kokai-Kun, J.F., Walsh, S.M., Chanturiya, T. & Mond, J.J. Lysostaphin cream eradicates
 508 *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization in a cotton rat model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 47, 1589-97 (2003).
- 510 11. Satishkumar, R. *et al.* Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of lysostaphin-coated hernia repair
 511 meshes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55, 4379-85 (2011).
- 512 12. Blazanovic, K. *et al.* Structure-based redesign of lysostaphin yields potent antistaphylococcal
 513 enzymes that evade immune cell surveillance. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 2, 15021 (2015).
- 514 13. Zhao, H. *et al.* Depletion of T cell epitopes in lysostaphin mitigates anti-drug antibody response
 515 and enhances antibacterial efficacy *in vivo*. *Chem Biol* 22, 629-39 (2015).
- 516 14. Liu, Y. *et al.* Immunomimetic designer cells protect mice from MRSA infection. *Cell* 174, 259 517 270 e11 (2018).
- 518 15. Raz, A., Serrano, A., Thaker, M., Alston, T. & Fischetti, V.A. Lysostaphin lysibody leads to
 519 effective opsonization and killing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a murine
 520 model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 62:e01056-18.(2018).
- 521 16. Wall, R.J. *et al.* Genetically enhanced cows resist intramammary *Staphylococcus aureus* infection.
 522 *Nat Biotechnol* 23, 445-51 (2005).
- Wittekind, M. & Schuch, R. Cell wall hydrolases and antibiotics: exploiting synergy to create
 efficacious new antimicrobial treatments. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 33, 18-24 (2016).
- 18. Baba, T. & Schneewind, O. Target cell specificity of a bacteriocin molecule: a C-terminal signal directs lysostaphin to the cell wall of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Embo J* 15, 4789-97 (1996).
- Lu, J.Z., Fujiwara, T., Komatsuzawa, H., Sugai, M. & Sakon, J. Cell wall-targeting domain of
 glycylglycine endopeptidase distinguishes among peptidoglycan cross-bridges. *J Biol Chem* 281,
 549-58 (2006).
- 530 20. Mitkowski, P. *et al.* Structural bases of peptidoglycan recognition by lysostaphin SH3b domain.
 531 Sci Rep 9, 5965 (2019).

