UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Review article: chronic liver disease and pregnancy

Faulkes, Rosemary E.; Chauhan, Abhishek; Knox, Ellen; Johnston, Tracey; Thompson, Fiona; Ferguson, James

DOI: 10.1111/apt.15908 *License:* Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Faulkes, RE, Chauhan, A, Knox, E, Johnston, T, Thompson, F & Ferguson, J 2020, 'Review article: chronic liver disease and pregnancy', *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 420-429. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15908

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

•Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.

Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)

•Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Received: 7 January 2020

DOI: 10.1111/apt.15908



AP&T Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics WILEY

Review article: chronic liver disease and pregnancy

Rosemary E. Faulkes¹ Abhishek Chauhan^{1,2} | Ellen Knox³ | Tracey Johnston³ | Fiona Thompson¹ | James Ferguson^{1,2}

¹Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK

²Centre for Liver Research, Institute of Immunology and Inflammation, and National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre, The Medical School, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

³Birmingham Womens' Hospital, Birmingham, UK

Correspondence

Rosemary E. Faulkes, Liver Unit, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK. Email: rosemary.faulkes@nhs.net

Summary

Background: The prevalence of chronic liver disease in women of child bearing age is increasing, leading to a higher incidence of pregnancy in this cohort. Chronic medical conditions have a significant adverse effect on maternal morbidity and mortality. To date, reviews on this topic have been written either from a hepatology or obstetrics viewpoint, and no specific guidelines are available solely for the management of chronic liver disease in pregnancy.

Aims: To produce a comprehensive review on the clinical management of women with chronic liver disease during pregnancy, addressing the risks of pregnancy to mother and child, how these risks can be ameliorated, and what additional considerations are required for management of chronic liver disease in pregnancy.

Methods: Data were collected up to May 2020 from the biomedical database PubMed, national and international guidelines in gastroenterology and hepatology.

Results: During pregnancy, women with cirrhosis are more likely to develop decompensated disease, worsening of portal hypertension, and to deliver premature infants. **Conclusions:** The risks associated with pregnancy can be ameliorated by advanced planning, assessing risk using the model for end stage liver disease score and risk reduction through varices screening. A multidisciplinary approach is paramount in order to minimise complications and maximise the chance of a safe pregnancy and birth for mother and baby.

The Handling Editor for this article was Professor Gideon Hirschfield, and this uncommissioned review was accepted for publication after full peer-review.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

1 | INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy in the presence of cirrhosis, defined as permanent scarring of the liver, was considered a rare event. However, the epidemiology of chronic liver disease in the Western world is changing. Liver disease and cirrhosis in adolescence and early adulthood are increasing, particularly amongst young women, with an incidence of 46.9/100 000.¹ As a result, pregnancy in the presence of cirrhosis is becoming more common.^{2,3}

In the United Kingdom, the impact of chronic medical conditions on maternal health is evaluated nationally by MBRRACE UK. All deaths that have occurred during pregnancy and up to a year post-partum are reviewed, either direct maternal deaths related to pregnancy, or indirect maternal deaths arising from pre-existing conditions or de novo disease presenting during pregnancy, but not directly attributable to it. Between 2011 and 2017, two thirds of all maternal deaths were in women with pre-existing medical conditions. There was no improvement in the indirect maternal death rate during this period.⁴ As recommended by the national maternal mortality confidential enquiries, active involvement of physicians and obstetricians before, during and following pregnancy in women with chronic medical conditions is vital if maternal morbidity and mortality are to be improved. It must be recognised that many of these women will be unaware of the risks of pregnancy, and it is the duty of their physicians to ensure they are well informed.

For women with liver disease, the principle risks during pregnancy relate to heightened portal hypertension leading to decompensation; to the foetus, the risks are of prematurity, low birth weight and considerations of medication exposure in utero. This article will discuss these risks and focus on the management of pre-existing chronic liver disease in pregnancy, rather than pregnancy-specific liver conditions.

2 | CARDIOVASCULAR CHANGES IN PREGNANCY

During pregnancy the maternal cardiovascular system undergoes dramatic change, inducing a hyperdynamic circulation. Systemic vascular resistance decreases while venous return, heart rate and stroke volume increase.⁵ As a result, cardiac output increases by up to 30%-40% in the early third trimester.⁶⁻⁸ Activation of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone axis leads to fluid retention; plasma volume expands by 45% in the third trimester.⁹

As pregnancy progresses the gravid uterus compresses the inferior vena cava, and in late pregnancy can cause complete occlusion of the abdominal vena cava in a supine position. Collateral vessels expand to facilitate venous drainage during pregnancy, including the azygos and vertebral veins, and potentially the portal venous system.^{10,11}

While measurements of portal and hepatic vein flow in pregnancy have historically produced conflicting results, more recent data using Doppler ultrasound have shown an increase in portal vein flow by 50% in late pregnancy with a corresponding increase in total liver blood flow.¹²⁻¹⁴ The clinical implications of these cardiovascular changes for women with pre-existing liver disease will be discussed below (Figure 1).

