UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)

Martin Ginis, Kathleen A; Ubeda-Colomer, Joan; Alrashidi, Abdullah; Nightingale, Tom E.; Au, Jason; Currie, Katharine; Hubli, Michele; Krassioukov, Andrei

DOI.

10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9

License:

Other (please specify with Rights Statement)

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Martin Ginis, KA, Ubeda-Colomer, J, Alrashidi, A, Nightingale, TE, Au, J, Currie, K, Hubli, M & Krassioukov, A 2020, 'Construct validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI)', Spinal Cord. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the Accepted Author Manuscript of the article Martin Ginis, K.A., Úbeda-Colomer, J., Alrashidi, A.A. et al. Construct validation of the leisure time physical activity questionnaire for people with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI). Spinal Cord (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41393-020-00562-9

Copyright © 2020, The Author(s), under exclusive licence to International Spinal Cord Society

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- •Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- •Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- •User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- •Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 25. Apr. 2024

1	
2	Construct Validation of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI
3	(LTPAQ-SCI)
4	
5	Kathleen A. Martin Ginis ^{1,2,3} , Joan Úbeda-Colomer ^{2,3} , Abdullah A. Alrashidi ^{2,4,5} , Tom E.
6	Nightingale ^{1,2,6} , Jason S. Au ⁷ , Katharine D. Currie ^{2,8} , Michèle Hubli ^{2,9} , Andrei Krassioukov ^{1,2,10}
7	
8	¹ Department of Medicine, Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, University of
9	British Columbia, Canada; ² International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries (ICORD),
10	University of British Columbia, Canada; ³ School of Health and Exercise Sciences, University of
11	British Columbia, Canada; ⁴ Experimental Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of
12	British Columbia, Canada; ⁵ Physical Therapy Department, King Fahad Medical City, Saudi
13	Arabia; ⁶ School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK;
14	⁷ Department of Kinesiology, University of Waterloo, Canada; ⁸ Department of Kinesiology,
15	Michigan State University, USA; ⁹ Spinal Cord Injury Center, Balgrist University Hospital,
16	University of Zurich, Switzerland; ¹⁰ GF Strong Rehabilitation Centre, Vancouver Coastal
17	Health, Canada
18	Corresponding author: Kathleen A. Martin Ginis (<u>kathleen_martin.ginis@ubc.ca</u>)

42

20 **Abstract** 21 **Study design.** Cross-sectional construct validation study. **Objectives.** To test the construct validity of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire 22 23 for People with Spinal Cord Injury (LTPAQ-SCI) by examining associations between the scale 24 responses and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in a sample of adults living with spinal cord injury 25 (SCI). 26 **Setting.** Three university-based laboratories in Canada. 27 **Methods.** Participants were 39 adults (74% male; M age: 42±11 years) with SCI who completed 28 the LTPAQ-SCI and a graded exercise test to volitional exhaustion using an arm-crank ergometer. One-tailed Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to examine the 29 30 association between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild-, moderate-, heavy-intensity and total minutes per week of LTPA and CRF (peak volume of oxygen consumption [VO_{2peak}] and peak 31 32 power output [PO_{peak}]). 33 **Results.** Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were 34 all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$. The correlation between minutes per week of mild 35 intensity LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ was small-medium (r = .231, p = .079) while all other correlations 36 were medium-large (rs ranged from .276 to .443, ps < .05). Correlations between the LTPAQ-37 SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive but small (rs ranged from .087 to .193, ps > .05), except for a medium-sized correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} (r = .294, p =38 39 .035). Conclusions. People with SCI who report higher levels of LTPA on the LTPAQ-SCI also 40

demonstrate greater levels of CRF, with stronger associations between moderate- and heavy-

intensity LTPA and CRF than between mild-intensity LTPA and CRF. These results provide

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

further support for the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA among people with SCI.

45 Introduction

Participation in exercise, sports and other forms of leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) has significant positive effects on the fitness, health and well-being of people living with spinal cord injury (SCI)^{1,2,3}. However, the vast majority of people with SCI are insufficiently active to derive these benefits⁴ because they face so many barriers to participation⁵. Consequently, there is a need to develop, test and implement strategies to increase LTPA participation in people living with SCI. Reliable and valid measures of LTPA are required to assess the effectiveness of LTPAenhancing interventions. Review articles^{6,7,8} have catalogued the measurement properties of wearable and self-report physical activity measures that have been used in SCI research. Although the reliability and validity of wearable measures is improving, a significant limitation of these devices is that they cannot distinguish between LTPA and other types of physical activity (e.g., household, transportation, occupational activity)⁷. Because LTPA is the only form of physical activity that has been shown to significantly improve fitness in people with SCI¹, it is crucial that scientists have valid and reliable methods to measure it. Another limitation of some wearable devices (e.g., wrist-worn accelerometers), is that they underestimate the intensity of wheeled activity on slopes and rough, uneven surfaces^{9,10,11}. The SCI exercise guidelines¹² stipulate that exercise must be done at a moderate- to heavy-intensity in order to achieve significant health and fitness benefits. Systems that track SCI exercise guideline adherence require valid and reliable measures of the amount of activity performed at moderate- and heavy-

intensities. Given the limitations of wearable devices, self-report measures are considered

superior for feasibly collecting data on the types and amounts of LTPA performed by people with SCI⁷.