- 532 21. Gründling, A. & Schneewind, O. Cross-linked peptidoglycan mediates lysostaphin binding to the
 533 cell wall envelope of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Bacteriol* 188, 2463-72 (2006).
- Tamai, E. *et al.* X-ray structure of a novel endolysin encoded by episomal phage phiSM101 of
 Clostridium perfringens. Mol Microbiol 92, 326-37 (2014).
- 536 23. Shaner, N.C. *et al.* A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from *Branchiostoma* 537 *lanceolatum. Nat Methods* 10, 407-9 (2013).
- 538 24. Williamson, M.P. *How proteins work*, 464 (Garland Science, New York, 2011).
- 539 25. Zhou, H.X. Quantitative relation between intermolecular and intramolecular binding of pro-rich
 540 peptides to SH3 domains. *Biophys J* 91, 3170-81 (2006).
- 541 26. Bolam, D.N. *et al. Pseudomonas* cellulose-binding domains mediate their effects by increasing
 542 enzyme substrate proximity. *Biochem J* 331 (Pt 3), 775-81 (1998).
- 543 27. Gill, J. *et al.* The type II and X cellulose-binding domains of *Pseudomonas* xylanase A potentiate
 544 catalytic activity against complex substrates by a common mechanism. *Biochem J* 342 (Pt 2), 473545 80 (1999).
- 546 28. Nagy, T. *et al.* Characterization of a double dockerin from the cellulosome of the anaerobic fungus
 547 *Piromyces equi. J Mol Biol* **373**, 612-22 (2007).
- 548 29. Raghothama, S. *et al.* Characterization of a cellulosome dockerin domain from the anaerobic fungus *Piromyces equi*. *Nat Struct Biol* 8, 775-8 (2001).
- 550 30. Nega, M. *et al.* Secretome analysis revealed adaptive and non-adaptive responses of the 551 *Staphylococcus carnosus femB* mutant. *Proteomics* **15**, 1268-79 (2015).
- 552 31. Gally, D. & Archibald, A.R. Cell wall assembly in *Staphylococcus aureus*: proposed absence of
 553 secondary crosslinking reactions. *J Gen Microbiol* 139, 1907-13 (1993).
- 554 32. Gu, J. *et al.* Structural and biochemical characterization reveals LysGH15 as an unprecedented
 555 "EF-hand-like" calcium-binding phage lysin. *PLoS Pathog* 10, e1004109 (2014).
- 33. Meroueh, S.O. *et al.* Three-dimensional structure of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103, 4404-9 (2006).
- Tossavainen, H. *et al.* Structural and Functional Insights Into Lysostaphin-Substrate Interaction.
 Front Mol Biosci 5, 60 (2018).
- 560 35. Francius, G., Domenech, O., Mingeot-Leclercq, M.P. & Dufrêne, Y.F. Direct observation of
 561 Staphylococcus aureus cell wall digestion by lysostaphin. J Bacteriol 190, 7904-9 (2008).
- Jagielska, E., Chojnacka, O. & Sabala, I. LytM fusion with SH3b-like domain expands its activity
 to physiological conditions. *Microb Drug Resist* 22, 461-9 (2016).
- Sabala, I. *et al.* Crystal structure of the antimicrobial peptidase lysostaphin from *Staphylococcus simulans. FEBS J* 281, 4112-22 (2014).
- 38. Mesnage, S., Chau, F., Dubost, L. & Arthur, M. Role of *N*-acetylglucosaminidase and *N*-acetylmuramidase activities in *Enterococcus faecalis* peptidoglycan metabolism. *J Biol Chem* 283, 19845-53 (2008).
- 39. Mesnage, S. *et al.* Molecular basis for bacterial peptidoglycan recognition by LysM domains. *Nat Commun* 5, 4269 (2014).
- 40. Kabsch, W. Xds. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 125-32 (2010).
- 572 41. McCoy, A.J. et al. Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Crystallogr 40, 658-674 (2007).
- 573 42. Zwart, P.H. *et al.* Automated structure solution with the PHENIX suite. *Methods Mol Biol* 426, 419-35 (2008).
- 575 43. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D*576 *Biol Crystallogr* 60, 2126-32 (2004).
- 44. Joosten, R.P., Joosten, K., Cohen, S.X., Vriend, G. & Perrakis, A. Automatic rebuilding and
 optimization of crystallographic structures in the Protein Data Bank. *Bioinformatics* 27, 3392-8
 (2011).

- 45. Horsburgh, M.J. *et al. sigmaB* modulates virulence determinant expression and stress resistance:
 characterization of a functional rsbU strain derived from *Staphylococcus aureus* 8325-4. *J Bacteriol* 184, 5457-67 (2002).
- 583 584

585 Acknowledgments

- LSG is a PhD student funded by the Mexican government through a CONACYT scholarship.
 HWM is supported by a BBSRC MIBTP studentship. We thank BBSRC and EPSRC for
 funding to upgrade the 600 and 800 MHz spectrometers, respectively (grant numbers
 BB/R000727/1 and EP/S01358X/1). The work in IS laboratory is supported by the Foundation
 for Polish Science (FNP) programme, co-financed by the European Union under the European
- 591 Regional Development Fund, grant TEAMTECH/2016-3/19.
- 592
- 593

594 Authors' contribution

SM conceived the project and designed experiments with MPW and ALL. AMH and AJR
assigned the SH3b spectrum. LSG carried out all NMR experiments and analysed them with
the help of AMH and MPW. BS and LSG built all SH3b recombinant proteins to carry out
functional assays and crystallographic analyses. AWM crystallised the protein and solved the
structure with the help of ALL. EJ and IS provided reagents. LSG, HWM, BS, AMH, MPW,
ALL and SM analyzed the data. LSG, SM, ALL and MPW wrote the manuscript.