3 | BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES IN PREGNANCY

Increased plasma volume creates a relative normocytic anaemia due to haemodilution.¹⁵ The platelet count also falls to the lower end of normal. Ten percent of women may develop a benign gestational thrombocytopenia in the second or third trimester, with platelet counts between 100 and 150×10^9 cells/L. Lower or rapidly falling platelet counts should prompt further investigation.¹⁶ Pregnancy is a hypercoagulable state, but INR and PT are not affected.¹⁵

While the reference ranges for most serum liver parameters remain similar in pregnancy, alkaline phosphatase and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) are both higher, due to secretion from the placenta and foetal skeleton, and foetal liver, respectively. AFP increases with gestation and is affected by numerous factors including maternal weight, smoking and ethnicity, hence interpretation during pregnancy is difficult.¹⁷ A high result should be repeated in 1-2 weeks, and if rising greatly, further investigation may be warranted. Biochemical changes during pregnancy are summarised in Table 1.^{18,19}

4 | FERTILITY IN CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE

To some degree fertility rates are affected by the aetiology of liver disease and the presence or absence of cirrhosis. Women with autoimmune disease such as primary sclerosing cholangitis have conception rates equal with the general population.²⁰ However, fertility in women with established cirrhosis of any aetiology has historically been low due to a combination of hypothalamic-pituitary dysfunction and low BMI resulting in amenorrhoea, reduced libido associated with chronic disease and, perhaps, reluctance from the medical profession to expose them to the risks associated with pregnancy.²¹ As the prevalence of cirrhosis in women of child bearing age increases, so too does the incidence of pregnancy in this cohort. Delivery rates in women with cirrhosis nearly doubled over a 20-year period, and therefore it should not be assumed that these patients cannot conceive.³

As with many chronic conditions, the chances of a successful pregnancy are highest if the liver disease is well controlled, and maternal risk factors minimised, before conception. It is also important that women feel supported in their pregnancy decisions and fully informed of the risks and help available before embarking on pregnancy.

5 | PRECONCEPTION COUNSELLING

All women with chronic liver disease should be asked about their family plans. Those who wish to avoid pregnancy should be counselled regarding contraception. Long acting methods of contraception such



FAULKES ET AL.

changes in pregnancy. Created with BioRender.com

ALT (IU/L)↔0-25 0-406-32 10-30GGT (IU/L)↔7-333-43Alk Phos (IU/L)↑30-15032-100 (1st trimester) 43-135 (2nd trimester) 133-418 (3rd trimester)
GGT (IU/L) ↔ 7-33 3-43 Alk Phos (IU/L) ↑ 30-150 32-100 (1st trimester) 43-135 (2nd trimester) 43-135 (2nd trimester) 133-418 (3rd trimester) 133-418 (3rd trimester)
Alk Phos (IU/L) ↑ 30-150 32-100 (1st trimester) 43-135 (2nd trimester) 133-418 (3rd trimester)
timester)
Albumin (g/L) ↓ 35-46 28-37
Platelet (10 ⁹ /L) \leftrightarrow 150-400 150-400
INR PT (s) ↔ 0.8-1.2 0.8-1.2 10-14 10-14

TABLE 1 Physiological differences in serum biochemistry during pregnancy. Note that reference ranges will vary locally.18,19

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase; alk phos, alkaline phosphatase; INR, international normalised ratio; and PT, prothrombin time.

as intra-uterine devices are recommended due to their lower failure rate, but barrier methods, copper devices and progestin or combined hormone contraceptives may all be offered to women with chronic liver disease and compensated cirrhosis. The combined oral contraceptive pill is contraindicated in decompensated cirrhosis.²²

For those wishing to explore the option of pregnancy, counselling should be delivered by a team with experience in managing liver disease in pregnancy. Ideally this would include a gastroenterologist or hepatologist, obstetrician, dietician, specialist nurse and midwife, with communication to the primary care physician.

Assessment by a dietician and optimisation of the woman's nutritional status is an important facet of multidisciplinary team management. Women should be advised to take 400 μ m of folic acid daily prior to conception and throughout the first trimester to prevent neural tube defects. Higher doses of 5mg daily are recommended for women with a BMI >30.23,24

Prior to conception, women with cirrhosis should be up to date with endoscopic variceal surveillance, according to Baveno criteria.²⁵ Varices should be treated with beta blockers or band ligation. While beta blockers do confer a risk of intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR), foetal and neonatal bradycardia and neonatal hypoglycaemia, benefits are deemed to outweigh these risks.²⁶ The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and American Society of Gastroenterology both advocate the use of propranolol during pregnancy for primary prophylaxis against variceal haemorrhage.²⁷ Risks associated with beta blockers are a class effect, therefore carvedilol and nadolol may also be used.

Due to the cardiovascular changes in pregnancy discussed above, all women with portal hypertension should have a further screening endoscopy in the second trimester.²⁷ Endoscopists should be mindful of the increased risk of aspiration of gastric contents in the pregnant patient. Potential risks to the foetus can arise from medication use and maternal hypotension: therefore, normal blood pressure should be maintained during the procedure.²⁸ Low dose midazolam may be administered, with judicious use in late pregnancy as high dose benzodiazepines can cause respiratory depression in the neonate.²⁹ Gastroscopy should be performed in the left lateral position to minimise compression of the inferior vena cava by the gravid uterus and so reduce the risk of maternal hypotension, decreased uterine flow and foetal hypoxia.²⁷ Endoscopy in pregnancy has been associated with prematurity and low birth weight infants, but not with congenital malformation or stillbirth.³⁰ Overall, the risks are outweighed by the significant benefit of screening and treating varices, and endoscopy performed by an experienced clinician is usually safe in pregnancy (Table 2).

6 | MATERNAL RISKS

The principle risks to the mother arise from decompensation of liver disease during pregnancy, which may affect 10%-16% of women.^{31,32} Evaluating this risk been reported using Model for End stage Liver Disease (MELD). This score incorporates serum creatinine, bilirubin and INR, and was first used to predict the mortality risk for patients with cirrhosis undergoing a procedure.³³ United Kingdom Model for End stage Liver Disease (UKELD) is a similar score that also includes serum sodium.³⁴ Westbrook et al describe the use of MELD in pregnancy. Women with a MELD ≤6 did not suffer any complications.