Compared to all other measures of PA used in SCI research, the Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with SCI (PARA-SCI)¹³ has yielded the strongest evidence of reliability and validity^{7,8,14}. Using a structured, standardized interview format, respondents are cued to recall and rate the intensity of all LTPA and activities of daily living (ADL) that they have performed over the previous 3 days¹⁵. The PARA-SCI has demonstrated positive evidence of criterion validity (using both indirect calorimetry and doubly-labeled water as criteria), construct validity and test-retest reliability^{13,16,14}. However, because the PARA-SCI was designed to capture the types, frequencies, intensities and durations of *all* physical activities, it can create unnecessary participant and clinician/researcher burden in situations where investigators are interested only in measuring LTPA⁷. In response to these concerns, the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with SCI (LTPAQ-SCI) was developed¹⁷.

The LTPAQ-SCI is an SCI-specific, self-report assessment of LTPA that measures the number of minutes of mild, moderate, and heavy intensity LTPA that a person performed over the previous 7 days¹⁷. It can be self- or interviewer-administered in less than 5 minutes. The reporting format used in the LTPAQ-SCI parallels the reporting structure of one of the most widely used self-report measures of PA in the general population--the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form¹⁸.

Research has produced positive evidence of the LTPAQ-SCI's test-retest reliability.

Intraclass correlation coefficients were significant for LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA over a one-week test-retest period¹⁷. A recent study of the test-retest reliability of a Canadian-French version of the questionnaire produced similarly strong ICCs¹⁹.

89 Evidence of the measure's criterion validity was shown by significant correlations between 90 LTPAO-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA minutes per week and PARA-SCI measures (i.e., the criterion) LTPA minutes per day at these same intensities¹⁷. 91 92 Support for the LTPAQ-SCI's construct validity has been generated in hypothesis-testing studies²⁰. For example, LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA have been shown to increase 93 significantly in response to LTPA-enhancing interventions delivered to adults with SCI²¹ and 94 multiple sclerosis²². LTPAO-SCI measures of LTPA have also been shown to differ in predicted 95 directions between adults with SCI with low versus high depressive symptomatology, ²³ and 96 97 between athletes with disabilities who participate in sport at lower (recreational, developmental) versus higher (provincial, state, national) competitive levels²⁴. It is important to note, however, 98 99 that construct validation is an ongoing process, and no one single experiment can 'prove' construct validity²⁰. Rather, each supportive study serves to strengthen the construct's 100 nomological network. 25 by demonstrating that the construct operates predictably within a system 101 102 of key concepts. 103 Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is a key concept in relation to LTPA. It is well-established 104 that participation in moderate- to heavy-intensity exercise (a specific type of LTPA) imparts significant improvements in the CRF of adults with SCI^{1,26}. If the LTPAQ-SCI is to be used as a 105 106 measure of LTPA, then its construct validation should include tests of its associations with CRF (these types of tests are sometimes referred to as tests of 'convergent validity' 20). Therefore, the 107 108 purpose of the present study was to examine the association between the number of minutes per 109 week of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA reported by adults with SCI who completed the 110 LTPAQ-SCI, and their CRF. It was hypothesized that number of minutes per week of LTPA 111 would be positively correlated with participants' CRF.

112 Method

Participants

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

Participants were 51 individuals who completed the LTPAO-SCI and CRF assessment during baseline testing for CHOICES (NCT01718977), a multicentre, randomized controlled clinical trial assessing the effects of two different exercise interventions on cardiovascular health outcomes in adults with SCI²⁷. This construct validation study was planned a priori, as a secondary analysis of data that were being collected as part of the CHOICES protocol. CHOICES study inclusion criteria were: male or female; 18-60 years of age; chronic (>1 year since injury), traumatic, motor-complete SCI [American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) A and B]; and neurological level of injuries (NLI) between the cervical fourth and thoracic sixth vertebrae (C4-T6). AIS and NLI were determined using the International Standards for neurological Classification of SCI²⁸. Participants were excluded if they had: any medical history of symptoms of cardiovascular disease; major trauma or surgery in the last six months; fracture within the previous 12 months; or any psychological or cognitive dysfunction that prevented understanding English instructions. All study procedures were approved by the research ethics board at each trial site and all participants provided written informed consent prior to any of the study procedures.

