UNIVERSITY BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Response to comment on "Concentrations of brominated flame retardants in indoor air and dust from Ireland reveal elevated exposure to decabromodiphenyl ethane"

Wemken, Nina; Drage, Daniel Simon; Abdallah, Mohamed Abou-Elwafa; Harrad, Stuart; Coggins, Marie Ann

DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c05010

License: None: All rights reserved

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Wemken, N, Drage, DS, Abdallah, MA-E, Harrad, S & Coggins, MA 2020, 'Response to comment on "Concentrations of brominated flame retardants in indoor air and dust from Ireland reveal elevated exposure to decabromodiphenyl ethane", *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 54, no. 18, pp. 11634-11635. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05010

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in Environmental Science and Technology, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05010

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

•Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.

•User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?) •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Response to Additional Perspectives on Methodology and Interpretation of Wemken et al. 2019

Nina Wemken,† Daniel Simon Drage,‡ Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah,‡ Stuart Harrad,‡ and Marie Ann Coggins

[†]School of Physics and the Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland, Galway, H91TK33, Ireland

‡School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: marie.coggins@nuigalway.ie

We thank Thomas Osimitz and coauthors for their letter¹ regarding our paper entitled "Concentrations of Brominated Flame Retardants in Indoor Air and Dust from Ireland Reveal Elevated Exposure to Decabromodiphenyl Ethane", published last year (2019) in *Environmental Science and Technology*².

We are grateful that they support our view that "*High-end estimates of exposure to BDE-209* for Irish adults, toddlers, and school children are 100 ng/kg bw/day, 2500 ng/kg bw/day, and 1100 ng/kg/day, respectively, and below the USEPA reference dose (RfD) value for adults of 7000 ng/kg bw/day. Those for Octa-BDE (BDE-183), Penta-BDE (BDE-47 and BDE-99), and Σ HBCDD are also below USEPA guidelines"². We are also pleased that they highlight our previous work³ showing that exposure of some UK children to BDE-209 via indoor dust exceeds the USEPA's RfD. We also note that other researchers⁴ have suggested a much lower exposure limit for BDE-99 – e.g. a maximal allowed intake level of 0.26 ng/kg bw/day. Moreover, in November 2017, the United Kingdom's Committee on Toxicity (UK COT) expressed "*potential concern* (about) *BDE-99 and -153 exposure from breast milk at age 12-18 months, and for exposure to BDE-99 and -209 in dust and soil in children aged 1-5 years*".⁵ We further highlight that the RfD that Osimitz et al applied for HBCDD of 200 µg/kg bw/day, was calculated by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), using data from an unpublished subchronic study performed in rats in 1970⁶. The NAS concluded that confidence in this RfD for HBCDD is low, because of a lack of other subchronic and chronic studies. Moreover, in October 2016, the UK COT stated that "*high levels* (of HBCDD) *in household dust continues to be a cause for concern*"⁷, citing a toxicological reference point of 3 µg/kg bw/day.

Turning now to DBDPE, the RfD cited by Osimitz et al is not formally recognized and originates from a comment in *Environmental Science and Technology*.⁸ It is based on the lowest NOAEL of 1,000 mg/kg bw/day in a 90-day repeated dose study in rats⁹, with subsequent application of the EPA's maximum recommended composite uncertainty factor (i.e. 3,000). While this value does indeed provide some reassurance, we note that the NOAEL on which it is based was published in 2002. Moreover, we note further the EPA's 2014 assessment of alternatives to Deca-BDE, which rates DBDPE a similarly high hazard to Deca-BDE with respect to developmental toxicity¹⁰. This is concerning as the endpoint that drives the EPA's RfD for Deca-BDE is neurodevelopmental toxicity. Finally, we highlight that risk assessment based on our measurements of DBDPE concentrations in indoor air and dust, does not take into account exposures via dermal uptake from treated fabrics, from the diet, and via human milk for breast-fed infants. Taking such additional exposures into

account will inevitably increase the Hazard Quotient and in conclusion we stand firmly by our statement that "detailed study of the health implications of exposure to DBDPE is thus recommended."²

References

- Osimitz, T. G.; Blais, M.; Hayes, A. W.; Kacew, S.; Droege, W. Additional Perspectives on Methodology and Interpretation of Wemken et al. 2019, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
- Wemken, N.; Drage, D. S.; Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Harrad, S.; Coggins, M. A. Concentrations of Brominated Flame Retardants in Indoor Air and Dust from Ireland Reveal Elevated Exposure to Decabromodiphenyl Ethane. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 53, 9826-9836.
- Harrad, S.; Goosey, E.; Desborough, J.; Abdallah, M. A.-E.; Roosens, L.; Covaci, A. Dust from UK primary school classrooms and daycare centers: The significance of dust as a pathway of exposure of young UK children to Brominated Flame Retardants and Polychlorinated Biphenyls. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 4198–4202.
- De Winter-Sorkina, R.; Bakker, M. I.; Wolterink, G.; Zeilmaker, M. J. 2006. Brominated Flame Retardants: Occurrence, Dietary Intake and Risk Assessment. RIVM report 320100002/2006 Accessed 17th July 2020. <u>https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/320100002.pdf</u>
- 5. Addendum to the 2015 COT statement on potential risks from polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the infant diet: potential risks from polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the diets of infants and young children (November 2017) Accessed 20th July 2020. <u>https://cot.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/statementpbdes.pdf</u>

- Zeller, H.; Kirsch, P. 1970. Hexabromocyclododecane: 90-day Feeding Trials With Rats. Pharmakologisches Institute, BASF Institute for Industrial Hygiene and Pharmacology, Federal Republic of Germany. EPA/OTS Doc. #86–900000380.
- Addendum to the 2015 COT Statement on potential risks from hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDDs) in the infant diet (October 2016) Accessed 20th July 2020. <u>https://cot.food.gov.uk/sites/default/files/finaladdendumonhbcdds.pdf</u>
- Hardy, M.; Biesemeir, J.; Banasik, M.; Stedeford, T. Comment on "Alternate and New Brominated Flame Retardants Detected in U.S. House Dust". *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 9453-9454.
- Hardy, M. L.; Margitich, D.; Ackerman, L.; Smith, R. L. The subchronic oral toxicity of ethane, 1,2-bis(pentabromophenyl) (Saytex 8010) in rats. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 2002, 21, 165–170.
- 10. USEPA, 2014. An Alternatives Assessment for the Flame Retardant Decabromodiphenyl Ether (DecaBDE) Final Report. Accessed 17th July 2020. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-05/documents/decabde final.pdf