 TABLE 2
 Summary of key points to address during prepregnancy counselling

Pre-pregnancy counselling

Women with chronic liver disease can have a successful pregnancy

- Chances of conception are greatest when the chronic disease is well controlled
- MDT should include a gastroenterologist/hepatologist, obstetrician, specialist nurse, midwife, dietician and primary care physician

Screen for and treat oesophageal varices prior to conception

Beta blockers are recommended for primary prophylaxis against variceal haemorrhage

Those who decompensated during pregnancy all had a MELD score \geq 10. It is this score of severity, rather than the aetiology of liver disease, that correlates most closely with pregnancy outcome.³¹

Maternal mortality in cirrhosis is between 0% and 4%.^{3,31,32,35} While this has improved over recent decades, it remains significantly above the national maternal mortality rate (0.01%).⁴ Increased rates of placental abruption and post-partum haemorrhage have also been reported.^{3,36} The risks are summarised in Figure 2.

7 | FOETAL RISKS

Infants born to women with chronic liver disease are more likely to be premature and to have low birth weight. Prematurity may be seen in up to two thirds of cases, although the majority of these infants are born from 30 weeks onwards. Delivery at <30 weeks may occur in one in five pregnancies. Prematurity is associated with higher UKELD and MELD scores at conception, but not with the aetiology of liver disease.³¹

Rates of spontaneous abortion, defined as pregnancy loss before 24 weeks gestation, are similar to the general population.^{31,37} There is no significant increased risk of stillbirth, miscarriage or congenital malformation.^{2,35,38}

8 | MODE OF DELIVERY

Around the time of delivery, the risk of variceal haemorrhage increases, either due to Valsalva manoeuvres during vaginal birth or inadvertent trauma to intra-abdominal varices during caesarean section.^{27,39} There are no studies directly comparing the two modes of birth in women with chronic liver disease. Rates of caesarean section are higher compared to the general population, but this may be due to clinician bias.³ In order to reduce the risk of variceal haemorrhage, a screening upper GI endoscopy should be performed in the second trimester (see above), and antenatal imaging of the pelvis with MRI may help to identify pelvic varices and inform a delivery plan for obstetricians. In women whose varices have been treated and eradicated, no additional considerations for birth are necessary. If there are small varices present, vaginal birth is permissible but a short second stage of labour is advised. In the case of significant varices, elective caesarean section may be considered, but evidence guiding decisions on delivery for such women is scarce, and will therefore require a case by case approach.

9 | MANAGEMENT OF DECOMPENSATED CIRRHOSIS DURING PREGNANCY

9.1 | Varices

By 34 weeks gestation, the maternal circulating volume has expanded by up to 50%.¹⁵ The associated increase in portal venous flow and pressure augments the risk of variceal haemorrhage, making it the



most frequent and significant mode of hepatic decompensation during pregnancy. The incidence of variceal bleed has been described to differing degrees. Recent case series report an incidence of 5% for all patients with cirrhosis, with a maternal mortality rate of 0%-18%.^{3,31,40} Infants are more likely to have low birth weight if exposed to poorly controlled variceal haemorrhage while in utero.⁴¹

The risk of variceal haemorrhage is highest in the second trimester and labour.²⁷ In the case of an acute bleed, immediate resuscitation with fluids and blood products and the use of antibiotics all remain appropriate in pregnancy. Terlipressin and octreotide can cause uterine vasoconstriction and ischaemia, but these risks must be weighed against the mortality benefit to the mother, and therefore may be required for life threatening haemorrhage.^{42,43}

Upper GI endoscopy should be performed in the left lateral position, as discussed above. Successful placement of a TIPSS in pregnant women has been reported in cases where endoscopic therapy has failed.⁴⁴ This involves placing a stent through the liver, between the portal vein and inferior vena cava, under fluoroscopic guidance. Radiation exposure to the foetus can increase the risk of childhood leukaemia and congenital malformation.⁴⁵ Radiation sparing manoeuvres have been described during TIPSS that limited the estimated foetal radiation exposure to 5.49mSv, only slightly above annual background radiation of 3-4mSv.⁴⁶

9.2 | Splenic artery aneurysm

While rare in the general population, aneurysms of the splenic artery are strongly associated with pregnancy.⁴⁷ Increased splanchnic flow

develops due to a hyperdynamic circulation and proximal shunting from the pressure of the gravid uterus.⁴⁸ These effects are compounded in women with portal hypertension, and therefore the risk of developing splenic artery aneurysm (SAA) in pregnancy is even greater, although the incidence for this specific cohort is not known.^{49,50}

Ruptured SAA's usually present in the second or third trimester with acute abdominal pain and profound hypovolaemic shock. Mortality has historically been as high as 70%, although more recently a rate of 21% has been reported.^{48,50} Management is with splenic artery ligation or splenectomy by emergency laparotomy. Rupture during labour is uncommon.^{47,48}

Management of non-ruptured SAA is not well defined. Elective treatment has been recommended for aneurysms >2 cm. However, aneurysms as small as 0.5 cm have been shown to rupture during pregnancy, prompting some clinicians to advocate proactive management of SAA of any size in a pregnant patient.^{47,48,51} As such, women with cirrhosis should be screened for SAA with ultrasound scan. Management may include aneurysm ligation or splenectomy for distal SAA's. More recently, successful decompression of the portal system with TIPSS followed by embolisation of the splenic artery has been reported.⁴⁹

9.3 | Ascites and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

Ascites during pregnancy affects around 10% of women with cirrhosis.³,³⁹ Diuretics such as furosemide and bumetanide can be used to control ascites.²⁹ Spironolactone has been shown to cause

425

feminisation of the male foetus in animal models, but is permissible for use during pregnancy if the benefit is deemed sufficient.