Measures

LTPAQ-SCI. The LTPAQ-SCI was administered during an interview conducted by a research assistant (face-to-face interview at two sites and telephone interview at one site). Consistent with the LTPAQ-SCI administration instructions, ¹⁷ participants were first presented with a standardized definition of LTPA: "physical activity that you choose to do during your free time, such as exercising, playing sports, gardening, and taking the dog for a walk (necessary

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

physical activities such as physiotherapy, grocery shopping, pushing/wheeling for transportation are not considered LTPA)." Next, participants were given a validated, 13 SCI-specific definition of mild-intensity LTPA and were asked to recall a) the number of days, over the past 7 days, that they did mild-intensity LTPA and b) on those days, how many minutes they usually spent doing mild-intensity LTPA. These steps were repeated for moderate-intensity and heavy-intensity LTPA. Mild-intensity activities were defined as "activities that require you to do very light work. You should feel like you are working a little bit but overall you shouldn't find yourself working too hard." Moderate-intensity activities were defined as "activities that require some physical effort. You should feel like you are working somewhat hard but you should feel like you can keep going for a long time". Finally, heavy-intensity activities were defined as "activities that require a lot of physical effort. You should feel like you are working really hard (almost at your maximum) and can only do the activity for a short time before getting tired. These activities can be exhausting". The number of minutes per week of LTPA performed at each intensity (mild, moderate and heavy) was calculated by multiplying the days of activity by the minutes of activity. Total LTPA was calculated as a sum of LTPA at each intensity, thus yielding the total number of minutes of LTPA undertaken in the past week. Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF). All participants underwent an incremental exercise test

Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF). All participants underwent an incremental exercise test using an electronically braked arm-crank ergometer (Lode BV, Groningen, The Netherlands; Vancouver site, Monark 881E, Monark Exercise AB, Vansbro, Sweden; Toronto and Hamilton sites) until the point of volitional exhaustion. Heart rate was recorded continuously using a chest strap HR monitor (T31; Polar Electro Inc., Woodbury, NY, USA). Respiratory gases were collected using a metabolic cart that was calibrated, prior to each use, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Parvomedics Truemax 2400, Sandy, Utah, USA; Vancouver site:

Vmax Encore, SensorMedics, California, USA; Toronto site: Moxus Metabolic System, AEI Technologies, Illinois, USA; Hamilton site).

Participants were asked to empty their bladders prior to the test to minimize the influence of autonomic dysreflexia. The test protocol began with a warm-up of arm cranking at 0 Watts for two minutes. Afterwards, the protocol continued with 1-minute stages, with a resistance increment of 5-10 Watts per stage depending on the participant's neurological level of injury²⁹. Participants were instructed to maintain a cycling cadence of 50 revolutions per minute (rpm) throughout the duration of the test with continuous motivation delivered by the assessor. The test continued to the point of volitional exhaustion or when the cadence dropped below 30 rpm. Borg's rating of perceived exertion (RPE) 6-20 was administered at the end of every stage³⁰. The highest volume of oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$) of 20-second averaging during the test was recorded as peak volume of oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$). Peak power output ($\dot{P}O_{peak}$) was defined as the highest PO achieved, unless volitional exhaustion occurred within 20-seconds of the beginning of the stage, in which case $\dot{P}O_{peak}$ was recorded as the PO at the second-last completed stage of the test.

Procedure

At two sites (Hamilton and Vancouver), the LTPAQ-SCI was administered during the baseline testing session, prior to the CRF test. At one site (Toronto), the LTPAQ-SCI was administered 8 days after the fitness test but before starting exercise in the CHOICES trial. This timing was deliberate to avoid participants reporting any LTPA that was performed as part of the CHOICES baseline testing or training protocols.

Data Management and Analyses

The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was used to corroborate attainment of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ during the fitness test. Analyses were conducted only on participants who exhibited an RER \geq 1.00, representing a conservative yet reliable lower-range of expected peak RER responses in SCI and healthy adults^{29,31}. People with tetraplegia cannot achieve the same $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak} as people with paraplegia due to more severe autonomic and upper-body motor impairments³². Consequently, the distributions of these values differ for people with tetraplegia versus paraplegia³². Therefore, the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak}) were standardized for lesion level (i.e., paraplegia or tetraplegia) through transformations to z-scores prior to analysis.

Descriptive statistics were calculated as means, standard deviations, medians and minimum-maximum for continuous variables, and as percentages for the categorical variables. Shapiro Wilk tests were used to check the normality assumption. Because the LTPAQ-SCI variables presented significant deviations from the normal distribution, a square root transformation was carried out on these variables. Using the transformed variables, one-tailed Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of mild, moderate, heavy and total LTPA and the measures of CRF (i.e. $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and PO_{peak}). Since the hypotheses were directional (i.e. all the correlations were expected to be positive), one-tailed tests were used. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics v. 26. Alpha was set at .05 for all analyses and was not adjusted for multiplicity given that all hypotheses were specified a priori (cf.³³). Cohen's conventions were used to interpret the magnitude of the correlations (i.e., *rs* of .10, .30, .50 constitute small, medium and large correlations, respectively)³⁴.