602

603 Figure legends

604

Figure 1. Mapping the interaction surface of the SH3b domain with synthetic *S. aureus*PG fragments

607 For each NMR titration, the average CSP was calculated and two-fold average CSP was chosen 608 as a threshold to identify surface residues interacting with ligands. The residues interacting 609 with the pentaglycine crossbridges are highlighted in red, those interacting with the peptide 610 stem in green. Interaction maps corresponding to six ligands are shown. **a**, G5 peptide; **b**, P4; 611 c, P4-G5; d, P5-G5-P4; f, GM-P5-G5-GM-P4-G5. Titrations confirmed the existence of a narrow cleft previously proposed to bind the PG crossbridges ¹⁹ and recently shown to interact 612 with pentaglycine ²⁰. They also revealed a set of residues interacting with the peptide stem, 613 614 located on the face of the protein opposite to the G5 binding cleft.

615

616 Figure 2. Structure of lysostaphin SH3b in complex with the P4-G5 ligand

617 The SH3b domain and a symmetry-related partner are coloured white and blue, respectively; 618 ligand is coloured by atom type, with P4 C atoms green and G5 C atoms pink. **a**, protein fold 619 with termini labelled, and two representations (fold and ligand in ribbon/stick and surface 620 formats). b, Co-crystal structure showing the SH3b:ligand shape complementarity. c, Rotated 621 view of a single SH3b domain showing the interaction with the P4 ligand (blue, with peptide 622 units labelled 1-4). **d**, experimental 2Fo-Fc difference map contoured at 1 σ for different regions of the bound ligand, with selected interacting residues in stick form. The L-Ala 1 end 623 panel is from the 2.5 Å dataset, others are from the 1.4 Å form. Residues from the symmetry-624 related SH3b domain are denoted by use of a prime ('), and hydrogen bonds represented as a 625 626 dashed line.

627

Figure 3. Binding activity of recombinant SH3b-mNeonGreen (SH3b-NG) proteins to purified *S. aureus* peptidoglycan

630 **a,** peptidogycan binding activities of WT SH3b-NG on *S. aureus* WT and *fem* mutants with an 631 altered peptidoglycan crossbridge. **b**, peptidogycan binding activities of WT SH3b-NG and 632 derivatives with mutations in residues involved in the interaction with the G5 ligand. **c**, 633 peptidoglycan binding activities of WT SH3b-NG and derivatives with mutations in residues 634 involved in the interaction with the P4 ligand. The graphs show dose-binding responses whilst 635 Supplementary Table 2 indicates the amount of PG required for 50% binding (PG₅₀) and the

- 636 corresponding fold change compared to the amount of PG required for 50% binding of the WT
- 637 protein as a reference.
- 638

639 Figure 4. Models for binding of a ligand at two sites

- 640 **a**, schematic representation of a receptor with two sites (R1 and R2), which bind the L1 and L2 ligand sites respectively. L1 and L2 are connected by a flexible linker. **b**, the flexibility of the 641 642 linker allows the R1 site to stay attached, but the R2 site to detach. The effective concentration 643 of L2 at the R2 site (and thus the cooperativity of binding) will depend on the length and 644 flexibility of the linker. c, if the linker is too short, it is not possible for the ligand to bind 645 simultaneously at both R1 and R2. It can alternate between both sites, which will still result in 646 cooperative binding, though less than in case **b**. **d**, a weaker enthalpy of binding (for example due to the shortness of the linker preventing optimal binding at both sites) can to some extent 647 648 be compensated by greater entropy (increased relative motion of ligand and receptor) if there
- 649 is weak binding in non-optimal positions.