Pregnancy is a relative contraindication to therapeutic paracentesis, but may be necessary for refractory ascites.⁵¹ TIPSS is not routinely recommended during pregnancy, although there are isolated case reports of successful placement.⁴⁴

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis should be managed according to international guidelines with human albumin solution on Day 1 and Day 3, along with third generation cephalosporins.⁵² Quinolones should be avoided in pregnancy.²⁹

9.4 | Hepatic encephalopathy

Hepatic encephalopathy in pregnancy is rare, affecting 1%.³ Precipitating factors should be sought, including infection, electrolyte disturbance, medications and GI haemorrhage. Lactulose is not known to be harmful. Rifaximin has been shown to cause birth defects in animal models; the effect on the human foetus is not yet clear, and therefore it should be avoided in pregnancy.⁵²

9.5 | Porto-pulmonary hypertension

This rare condition has not been reported in pregnancy. Pulmonary hypertension is considered a contraindication to pregnancy due to the risk of right ventricular failure and an unacceptably high maternal mortality rate. For the small cohort who become pregnant despite medical advice, management by a specialist team and planned elective delivery are crucial. Where pulmonary hypertension is well controlled, maternal mortality has improved, yet at 12-33% it remains far above the national average (Table 3).⁵³⁻⁵⁵

10 | SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

10.1 | Hepatitis B

All pregnant women with chronic hepatitis B and advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis should be treated with tenofovir disoproxil (TDF). Women already established on a different antiviral should be switched to tenofovir at the earliest opportunity.⁵⁶ All women should have LFT's monitored during pregnancy. A monitoring interval of 6 weeks in the first and second trimester, and every 4 weeks in the final trimester has been suggested.⁵⁷

The mode of delivery and its potential role in vertical transmission has been studied, but at present there is insufficient data to recommend caesarean over vaginal birth, and therefore both modes of delivery may be offered.⁵⁸ Infants born to HBsAg positive mothers should receive hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HBIG) and vaccination within 12 hours of delivery, followed by two further vaccines at 1 and 12 months. This is in addition to the routine vaccination programme for all infants, which includes hepatitis B vaccines at 8, 12 **TABLE 3**Summary of emergency management ofdecompensated chronic liver disease in pregnancy. SBP(spontaneous bacterial peritonitis)

Management of decompensated chronic liver disease in pregnancy	Variceal haemorrhage: Resuscitate, endoscope in left lateral position, avoid terlipressin unless life threatening haemorrhage
	Ascites: Spironolactone or furosemide if required, paracentesis if necessary
	SBP: Give human albumin solution and antibiotics, avoid quinolones
	Hepatic Encephalopathy: Investigate for precipitant. Use lactulose, avoid rifaximin
	Ruptured Splenic Artery Aneurysm: Resuscitate, emergency laparotomy

and 16 weeks. The combination of HBIG and vaccination reduces vertical transmission from over 90% to less than 10%.⁵⁹

Women with high HBsAg levels (>4 \log_{10} IU/ml) or Hep B DNA >200 000 IU/L are at high risk of transmission despite the use of HBIG and vaccination, and should therefore receive prophylactic tenofovir from the start of the third trimester to delivery. TDF may be continued up to 12 weeks post-partum at the discretion of the clinician, and agreed with the patient. Breastfeeding is safe, including women taking tenofovir.⁵⁷

Hepatitis B may flare post-partum, therefore, continuted monitoring of ALT and Hepatitis B DNA levels is necessary.⁶⁰ Flares may relate to post-partum immunological changes in the mother, and can lead to HepBeAg seroconversion.⁶¹ ALT should be monitored monthly for 3 months post-partum, then at 6 and 12 months.^{58,62}

10.2 | Hepatitis C

As hepatocellular damage and fibrosis in hepatitis C are largely immune mediated, the relative immune paresis of pregnancy generally results in lower ALT and higher hepatitis C RNA in the second and third trimesters. Fibrosis is not advanced by pregnancy.⁶³ The principle concern pertains to vertical transmission of the virus, which for untreated women is around 6%.⁶⁴ HIV co-infection has been shown to increase this risk, although it is lower for women established on treatment with low or undetectable HIV viral load.^{65,66} At present, treatment is not recommended during pregnancy due to insufficient data on the safety profile of direct acting antivirals on foetal development, although a couple of case series have reported treatment success with sofosbuvir ± ledipasvir without adverse outcome.⁶⁷ Ribavirin is teratogenic and should be stopped at least 6 months prior to conception.⁶⁸

Currently, the only strategies to reduce vertical transmission are to treat HIV and avoid invasive procedures during labour, such as foetal scalp monitoring or the use of forceps. It is, therefore, preferential for women complete antiviral treatment prior to conception.⁶⁸ All women should be offered HIV testing as part of their booking bloods. Hepatitis C screening in pregnancy is conducted in the United States, but not offered routinely in the United Kingdom.

If antiviral treatment is being considered after delivery, hepatitis C RNA should be tested again as spontaneous clearance of hepatitis C can occur post-partum.⁶⁸ Women with hepatitis C can breastfeed, as long as nipples are not cracked or bleeding.⁶⁹ Maternal antibodies can be transferred in utero so infant testing for hepatitis C should be delayed until 12 months of age.^{66,70}

10.3 | Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease places women at greater risk of developing gestational diabetes, independent of body mass index (BMI), although screening is currently reserved for women with BMI >30 or other risk factors, not non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).⁷¹⁻⁷⁵ Increased rates of pre-eclampsia are also associated with NAFLD.⁷²

The risk of complications for women with NAFLD will be influenced by the presence of co-morbidities associated with the metabolic phenotype. Obesity, hypertension and diabetes can each exert independent, adverse effects on pregnancy. Management of NAFLD in pregnancy may, therefore, require input from multiple medical specialties.

10.4 | Autoimmune hepatitis

Disease activity of autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) may increase during pregnancy, but for many women it will initially reduce and then flare post-partum.⁷⁶ Immunosuppression in the form of prednisolone or azathioprine is safe in pregnancy and breastfeeding, and should be continued in order to maintain maternal, and therefore foetal, health. Mycophenolate is associated with congenital abnormalities and spontaneous abortion, and therefore alternative treatment should be used for women considering pregnancy. The MHRA advise a minimum washout period of 6 weeks between stopping treatment and conception.⁷⁷ However, experienced hepatologists recommend discontinuing mycophenolate for 6 months, allowing time for introduction of alternative immunosuppression and disease stabilisation prior to pregnancy.⁴² Women are more likely to have a healthy pregnancy and successful delivery if AIH has been well controlled for a year prior to conceiving.