200 Results

Preliminary analyses

After excluding data from 12 participants who did not achieve RER \geq 1.00, 39 participants remained for the main analyses. Excluded participants presented significantly lower PO_{peak} and VO_{2peak} values than the included ones. In addition, all excluded participants had tetraplegia. No significant differences were found between excluded and included participants regarding sex, age, age at injury, time since injury, body mass or height, or LTPAQ-SCI values. Exploratory analyses indicated neither age nor years since injury were significant bivariate correlates of PO_{peak} or VO_{2peak}. In exploratory regression analyses, neither age nor years since injury were significant predictors of any LTPAQ-SCI variable. Therefore, for parsimony, these variables were not included in subsequent analyses. Subsequent analyses were conducted with data from the remaining 39 participants. Table 1 shows the demographic data for both the full sample and the final sample, as well as the *p*-values of the tests performed to detect potential differences between included and excluded participants

Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI measures of LTPA and aerobic fitness

Table 2 presents the full correlation matrix. Minutes per week of mild-, moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA and total LTPA were all positively correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$. The correlation between $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and mild-intensity LTPA was small-medium (r = .231, p = .079), while the correlations of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ with moderate-intensity LTPA (r = .276, p = .045) and heavy-intensity LTPA (r = .443, p = .002) were medium-large. Correlations between the LTPAQ-SCI variables and PO_{peak} were also positive. However, the correlations of PO_{peak} with mild-intensity LTPA (r = .087, p = .300) and moderate-intensity LTPA (r = .193, p = .119) were trivial to small, while the correlation between heavy-intensity LTPA and PO_{peak} (r = .294, p = .035) was medium-sized.

224 Discussion

225 The purpose of this study was to conduct a test of the construct validity of the LTPAO-SCI. 226 As hypothesized, minutes per week of LTPA reported on the LTPAO-SCI were positively 227 correlated with participants' CRF. Correlations tended to be stronger for heavy versus mildintensity LTPA and for $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ than for PO_{peak} . 228 229 Overall, the pattern and size of the correlations were similar to correlations reported between 230 CRF and other self-report measures of PA for people with and without SCI. For instance, in prior tests of the PARA-SCI's construct validity, 16 correlations between CRF and moderate- and 231 232 heavy-intensity LTPA were medium-sized, while the correlation between CRF and mild-233 intensity LTPA was small. These findings align with research demonstrating that in order to 234 produce significant CRF benefits, adults with SCI must exercise at a moderate- to heavyintensity¹. Exercise of a mild intensity is insufficient³⁵. Our results show that the LTPAO-SCI 235 236 does indeed capture CRF-enhancing LTPA in adults with SCI. 237 Our results are also similar to the medium-sized correlations reported in validation studies of 238 the IPAQ-SF, one of the most widely-used self-report measures of PA for the general population. 239 For instance, across three studies that reported correlations between the IPAQ-SF measure of total minutes per week of PA and $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, the median correlation was $r = .30^{36}$. We found a 240 correlation of r = .33 between LTPAQ-SCI total LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$. It is worth noting that only 241 242 ~50% of the variance in CRF can be explained by environmental factors, such as physical activity, with the rest attributed to hereditary/genetic factors³⁷. Furthermore, additional variance 243 244 in CRF within the SCI population can be attributed to the severity and exact level of neurological 245 injury sustained, contributing to the degree of autonomic and functional impairment³⁸. Thus, it is encouraging to observe similar, if not slightly better, associations between LTPA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ in 246

269

individuals with high-level SCI, supporting the construct validity of the LTPAO-SCI in the 247 248 context of other well-used self-report measures of PA. Our analyses suggested that LTPA was more strongly correlated with $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ than PO_{peak} . 249 250 This finding differs from results from the PARA-SCI validation studies in which CRF tended to be more strongly correlated with PO_{peak} than $\dot{V}O_{2peak}^{16}$. These discrepancies are likely a statistical 251 252 artefact. There was greater variability in PO_{peak} values in the PARA-SCI validation study than in the present study. When data variability is reduced, correlations may be lower than expected³⁹. 253 254 Nevertheless, as the correlations with PO_{peak} were all positive, and stronger for moderate- and 255 heavy-intensity LTPA than mild-intensity LTPA, we take this as further support for the construct 256 validation of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of CRF-enhancing LTPA. 257 Importantly, scale validation studies do not confirm that the scale itself is valid. No study can 258 'validate' a scale. Rather, validation studies substantiate the inferences that can be made about people based on their scale scores (e.g., the amount of LTPA they do each week)²⁰ and that the 259 scale is valid for use with a particular group of people in a particular context²⁰. The present study 260 261 was conducted with a sample of men and women with chronic, motor complete cervical or high 262 thoracic injuries. Although we would expect the results to generalize to individuals with incomplete, lower-level injuries. 16 this hypothesis should be tested in heterogenous samples. 263 264 There is also a need to conduct LTPAQ-SCI validation studies in countries other than Canada, because definitions of LTPA may differ across cultural contexts⁴⁰. For instance, the 265 266 instructions for completing the LTPAQ-SCI stipulate that physiotherapy should not be counted. 267 This stipulation is included because during development of the PARA-SCI and LTPAQ-SCI,

