

Hydrochloric acid removal from the thermogravimetric pyrolysis of PVC

Torres, D.; Jiang, Y.; Sanchez-Monsalve, D. A.; Leeke, G. A.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104831](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104831)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Torres, D, Jiang, Y, Sanchez-Monsalve, DA & Leeke, GA 2020, 'Hydrochloric acid removal from the thermogravimetric pyrolysis of PVC', *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, vol. 149, 104831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104831>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Hydrochloric acid removal from the thermogravimetric pyrolysis of PVC

D. Torres ^{a*}, Y. Jiang ^a, D.A. Sanchez Monsalve ^b, G.A. Leeke ^{a,c}

^a *Centre for Thermal Energy Systems and Materials, School of Water, Energy and Environment, Cranfield University, Cranfield MK43 0AL, UK*

^b *Recycling Technologies Ltd, Swindon SN3 4TQ, UK*

^c *School of Chemical Engineering, University of Birmingham B15 2TT, UK*

Abstract:

A powder characterization method was developed to screen the ability of a range of chemicals and absorbents to retain chlorine from chlorinated plastic pyrolysis. The behaviour of adsorbents such as Al₂O₃ and zeolites, and chemical removers based on NaHCO₃, CaO and Na₂CO₃-ZnO were studied for the removal of HCl released during PVC pyrolysis. First, chlorine removers are mixed with PVC and tested in a thermobalance under pyrolysis conditions for the complete PVC dehydrochlorination (550 °C). Subsequently, after the release of HCl, CO₂ and H₂O, the chars are analysed by FTIR, CHN elemental analysis and ESEM-EDS to determine the retention of chlorine on the chlorine removers. According to FTIR and CHN, PVC pyrolysis occurs through dehydrochlorination and the formation of aromatics. FTIR and EDS were used to follow the consumption of the bases present in the chemical removers and the suppression of the C–Cl absorption bands of the PVC –CHCl– groups during pyrolysis, as well as the formation of the resulting salts (NaCl, CaCl₂ and ZnCl₂). The chemical removers exhibited chlorine retentions of up to 71 wt. % (using Na₂CO₃-ZnO), while the adsorbents presented a maximum of 19 % of retention at 550 °C and heating rate of 200 °C/min.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: Daniel.Torres-Gamarra@cranfield.ac.uk / dtorres@icb.csic.es (D. Torres).

24 **Keywords:** *chlorine removal; PVC dehydrochlorination; PVC pyrolysis; hydrochloric acid*
25 *removers; thermogravimetric analysis; FTIR*

1 INTRODUCTION

Plastics use, and their growing global demand, is leading to the depletion of non-renewable fossil feedstocks. The lack of readily available suitable recycling methods is also leading to the accumulation of plastic waste causing significant environmental issues [1]. Plastic pyrolysis is gaining interest as an attractive method of recovering chemicals from plastic waste through a process known as feedstock recycling. Pyrolysis can utilise “dirty” plastics which cannot be recycled satisfactorily by other methods such as mechanical recycling. The pyrolysis of mixed waste plastics generates an oil with a high calorific value that is transportable and stored. However, the pyrolysis of waste containing PVC is still a challenge due to the generation of HCl which corrodes equipment and contaminates the products with organochlorine compounds [2,3]. The thermal decomposition of PVC has been extensively investigated [2-4], and a number of methods explored to generate a product with reduced chlorine content. These include preheating to dechlorinate the plastic waste, the dehydrochlorination of municipal plastic waste containing PVC, or the use of absorbents to capture the HCl generated in-situ [4-7]. Similarly, catalytic, stepwise pyrolysis or hydrothermal carbonization have also been implemented with this same objective [6,8-11].

In terms of practicality, the co-pyrolysis of PVC, in the presence of other plastics (PE, PP, PS or PET [12]) or biomass [13], has been investigated with an aim to reduce costs, enhance the pyrolysis of its components, or even dilute the chlorine products obtained [4,14]. In single-step co-pyrolysis, interactions of PVC with other hydrocarbon materials can generate new chlorinated compounds in addition to those from the PVC [4]. These interactions between different kinds of plastics were studied by many authors to establish their kinetics [15,16].

In stepwise pyrolysis, a dehydrochlorination step at temperatures below 350 °C is either carried out before the pyrolysis at high temperature (up to 800 °C) or involves the use of catalysts [7-9,17-19]. Up to 85-95 wt. % of the initial chlorine is removed in the first step avoiding high

temperature and pressure or the necessity of catalysts [8,20]. In this case, the co-pyrolysis comprising PS and polyolefinic plastics [8,12] or biomass [13] did not affect the PVC dehydrochlorination. In addition, hemicellulose is a sustainable chlorine adsorbent that has shown to reduce the HCl emissions when lignocellulose is included in the PVC pyrolysis [19], but can lead to a high acidic oil.

Catalysts or catalytic adsorbents in the pyrolysis of PVC or chlorinated waste have been employed. These include solid catalysts such as zeolites, FCC, mesoporous silica, $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$, MoO_3 , Al-Zn composite, Ni-, Ca- and iron oxide-based catalysts which have shown to reduce chlorine content in the liquid products [10,14,17,18,20-28]. If the dechlorination of the pyrolysis oil is considered, catalytic or high-pressure hydrogenation routes simultaneously deal with condensed HCl and organochlorine compounds [5,29]. On the other hand, dry scrubbing of pyrolysis exhaust gases or the use of hot filters and additives (in or ex-situ) are also alternatives explored for HCl conversion and the upgrading (quality or distribution) of the pyrolysis products. Those investigated include Ca-based adsorbents ($\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$, CaO , CaCO_3 , lime), Na-based adsorbents (NaHCO_3 , Na_2CO_3), metal oxides (MgO , Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 , PbO , ZnO , FeO , Fe_2O_3 , red mud) and lanthanide oxides (La_2O_3 , Nd_2O_3 , CeO_2) [7,9,13,17,23,24,27,29-42].