Monitoring LFTs on a monthly basis is advised post-partum. Flares usually respond to increased immunosuppression. Women who reduce immunosuppression during pregnancy are more likely to flare after delivery, highlighting the importance of pre-pregnancy counselling and reassurance regarding the safety profile of specific medication during pregnancy.⁷⁸

10.5 | Primary biliary cholangitis and primary sclerosing cholangitis

Pregnant women with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) can expect to have similar outcomes to

the general population.^{20,79} However, pruritis may be exacerbated due to worsening cholestasis. Symptom management may include ursodeoxycholic acid and second line therapy with cholestyramine, both of which are safe to use in pregnancy. Rifampicin may also be used, but it inhibits vitamin K production.⁸⁰ Neonates born to women taking rifampicin are, therefore, at greater risk of vitamin K deficiency and bleeding, and so internationally recommended vitamin K supplementation within 6 hours of delivery becomes particularly pertinent for these infants.⁸¹

10.6 | Wilson's disease

Prior to conception, women with Wilson's may wish to discuss the risks of disease transmission. Wilson's is autosomal recessive, with a 0.5% risk of homozygosity in infants born to affected mothers. Genetic testing of the partner prior to conception will help clinicians inform families of the likelihood of having a child affected by Wilson's.⁸²

Women established on chelation therapy have significantly lower rates of spontaneous abortion than treatment naïve patients.⁸³ Interruptions to treatment during pregnancy can lead to fulminant liver failure; therefore, consistent therapy throughout pregnancy is advised.⁸⁴ Dose reduction of chelating agents by 25%-50% is recommended, particularly during the third trimester, in order to promote wound healing in the case of caesarean delivery. No dose adjustment is required to zinc sulphate. Women taking penicillamine should avoid breastfeeding.^{82,85}

10.7 | Non-cirrhotic portal hypertension

Women with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension (NCPH) have normal fertility, but are at risk of similar complications from portal hypertension as those with cirrhosis. Variceal haemorrhage affects 8%-34% of pregnancies, and is more likely if women are diagnosed with de novo disease during pregnancy. Worsening ascites may be seen in 0%-2%.⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ Women are at risk of developing portal vein thrombosis. It may be appropriate to discuss the pros and cons of venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in women who are not already anticoagulated, particularly for 6 weeks post-partum, in order to reduce the risk of developing a portal vein thrombosis during this procoagulant period.⁸⁷

Neonatal outcomes are generally good, although some studies report an association between NCPH and pre-term delivery (0%-50%) and low birth weight infants.^{87,89}

10.8 | Hepatocellular carcinoma

As hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) incidence peaks in the 8th decade and is more common in men, it is extremely rare in pregnancy.⁹⁰ Incidence is associated with higher parity.⁹¹ Although oestrogens and pregnancy can accelerate the growth of hepatic adenomas, their effect on HCC is not clearly defined.⁹²⁻⁹⁴ Women may be asymptomatic or present with a palpable mass, or acute abdominal pain in the case of tumour rupture.⁹⁵ Synthetic function is usually preserved and liver disease remains compensated.⁹⁶ HCC may be diagnosed by ultrasound and further characterised with non-contrast MRI. Alpha-fetoprotein is difficult to interpret in pregnancy as it is also produced by the foetal gut and liver, affected by maternal characteristics, and is not secreted by all hepatocellular tumours.⁹⁷

Management during pregnancy may include hepatectomy.^{98,99} Earlier diagnosis and active treatment have translated to modest improvements in survival for this small cohort.⁹⁶

11 | CONCLUSIONS

The rising prevalence of cirrhosis in young women has led to increased incidence of chronic liver disease in pregnancy. These women are at a higher risk of decompensated disease, variceal bleed and premature delivery, resulting in higher rates of low birth weight infants. The UK Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS) has conducted a national survey to better establish the incidence and outcomes of pregnancy in cirrhosis, the results of which are awaited with interest by the authors. Currently, assessment of risk using the MELD score, screening for oesophageal and pelvic varices and splenic artery aneurysm may ameliorate the complication rate. Women with chronic liver disease have improved outcomes if their disease is well controlled prior to conceiving, and monitored during pregnancy. Multidisciplinary management is essential in order to continue to improve maternal morbidity and mortality for women with chronic liver disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declaration of personal interests: AC is recipient of an NIHR award and Wellcome Trust clinical research fellowship. He has provided consultancy services to Principia Biopharma, San Francisco. EK received funding for educational talks from UCB Pharma. JF has been paid for consultancy work with FALK. This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

AUTHORSHIP

Guarantor of the article: RE Faulkes.

Author contributions: REF wrote the manuscript with support from AC and JF. TJ, EK and FT reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

ORCID

Rosemary E. Faulkes D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0501-9715 James Ferguson https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2529-0409