many of the physiotherapy activities reported by Canadians with SCI, were neither leisure-time

nor fitness-enhancing activities (e.g., passive stretching, practicing transfers, practicing using

mobility equipment)¹⁵. However, in other countries or contexts, physiotherapy may routinely include exercise or sport activities and may therefore be counted as LTPA. In a similar vein, active transportation is uncommon among Canadians with SCI⁴¹ because climate, terrain and long distances are significant barriers. In some countries, however, it may be more common for people with SCI to use active forms of transportation (e.g., handcycling in European countries⁴²) in order to get exercise. In these circumstances, it may make sense to report such activities on the LTPAQ-SCI. By testing the relationships between CRF and LTPAQ-SCI scores, including and excluding physiotherapy and active transportation activities, users of the LTPAQ-SCI can better define and measure LTPA in their contexts.

Strengths of this study include standardized administrations of the LTPAQ-SCI and the CRF test. While multi-site data collection was a strength insofar as it facilitated participant enrolment and statistical power, it may also be considered a limitation that introduced variability through different CRF testing equipment and testers at each site. A further limitation is that only one aspect of physical fitness was measured. Muscular strength and endurance are two additional physical fitness aspects that should correlate positively with LTPAQ-SCI scores¹⁶ and should be examined in future construct validation studies. Furthermore, if study participants engaged primarily in strength-training LTPA (e.g., lifting weights), the correlation between their LTPAQ-SCI measure of minutes per week of LTPA and their CRF may have been attenuated relative to individuals who engaged primarily in CRF-enhancing LTPA (e.g., arm cycling).

Another study limitation is that data collected from nearly half of the tetraplegic participants (12 out of 27) could not be used because they terminated the CRF test before achieving criteria indicative of a peak exercise test (i.e., RER \geq 1.00). Because of arm fatigue during exercise testing, peripheral ratings of perceived exertion increase much faster in those

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

with tetraplegia than paraplegia⁴³ prompting participants to terminate the test before achieving peak. Given this challenge, researchers should consider other feasible, valid measures of CRF that could be used in LTPAO-SCI construct validation studies involving participants with tetraplegia, for example, individualized ramp tests, submaximal aerobic endurance, or submaximal predictive equations such as the 6-minute arm test validated for individuals with SCI⁴⁴. An alternative construct validation approach may be to assess associations between LTPAO-SCI scores and 7-day overall physical activity levels measured via wearable devices. While limitations of accelerometers attached to a single anatomical location or wheelchair have been noted in people with SCI^{7,9}, the estimation of physical activity intensity can be improved by utilising multi-sensor devices that incorporate physiological signals (such as galvanic skin responses or heart rate) and utilising complex or individualised modelling approaches 45,46. Combined with the use of diaries or logs to distinguish periods of LTPA from other physical activity types, assessing the associations between outputs from multi-sensor wearable devices and the LTPAQ-SCI may be a way to test the validity of this measure while overcoming some of the challenges noted with assessing CRF in individuals with tetraplegia.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate that self-reported LTPA, as measured by the LTPAQ-SCI, is positively correlated with CRF in adults with chronic, motor complete cervical or high thoracic SCI, being the associations stronger for moderate- and heavy-intensity LTPA. When considered with previous research showing that LTPAQ-SCI scores vary in predictable ways across meaningful groups and in response to behavioural interventions ^{17,19-24}, these results provide further support for the construct validity of the LTPAQ-SCI as a measure of LTPA for adults with SCI. Further construct validation studies are needed to demonstrate the

validity of the LTPAQ-SCI for use as a measure of LTPA in more heterogeneous samples of people with SCI and in other countries and contexts.

Data archiving: The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements: We thank Adrienne Sinden for her assistance with manuscript preparation.

Ethics: Ethics approval was obtained from the University of British Columbia (H12-02945-11), McMaster University (12-672) and Toronto Rehabilitation Institute – University Health Network (12-5797).

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: KAMG was responsible for conceptualizing and designing the study, interpreting results and writing the report. JU-C was responsible for analyzing the data, writing the results, creating tables and providing feedback on the report. AAA was responsible for collecting and cleaning the data, drafting the methods section and providing feedback on the report. TEN was responsible for assisting during data collection, drafting the methods section, assisting with data interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. JSA was responsible for assisting in the design of the study protocol, drafting the methods section, assisting with data interpretation, and providing feedback on the report. KDC was responsible for assisting during data collection and providing feedback on the report. MH was responsible for assisting during data collection and providing feedback on the report. AK is the Principal Investigator for the CHOICES study and was responsible for designing and overseeing implementation of all aspects of the CHOICES protocol and providing feedback on the report.