In this work, a comprehensive powder characterization method was developed to screen the ability of a range of chemicals and absorbents to retain chlorine from the pyrolysis of chlorinated plastics. The behaviour of six different materials for the removal of hydrochloric acid released during the PVC pyrolysis was studied. Solid chlorine removers, including different adsorbents and chlorine scrubbers, were mixed with PVC (1:1; wt./wt.) and pyrolyzed in a thermobalance at 550 °C until complete dehydrochlorination of PVC occurred. The resulting chars were analysed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), CHN elemental analysis and scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (ESEM-EDS). This is the first time that solid characterization techniques (TGA, FTIR and

ESEM-EDS) are used in a complementary way to compare the behaviour offered by the materials in the PVC dehydrochlorination process. Conventionally, the HCl present in the evolved gases released during the pyrolysis is measured by coupled TGA-spectroscopic techniques, such as FTIR. This new procedure is presented as a practical method, consuming just milligrams of the sample, to screen the ability of materials for use as HCl removers in the pyrolysis of PVC.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

Pure polyvinyl chloride, without additives or plasticisers, (Sigma Aldrich, purity > 99 %) was used as received. Two classes of chlorine removers were characterized and used. The first class were three chemical removers (that involved a chemical reaction during the process) based on NaHCO_3 , CaO and $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$, and the second class were three adsorbents based on Al_2O_3 and NaX zeolites. Table 1 lists the elemental composition of these materials, which are labelled as Chem- NaHCO_3 , Chem- CaO , Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$, Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-1}$, Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-2}$ and Ads-NaX. Chem- NaHCO_3 and Chem- CaO contain a low percentage of carbon as a dilutor of the active phase while Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$ (1:1, wt./wt.) has a 30 wt. % $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ as a support. Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-1}$ and Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-2}$ correspond to NaOH activated Al_2O_3 at low and high temperatures, respectively. In the case of mixtures, pure PVC and chlorine removers were physically mixed in a ratio of 1:1 (by weight).

Table 1 Elemental composition by EDS and CHN elemental analysis of chemical removers and adsorbents.

		Chem- NaHCO_3	Chem- CaO	Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$	Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-1}$	Ads- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-2}$	Ads-NaX
EDS	C	20.05	9.90	2.19	0.00	0.00	0.00
(wt. %)	O	53.29	26.03	37.47	50.51	50.01	56.72

	Na	24.33	0.00	8.37	5.22	5.16	11.61
	Ca	0.00	63.52	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.29
	Al	0.96	0.00	7.70	41.58	40.98	12.99
	Zn	0.00	0.00	38.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Si	0.00	0.00	2.17	0.00	0.00	16.69
	Mg	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.00	0.83
	Fe	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.39
	Others	1.38	0.55	2.07	2.70	3.85	0.48
CHN (wt. %)	C	21.63	10.64	4.19	1.21	1.66	0.20
	H	1.25	2.37	1.13	1.66	1.74	2.59
	N	0.08	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
	Others	77.04	86.90	94.68	97.13	96.59	97.20
	H₂O^a	1.59	3.01	7.48	7.72	8.05	15.38

a = Estimated according to the EDS and CHN data considering the species present in each case.

2.2 Thermobalance tests

The samples were prepared by grinding in a pestle and mortar and mixed to give the desired compositions. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out in a Perkin Elmer TGA 8000™ thermogravimetric analyser. TGA profiles were obtained from a sample amount of 20±5 mg and using 40 ml min⁻¹ of N₂ as an inert atmosphere for pyrolysis. The temperature program consisted of rapid heating of the sample (20 or 200 °C/min) from room temperature to 550 °C and a stabilization stage at this temperature for 20 min. 3 repetitions were performed for each material or mixture to obtain reproducible results. An average mass loss curve (“Average” in the corresponding TGA and DTG figures) was produced by taking the weighted average of the mass loss of pure PVC and the chemical remover/absorbent materials as follows:

$$M_{Average_T}(g) = (M_{PVC_T} + M_{Remover_T}) / 2 \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

Where $M_{Average_T}$ is the average mass at a temperature T , and M_{PVC_T} and $M_{Remover_T}$ are the masses of PVC and the chlorine remover at that temperature T . For this calculation, the curves of mass evolution of the PVC and the remover were obtained separately.

2.3 Characterization of thermobalance chars

Morphological assessment of the thermobalance chars was performed by environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM, FEI Philips XL30) by examining the surface using a back-scattered electrons detector. Coupled to the ESEM, an EDS analyser (Oxford Instruments, X-Max detector) was used to study the chemical composition of the samples. Additionally, EDS accuracy was adjusted for an adequate elemental quantification by determination and subtraction of the contribution of the conductive tab used, measurement of different large areas (at least 5 from 400 to 10,000 μm^2) of each sample, correction with data of the CHN elemental analysis and verification with standards of known composition.

The chlorine retention obtained by a remover in the PVC pyrolysis (*Cl retention*) was calculated as the percentage of chlorine content loss between that calculated in the char and that in the virgin PVC:

$$Cl\ retention\ (wt.\ \%) = (M_{Cl_Char} / M_{Cl_PVC}) \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

Where M_{Cl_PVC} and M_{Cl_Char} correspond to the masses of Cl in the PVC and the char, respectively. Both Cl masses depend on the mass of mixture (PVC + chlorine remover) loaded in the thermobalance.

The FTIR transmittance spectrum for each sample was determined using a SHIMADZU IRTracer-100 spectrophotometer. The FTIR was set to measure transmittance between 500 cm^{-1} and 4000 cm^{-1} . The number of scans and scan resolution were set at 15 and 4 cm^{-1} , respectively.