REFERENCES

- Flemming JA, Dewit Y, Mah JM, Saperia J, Groome PA, Booth CM. Incidence of cirrhosis in young birth cohorts in Canada from 1997 to 2016: a retrospective population-based study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2019;4:217–226.
- Hagstrom H, Hoijer J, Marschall HU, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy in mothers with cirrhosis: A national population-based cohort study of 1.3 million pregnancies. *Hepatol Comm.* 2018;2:1299–1305.
- Shaheen AAM, Myers RP. The outcomes of pregnancy in patients with cirrhosis: a population-based study. *Liver Int.* 2010;30:275–283.
- 4. Knight M, Bunch K, Tuffnell D, Shakespeare J, Kotnis R, Kenyon S.Kurinczuk JJ (Eds.) on behalf of MBRRACE-UK. Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care Lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2015-17. Oxford: National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, 2019. https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/downl oads/files/mbrrace-uk/reports/MBRRACE-UK%20Maternal%20Rep ort%202019%20-%20WEB%20VERSION.pdf. Updated November 2019. Accessed January 2, 2020
- Khalil A, Goodyear G, Joseph E, Khalil A. PP097 Cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance in normal pregnancy and in control non-pregnant women. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2012;2:292–293.
- Meah VL, Cockcroft JF, Backx K, Shave R, Stöhr EJ. Cardiac output and related haemodynamics during pregnancy: a series of meta-analyses. *Heart*. 2016;102:518–526.
- Melchiorre K, Sharma R, Khalil A, Thilaganathan B. Maternal cardiovascular function in normal pregnancy. Evidence of maladaptation to chronic volume overload. *Hypertension*. 2016;67:754–762.
- 8. Mustafa R, Ahmed S, Gupta A, Venuto RC. A comprehensive review of hypertension in pregnancy. *J Pregnancy*. 2012;2012:105918.
- de Haas S, Ghossein-Doha C, van Kuijk SMJ, van Drongelen J, Spaanderman MEA. Physiological adaptation of maternal plasma volume during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;49:177–187.
- 10. Scott DB, Kerr MG. Inferior vena caval pressure in late pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw. 1963;70:1044-1049.
- 11. Humphries A, Stone P, Mirjalili SA. The collateral venous system in late pregnancy: a systematic review of the literature. *Clin Anat.* 2017;30:1087-1095.
- 12. Nakai A, Sekiya I, Oya A, Koshino T, Araki T. Assessment of the hepatic arterial and portal venous blood flows during pregnancy with Doppler ultrasonography. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2002;266:25–29.
- Clapp JF, Stepanchak W, Tomaselli J, Kortan M, Faneslow S. Portal vein blood flow—effects of pregnancy, gravity, and exercise. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2000;183:167–172.
- 14. Munnell EW, Taylor HC Jr. Liver blood flow in pregnancy hepatic vein catheterization. J Clin Invest. 1947;26:952–956.
- Soma-Pillay P, Nelson-Piercy C, Tolppanen H, Mebazaa A. Physiological changes in pregnancy. *Cardiovasc J Afr.* 2016;27:89–94.
- Eslick R, McLintock C. Managing ITP and thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. Platelets. 2019;1–7.
- Bredaki FE, Sciorio C, Wright A, Wright D, Nicolaides KH. Serum alpha-fetoprotein in the three trimesters of pregnancy: effects of maternal characteristics and medical history. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;46:34–41.
- Walker I, Chappell LC, Williamson C. Abnormal liver function tests in pregnancy. BMJ. 2013;347:f6055.
- Longmore M, Wilkinson IB, Davidson EH, Foulkes A, Oxford MAR. Handbook of Clinical Medicine, 8th edn. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2010.

- 20. Wellge BE, Sterneck M, Teufel A, et al. Pregnancy in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gut.* 2011;60:1117–1121.
- Cundy TF, Butler J, Pope RM, Saggar-Malik AK, Wheeler MJ, Williams R. Amenorrhoea in women with non-alcoholic chronic liver disease. *Gut.* 1991;32:202–206.
- 22.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Summary chart of U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use. https://www. cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/contraception/pdf/summary-chart-usmedical-eligibility-criteria_508tagged.pdf. Updated 2017. Accessed February 22, 2020
- 23.NHS. Vitamins, supplements and nutrition in pregnancy-Your pregnancy and baby guide. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/pregnancy-and-baby/vitamins-minerals-supplements-pregnant/. Updated February 18, 2020. Accessed February 20, 2020.
- 24. Denison FC, Aedla NR, Keag O, Hor K, Reynolds RM, Milne A, Diamond A.on behalf of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Care of women with obesity in pregnancy. Greentop Guideline No. 72. BJOG 2018. https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley. com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1471-0528.15386. Updated November 2018. Accessed March 25, 2020
- 25. de Franchis R. Expanding consensus in portal hypertension Report of the Baveno VI Consensus Workshop: stratifying risk and individualizing care for portal hypertension. *J Hepatol*. 2015;63:743–752.
- Merino JL, Perez-Silva A. Tacchyarrhythmias and pregnancy. E-journal of the ESC Council for Cardiology. *Practice*. 2011; May:9(31).
- Tran TT, Ahn J, Reau NS. ACG clinical guideline: liver disease and pregnancy. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016;111:176–194.
- 28. Gilinsky N, Muthanayagam N. Gastroinestinal endoscopy in pregnant and lactating women: emerging standard of care to guide decision making. Obstetric Gynae Survey. 2006;61:791–799.
- Joint Formulary Committee. British National Formulary (online) London: BMJ Group and Pharmaceutical Press. http://www.medicinescomplete.com. Accessed October 21, 2019.
- 30.Ludvigsson JF, Lebwohl B, Ekbom A, et al. Outcomes of pregnancies for women undergoing endoscopy while they were pregnant: a nationwide cohort study. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152:554–563.
- Westbrook RH, Yeoman AD, O'Grady JG, Harrison PM, Devlin J, Heneghan MA. Model for end-stage liver disease score predicts outcome in cirrhotic patients during pregnancy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011;9:694–699.
- 32. Jena P, Sheela CN, Venkatachala RP, Devarbhavi H. Obstetric outcome in women with chronic liver disease. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2017;67:263–269.
- 33. Malinchoc M, Kamath PS, Gordon FD, Peine CJ, Rank J, ter Borg PC. A model to predict poor survival in patients undergoing transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. *Hepatology*. 2000;31:864–871.
- 34. Barber K, Madden S, Allen J, Collett D, Neuberger J, Gimson A. Elective liver transplant list mortality: development of a United Kingdom endstage liver disease score. *Transplantation*. 2011;92:469–476.
- 35.Grønbaek L, Vilstrup H, Jepsen P. Pregnancy and birth outcomes in a Danish nationwide cohort of women with autoimmune hepatitis and matched population controls. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2018;48:655-663.
- 36.Aggarwal N, Sawnhey H, Suril V, Vasishta K, Jha M, Dhiman RK. Pregnancy and Cirrhosis of the Liver. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1999;39:503–506.
- Tommy's Miscarriage Statistics. https://www.tommys.org/our-organ isation/charity-research/pregnancy-statistics/miscarriage. Accessed July 28, 2019
- 38.Stokkeland K, Ludvigsson JF, Hultcrantz R, et al. Increased risk of preterm birth in women with autoimmune hepatitis – a nationwide cohort study. *Liver Int.* 2016;36:76–83.
- Rasheed SM, Abdel Monem AM, Abd Ellah AH, Abdel Fattah MS. Prognosis and determinants of pregnancy outcome among patients with post-hepatitis liver cirrhosis. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*. 2013;121:247–251.