Funding: This study was funded by a project grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) with the funding reference number (TCA 118348). The first author holds the Reichwald Family Southern Medical Program Chair in Chronic Disease Prevention.

381

382

383

10.

340 References 341 342 van der Scheer JW, Martin Ginis KA, Ditor DS, Goosey-Tolfrey V, Hicks AL, West CR 1. 343 et al. Effects of exercise on fitness and health of adults with spinal cord injury: a 344 systematic review. *Neurology* 2017; **89**(7): 736-745. 345 346 2. Martin Ginis KA, Jetha A, Mack DE, Hetz S. Physical activity and subjective well-being 347 among people with spinal cord injury: A meta-analysis. Spinal Cord 2010; **48:** 65-72. 348 349 3. Farrow M, Nightingale T, Maher J, McKay C, Thompson D, J. B. The effect of exercise 350 on cardiometabolic risk factors in adults with chronic spinal cord injury: A systematic 351 review: Exercise and CMS risk in SCI. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 352 2020 Ap 12. 353 354 4. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP, Buchholz AC, Bray SR, Craven 355 BC et al. Leisure time physical activity in a population-based sample of people with 356 spinal cord injury Part I: Demographic and injury-related correlates. Archives of Physical 357 Medicine and Rehabilitation 2010; 91(5): 722-728. 358 359 Williams TL, Smith B, Papathomas A. The barriers, benefits and facilitators of leisure 5. 360 time physical activity among people with spinal cord injury: a meta-synthesis of 361 qualitative findings. *Health Psychology Review* 2014; **8**(4): 404-4025. 362 363 Tsang K, Hiremath SV, Crytzer TM, Dicianno BE, Ding D. Validity of activity monitors 6. 364 in wheelchair users: A systematic review. J Rehabil Res Dev 2016; 53(6): 641-658. 365 366 7. Nightingale TE, Rouse PC, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Measurement of Physical Activity and Energy Expenditure in Wheelchair Users: Methods, Considerations and Future 367 368 Directions. Sports medicine - open 2017; **3**(1): 10. 369 370 8. Lankhorst K, Oerbekke M, van den Berg-Emons R, Takken T, de Groot J. Instruments Measuring Physical Activity in Individuals Who Use a Wheelchair: A Systematic Review 371 372 of Measurement Properties. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2020; 373 **101**(3): 535-552. 374 375 Ma JK, McCracken LA, Voss C, Chan FHN, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. Physical 9. 376 activity measurement in people with spinal cord injury: comparison of accelerometry and 377 self-report (the Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People with Spinal Cord Injury). 378 Disability and Rehabilitation 2020; 42(2): 240-246. 379

Conger SA, Scott SN, Fitzhugh EC, Thompson DL, Bassett DR. Validity of Physical

Phys Act Health 2015; **12**(11): 1520-6.

Activity Monitors for Estimating Energy Expenditure During Wheelchair Propulsion. J

Collins EG, Gater D, Kiratli J, Butler J, Hanson K, Langbein WE. Energy cost of physical activities in persons with spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010; **42**(4): 691-700.

387

Martin Ginis KA, van der Scheer JW, Latimer-Cheung AE, Barrow A, Bourne C,
Carruthers P *et al.* Evidence-based scientific exercise guidelines for adults with spinal
cord injury: An update and a new guideline. *Spinal Cord* 2018; **56**(4): 308-321.

391

Martin Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Craven BC, Hicks AL. Development and evaluation of an activity measure for people with spinal cord injury. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; **37**(7): 1099-1111.

395

Tanhoffer RA, Tanhoffer AI, Raymond J, Hills AP, Davis GM. Comparison of methods to assess energy expenditure and physical activity in people with spinal cord injury. *Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine* 2012; **35**(1): 35-45.

399

400 15. Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. *Physical Activity Recall Assessment for People*401 *with Spinal Cord Injury (PARA-SCI)*: https://sciactioncanada.ok.ubc.ca/resources/para-sci-manual/, Accessed May 2020.

403

404 16. Latimer AE, Martin Ginis KA, Craven BC, Hicks AL. The physical activity recall assessment for people with spinal cord injury: Validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006; 406 38(2): 208-16.

407

408 17. Martin Ginis KA, Phang SH, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP. Reliability and validity tests of the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire for People with Spinal Cord Injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2012; **93:** 677-682.

411

412 18. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE *et al.*413 International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003; **35**(8): 1381-95.

415

Cummings I, Lamontagne M-E, Sweet SN, Spivock M, Batcho CS. Canadian-French adaptation and test-retest reliability of the leisure time physical activity questionnaire for people with disabilities. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 2019; **62**(3): 161-167.