CHN elemental analysis was performed using a Perkin-Elmer CHN Elemental Analyzer. Samples were combusted at 900 °C in an oxygen atmosphere and the combustion gases were passed over a copper catalyst to remove excess O_2 and to reduce the NO_x to elemental nitrogen. CO_2 , H_2O and N_2 were subsequently separated by frontal chromatography and quantified by a

thermal conductivity detector (TCD). For this measurement, TGA chars were dried at 105 °C for at least 2 h and 0.020 g packed in aluminium-foil capsules.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Pyrolysis of PVC

Initially, TGA and DTG of PVC (Figure 1) at different heating rates (slow = 20 °C/min and fast = 200 °C/min) were performed to see the main mass losses produced during the pyrolysis. As expected for PVC, two main mass losses were observed: the first loss (Zone I), starting at 250-260 °C is attributed to the release of HCl and monoaromatics as a result of PVC thermal decomposition, and the second loss (Zone II), starting at 400-450 °C, is due to the degradation of the remaining organic materials [4]. A char-like material remained after the pyrolysis process (7.1-8.7 wt. %). Although the mass loss attained at the end of Zone I (up to 66.2 wt. %) comprises the release of the total HCl content in PVC (58.4 wt. %) along with the release of mainly benzene and toluene among other aromatics [43], the presence of chlorine derivatives in the char cannot be entirely discounted. In addition to the aromatic hydrocarbons (such as benzene, toluene, naphthalene, o-xylene, etc.) released in Zone I [15], aromatics are generated in higher amounts in Zone II, where condensation and de-alkylation reactions take place [2]. On the other hand, chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbon (mainly chlorobenzene) evolution has been detected at higher temperatures (Zone II) [3].




Figure 1 a) TGA and b) DTG profiles of pure PVC using N₂ at heating rates of 20 and 200 °C/min.

At a heating rate of 200 °C/min, the first mass loss ends when the temperature exceeds 450-480 °C. The char generated was collected and analysed by FTIR (Figure 2) to see the chemical changes obtained in the char and to follow the variation in the C–Cl bond signals. Zhou et al. [44] showed that at 450 °C, near-complete dehydrochlorination of the PVC is achieved, with a Cl content in the char typically below 1 wt. %.

The spectra of PVC before and after pyrolysis can give an idea of the dehydrochlorination mechanism [44,45]. The spectra shown in Figure 2 were similar to those previously reported for PVC and pyrolyzed PVC, and they were interpreted according to their assignments [44-50]. Despite these similarities (discussed below), both profiles showed differences in the intensities of some peaks concerning those reported, and their analysis serves as a starting point for when comparing the effectiveness of a chlorine remover. Adsorption bands above 2750 cm⁻¹ in PVC and pyrolyzed PVC are due to C–H stretching vibration in –CH=CH– (3020 cm⁻¹), –CH₂– (2846, 2855, 2911 and 2920 cm⁻¹) and –CHCl– (2816 and 2968 cm⁻¹) functional groups [44,46]. Bands associated with the –CHCl– groups disappeared clearly after pyrolysis, while those of –CH=CH– increased, clearly indicating that the dehydrochlorination generated C=C

bonds. Likewise, post pyrolysis, the bands associated with chlorine-free molecules were more prominent. For example, the methylene group peaks ($-\text{CH}_2-$) shifted from 2911 and 2846 cm^{-1} to 2920 and 2855 cm^{-1} , respectively [44]. These displacements would be motivated by the different nature of these functional groups: methylene in the original PVC and the aromatic network present in dehydrochlorinated PVC as a result of the transformation of conjugated double bonds [44]. Absorption bands in the range 1800-1000 cm^{-1} mainly correspond to vibrations of double bonds stretching and of C–H deformation. Pyrolyzed PVC presented a new large band at 1599 cm^{-1} which was assigned to C=C stretching in conjugated $-\text{C}=\text{C}-$ bonds, for both aliphatic and aromatic compounds [47,48]. As in the case of the 2911 cm^{-1} band, the band at 1425 cm^{-1} , associated with the C–H bending in $-\text{CH}_2-$ groups, shifted to 1441 cm^{-1} due to the formation of new methylene groups generated during the transformation of conjugated double bonds. Other bands present in the PVC spectrum such as those at 1198 cm^{-1} and 1094 cm^{-1} , associated with the C–H rocking in methylene groups and the PVC skeletal vibration (Cl–C–H), respectively, are not present after pyrolysis. Besides, the bands associated with the C–H bending in $-\text{CHCl}-$ groups (at 1329 and 1254 cm^{-1}) also disappeared. Other bands at 959 and 833 cm^{-1} related to the C–H rocking of $-\text{CH}_2-$ in PVC are not present after pyrolysis. Just as double C=C bonds are formed during the pyrolysis, the new bands at 870, 810, 745 and 700 cm^{-1} are attributed to aromatic products, specifically to the =C–H out of plane vibration in aromatic rings [47,48]. It has been shown that the intramolecular reactions of cyclization and aromatization start at temperatures around 300 °C during PVC pyrolysis [44], although above 400 °C Diels–Alder reactions between conjugated dienes and olefins on different chains could also occur [2].

The original structure of PVC is destroyed after its thermal dehydrochlorination and the char obtained after pyrolysis does not show the C–Cl stretching bands in its spectrum (at 685 and 606 cm^{-1}). The decrease in Cl for the sample pyrolyzed at 480 °C reached 93 wt. % as shown

by the CHN elemental analysis (C = 90.51 wt. %; H = 5.39 wt. %; N = 0.09 wt. %; Difference = 4.02 wt. %). However, this value obtained by difference could imply the presence of other elements in addition to Cl such as O, which was found to be present at 1.9 wt. % by ESEM-EDS. Taking into account the O, the reduction of Cl obtained would be 96 wt. %. At temperatures above 450 °C, the Cl content in the char has been reported to be below 1.05 wt.% [44]. According to FTIR and CHN analysis data, the PVC pyrolysis occurs through a clear dehydrochlorination process and the formation of aromatic compounds.