- 40.Puljic A, Salati J, Doss A, Caughey AB. Outcomes of pregnancy complicated by cirrhosis, portal hypertension or esophageal varices. *J Matern Foetal Neonatal Med*. 2016;29:506–509.
- Pajor A, Lehoczky D. Pregnancy in liver cirrhosis. Assessment of maternal and fetal risks in eleven patients and review of the management. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 1994;38:45–50.
- Westbrook RH, Dusheiko G, Williamson C. Pregnancy and liver disease. J Hepatol. 2016;64:933–945.
- 43.Zhou X, Tripathi D, Song T, et al. Terlipressin for the treatment of acute variceal bleeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e13437.
- 44.Ingraham CR, Padia SA, Johnson GE, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement during pregnancy: a case series of five patients. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2015;38:1205–1210.
- 45.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation and pregnancy: information for clinicians. https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/radia tion/emergencies/pdf/303779-A_2019_Radiation-and-Pregn ancy_508.pdf. Updated May 7, 2019. Accessed January 2, 2020.
- 46.Savage C, Patel J, Lepe MR, Lazarre CH, Rees CR. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation for recurrent gastrointestinal bleeding during pregnancy. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2007;18:902–904.
- Sadat U, Dar O, Walsh S, Varty K. Splenic artery aneurysms in pregnancy – a systematic review. *Int J Surg.* 2008;6:261–265.
- 48.Ha JF, Phillips M, Faulkner K. Splenic artery aneurysm rupture in pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2009;146:133–137.
- 49. Niu H, Junfeng S, Jianli A, Zibo Z, Yanchao D. Dual-interventional therapy for multiple splenic artery aneurysms in a patient with portal hypertension: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98:e15205.
- 50.O'Grady JP, Day EJ, Toole AL, Paust JC. Splenic artery aneurysm rupture in pregnancy. A review and case report. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1977;50:627-630.
- Morton A. Splenic artery aneurysms, portal hypertension and pregnancy. J Hepatol. 2019;70:1025–1026.
- 52. The European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:406–460.
- 53. Hosseini-Carroll P, Mutyala M, Seth A, et al. Pregnancy and inflammatory bowel diseases: current perspectives, risks and patient management. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther. 2015;6:156–171.
- 54. Jaïs X, Olsson KM, Barbera JA, et al. Pregnancy outcomes in pulmonary arterial hypertension in the modern management era. *Eur Respir* J. 2012;40:881–885.
- 55. Duarte AG, Thomas S, Safdar Z, et al. Management of pulmonary arterial hypertension during pregnancy: a retrospective, multicenter experience. *Chest*. 2013;143:1330–1336.
- 56.Bédard E, Dimopoulos K, Gatzoulis MA. Review has there been any progress made on pregnancy outcomes among women with pulmonary arterial hypertension? *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:256–265.
- 57. The European Association for the Study of the Liver EASL. Clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol.* 2017;2017:370–398.
- 58.Li J, Chang MS, Tran TT, Nguyen MH. Management of chronic hepatitis B in pregnancy. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2017;51:789–795.
- Yang J, Zeng XM, Men YL, Zhao LS. Elective caesarean section versus vaginal delivery for preventing mother to child transmission of hepatitis B virus – a systematic review. Virol J. 2008;5:100.
- 60.NHS hepatitis B vaccine overview. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions /vaccinations/hepatitis-b-vaccine/. Updated September 2018. Accessed August 5, 2019.
- 61.ter Borg MJ, Leemans WF, de Man RA, Janssen HL. Exacerbation of chronic hepatitis B infection after delivery. *J Viral Hepatol*. 2008;15:37-41.
- 62. Lin HH, Wu WY, Kao JH, Chen DS. Hepatitis B post-partum e antigen clearance in hepatitis B carrier mothers: correlation with viral characteristics. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006;21:605–609.