420

421 20. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. *Health Measurement ScalesA practical guide to their development and use*, Oxford University Press, 2015.

423

424 21. Ma JK, West CR, Martin Ginis KA. The effects of a patient and provider co-developed, 425 behavioral physical activity intervention on physical activity, psychosocial predictors, 426 and fitness in individuals with spinal cord injury: A randomized controlled trial. *Sports* 427 *Medicine* 2019; **49:** 1117-1131.

429 22. Lithopoulos A. Bassett-Gunter RL, Martin Ginis KA, Latimer-Cheung AE. The Effects 430 of Gain- versus Loss-Framed Messages Following Health Risk Information on Physical 431 Activity in Individuals With Multiple Sclerosis. *Journal of Health Communication* 2017; 432 **22**(6): 523-531.

433

434 23. Barbonetti A, Cavallo F, D'Andrea S, Muselli M, Felzani G, Francavilla S et al. Lower 435 Vitamin D Levels Are Associated With Depression in People With Chronic Spinal Cord 436 Injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2017; 98(5): 940-946.

437

438 24. Stapleton JN, Perrier M-J, Campbell DS, Tawse HL, Martin Ginis KA. Social cognitive 439 predictors of competitive level among athletes with physical disabilities. Psychology of 440 Sport and Exercise 2016; 22: 46-52.

441

442 25. Cronbach LJ, Meehl PE. Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin* 443 1955; **52**(4): 281-302.

444

445 Hicks AL, Martin Ginis KA, Pelletier CA, Ditor DS, Foulon B, Wolfe DL. The effects of 26. 446 exercise training on physical capacity, strength, body composition and functional 447 performance among adults with spinal cord injury: A systematic review. Spinal Cord 448 2011; **49**(11): 1103-1127.

449

450 27. Krassioukov AV, Currie KD, Hubli M, Nightingale TE, Alrashidi AA, Ramer L et al. 451 Effects of exercise interventions on cardiovascular health in individuals with chronic, 452 motor complete spinal cord injury: protocol for a randomised controlled trial 453 [Cardiovascular Health/Outcomes: Improvements Created by Exercise and education in 454 SCI (CHOICES) Study]. BMJ open 2019; 9(1): e023540-e023540.

455

456 28. Kirshblum SC, Burns SP, Biering-Sorensen F, Donovan W, Graves DE, Jha A et al. 457 International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury (revised 458 2011). J Spinal Cord Med 2011; **34**(6): 535-46.

459

460 29. Eerden S. Dekker R. Hettinga FJ. Maximal and submaximal aerobic tests for wheelchair-461 dependent persons with spinal cord injury: a systematic review to summarize and identify 462 useful applications for clinical rehabilitation. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018; **40**(5): 497-521.

463

464 30. Scherr J. Wolfarth B. Christle JW, Pressler A, Wagenpfeil S, Halle M. Associations 465 between Borg's rating of perceived exertion and physiological measures of exercise 466 intensity. European journal of applied physiology 2013; 113(1): 147-55.

467

468 31. Edvardsen E. Hem E. Anderssen SA. End Criteria for Reaching Maximal Oxygen Uptake 469 Must Be Strict and Adjusted to Sex and Age: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS One 2014; 470 **9**(1): e85276.

471

472 Simmons OL, Kressler J, Nash MS, Reference fitness values in the untrained spinal cord 32. 473 injury population. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014; 95(12): 2272-8.

475 33. Streiner DL. Best (but oft-forgotten) practices: the multiple problems of multiplicity—
476 whether and how to correct for many statistical tests. *The American Journal of Clinical*477 *Nutrition* 2015; **102**(4): 721-728.

478

479 34. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992; **112**(1): 155-159.

480

van der Scheer JW, de Groot S, Tepper M, Faber W, Veeger DH, van der Woude LH.
Low-intensity wheelchair training in inactive people with long-term spinal cord injury: A
randomized controlled trial on fitness, wheelchair skill performance and physical activity
levels. *J Rehabil Med* 2016; **48**(1): 33-42.

485

486 36. Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam TH, Stewart SM. Validity of the International Physical 487 Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF): a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr* 488 *Phys Act* 2011; **8:** 115.

489

Bouchard C, An P, Rice T, Skinner JS, Wilmore JH, Gagnon J *et al.* Familial aggregation of V o 2 max response to exercise training: results from the HERITAGE Family Study.
 Journal of Applied Physiology 1999; 87(3): 1003-1008.

493

West CR, Gee CM, Voss C, Hubli M, Currie KD, Schmid J *et al.* Cardiovascular control, autonomic function, and elite endurance performance in spinal cord injury. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015; **25**(4): 476-485.

497

498 39. Goodwin LD, Leech NL. Understanding Correlation: Factors That Affect the Size of r.
 499 The Journal of Experimental Education 2006; 74(3): 249-266.

500

501 40. Booth M. Assessment of Physical Activity: An International Perspective. *Research* 2000; **71**(sup2): 114-120.