Figure 2 a) FTIR spectra of pure PVC and PVC pyrolyzed at 480 °C. b) Zoom of the three zones of bands associated with C–H and C–Cl stretching in the –CHCl– groups (shown in blue in a)).

3.2 Chemical removers and adsorbents behaviour in the PVC pyrolysis

As a preliminary measurement of chlorine removal, the use of chemical removers and adsorbents were used and in conjunction with the PVC by undertaking pyrolysis in the thermobalance (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Pyrolysis was carried out in an N₂ stream up to 550 °C (with a 20 min isothermal period). This temperature ensures the total dehydrochlorination of the original PVC. Chlorine removers were physically mixed with pure PVC (1:1; by weight).


Single materials gave mass losses around 10 wt. % for the studied heating rate (200 °C/min), except for Chem-NaHCO₃ (33.1 % wt. loss). This loss was mainly in the 100-225 °C range and mostly arises from the humidity trapped in the materials in accordance with the EDS and CHN elemental analysis results shown in Table 1. The higher mass loss shown by Chem-NaHCO₃ is due to the thermal decomposition of NaHCO₃ into Na₂CO₃, CO₂ and H₂O.

The presence of a chemical remover/adsorbent in the PVC pyrolysis promoted a measurable variation in the mass loss recorded in Zone I and at the end of the process (550 °C), and suggests a possible indication of chloride retention. An average mass loss curve (“Average” in the figures) was produced by taking the weighted average of the mass loss of pure PVC and the chemical remover/absorbent materials. The difference between the mixed PVC-remover mass loss and the average curve could indicate a possible chemical reaction or physical adsorption of the HCl gas emitted during the pyrolysis process. Thus, the formation of salts with a molecular weight higher than those of their respective bases after the reaction is expected to be seen by this technique for the chemical removers (see in equations 3 to 5). The existence of a chemical reaction (or adsorption process) is more evident when looking at the Zone I of the DTG curves where the PVC dehydrochlorination process occurs. Table 2 summarises the differences in the mass losses for PVC mixed with the different chlorine removers. The compositions of the chemical removers NaHCO₃ (Chem-NaHCO₃), CaO (Chem-CaO), ZnO and Na₂CO₃ (Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO) can react with acidic HCl gas releasing H₂O only or H₂O and CO₂ depending on the base used as shown in equations 3 to 5 below. As mentioned before, NaHCO₃ in Chem-NaHCO₃ decomposes completely into Na₂CO₃ during the process. The formation of Na₂CO₃ is completed before the dehydrochlorination of PVC occurs, as is clearly seen in Figure 3, therefore, the reaction between NaHCO₃ and the released HCl does not take place (reaction not included in the equations shown below). The formed salts of CaCl₂, ZnCl₂

and NaCl will remain in the char after the pyrolysis, and change the final char mass when compared to that of the average curve, as long as the chemical reaction occurs.

The reactions between the bases of the chemical removers and HCl gas [37,51-53] are given as:

Chem-NaHCO₃ and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO:



Chem-CaO:




Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO:



Given the difference between the actual and average behaviour of the chlorine removers, some parameters are of interest: the temperature at which dehydrochlorination begins (*onset*), the temperature of the maximum gas release (T_{max}) and the mass loss after Zone I. These parameters are included in Table 2. In all mixtures, the manifestation of a chemical reaction or an adsorption process is noticeable due to the observable changes in Zone I, where smaller but faster weight losses can be observed, which generates a distinguishable peak that concentrates the main release of gas at a temperature (T_{max}), as well as the delay of the *onset*. The most drastic changes occur with the use of chemical removers, Chem-NaHCO₃, Chem-CaO and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO, which concentrate the release of gas in Zone I at a temperature close to that of the pyrolysis of PVC alone (372.9 °C, see T_{max} in Table 2). According to the chemical reactions that can take place, the use of chemical removers causes a release of H₂O and CO₂ produced from the formation of the corresponding chlorine salt. Note, with the use of Chem-CaO the only emission from the reaction of its base is H₂O. The adsorbents showed different behaviours, Ads-Al₂O₃-1 and Ads-Al₂O₃-2 gave a low release rate of gas (much lower than in

the case of chemical removers) at 360 and 358 °C, respectively, while with the use of Ads-NaX this was delayed to 397.5 °C. The chemical removers Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO and Chem-CaO gave mass differences of -25.5 % and -24.6 % concerning the theoretical behaviour (see *Diff.* in Table 2). Among these results, the low mass difference calculated for Chem-NaHCO₃ (-5.5 %) stands out, but has also given the highest gas release per mole of remover as seen in DTG (at 381.2 °C). Parallel reactions with gases generated from the thermal decomposition of NaHCO₃ could be involved behind this result. Additionally, in all cases, the gas release is delayed (see ΔT in Table 2), which was greatest for the chemical removers and Ads-NaX.








Figure 3 TGA curves of PVC and additives (single and mixed). The average curve of the mixture is also included. Heating rate of 200 °C/min from room temperature to 550 °C followed by a hold of 20 min at this temperature.






Figure 4 DTG curves of PVC and additives (single and mixed). The average curve of the mixture is also included. Heating rate of 200 °C/min from room temperature to 550 °C followed by a hold of 20 min at this temperature.

Table 2 Weight loss and *onset* data calculated from TGA and DTG curves of PVC and mixtures.