- 63. NICE. Hepatitis B (chronic): diagnosis and management, Clinical guideline [CG165].https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg165. Updated October 20, 2017. Accessed May 27, 2020.
- 64. Hughes BL, Page CM, Kuller JA. Hepatitis C in pregnancy: screening, treatment, and management. AJOG. 2017;217:B2–B12.
- 65. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C Virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59:765–773.
- 66.Royal College of General Practitioners. Guidance for the prevention, testing, treatment and management of hepatitis C in primary care 2007. http://www.hepctrust.org.uk/sites/default/files/Preve ntion-Testing-Treatment-and-management-of-hep-C-in-primarycare%281%29.pdf. Accessed August 21, 2019.
- 67. Checa Cabot CA, Stoszek SK, Quarleri J, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) among HIV/HCV-coinfected women. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2012;2:126–135.
- 68.AASLD-IDSA HCV Guidance Panel. Hepatitis C Guidance 2018 update: AASLD-IDSA recommendations for testing, managing, and treating hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;67:1477-1492.
- 69. Sinclair SM, Jones JK, Miller RK, Greene MF, Kwo PY, Maddrey WC. The ribavirin pregnancy registry: an interim analysis of potential teratogenicity at the mid-point of enrollment. *Drug Saf.* 2017;40:1205-1218.
- 70.Drugs and Lactation Database (LactMed). Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine (US); 2006. Ribavirin. Updated December 3, 2018. Accessed May 3, 2020.
- 71. Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet*. 2000;356:904–947.
- 72. Mousa N, Abdel-Razik A, Shams M, et al. Impact of non-alcoholic liver disease on pregnancy. Br J Biomed Sci. 2018;75:197–199.
- Hagström H, Höijer J, Ludvigsson JF, et al. Adverse outcomes of pregnancy in women with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Liver Int*. 2016;36:268–274.
- 74. De Souza LR, Berger H, Retnakaran R, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in early pregnancy predicts dysglycemia in mid-pregnancy: prospective study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2016;111:665–670.
- 75. NICE. Gestational diabetes: risk assessment, testing, diagnosis and management. https://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/diabetes-inpregnancy/gestational-diabetes-risk-assessment-testing-diagnosisand-management. Updated June 24, 2019. Accessed March 29, 2020.
- 76. The European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Autoimmune hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2015;63:971–1004.
- 77. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. Mycophenolate mofetil, mycophenolic acid: new pregnancy-prevention advice for women and men. https://www.gov.uk/drug-safet y-update/mycophenolate-mofetil-mycophenolic-acid-new-pregnancy-prevention-advice-for-women-and-men. Updated December 14, 2015. Accessed October 30, 2019.
- Westbrook RH, Yeoman AD, Kriese S, Heneghan MA. Outcomes of pregnancy in women with autoimmune hepatitis. J Autoimmun. 2012;38:J239–J244.
- 79. Trivedi PJ, Kumagi T, Al-Harthy N, et al. Good maternal and fetal outcomes for pregnant women with primary biliary cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2014;12:1179–1185.
- 80.Sampaziotis F, Griffiths WJ. Severe coagulopathy caused by rifampicin in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis and refractory pruritus. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2012;73:826–827.
- 81.NICE. Postnatal care up to 8 weeks after birth. Clinical guideline CG37. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg37/chapter/1-Recom mendations. Updated February 2015. Accessed March 2, 2020.

- 82.EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines. Wilson's disease. J Hepatol. 2012;56:671-685.
- 83.Pfeiffenberger J, Beinhardt S, Gotthardt DN, et al. Pregnancy in Wilson's disease: management and outcome. *Hepatology*. 2018;67: 1261–1269.
- 84.Shimono N, Ishibashi H, Ikematsu H, et al. Fulminant hepatic failure during perinatal period in a pregnant woman with Wilson's disease. *Gastroenterol Jpn*. 1991;26:69–73.
- 85. Roberts EA, Schilsky ML. AASLD guidelines diagnosis and treatment of Wilson disease: an update. *Hepatology*. 2008;47:2089–2111.
- 86.Sumana G, Dadhwal V, Deka D, Mittal S. Non-cirrhotic portal hypertension and pregnancy outcome. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2008;34:801–804.
- Andrade F, Shukla A, Bureau C, et al. Pregnancy in idiopathic non-cirrhotic portal hypertension: a multicentric study on maternal and fetal management and outcome. *J Hepatol*. 2018;69:1242–1249.
- 88.Aggarwal N, Sawhney H, Vasishta K, Dhiman RK, Chawla Y. Noncirrhotic portal hypertension in pregnancy. Int J Gynecol Obstetric. 2001;72:1–7.
- Kochhar R, Kumar S, Goel RC, Sriram PV, Goenka MK, Singh K. Pregnancy and its outcome in patients with noncirrhotic portal hypertension. *Dig Dis Sci.* 1999;44:1356–1361.
- 90.Cancer Research UK. https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/healt h-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/liver -cancer/incidence#heading-One. Updated March 10, 2020. Accessed March 18, 2020
- Lau WY, Leung WT, Ho S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma during pregnancy and its comparison with other pregnancy-associated malignancies. *Cancer*. 1995;75:2669–2676.
- 92.Cobey FC, Salem RR. A review of liver masses in pregnancy and a proposed algorithm for their diagnosis and management. *Am J Surg.* 2004;187:181–191.
- Maheshwari S, Sarraj A, Kramer J, El-Serag HB. Oral contraception and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2007;47:506–513.
- 94. Liu P, Xie S-H, Hu S, et al. Age-specific sex difference in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. Oncotarget. 2017;8:68131-68137.
- Hsu KL, Ko SF, Cheng YF, Huang CC. Spontaneous rupture of hepatocellular carcinoma during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001;98: 913–916.
- 96. Choi KK, Hong YJ, Choi SB, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma during pregnancy: is hepatocellular carcinoma more aggressive in pregnant patients? J Hepatobil Pancreat Sci. 2010;18:422–431.
- Sundaram SG, Goldstein PJ, Manimekalai S, Wenk RE. Alphafetoprotein and screening markers of congenital disease. *Clin Lab Med.* 1992;12:481–492.
- Hung CC, Wu CC, Cheng SB, et al. Surgical resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in pregnancy: a case report. Asian J Surg. 2012;35:124–126.
- 99. Li A, Zhou W, Lu J, et al. Surgery for pregnancy-associated primary hepatocellular carcinoma: report of four cases. Int J Surg Case Rep. 2014;5:882–885.

How to cite this article: Faulkes RE, Chauhan A, Knox E, Johnston T, Thompson F, Ferguson J. Review article: chronic liver disease and pregnancy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2020;52:420–429. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15908</u>