503

Perrier MJ, Stork MJ, Martin Ginis KA. Type, intensity and duration of daily physical activities performed by adults with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2017; **55**(1): 64-70.

506

Hettinga FJ, Valent L, Groen W, van Drongelen S, de Groot S, van der Woude LHV.
 Hand-Cycling: An Active Form of Wheeled Mobility, Recreation, and Sports. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America* 2010; 21(1): 127-140.

510

Au JS, Totosy De Zepetnek JO, Macdonald MJ. Modeling Perceived Exertion during
 Graded Arm Cycling Exercise in Spinal Cord Injury. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2017; 49(6): 1190-1196.

514

Totosy de Zepetnek JO, Au JS, Hol AT, Eng JJ, MacDonald MJ. Predicting peak oxygen uptake from submaximal exercise after spinal cord injury. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme* 2016; **41**(7): 775-81.

519 520 521 522	45.	Hiremath SV, Ding D, Farringdon J, Vyas N, Cooper RA. Physical activity classification utilizing SenseWear activity monitor in manual wheelchair users with spinal cord injury. <i>Spinal Cord</i> 2013; 51 (9): 705-9.
523 524 525 526 527	46.	Nightingale TE, Walhin JP, Thompson D, Bilzon JLJ. Predicting physical activity energy expenditure in wheelchair users with a multisensor device. <i>BMJ Open Sport & amp; Exercise Medicine</i> 2015; 1 (1): bmjsem-2015-000008.
528		

530

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants in the Full Sample and the Analyzed Sample and p-values of Tests to Detect Differences Between Included and Excluded Participants.

	Excluded (n=12) n (% total); M ± SD; Median, min-max	Analyzed Sample (n=39) n (% total); M ± SD; Median, min-max	p-value
Sex			.964
Male	9 (75%)	29 (74%)	
Female	3 (25%)	10 (26%)	
Age (years)	40 ± 10 $42, 23-58$	42 ± 10 $43, 22-60$.638
Age at injury (years)	25 ± 9 22, 15-49	29 ± 14 $25, 3-57$.252
Years post-injury	16 ± 11 $14, 2-30$	13 ± 11 $10, 1-42$.502
Level and severity of injury Tetraplegia AIS A	8 (67%)	8 (21%)	<0.001
Tetraplegia AIS B	4 (33%)	7 (18%)	
Paraplegia AIS A	0	24 (61%)	
Body mass (kg)	76.3 ± 19.1 74.0, 46.9-101.9	79.2 ± 17.3 78.5, 44.9-135.7	.764
Height (cm)	180 ± 13 $181, 158-200$	175 ± 8 $176, 158-188$.201
BMI (kg/m^2)	23.33 ± 4.04 23.95, 15.43-28.83	25.87 ± 5.44 $25.57, 16.49-42.83$.203
VO2 _{peak} (mL/kg/min)	7.97 ± 1.66 7.53, 5.49-11.70	13.93 ± 5.49 13.60, 6.07-29.84	<.001
PO _{peak} (Watts)	28 ± 16 28, 10-61	60 ± 28 $60, 10-130$.001
Mild LTPA (min/wk)	152 ± 148 $98, 10-450$	221 ± 308 135, 0-1680	.555
Moderate LTPA (min/wk)	61 ± 89 28, 0-240	115 ± 124 $60, 0-480$.093
Heavy LTPA (min/wk)	45 ± 115 $0, 0-405$	60 ± 96 $20, 0-480$.260
Total LTPA (min/wk)	260 ± 226 188, 10-730	395 ± 431 $240, 0-2405$.291

AIS is the ASIA Impairment Scale. BMI is Body Mass Index. \dot{VO}_{2peak} is peak volume of oxygen consumption and PO_{peak} is peak power output during the cardiorespiratory fitness test. LTPA is Leisure Time Physical Activity.

Table 2. Correlation Matrix Showing Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Cardiorespiratory Fitness and LTPAQ-SCI Measures of Mild, Moderate, Heavy and Total Leisure-Time Physical Activity (LTPA)

Measure	$ m VO_{2peak}$	PO_{peak}	Mild LTPA	Moderate LTPA	Heavy LTPA	Total LTPA
VO _{2peak} (mL/kg/min)	1					
PO _{peak} (Watts)	.773**	1				
Mild LTPA (min/wk)	.231	.087	1			
Moderate LTPA (min/wk)	.276*	.193	.315*	1		
Heavy LTPA (min/wk)	.443**	.294*	.225	.499**	1	
Total LTPA (min/wk)	.330*	.176	.815**	.729**	.591**	1

Note. *p<0.05; **p<0.01 (one-tailed).

LTPAQ-SCI is the Leisure Time Physical Activity Questionnaire-Spinal Cord Injury. VO_{2peak} is peak volume of oxygen consumption and PO_{peak} is peak power output during the cardiorespiratory fitness test.