<i>Sample</i>	<i>Zone I</i> (wt. %)	<i>Zone I</i> ^a (wt. %)	<i>Diff.</i> ^b (%)	<i>T</i> _{max} (°C)	<i>Onset</i> (°C)	<i>Onset</i> ^a (°C)	ΔT ^c (°C)
PVC	65.2	-	-	372.9	340.8	-	-
PVC + Chem-NaHCO₃	30.9	32.7	-5.5	381.2	399.6	342.2	57.4
PVC + Chem-CaO	25.1	33.3	-24.6	373.7	367.9	340.6	27.3
PVC + Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO	25.4	34.1	-25.5	373.3	357.0	338.5	18.5
PVC + Ads-Al₂O₃-1	30.6	33.7	-9.2	360.0	348.8	341.3	7.5
PVC + Ads-Al₂O₃-2	34.3	33.8	1.5	357.9	345.0	340.3	4.7
PVC + Ads-NaX	27.7	35.1	-21.1	397.5	390.5	341.9	48.6

a: Weight loss calculated from the average curve; b: Weight loss difference = (real weight loss - average weight loss) / average weight loss x 100; c: ΔT = Onset - Onset^a (a = average).

3.3 Characterization of chars and measurement of chlorine retention

As in the case of pure PVC (Figure 2), the chars generated from PVC/chlorine remover mixtures after its pyrolysis at 550 °C were analysed by FTIR to follow the changes in the signals of the C–Cl and C–H bonds of the –CHCl– functional groups. The intention was to observe whether dehydrochlorination of PVC is inhibited, as well as to identify bands of new groups or salts including the Cl released during the process. Figure 5a-b and Figure 5c-d show the FTIR spectra of chlorine removers and 1:1 mixtures, respectively, before and after pyrolysis at 550 °C with a 20 min isothermal period. The main bands assigned to PVC and pyrolyzed PVC in section 3.1 are included (as vertical lines) for comparison.

Chlorine removers showed components with very different contributions in the FTIR spectra (see Figure 5a-b). The main bands presented for Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO, Chem-NaHCO₃ and Chem-CaO correspond to the adsorption bands of Na₂CO₃, ZnO, γ -Al₂O₃ and SiO₂ [54-59], NaHCO₃ [56], and CaO [60], respectively. No bands attributable to carbon were observed in Chem-NaHCO₃ and Chem-CaO due to its low concentration (around 10 wt. %) and low transmittance signal. On the other hand, adsorbents showed typical FTIR spectra of Al₂O₃ (Ads-Al₂O₃-1 and Ads-Al₂O₃-2) [59] and NaX zeolites (Ads-NaX) [61-63]. After pyrolysis in the presence of the single chlorine removers, no significant changes in the FTIR spectra were observed. For all cases, except Chem-NaHCO₃, in which the complete transformation of NaHCO₃ into Na₂CO₃ is now confirmed, there was no change to their original FTIR spectra. For Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO and Ads-NaX, a broad transmittance band associated with the asymmetric stretching of Si–O–Si centred at the 930-990 cm⁻¹ region stood out [63,64]. In the case of Ads-NaX, this band includes the vibration of Si–O–Al bonds in found zeolites [64].

The 1:1 mixtures (see Figure 5c-d), shows the bands of the pure PVC and the chlorine remover together: main FTIR bands of the PVC (discussed in Section 3.1) are noticeable in all mixtures. However, significant changes in the FTIR spectra of the single materials have been observed

325 after the pyrolysis. At first inspection, the PVC C–Cl bond signals are notably absent post
326 pyrolysis evidencing a complete dehydrochlorination of the PVC (see a zoom of the 775-500
327 cm^{-1} region in Figure 6). The chemical removers showed the disappearance of the bands
328 relative to their bases (Na_2CO_3 , ZnO , and CaO), which were present when they were pyrolyzed
329 without the presence of PVC, and the formation of their respective chlorinated salts. Although
330 all the chemical removers had an excess of base, only Chem- CaO showed the presence of the
331 initial base that could still react with more HCl . Likewise, vibrations of the Ca-Cl and Zn-Cl
332 bonds (marked in Figure 5c) from the CaCl_2 and ZnCl_2 salts were present in chars obtained
333 when Chem- CaO and Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$ were used in the PVC pyrolysis. Also, Chem-
334 $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$ presents a band around 610 cm^{-1} that could be associated with the Si-Cl bond
335 vibration due to the formation of condensed SiCl_4 [65]. In the case of NaCl , despite observing
336 the consumption of Na_2CO_3 in Chem- NaHCO_3 and Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-ZnO}$, its formation in FTIR
337 profiles is imperceptible because this salt absorbs infrared light outside the $4000\text{-}500\text{ cm}^{-1}$ FTIR
338 region. However, the presence of NaCl salt in the chars, as well as those of CaCl_2 and ZnCl_2 ,
339 are confirmed by EDS (see explanation below). On the other hand, it is difficult to observe
340 adsorption processes that may take place on the materials using FTIR, without considering that
341 the pyrolysis temperatures could cause desorption of the HCl adsorbed during the process. In
342 this regard, Al_2O_3 as adsorbent for HCl could desorb in the range $27\text{-}377\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ [66]. Likewise,
343 zeolites X showed good HCl adsorption at room temperature [67], resulting in its complete
344 desorption at $450\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ [68]. The transformation observed in the adsorbents is therefore minor
345 and is mainly due to the thermal transformation of their constituents. However, Ads- NaX
346 showed recognizable signals of PVC pyrolysis products, specifically those bands attributed to
347 the $=\text{C-H}$ vibration of aromatic compounds (at 870 , 810 , 745 and 700 cm^{-1} , [47,48]). Likewise,
348 these bands are the most evident in the samples, mainly for Chem- NaHCO_3 , Chem- $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\text{-}$
349 ZnO and Ads- NaX .

350




Figure 5/ FTIR spectra of fresh and 550 °C-pyrolyzed a) chemical removers and b) adsorbents, and FTIR spectra of mixtures of c) chemical removers and d) adsorbents with PVC. PVC Bands (black solid lines; data from Figure 2): 1 = 2968, 2 = 1425, 3 = 1329, 4 = 1254, 5 = 1094, 6 = 959, 7 = 685 and 8 = 606 cm^{-1} . Pyrolyzed PVC Bands (red dashed lines; data from Figure 2): 1' = 2920, 2' = 2855, 3' = 1599, 4' = 1441, 5' = 870, 6' = 810, 7' = 745 and 8' = 700 cm^{-1} .






Figure 6 Zoom of the FTIR 775-500 cm⁻¹ region (from Figure 5c and Figure 5d) in a) fresh and b) pyrolyzed mixtures. Dashed lines correspond to the theoretical position of the bands associated with C–Cl stretching in the –CHCl– groups.

A morphological and chemical study of the chlorine removers before and after their use in the PVC pyrolysis was undertaken by ESEM-EDS. Micrographs of starting materials can be found in Figure 7. The carbon, easily distinguishable in the images of Chem-CaO and Chem-NaHCO₃ (Figure 7a and 7b), is completely separated from the bases. The image of Ads-NaX (Figure 7f) stands out as it shows spheres of a few micrometres corresponding to NaX zeolites [61].




371

b)




372

c)




373

d)



374

e)



375

f)




Figure 7 ESEM images of a) Chem- NaHCO_3 ; b) Chem- CaO ; c) Chem- Na_2CO_3 - ZnO ; d) Ads- Al_2O_3 -1; e) Ads- Al_2O_3 -2; and f) Ads- NaX chlorine removers. High magnification ESEM images show examples of rectangular areas used for the chlorine determination by EDS.

After the pyrolysis tests using mixtures of PVC, chars obtained from adsorbent containing mixtures showed a similar morphology to those of the starting materials as any changes were difficult to see due to the low proportion (5 wt.%) of char present. However, the chemical removers showed significant changes in their morphology, especially in the case of Chem- CaO , due to the formation of chlorine salts (confirmed by EDS) and particle agglomeration, and their images are presented in Figure 8 below.




Figure 8 ESEM images of a) PVC + Chem-NaHCO₃; b) PVC + Chem-CaO; and c) PVC + Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO mixtures after pyrolysis in TGA at 550 °C. High magnification ESEM images show examples of rectangular areas used in the chlorine determination by EDS.

The composition of selected chars was determined by EDS to give an average estimation of the chlorine content (5 large areas of each sample were analysed). This measure allows the percentage of Cl retention achieved with each remover to be estimated. In Table 3 the chlorine retention (wt. %) for the mixtures is given. The initial chlorine content in the starting mixtures is estimated according to the manufacturer's data for pure PVC which is 56.7 wt. %. Likewise, 550 °C pyrolyzed PVC showed a chlorine content of about 0.02 wt. %, which is considered in the calculation of the Cl retention for the chlorine removers. In accordance with the TGA and DTG curves, Chem-CaO and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO showed the highest chlorine retention (around 63 and 71 wt. %, respectively). The chemical removers and adsorbents showed lower *onset* and ΔT in the TGA (Table 2) as the chlorine retention was higher. In addition, it should be remembered that Chem-CaO still has the capacity to react with more HCl according to the results of the FTIR above. In the case of adsorbents, Ads-NaX presented the poorest chlorine retention, although the working conditions studied in the present work (direct contact and 550 °C) are not optimal for an adsorption process. Under these conditions, the adsorbent Ads-Al₂O₃-2 gave the best chlorine retention (19 wt. %). For the adsorbents, the percentile weight difference measured by the TGA (see *Diff.* in Table 2) seems to be a valid indicator to follow their behaviours since this parameter decreased as the Cl retention increased in the chars.

Table 3 Chlorine retention measured by EDS of TGA chars obtained in the pyrolysis of PVC at 550 °C (from 50 °C at 200 °C/min) using different chlorine removers.

Sample	Cl retention (wt. %)
--------	----------------------

PVC	0 ^a
PVC + Chem-NaHCO₃	49
PVC + Chem-CaO	63
PVC + Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO	71
PVC + Ads-Al₂O₃-1	13
PVC + Ads-Al₂O₃-2	19
PVC + Ads-NaX	8

a = Cl retention of 0.003 wt. %; Cl content in 550 °C pyrolyzed char is below 0.02 wt. %.

Conclusions

The behaviour of different materials for chlorine retention in PVC pyrolysis was studied. Chemicals removers (Chem-NaHCO₃, Chem-CaO and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO) and adsorbents (Ads-Al₂O₃-1, Ads-Al₂O₃-2 and Ads-NaX) were mixed with PVC (1:1; wt.) and tested in a thermobalance at pyrolysis conditions (200 °C/min heating rate from 50 to 550 °C), and the change in mass measured and resulting chars were analysed. The FTIR technique is shown as a valid tool to follow the consumption of the bases present in the chemical removers and the suppression of the C–Cl absorption bands of the PVC –CHCl– groups during its pyrolysis, as well as the formation of some chlorine salts (CaCl₂ and ZnCl₂). This gave sufficient evidence to verify the performance of this type of materials in the elimination of HCl gas. Likewise, while NaCl did not absorb in the studied FTIR region, the Na₂CO₃ consumption in Chem-NaHCO₃ and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO was followed by this technique. Complementing the FTIR technique, chlorine salts (NaCl, CaCl₂ and ZnCl₂) were confirmed in the corresponding chars by EDS. With a detection limit of 50 ppm, the EDS technique is more effective in the quantitative determination of chlorine not released during plastic pyrolysis than other methods when the sample quantities are small and routinely analysed.

The transformation observed in the adsorbents by FTIR was minor and mainly due to the thermal transformation of their constituents, although Ads-NaX showed recognizable signals

of pyrolyzed PVC. According to FTIR and CHN analysis data, the PVC pyrolysis occurs through a clear dehydrochlorination process and the formation of aromatic compounds. Chem-CaO and Chem-Na₂CO₃-ZnO had the highest chlorine retention (as the percentage that remains in the char in relation to the starting PVC) with values of 63 and 71 wt. %, respectively, although Chem-CaO still exhibited the capacity to react more HCl according to the FTIR results. Among the adsorbents, Ads-Al₂O₃-2 gave the best chlorine retention (19 wt. %) under the studied operating conditions. Likewise, the TGA data clearly showed the effect of adding a chlorine remover to the pyrolysis of PVC at 550 °C.

Acknowledgement

The funding to support this research was awarded by Innovate UK Energy Catalyst 4. D.T. is thankful for his contract funded by this Project. Cranfield University thank the technical and material assistance received from the Recycling Technologies Ltd throughout the project and for this publication.

References

- [1] E.L. Teuten, J.M. Saquing, D.R. Knappe, M.A. Barlaz, S. Jonsson, A. Björn, S.J. Rowland, R.C. Thompson, T.S. Galloway and R. Yamashita, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364, (2009) 2027.
- [2] A. Marongiu, T. Faravelli, G. Bozzano, M. Dente and E. Ranzi, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 70, (2003) 519.
- [3] S.D. Anuar Sharuddin, F. Abnisa, W.M.A. Wan Daud and M.K. Aroua, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 115, (2016) 308.
- [4] J. Yu, L. Sun, C. Ma, Y. Qiao and H. Yao, *Waste Management*, 48, (2016) 300.
- [5] N. Lingaiah, M.A. Uddin, A. Muto, T. Imai and Y. Sakata, *Fuel*, 80, (2001) 1901.

- 459 [6] M.A. Keane, *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 82, (2007) 787.
- 460 [7] K.-B. Park, S.-J. Oh, G. Begum and J.-S. Kim, *Energy*, 157, (2018) 402.
- 461 [8] H. Bockhorn, J. Hentschel, A. Hornung and U. Hornung, *Chemical Engineering*
 462 *Science*, 54, (1999) 3043.
- 463 [9] A. López, I. de Marco, B.M. Caballero, M.F. Laresgoiti and A. Adrados, *Fuel*
 464 *Processing Technology*, 92, (2011) 253.
- 465 [10] S.M. Al-Salem, A. Antelava, A. Constantinou, G. Manos and A. Dutta, *Journal of*
 466 *Environmental Management*, 197, (2017) 177.
- 467 [11] P. Zhao, N. Huang, J. Li and X. Cui, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 199, (2020)
 468 106277.
- 469 [12] T. Bhaskar, R. Negoro, A. Muto and Y. Sakata, *Green Chemistry*, 8, (2006) 697.
- 470 [13] N. Sophonrat, L. Sandström, R. Svanberg, T. Han, S. Dvinskikh, C.M. Lousada and
 471 W. Yang, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 58, (2019) 13960.
- 472 [14] S.L. Wong, N. Ngadi, T.A.T. Abdullah and I.M. Inuwa, *Renewable and Sustainable*
 473 *Energy Reviews*, 50, (2015) 1167.
- 474 [15] B. Han, Y. Chen, Y. Wu, D. Hua, Z. Chen, W. Feng, M. Yang and Q. Xie, *Journal of*
 475 *Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 115, (2014) 227.
- 476 [16] Q. Cao, G. Yuan, L. Yin, D. Chen, P. He and H. Wang, *Waste Management*, 58,
 477 (2016) 241.
- 478 [17] M. Blazsó and E. Jakab, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 49, (1999) 125.
- 479 [18] M.F. Ali and M.N. Siddiqui, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 74, (2005)
 480 282.
- 481 [19] H. Kuramochi, D. Nakajima, S. Goto, K. Sugita, W. Wu and K. Kawamoto, *Fuel*, 87,
 482 (2008) 3155.

- 483 [20] A. Lopez-Uriónabarrenechea, I. de Marco, B.M. Caballero, M.F. Laresgoiti and A.
484 Adrados, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 96, (2012) 54.
- 485 [21] M.A. Uddin, T. Bhaskar, J. Kaneko, A. Muto, Y. Sakata and T. Matsui, *Fuel*, 81,
486 (2002) 1819.
- 487 [22] C. Tang, Y.-Z. Wang, Q. Zhou and L. Zheng, *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 81,
488 (2003) 89.
- 489 [23] M. Brebu, T. Bhaskar, K. Murai, A. Muto, Y. Sakata and M.A. Uddin, *Polymer*
490 *Degradation and Stability*, 87, (2005) 225.
- 491 [24] D. Wang, R. Xiao, H. Zhang and G. He, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*,
492 89, (2010) 171.
- 493 [25] N. Miskolczi, F. Ateş and N. Borsodi, *Bioresource technology*, 144, (2013) 370.
- 494 [26] C. Santella, L. Cafiero, D. De Angelis, F. La Marca, R. Tuffi and S. Vecchio Cipriotti,
495 *Waste Management*, 54, (2016) 143.
- 496 [27] A. Veksha, A. Giannis, W.-D. Oh, V.W.C. Chang and G. Lisak, *Fuel Processing*
497 *Technology*, 170, (2018) 13.
- 498 [28] B. Fekhar, L. Gombor and N. Miskolczi, *Journal of the Energy Institute*, 92, (2019)
499 1270.
- 500 [29] A. Lopez-Uriónabarrenechea, I. de Marco, B.M. Caballero, M.F. Laresgoiti and A.
501 Adrados, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 137, (2015) 229.
- 502 [30] M. Daoudi and J.K. Walters, *The Chemical Engineering Journal*, 47, (1991) 11.
- 503 [31] W. Wang, Z. Ye and I. Bjerle, *Fuel*, 75, (1996) 207.
- 504 [32] W. Kaminsky and J.-S. Kim, *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 51, (1999)
505 127.
- 506 [33] M. Li, H. Shaw and C.-L. Yang, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 39,
507 (2000) 1898.

- 508 [34] Y. Masuda, T. Uda, O. Terakado and M. Hirasawa, *Journal of Analytical and Applied*
509 *Pyrolysis*, 77, (2006) 159.
- 510 [35] K.-Y. Chiang, J.-C. Jih and K.-L. Lin, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 157, (2008)
511 170.
- 512 [36] T. Kameda, N. Uchiyama, K.-S. Park, G. Grause and T. Yoshioka, *Chemosphere*, 73,
513 (2008) 844.
- 514 [37] H.M. Zhu, X.G. Jiang, J.H. Yan, Y. Chi and K.F. Cen, *Journal of Analytical and*
515 *Applied Pyrolysis*, 82, (2008) 1.
- 516 [38] C.-S. Chyang, Y.-L. Han and Z.-C. Zhong, *Energy & Fuels*, 23, (2009) 3948.
- 517 [39] C.-S. Chyang, Y.-L. Han, L.-W. Wu, H.-P. Wan, H.-T. Lee and Y.-H. Chang, *Waste*
518 *Management*, 30, (2010) 1334.
- 519 [40] Z. Sun, F.-C. Yu, F. Li, S. Li and L.-S. Fan, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*
520 *Research*, 50, (2011) 6034.
- 521 [41] S. Kumagai, I. Hasegawa, G. Grause, T. Kameda and T. Yoshioka, *Journal of*
522 *Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 113, (2015) 584.
- 523 [42] S. Pachitsas, L. Skaarup Jensen, S. Wedel, J. Boll Illerup and K. Dam-Johansen,
524 *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 7, (2019) 102869.
- 525 [43] M. Al-Harashsheh, A. Al-Otoom, L. Al-Makhadmah, I.E. Hamilton, S. Kingman, S.
526 Al-Asheh and M. Hararah, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 299, (2015) 425.
- 527 [44] J. Zhou, B. Gui, Y. Qiao, J. Zhang, W. Wang, H. Yao, Y. Yu and M. Xu, *Fuel*, 166,
528 (2016) 526.
- 529 [45] T. Yoshioka, T. Kameda, S. Imai and A. Okuwaki, *Polymer Degradation and*
530 *Stability*, 93, (2008) 1138.
- 531 [46] R.R. Stromberg, S. Straus and B.G. Achhammer, *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.*, 60, (1958)
532 147.

- 533 [47] M. Theodorou and B. Jasse, *Journal of Polymer Science: Polymer Physics Edition*,
534 21, (1983) 2263.
- 535 [48] E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann and M. Badertscher, *Structure Determination of Organic*
536 *Compounds. Tables of Spectral Data*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, p.
537 XV.
- 538 [49] J. Wu, T. Chen, X. Luo, D. Han, Z. Wang and J. Wu, *Waste Management*, 34, (2014)
539 676.
- 540 [50] T. Li, P. Zhao, M. Lei and Z. Li, *Applied Sciences*, 7, (2017) 256.
- 541 [51] D.J. Fray, *Plastics, Rubber and Composites*, 28, (1999) 327.
- 542 [52] K. Sharma, A. Vyas and S.K. Singh, *Catalyst*, 1, (2015) 200.
- 543 [53] X. Ren, E. Rokni, Y. Liu and Y.A. Levendis, *Journal of Energy Engineering*, 144,
544 (2018) 04018045.
- 545 [54] T. Baird, J.R. Fryer and B. Grant, *Carbon*, 12, (1974) 591.
- 546 [55] S. Hosseini, A. Niaei and S. D., *Open J. Phys. Chem.*, 1, (2011) 23.
- 547 [56] S. Joshi, S. Kalyanasundaram and V. Balasubramanian, *Applied Spectroscopy*, 67,
548 (2013) 841.
- 549 [57] M. Kooti and A. Naghdi Sedeh, *Journal of Chemistry*, 2013, (2013) 4.
- 550 [58] T.N. Tran, T.V. Anh Pham, M.L. Phung Le, T.P. Thoa Nguyen and V.M. Tran,
551 *Advances in Natural Sciences: Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, 4, (2013) 045007.
- 552 [59] M.Z.M. Noor, N.A. Sollahunddin and S. Irawan, *Proceedings*, 2, (2018) 1273.
- 553 [60] P. Raizada, P. Shandilya, P. Singh and P. Thakur, *Journal of Taibah University for*
554 *Science*, 11, (2017) 689.
- 555 [61] T. Kalaycı and B. Bardakçı, *Protection of Metals and Physical Chemistry of Surfaces*,
556 50, (2014) 709.

- 557 [62] W. Rongchapo, C. Keawkumay, N. Osakoo, K. Deekamwong, N. Chanlek, S.
558 Prayoonpokarach and J. Wittayakun, *Adsorption Science & Technology*, 36, (2018)
559 684.
- 560 [63] F. Iskandar, N.A. Zen, T.R. Mayangsari, A.H. Aimon and A.A. Pramana, *Materials*
561 *Research Express*, 6, (2019) 045510.
- 562 [64] E.K. Tiburu, M. Mutocheluh, P.K. Arthur, P.W. Narkwa, A.A. Salifu, M.A. Agyei, R.
563 Yeboah, H.N.A. Fleischer, J. Zhuang and G. Awandare, *Journal of Biomaterials and*
564 *Tissue Engineering*, 7, (2017) 544.
- 565 [65] O. Yasar-Inceoglu, T. Lopez, E. Farshihagro and L. Mangolini, *Nanotechnology*, 23,
566 (2012) 255604.
- 567 [66] J.W. Elam, C.E. Nelson, M.A. Tolbert and S.M. George, *Surface Science*, 450, (2000)
568 64.
- 569 [67] R. Sharma, T. Segato, M.-P. Delplancke, H. Terry, G.V. Baron, J.F.M. Denayer and
570 J. Cousin-Saint-Remi, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 381, (2020) 122512.
- 571 [68] I.É. Gel'ms, V.I. Yuzefovich and R.N. Yudin, *Chemistry and Technology of Fuels*
572 *and Oils*, 3, (1967) 631.

573